

*ANDRÉ-QUILLEN COHOMOLOGY  
FOR COMMUTATIVE COALGEBRAS*

BY

JOLANTA SŁOMIŃSKA (TORUŃ)

The aim of this paper is to give a cohomology theory for commutative coalgebras dual to the theory of André and Quillen for commutative algebras (see [1] and [8]).

For any commutative coalgebra  $C$ , we consider two categories: the category  $C$ -Comod of all left comodules over  $C$  and the category  $\text{Coalg-}C$  of all coalgebras over  $C$ . Fundamental elementary properties of those categories are contained in Section 1. In the category  $\text{Coalg-}C$  we distinguish a full subcategory of free  $C$ -coalgebras consisting of all coalgebras of the form  $k[X]^\circ \otimes C$ , where  $X$  is an arbitrary set (see Section 3).

In Section 5 we show that for any  $C$ -coalgebra  $D$  there exists a cosimplicial free  $C$ -resolution, i. e., a cosimplicial object  $X = \{X^n\}$  in  $\text{Coalg-}C$  such that all  $X^n$  are free and the cochain complex associated with  $X$  in  $C$ -Comod is acyclic. The cohomologies  $H^n(C, D, M)$  and  $\bar{H}^n(C, D, M)$  of  $D$  with coefficients in a  $D$ -comodule  $M$  are defined as cohomology objects of the cochain complex  $\text{Coder}_C(M, X)$  and  $\text{Codiff}_C(M, X)$ , respectively, where  $\text{Coder}_C(M, \cdot)$  and  $\text{Codiff}_C(M, \cdot)$  are certain functors from the category  $(D, \text{Coalg-}C)$  to the category of vector spaces. Basic properties of both these functors, generalizing certain results of Lee [6], are presented in Section 2.

One of the main results of this paper is Theorem 5.5 which shows that any sequence  $B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D$  of coalgebra morphisms induces appropriate long exact sequences of cohomologies  $H^n$  and  $\bar{H}^n$ . To prove this theorem we show that  $H^n$  and  $\bar{H}^n$  are cohomologies of a certain triple (5.3) with coefficients in  $\text{Coder}$  and  $\text{Codiff}$ , respectively.

Following André [1], we define cohomologies  $A^n(C, D, M)$  and  $\bar{A}^n(C, D, M)$  using objects  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]^\circ \otimes C$  as models. By Lemma 5.7, it follows that  $A^n = H^n$ .

In Section 3 a functor  $\hat{S}_C : \text{Comod-}C \rightarrow \text{Coalg-}C$  is constructed, which is right adjoint to the appropriate forgetting functor. This pair of functors induces another triple, cohomologies of which will be considered in Section 5

The author wishes to thank Dr. Daniel Simson for valuable comments.

**1. Coalgebras and comodules.** Throughout this paper  $k$  will denote a fixed field. Symbols  $\otimes$  and  $\text{Hom}$  (without subscripts) mean that these functors are taken over  $k$ . The category of all vector spaces over  $k$  will be denoted by  $\text{Mod-}k$ .

A  $k$ -coalgebra is a vector space  $C$  together with two  $k$ -linear maps  $\Delta_C: C \rightarrow C \otimes C$  and  $\varepsilon_C: C \rightarrow k$  satisfying

$$(I \otimes \Delta_C) \Delta_C = (\Delta_C \otimes I) \Delta_C \quad \text{and} \quad (\varepsilon_C \otimes I) \Delta_C = I = (I \otimes \varepsilon_C) \Delta_C.$$

$\Delta_C$  is called *comultiplication*, and  $\varepsilon_C$  is called *counit*. If no confusion can arise, the subscripts  $C$  will be omitted.

A  $k$ -linear map  $f: C \rightarrow D$  is a *morphism of  $k$ -coalgebras* if  $\Delta_D f = (f \otimes f) \Delta_C$ . The set of all coalgebra morphisms from  $C$  to  $D$  will be denoted by  $\text{Coalg}(C, D)$ .

