

CASTELNUOVO'S INDEX OF REGULARITY AND REDUCTION NUMBERS

PETER SCHENZEL

*Sektion Mathematik, Martin-Luther-Universität
Halle, G.D.R.*

For an ideal I of a local Noetherian ring Castelnuovo's index of regularity $\text{reg } I$ is defined. It is shown that $\text{reg } I$ yields upper bounds for the reduction numbers as well as the relation type of I . Under additional assumptions on I these estimates become equalities.

1. Introduction

Let (A, M) denote a local Noetherian ring with an infinite residue field A/M . If I is an ideal of A , recall that an ideal $J \subseteq I$ is called a *reduction* of I if $I^{n+1} = JI^n$ for some integer n . A reduction J is called a *minimal reduction* if it does not contain properly a reduction of I . These concepts were introduced and studied by D. G. Northcott and D. Rees in [8]. More recently, the reduction number $r_J(I)$, the smallest number n such that $I^{n+1} = JI^n$, attained much attention, see [10]. Another invariant related to I is the relation type $n(I)$, the largest degree of a minimal generating set of J , where J denotes the presentation ideal of the form ring

$$G(I) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n/I^{n+1}, \quad G(I) \cong A/I[T_1, \dots, T_r]/J,$$

r the minimal number of generators of I , see [5] and Section 4. For computational reasons it is important to estimate the relation type.

The purpose of this paper is to define another invariant $\text{reg } I$ of I , Castelnuovo's index of regularity, determined in terms of the vanishing of local

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 13A15, 14B15; Secondary 13H10.

Key words and phrases: reduction, minimal reduction, reduction number, form ring, Castelnuovo's index of regularity, relation type, Koszul cohomology.

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

cohomology modules, see (2.1). On the other side, see (3.1) and (4.1), there are cohomological interpretations of $r_J(I)$ and $n(I)$ respectively. In the main result, see (2.2), there is an expression of $\text{reg } I$ as the maximum over certain cohomologically defined numbers, among them $r_J(I)$ as well as $n(I)$. It turns out, see (4.2) and (3.2), that

$$n(I) - 1 \leq \text{reg } I \quad \text{and} \quad r_J(I) \leq \text{reg } I$$

for any reduction J of I . There are results about equality in these bounds, see (3.3), (3.4), and (4.3). This is closely related to recent research by H. Cho [3], S. Huckaba [5], and N. V. Trung [12], where similar results are obtained.

Therefore Castelnuovo's index of regularity is an appropriate invariant related to reduction numbers as well as the relation type. The idea to study $\text{reg } I$ is inspired by D. Mumford's book [7], see also [1], [9], and [11] for an algebraic point of view. Because of the cohomological nature of $\text{reg } I$ there are used local cohomology modules and Koszul cohomology as technical tools. In the sequel we work with graded rings. The above-mentioned results about ideals are obtained by passing to the corresponding form rings.

2. Castelnuovo's index of regularity

Throughout this section, let $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ denote a Noetherian commutative graded ring such that R_0 is a local ring and the R_0 -algebra R is a generated by the elements of R_1 . Put $R_+ = \bigoplus_{n > 0} R_n$ the homogeneous ideal generated by all forms of positive degree. For a graded R -module E , denote by E_n , $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, the n th graded piece of E . Put

$$s(E) = \sup \{n \in \mathbf{Z} : E_n \neq 0\}.$$

In the case E is a finitely generated graded R -module $s(E) < \infty$ if there is an integer n such that $(R_+)^n E = 0$. In the following consider the local cohomology modules $H_{R_+}^i(E)$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, of E with respect to R_+ . Note that they are graded R -modules with $H_{R_+}^i(E)_n = 0$ for all large n as follows by virtue of Serre's Theorem, see [4].

(2.1) DEFINITION. Set

$$\text{reg } E = \max \{s(H_{R_+}^i(E)) + i : i \in \mathbf{Z}\},$$

the *Castelnuovo index of regularity* of the R -module E .

This notion was introduced by D. Mumford [7] resp. A. Ooishi [9] in a geometric resp. algebraic context. For further information see also [1] and [11]. By a minimal reduction Q of R_+ we define a homogeneous ideal $Q \subseteq R_+$ generated by forms of degree one such that $Q_n = R_n$ for all large n . In the

following we use the notation of the Koszul cohomology $H^i(Q; E)$, where Q denotes a system of forms of R resp. for the simplicity of notation the ideal generated by them. The following result is the crucial point for that what follows.

