

MAPPINGS AND INDUCTIVE INVARIANTS

BY

CALVIN F. K. JUNG (BANGKOK)

1. Introduction. All spaces considered are presumed to be separable metrizable.

For any space X , the *inductive invariant* $\text{loccom } X$ is defined as follows:

$\text{loccom } X = -1$ if and only if X is locally compact and, for $n \geq 0$, $\text{loccom } X \leq n$ if each point of X has arbitrarily small neighborhoods U in X with $\text{loccom Fr } U \leq n - 1$.

Moreover, the *deficiency* of X , $\text{def } X$, is defined to be the integer

$$\min\{\dim(\gamma X - X) \mid \gamma X \text{ is a compactification of } X\}.$$

Lelek (see [3], Theorem 3.2) proved the following interesting result:

1.1. THEOREM. *If f is a continuous mapping of a space X such that $f^{-1}(y)$ is locally compact for each $y \in f(X)$, then*

$$\dim X \leq \dim f(X) + \max\{\dim f, \text{def } X\}.$$

At the same time, Lelek (see [3], P 469) posed the following question:

1.2. QUESTION. *If f is a continuous mapping of X , is it true that*

$$\dim X \leq \dim f(X) + \max\{\dim f, \text{def } X\} + \text{loccom } f + 1 ?$$

As usual, we write

$$\dim f = \max\{\dim f^{-1}(y) \mid y \in f(X)\}$$

and

$$\text{loccom } f = \max\{\text{loccom } f^{-1}(y) \mid y \in f(X)\}.$$

This paper originated from an attempt to answer Question 1.2. In fact, we obtain an affirmative answer to this question in the case $\text{Fr } f^{-1}(y)$ is locally compact for each y in $f(X)$. It should be pointed out that an affirmative answer to this question has already been obtained by Nishiura in a paper [4]. However, in the case we considered here, we obtain a stronger result (see Main Theorem 3). The result will be a consequence of a generalization of Theorem 1.1.

2. Some properties of loc com and def. The following lemmas, whose easy proofs are omitted, are needed for the proof of our main result:

2.1. LEMMA. *If A is an open subset of a space X , then*

$$\text{loc com } A \leq \text{loc com } X.$$

2.2. LEMMA. *If $\{X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a covering of X consisting of pairwise disjoint open subsets of X , then*

$$\text{loc com } X \leq \max \{\text{loc com } X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

2.3. LEMMA ([1], Theorem 4.2.1). *If A is a closed subset of a space X , then*

$$\text{def } A \leq \text{def } X.$$

3. MAIN THEOREM. *If f is a continuous mapping of a finite dimensional space X such that $\text{Fr } f^{-1}(y)$ is locally compact for each $y \in f(X)$, then*

$$\dim X \leq \max \{\text{loc com } f + \dim f + 1, \dim f(X) + \max \{\dim f, \text{def } X\}\}.$$

Proof. Let

$$X_0 = \bigcup_{y \in f(X)} \text{int } f^{-1}(y),$$

and let

$$X_1 = \bigcup_{y \in f(X)} \text{Fr } f^{-1}(y).$$

Then X_0 is open in X and $X_1 = X - X_0$ is closed in X , and $X = X_0 \cup X_1$, so that

$$\dim X = \max \{\dim X_0, \dim X_1\}$$

by [2], Corollary 2b, p. 289.

The restriction

$$g = f|_{X_1}: X_1 \rightarrow f(X_1)$$

is continuous with $g^{-1}(y) = \text{Fr } f^{-1}(y)$ locally compact for each $y \in f(X_1)$, by assumption. By Theorem 1.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim X_1 &\leq \dim f(X_1) + \max \{\dim g, \text{def } X_1\} \\ &\leq \dim f(X) + \max \{\dim f, \text{def } X\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 2.3.

On the other hand, for each compact subset C of X_0 , there exists a finite number of y 's, say y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k , such that

$$C \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{int } f^{-1}(y_i),$$

so that, by [2], Corollary 2b, p. 289,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim C &\leq \dim \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{int } f^{-1}(y_i) \\ &\leq \max \{ \dim \text{int } f^{-1}(y_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k \} \\ &\leq \max \{ \dim f^{-1}(y_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k \} \leq \dim f. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by [3], Section 2, and since $\dim X_0 \leq \dim X < +\infty$,

$$\dim X_0 \leq \text{sub com } X_0 + \dim f + 1 \leq \text{loc com } X_0 + \dim f + 1.$$

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{loc com } X_0 &\leq \max \{ \text{loc com int } f^{-1}(y) \mid y \in f(X) \} \\ &\leq \max \{ \text{loc com } f^{-1}(y) \mid y \in f(X) \} = \text{loc com } f, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\dim X_0 \leq \text{loc com } f + \dim f + 1.$$

Putting things together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim X &= \max \{ \dim X_0, \dim X_1 \} \\ &\leq \max \{ \text{loc com } f + \dim f + 1, \dim f(X) + \max \{ \dim f, \text{def } X \} \}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY. *If f is a continuous mapping of the finite dimensional space of X with $\text{Fr } f^{-1}(y)$ locally compact for each $y \in f(X)$, then*

$$\dim X \leq \dim f(X) + \max \{ \dim f, \text{def } X \} + \text{loc com } f + 1.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. de Groot and T. Nishiura, *Inductive compactness as a generalization of semi-compactness*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 58 (1966), p. 201-218.
- [2] K. Kuratowski, *Topology I*, New York - Warszawa 1966.
- [3] A. Lelek, *Dimension and mappings of spaces with finite deficiency*, *Colloquium Mathematicum* 12 (1964), p. 221-227.
- [4] T. Nishiura, *Inductive invariants of closed extensions of mappings*, *ibidem* 25 (1972), p. 73-78.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
CHULALONGKORN UNIVERSITY
BANGKOK, THAILAND

Reçu par la Rédaction le 26. 10. 1971