A  $k$ -coalgebra is *commutative* if  $t\Delta = \Delta$ , where

$$t: V \otimes W \rightarrow W \otimes V$$

is a twisting morphism defined by  $t(v \otimes w) = w \otimes v$ . If  $C$  and  $D$  are  $k$ -coalgebras, then  $C \otimes D$  is also a  $k$ -coalgebra with morphisms  $(I \otimes t \otimes I)(\Delta_C \otimes \Delta_D)$  and  $\varepsilon_C \otimes \varepsilon_D$ . It is easy to verify that  $C$  is commutative iff  $\Delta_C$  is a morphism of  $k$ -coalgebras.

An important role in our considerations will be played by the functors

$$*: \text{Coalg-}k \rightarrow \text{Alg-}k \quad \text{and} \quad \circ: \text{Alg-}k \rightarrow \text{Coalg-}k.$$

For any  $k$ -coalgebra  $C$ ,  $C^* = \text{Hom}(C, k)$  is an algebra over  $k$  together with structural maps  $\Delta^*|_{C^* \otimes C^*}$  and  $\varepsilon^*$  (see [9], 1.1.1). If  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra together with maps  $\mu: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$  and  $\eta: k \rightarrow A$ , then  $A^\circ$  is a subspace of  $A^*$  consisting of all linear maps  $f: A \rightarrow k$  such that  $\ker f$  contains an ideal of finite codimension. By Section 6 in [9], the natural map  $A^\circ \otimes A^\circ \rightarrow (A \otimes A)^\circ$  is an isomorphism and the structural maps  $\mu^\circ$  and  $\eta^\circ$  are defined as restrictions of  $\mu^*$  and  $\eta^*$ , respectively, to  $A^\circ$ .

$*$  is a right adjoint functor to  $\circ$ , that is there exists a functorial isomorphism

$$\text{Coalg}(C, A^\circ) \approx \text{Alg}(A, C^*)$$

for any  $k$ -coalgebra  $C$  and any  $k$ -algebra  $A$  (see [9], Section 6, p. 118).

If  $C$  is a  $k$ -coalgebra, a *left  $C$ -comodule* is a vector space  $M$  together with a linear map  $\varrho: M \rightarrow C \otimes M$  such that

$$I = (\varepsilon \otimes I) \varrho \quad \text{and} \quad (\Delta \otimes I) \varrho = (I \otimes \varrho) \varrho.$$

The map  $\varrho$  is called *comultiplication*. Similarly one can define a *right  $C$ -comodule*.

A morphism  $f: M \rightarrow N$  of  $C$ -comodules  $M$  and  $N$  is a linear map satisfying  $(I \otimes f)\varrho = \varrho f$ . The vector space of all  $C$ -comodule morphisms from  $M$  to  $N$  will be denoted by  $\text{Hom}_C(M, N)$ .

The category of all left (right)  $C$ -comodules is denoted by  $C\text{-Comod}$  ( $\text{Comod-}C$ ). It is easy to prove that this is an abelian category with arbitrary direct sums and products. The product in  $C\text{-Comod}$  we denote by  $\prod$ . If  $M_\alpha \in C\text{-Comod}$  for  $\alpha \in I$ , then  $\prod_I M_\alpha$  is a maximal rational  $C^*$ -submodule of  $\prod M_\alpha$  (see [9], p. 37).