(2.2) THEOREM. For a finitely generated R -module E the following integers are equal:

- (a) $\text{reg } E$.
- (b) $\max \{s(H^i(Q; E)) + i : i \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ for any ideal Q generated by forms of degree one with $\text{rad } Q = \text{rad } R_+$.
- (c) $\max \{s(H^i(\mathbf{x}; E)) + i : i \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ for any minimal reduction $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_g\}$ of R .

In particular, the integers defined in (b) and (c) are independent of the choice of Q and \mathbf{x} respectively.

Proof. Denote by a, b, c the integers defined in (a), (b), (c) respectively. For the proof of $a \geq b$ consider the following spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(Q; H_{R_+}^q(E)) \Rightarrow E^{p+q} = H^{p+q}(Q; E).$$

By the definition of a , $H_{R_+}^i(E)_j = 0$ for all $i+j > a$. Therefore $H^{p+q}(Q; E)_n = 0$ whenever $p+q+n > a$ since it is a subquotient of $\bigoplus H^p(Q; H_{R_+}^q(E))_n$. Therefore $H^i(Q; E)_n = 0$ for all $i+n > a$, as required. Because of $\text{rad } R_+ = \text{rad } \mathbf{x}R$ for a minimal reduction \mathbf{x} of R , $b \geq c$. In order to complete the proof let us show $c \geq a$. To this end use the above spectral sequence. For $i = 0$ it yields

$$H^0(\mathbf{x}; H_{R_+}^0(E)) \cong H^0(\mathbf{x}; E).$$

Assume that $s(H_{R_+}^0(E)) =: s > c$. Then

$$0 \neq H_{R_+}^0(E)_s \cong H^0(\mathbf{x}; H_{R_+}^0(E))_s \cong H^0(\mathbf{x}; E)_s,$$

a contradiction. Now let

$$H_{R_+}^i(E)_n = 0 \quad \text{for } i+n > c \text{ and all } i < j.$$

Assume that $s(H_{R_+}^j(E)) + j =: s > c$. Then the spectral sequence degenerates partially to the following isomorphisms

$$0 \neq H_{R_+}^j(E)_{s-j} \cong H^0(\mathbf{x}; H_{R_+}^j(E))_{s-j} \cong H^j(\mathbf{x}; E)_{s-j},$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof.

(2.3) COROLLARY. $\text{reg } E = \max \{s(H^i(R_+; E)) + i : i \in \mathbf{Z}\}$.

In the case \mathbf{x} forms an E -regular sequence one obtains the well-known fact

$$s(H_{R_+}^g(E)) + g = s(E/\mathbf{x}E).$$

In particular, $s(E/xE)$ does not depend on x . For an ideal I of a local ring (A, M) put $\text{reg } I = \text{reg } G(I)$, where $G(I)$ denotes the form ring of A with respect to I . Call $\text{reg } I$ Castelnuovo's index of regularity of I .

3. The reduction number

As above $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ denotes a Noetherian graded ring such that R_0 is a local ring and the R_0 -algebra R is generated by R_1 . For a reduction $Q = (f_1, \dots, f_g)R$ of R_+ define $r(Q)$, the *reduction number* of Q , the least integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Q_{n+1} = R_{n+1}$.

(3.1) PROPOSITION. $r(Q) = s(H^g(Q; R)) + g$, where g denotes the number of elements of Q .

Proof. By properties of the Koszul cohomology it follows

$$H^g(Q; R) \cong (R/Q)(g).$$

Because Q is a reduction of R_+ , $s(R/Q) = r(Q)$ as easily seen. Whence the result follows.

Next there are estimates of $r(Q)$ in terms of the local cohomology modules. This result was shown by a different argument in [12], (3.2).

(3.2) PROPOSITION. For any reduction Q of R_+

$$s(H_{R_+}^g(R)) + g \leq r(Q) \leq \text{reg } R,$$

where g denotes the number of generators of Q .

Proof. The upper bound follows by virtue of (3.1) and (2.2). For the lower bound recall that

$$H_{R_+}^g(R)_n \cong \varinjlim_{\vec{k}} R_{kg+n}/\mathbf{x}^{(k)} R_{k(g-1)+n},$$

see [4], where $Q = (x_1, \dots, x_g)R$ and $\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = \{x_1^k, \dots, x_g^k\}$. Let $r = r(Q)$ and $n > r + g$. Then

$$R_{kg+n}/\mathbf{x}^{(k)} R_{k(g-1)+n} = 0$$

by the same argument as given in [10], proof of Proposition 2.