Let  $M$  be a right  $C$ -comodule and  $N$  a left  $C$ -comodule. Following Milnor and Moore [7], we define *cotensor product*  $M \square_C N$  as a kernel of the map

$$\varrho \otimes I - I \otimes \varrho : M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes C \otimes N.$$

If  $f \in \text{Hom}(M, M')$  and  $g \in \text{Hom}(N, N')$ , then the linear map

$$f \square g : M \square_C N \rightarrow M' \square_C N'$$

is a restriction of  $f \otimes g$  to  $M \square_C N$ . One can readily check that  $\square_C$  is a left exact functor commuting with arbitrary direct sums. Now,  $M \square_C C \approx M$ , since the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\varrho} M \otimes C \xrightarrow{\varrho \otimes I - I \otimes \varrho} M \otimes C \otimes C.$$

Since the category  $C\text{-Comod}$  has sufficiently many injective objects, one can define derived functors of the functor  $\square_C$ . They will be denoted by  $\text{Cotor}_n^C$ . Clearly,  $\text{Cotor}_0^C = \square_C$ .

If  $C$  is commutative, then any left  $C$ -comodule is a right one with  $\varrho$  as the structural morphism. If  $M$  has both left and right  $C$ -comodule structures, then  $M \square_C N$  is a left  $C$ -comodule with a comultiplication defined by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M \square_C N & \longrightarrow & M \otimes N & \longrightarrow & M \otimes C \otimes N \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow e' \otimes I & & \downarrow e' \otimes I \otimes I \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C \otimes M \square_C N & \longrightarrow & C \otimes M \otimes N & \longrightarrow & C \otimes M \otimes C \otimes N \end{array}$$

Throughout this paper we shall consider only commutative coalgebras. Therefore, the word “commutative” will be omitted, and “coalgebra” and “comodule” will mean “commutative coalgebra” and “left comodule”, respectively.

**1.1. Definition.** Let  $C$  and  $D$  be  $k$ -coalgebras.  $D$  is called a  $C$ -coalgebra with a structural morphism  $\bar{\varepsilon}$  if  $\bar{\varepsilon}: D \rightarrow C$  is a  $k$ -coalgebra morphism. A  $k$ -coalgebra morphism  $f: D \rightarrow D'$  is called a *morphism of  $C$ -coalgebras* if  $\bar{\varepsilon}' f = \bar{\varepsilon}$ . The set of all  $C$ -coalgebra morphisms from  $D$  to  $D'$  will be denoted by  $\text{Coalg-}C(D, D')$ .

One can check that if  $M$  is a  $D$ -comodule and  $D$  is a  $C$ -coalgebra, then  $M$  is a  $C$ -comodule with  $(\bar{\varepsilon} \otimes I)\varrho$  as the comultiplication. Clearly,  $\varrho M \subset D \square_C M$  and  $\varrho$  is a  $C$ -coalgebra map. Hence  $\Delta D \subset D \square_C D$  and  $\Delta$  is a  $C$ -comodule morphism. Therefore, we can consider comultiplications as the maps

$$\bar{\Delta} : D \rightarrow D \square_C D \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\varrho} : M \rightarrow D \square_C M.$$

The category of all  $C$ -coalgebras will be denoted by  $\text{Coalg-}C$ . It is easy to check that this category is isomorphic to a category defined as follows. Objects are  $C$ -comodules  $D$  together with  $C$ -comodule morphisms  $\bar{\Delta} : D \rightarrow D \square_C D$  and  $\bar{\varepsilon} : D \rightarrow C$  satisfying

$$(I \square \bar{\Delta})\bar{\Delta} = (\bar{\Delta} \square I)\bar{\Delta} \quad \text{and} \quad (\bar{\varepsilon} \square I)\bar{\Delta} = I = (I \square \bar{\varepsilon})\bar{\Delta}.$$

Morphisms are  $C$ -comodule morphisms  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  such that  $(f \square f)\bar{\Delta} = \bar{\Delta}'f$  and  $\bar{\varepsilon} = \bar{\varepsilon}'f$ . If  $M$  is a  $D$ -comodule, and  $\bar{\varrho} : M \rightarrow D \square_C M$  is a comultiplication, then

$$(I \square \bar{\varrho})\bar{\varrho} = (\bar{\Delta} \square I)\bar{\varrho} \quad \text{and} \quad I = (\bar{\varepsilon} \square I)\bar{\varrho}.$$

**1.2.** If  $D$  and  $D'$  are  $C$ -coalgebras, then  $D \square_C D'$  together with morphisms  $(I \square t \square I)(\bar{\Delta} \square \bar{\Delta}')$  and  $\bar{\varepsilon} \square \bar{\varepsilon}'$  is also a  $C$ -coalgebra. It is a product in the category  $\text{Coalg-}C$ .

**1.3.** One can verify without difficulty that if  $D$  and  $D'$  are  $C$ -coalgebras,  $B$  is a  $D$ -coalgebra,  $M$  is a  $D \square_C D'$ -comodule, and  $N$  is a  $D'$ -comodule, then there are the following functorial isomorphisms:

$$\text{Hom}_{D \square_C D'}(M, D \square_C N) \approx \text{Hom}_{D'}(M, N)$$

and

$$\text{Coalg-}D(B, D \square_C D') \approx \text{Coalg-}C(B, D').$$

**1.4.** If  $M_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in I$  and  $N$  are  $C$ -comodules, then  $(\prod_{\alpha \in I}^{\sim} M_\alpha) \square_C N$  is a vector space contained in  $(\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha) \otimes N$ ,  $\prod_{\alpha \in I}^{\sim} (M_\alpha \square_C N)$  is a vector subspace of  $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (M_\alpha \otimes N)$  and, therefore, there exists a natural embedding

$$\left( \prod_{\alpha \in I}^{\sim} M_\alpha \right) \square_C N \hookrightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in I}^{\sim} (M_\alpha \square_C N).$$

**1.5.** For any  $C$ -coalgebra  $D$ , one can define a category  $(D, \text{Coalg-}C)$ . Its objects are morphisms of  $C$ -coalgebras  $\eta : D \rightarrow B$  and its morphisms are  $C$ -coalgebra maps  $f : B \rightarrow B'$  such that  $f\eta = \eta'$ . If  $M$  is a  $D$ -comodule, then a  $C$ -comodule  $D \oplus M$  has a  $C$ -coalgebra structure whenever  $\bar{\Delta} \oplus (\bar{\varrho} + t\bar{\varrho})$  is a comultiplication and  $\bar{\varepsilon} \oplus 0$  is a counit. Such a  $C$ -coalgebra will be denoted by  $D * M$ . The natural inclusion  $D \hookrightarrow D * M$  is an object of  $(D, \text{Coalg-}C)$  (see [6]).

## 2. Coderivations.

**2.1. Definition.** Let  $D$  be a  $C$ -coalgebra and let  $M$  be a  $D$ -comodule. A morphism  $f: M \rightarrow D$  of  $C$ -comodules is called a  $C$ -coderivation if

$$\bar{\Delta}f = (f \square I)t\bar{q} + (I \square f)\bar{q}.$$

A vector space of all  $C$ -coderivations from  $M$  to  $D$  will be denoted by  $\text{Coder}_C(M, D)$ .

**2.2. LEMMA.** For any  $C$ -coderivation  $f: M \rightarrow D$ , we have  $\bar{\varepsilon}f = 0$ .

In fact, since  $C$  is a  $C$ -coalgebra with the comultiplication  $I = \bar{\Delta}_C: C \rightarrow C = C \square_C C$  and the counit  $I: C \rightarrow C$ , we have

$$\bar{\varepsilon}f = \bar{\Delta}_C \bar{\varepsilon}f = (\bar{\varepsilon} \square \bar{\varepsilon})\bar{\Delta}_D f = (\bar{\varepsilon} \square \bar{\varepsilon})(f \square I)t\bar{q} + (\bar{\varepsilon} \square \bar{\varepsilon})(I \square f)\bar{q} = \bar{\varepsilon}f + \bar{\varepsilon}f,$$

whence  $\bar{\varepsilon}f = 0$ .