In the following result we will show that under additional assumptions the bounds in (3.2) become equalities. This extends results of [2], [5], and [12]. To this end let I denote an ideal of a local ring (A, M) with A/M an infinite residue field and $G = G(I)$ its form ring. By $a(I)$ denote the analytic spread of I , see [8].

(3.3) THEOREM. Assume $a(I) = \text{grade } I =: g$ and $\text{grade } G_+ \geq g - 1$. Then

$$s(H_{G_+}^g(G)) + g = r_{\mathbf{x}}(I) = \text{reg } I$$

for any minimal reduction \mathbf{x} of I .

Proof. Because of the assumptions and prime avoidance arguments one may assume for a given minimal reduction \mathbf{x} of I the existence of a regular sequence $\{x_1, \dots, x_g\}$ such that

$$\mathbf{x}A = (x_1, \dots, x_g)A$$

and $\{x'_1, \dots, x'_{g-1}\}$ forms a G -regular sequence, where x' denotes the initial form of an element $x \in A$. Thus without loss of generality assume \mathbf{x} generated by elements of the required type. By (3.2) it is enough to show that

$$s(H_{G_+}^{g-1}(G)) + g - 1 < r_{\mathbf{x}}(I).$$

In order to prove this recall that $s(H_{G_+}^{g-1}(G)) = s(H^{g-1}(\mathbf{x}'; G))$ as follows by the above spectral sequence. Furthermore,

$$H^{g-1}(\mathbf{x}'; G) \cong H^0(\mathbf{x}'_g; G(I/(x_1, \dots, x_{g-1}))) (g-1)$$

as follows because $\{x'_1, \dots, x'_{g-1}\}$ is a G -regular sequence. So it is enough to show the case $g = 1$. Let $r = r_{\mathbf{x}}(I)$, $x = x_1$. Then

$$H^0(\mathbf{x}'; G)_n \cong (I^{n+2} : x) \cap I^n / I^{n+1} = 0$$

for all $n \geq r - 1$ because

$$I^{n+2} : x = xI^{n+1} : x = I^{n+1} \quad \text{for } n \geq r - 1.$$

Recall that x is a nonzero divisor.

Another result about the invariance of the reduction number is related to the notion of a d -sequence, see C. Huneke [6] for the definition.

(3.4) THEOREM. *Let Q denote a reduction of R_+ generated by a d -sequence. Then $r(Q) = \text{reg } R$.*

Proof. Let $Q = (x_1, \dots, x_g)R$ with a d -sequence $\{x_1, \dots, x_g\}$. Then it is a relative R -regular sequence with respect to Q in the sense of [3]. By [3] it follows that the induced maps

$$H^i(\mathbf{x}; Q) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbf{x}; R), \quad i \in \mathbf{Z},$$

are zero. Hence the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/Q \rightarrow 0$$

induces injections

$$0 \rightarrow H^i(\mathbf{x}; R) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbf{x}; R/Q), \quad i \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Because of $H^i(\mathbf{x}; R/Q) \cong (R/Q)^{\binom{g}{i}}(i)$,

$$s(H^i(\mathbf{x}; R)) + i \leq r(Q) \quad \text{for all } i.$$

While $s(H^g(\mathbf{x}; R)) + g = r(Q)$ the claim follows by virtue of (2.2).

4. The relation type

Let $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ denote a Noetherian graded ring, where R_0 is a local ring and R_+ is minimally generated by forms of degree one, say $\{f_1, \dots, f_e\}$. Then there is an epimorphism

$$R_0[T_1, \dots, T_e] \rightarrow R, \quad T_i \mapsto f_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, e,$$

where T_1, \dots, T_e are indeterminates over R_0 . Let J denote the kernel, a homogeneous ideal of the polynomial ring $R_0[T_1, \dots, T_e]$. Let J_n , $n \in \mathbf{N}$, denote the ideal generated by all homogeneous elements of J of degree at most n . Then $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \dots$ is an ascending chain of ideals. Define $n(R)$, the relation type of R , the smallest integer n such that $J = J_n$. Note that $n(R)$ denotes the highest degree of generators in a minimal generating set of J . Moreover, $n(R)$ does not depend on the choice of the minimal generating set of R_+ .

(4.1) PROPOSITION. $n(R) = s(H^{e-1}(R_+; R)) + e$, where e denotes the minimal number of generators of R_+ .

Proof. Apply the Koszul cohomology $H^*(T; \square)$ to the short exact sequence of graded modules over $R_0[T_1, \dots, T_e]$

$$0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow R_0[T_1, \dots, T_e] \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0.$$

Then there are isomorphisms

$$H^{e-1}(R_+; R) \cong H^{e-1}(T; R) \cong J/TJ(e).$$

Because of $n(R) = s(J/TJ)$ the claim follows.

Next let us relate the relation type $n(R)$ to Castelnuovo's index of regularity. Furthermore, there are some bounds on $n(R)$.

(4.2) COROLLARY. (a) $n(R) - 1 \leq \text{reg } R$.

(b) With the assumptions of (3.3)

$$n(I) - 1 = r_{\mathbf{x}}(I)$$

for any minimal reduction \mathbf{x} of I and $n(I) = n(G(I))$.

For a finitely generated graded R -module E one has $s(E/R_+ E) \leq \text{reg } E$ since $(E/R_+ E)(e) \cong H^e(R_+; E)$. Moreover, $s(E/R_+ E)$ is equal to the maximal degree of forms in a minimal generating set of E . Thus, $\text{reg } E$ gives a degree bound for a minimal generating set of E , see [1], [9], and [12] for further information.

We will continue with a result about the equality of $n(I) - 1$ and $\text{reg } I$, which was shown by S. Huckaba in [5].

(4.3) COROLLARY. *Let I be as in (3.3). Assume that I requires at most $g + 1$ generators. Then*

$$n(I) - 1 = r_x(I) = \text{reg } I$$

for any minimal reduction x of I .

Proof. First assume that I requires $g + 1$ generators. Then

$$s(H^{g+1}(G_+; G)) + g + 1 = 0$$

and

$$\text{reg } I = \max \{s(H^g(G_+; G)) + g, s(H^{g-1}(G_+; G)) + g - 1\}$$

by (2.2). Now

$$s(H^{g-1}(G_+; G)) = s(H_{G_+}^{g-1}(G)) < \text{reg } G - g + 1$$

as shown in the proof of (3.3). Whence

$$\text{reg } G = s(H^g(G_+; G)) + g = n(G) - 1,$$

as required. The case of a g -generator ideal is more easy to handle by the same arguments.

Let us conclude with a bound of $\text{reg } I$ in the situation of (3.3) where I is in addition an M -primary ideal.

(4.4) THEOREM. *Let I denote an M -primary ideal of a d -dimensional Cohen–Macaulay ring (A, M) such that $G(I)$ is a Cohen–Macaulay ring. Then*

$$\text{reg } I \leq e(I) - L(A/I),$$

where e resp. L denotes the multiplicity resp. the length of I .

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume the existence of a minimal reduction $J = (x_1, \dots, x_d)A$ of I such that $\{x'_1, \dots, x'_d\}$ forms a $G(I)$ -regular sequence. Because of

$$G/x'G = G(IA/xA), \quad \text{reg } G/x'G = \text{reg } G,$$

and

$$e(I) = e(x; A) = L(A/xA)$$

we may assume $\dim A = 0$. Then

$$\text{reg } G \leq L(G) - L(G_0)$$

which proves the claim.

References

- [1] R. Achilles and P. Schenzel, *On bounds for Castelnuovo's index of regularity*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 29 (1989), 91–104.
- [2] Y. H. Cho, *Presentation of associated graded rings of Cohen–Macaulay rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 89 (1983), 569–573.

- [3] M. Fiorentini, *On relative regular sequences*, J. Algebra 18 (1971), 384–389.
 - [4] A. Grothendieck, *Local Cohomology*, Lecture Notes in Math. 41, Springer, Berlin 1967.
 - [5] S. Huckaba, *Reduction numbers for ideals of higher analytic spread*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 102 (1987), 49–57.
 - [6] C. Huneke, *The theory of d -sequences and powers of ideals*, Adv. in Math. 46 (1982), 627–644.
 - [7] D. Mumford, *Lectures on curves on an algebraic surface*, Ann. of Math. Stud. 59, Princeton 1966.
 - [8] D. Northcott and D. Rees, *Reduction of ideals in local rings*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 50 (1954), 145–158.
 - [9] A. Ooishi, *Castelnuovo's regularity of graded rings and modules*, Hiroshima Math. J. 12 (1982), 627–644.
 - [10] J. Sally, *Reductions, local cohomology, and Hilbert functions*, Commutative Algebra: Durham 1981, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 72, Cambridge 1982, 231–241.
 - [11] J. Stückrad and W. Vogel, *Castelnuovo bounds for certain subvarieties in \mathbf{P}^n* , Math. Ann. 276 (1987), 341–352.
 - [12] N. V. Trung, *Reduction exponent and degree bound for the defining equations of graded rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1987), 229–236.
-