**2.3. LEMMA.** Let  $g: M \rightarrow N$  be a  $D$ -comodule morphism.

a. If  $f: N \rightarrow D$  is a  $C$ -coderivation, then so is  $fg$ .

b. If  $g$  is an epimorphism and  $fg$  a  $C$ -coderivation, then  $f$  is a  $C$ -coderivation.

It follows from 2.3.a that  $\text{Coder}_C(\cdot, D)$  is a functor from  $D\text{-Comod}$  to  $\text{Mod-}k$ .

**2.4. LEMMA.** For any  $C$ -coalgebra  $D$ , the map

$$d = I \square \bar{\varepsilon} - \bar{\varepsilon} \square I: D \square_C D \rightarrow D$$

is a  $C$ -coderivation.

**Proof.** Since  $D \square_C D$  is a  $D$ -comodule with the comultiplication  $\bar{\Delta} \square I$ , we have

$$(d \square I)(I \square \bar{\Delta}) + (I \square d)(\bar{\Delta} \square I) = I \square I - \bar{\varepsilon} \square \bar{\Delta} + \bar{\Delta} \square \bar{\varepsilon} - I \square I = \bar{\Delta}d.$$

Let  $L(C, D)$  be a cokernel of the  $C$ -comodule map  $\bar{\Delta}: D \rightarrow D \square_C D$ . Sometimes we will write  $L$  instead of  $L(C, D)$ . Let  $s$  be a  $D$ -comodule morphism such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & D & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Delta}} & D \square_C D & \xrightarrow{p} & L \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \bar{\Delta} & & \downarrow (I \square t \square I)(\bar{\Delta} \square \bar{\Delta}) & & \downarrow s \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & D \square_C D & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Delta} \square \bar{\Delta}} & D \square_C D \square_C D \square_C D & \xrightarrow{p \square p} & L \square_C L \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commutes.  $\text{Ker } s$  will be denoted by  $J(C, D)$  (or by  $J$ ), and the natural inclusion  $J \hookrightarrow L$  by  $h$ .

Since  $d\bar{\Delta} = 0$ , by 2.3, there exists a unique  $C$ -coderivation  $i: L \rightarrow D$  such that  $ip = d$ .

**2.5. THEOREM.** *The  $C$ -coderivation  $j = ih : J(C, D) \rightarrow D$  induces a natural equivalence*

$$j_* : \text{Hom}_D(\cdot, J(C, D)) \xrightarrow{\approx} \text{Coder}_C(\cdot, D)$$

of functors from  $D$ -Comod to  $k$ -Mod.

**Proof.** For any  $C$ -coderivation  $f : M \rightarrow D$ , the morphism  $p(I \square f) \bar{q}$  is a  $D$ -comodule map, since there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & D & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Delta}} & D \square_C D & \xrightarrow{p} & L & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \bar{\Delta} & & \downarrow \bar{\Delta} \square I & & \downarrow \bar{e}_L & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & D \square_C D & \xrightarrow{I \square \bar{\Delta}} & D \square_C D \square_C D & \xrightarrow{I \square p} & D \square_C L & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

and

$$\bar{e}_L(I \square f) \bar{q} = (I \square p)(\bar{\Delta} \square I)(I \square f) \bar{q} = (I \square p(I \square f) \bar{q}) \bar{q}.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} sp(I \square f) \bar{q} &= (p \square p)(I \square t \square I)(\bar{\Delta} \square \bar{\Delta})(I \square f) \bar{q} \\ &= (p \square p)(I \square t \square I) \left( \bar{\Delta} \square ((I \square f) \bar{q} + (f \square I) t \bar{q}) \right) \bar{q} \\ &= (p \bar{\Delta} \square p(I \square f) \bar{q}) \bar{q} + (p(I \square f) \bar{q} \square p \bar{\Delta}) t \bar{q} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$ip(I \square f) \bar{q} = d(I \square f) \bar{q} f.$$

Hence,  $j_*$  is an epimorphism. It is easy to check that  $p(I \square i) e_L = I$ . Since

$$hg = p(I \square i) e_L hg = p(I \square i)(I \square hg) \bar{q} = p(I \square jg) \bar{q}$$

whenever  $g : M \rightarrow J$  is a  $D$ -comodule morphism,  $j_*$  is a monomorphism, and the proof is complete.

The cotensor product  $J(C, D) \square_D M$  will be denoted by  $\text{Codiff}_C(M, D)$  whenever  $M$  is a  $D$ -comodule.

**2.6. LEMMA.** *If  $D$  is a  $C$ -coalgebra,  $M$  a  $D$ -comodule and*

$$(D \xrightarrow{\eta} B) \in \text{Ob}(D, \text{Coalg-}C),$$

*then  $M$  is a  $B$ -comodule and there exists a functorial isomorphism*

$$\text{Coder}_C(M, B) \approx (D, \text{Coalg-}C)(D * M, B).$$

For  $C = k$  this is a well-known fact (see [6]), and the proof for an arbitrary coalgebra  $C$  is analogous.

The following proposition follows from 2.6, 2.5 and 1.3.

**2.7. PROPOSITION.** *If  $B$  and  $D$  are  $C$ -coalgebras, and  $M$  is a  $B \square_C D$ -comodule, then there exist the following functorial isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Coder}_B(M, B \square_C D) &\approx \text{Coder}_C(M, D), \\ \text{Coder}_C(M, B) \oplus \text{Coder}_C(M, D) &\approx \text{Coder}_C(M, B \square_C D), \\ J(C, B) \square_C D \oplus J(C, D) \square_C B &\approx J(C, B \square_C D), \\ J(B, B \square_C D) &\approx B \square_C J(C, D). \end{aligned}$$

**2.8. PROPOSITION.** *Any sequence of  $k$ -coalgebra morphisms*

$$D \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$$

*induces the following exact sequences:*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \text{Coder}_B(M, D) \hookrightarrow \text{Coder}_C(M, D) \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Coder}_C(M, B), \\ 0 &\longrightarrow \text{Codiff}_B(M, D) \longrightarrow \text{Codiff}_C(M, D) \longrightarrow \text{Codiff}_C(M, B). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For any  $B$ -coderivation  $b: M \rightarrow D$ , we have  $f^*b = fb = 0$  by 2.2. Assume a  $C$ -coderivation  $c: M \rightarrow D$  such that  $f^*c = 0$ . Then

$$(f \square I) \Delta c = (f \square I)((c \square I)t\bar{q} + (I \square c)\bar{q}) = (I \square c)(f \square I)q$$

and, therefore,  $c$  is a  $B$ -coderivation. Consequently, the first sequence is exact. The exactness of the second one follows from 2.5.

**2.9.** It is easy to check that, for any  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and any  $A^\circ$ -comodule  $M$ , there exists a functorial isomorphism of vector spaces,

$$\text{Coder}_k(M, A^\circ) \approx \text{Der}_k(A, M^*),$$

where an  $A$ -module structure of  $M^*$  is induced in a natural way from the  $A^\circ$ -comodule structure of  $M$ .

### 3. Free and symmetric coalgebras.

**3.1. Definition.** Let  $C$  be a  $k$ -coalgebra and  $X$  an arbitrary set. A  $C$ -coalgebra  $C\{X\} = k[X]^\circ \otimes C$  is called the *free  $C$ -coalgebra over  $X$* . Any morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  induces (in a natural way) a morphism  $C\{f\}: C\{Y\} \rightarrow C\{X\}$  of  $C$ -coalgebras such that  $C\{\cdot\}: \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Coalg-}C$  is a contravariant functor.

The following properties of the functor  $C\{\cdot\}$  can be easily verified:

**3.2.**  $C\{\cdot\}$  is a right adjoint to the functor

$$\bar{U}: \text{Coalg-}C \xrightarrow{*} \text{Alg-}C^* \xrightarrow{U} \text{Set},$$

where  $U$  is a forgetting functor. The isomorphism

$$\text{Coalg-}C(D, C\{X\}) \approx \text{Set}(X, D^*)$$

is defined in the following manner. A  $C$ -coalgebra map  $f: D \rightarrow C\{X\} = k[X]^\circ \otimes C$  corresponds to a set morphism  $\bar{f}: X \rightarrow D^*$  such that  $\bar{f}(x)\bar{d}$

$= ((I \otimes \varepsilon_C)f(d))x$  for any  $x \in X$  and  $d \in D$ . Consequently, any  $C$ -coalgebra morphism  $f$  is determined by a collection of functionals  $\bar{f}(x)$ , where  $x \in X$ .

**3.3.** If  $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$  is an inclusion, then  $p = C\{i\} : C\{Y\} \rightarrow C\{X\}$  is surjective.

**3.4.** If  $sX$  denotes the collection of all finite subsets of  $X$ , and  $\prod$  and  $\varprojlim$  are, respectively, the product and inverse limit in the category  $\text{Coalg-}C$ , then

$$C\{X\} = \prod_{x \in X} C\{x\} = \varprojlim_{Y \in sX} C\{Y\}.$$

**3.5. PROPOSITION.** *For any finite set  $Y$  and any  $C$ -coalgebra morphism  $f : C\{X\} \rightarrow C\{Y\}$ , there exists a finite subset  $X_0$  of  $X$  and a  $C$ -coalgebra morphism  $g : C\{X_0\} \rightarrow C\{Y\}$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C\{X\} & \xrightarrow{p} & C\{X_0\} \\ & \searrow f & \swarrow g \\ & & C\{Y\} \end{array}$$

*commutes.*

*Proof.* Since  $Y$  is a finite set, by 3.2 the map  $f$  is determined by a finite set of linear maps  $\bar{f}(y) : C\{X\} \rightarrow k$  for  $y \in Y$ . It is sufficient to show that any such  $\bar{f}(y)$  can be factorized by a certain  $C\{X_0\}$ , where  $X_0$  is finite. Let  $g : k[X]^\circ \otimes C \rightarrow k$  be a non-zero linear map. Then there are  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in k[X]^\circ$  and linearly independent  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in C$  such that  $k[X]^\circ = \ker g \oplus \sum k(h_i \otimes c_i)$ . We can find in  $k[X]$  an ideal  $I_0$  contained in  $\bigcap \ker h_i$  and a finite subset  $X_0 \subset X$  such that  $k[X] = I_0 \oplus k[X_0]$ . Let  $\pi : k[X] \rightarrow k[X]$  be a  $k$ -algebra morphism defined by  $\pi|_{I_0} = 0$  and  $\pi|_{k[X_0]} = I$ . Then the induced  $C$ -coalgebra morphism

$$r : k[X_0]^\circ \otimes C \rightarrow k[X]^\circ \otimes C$$

satisfies conditions  $pr = I$  and  $grp = g$ . Therefore,  $gr$  is a required map from  $k[X_0]^\circ \otimes C$  to  $k$ , and the proof is complete.

Let  $M$  be a  $C\{X\}$ -comodule. Then 2.7 and 2.8 yield

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Coder}_C(M, C\{X\}) &= \text{Coder}_k(M, k[X]^\circ) = \text{Der}_k(k[X], M^*) = \prod_{x \in X} M^* \\ &= \prod_{x \in X} \text{Hom}_C(M, C\{X\}) = \text{Hom}_{C\{X\}}\left(M, \prod_{x \in X} C\{X\}\right) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{C\{X\}}\left(M, \left(\prod_{x \in X} k\right) \otimes C\{X\}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\prod$  denotes the product in the category  $C\{X\}$ -Comod.

**3.6. COROLLARY.**

$$\text{Coder}_C(M, C\{X\}) = \prod_{x \in X} M^* \quad \text{and} \quad J(C, C\{X\}) = \left( \prod_{x \in X} k \right) \otimes C\{X\}.$$

Let  $M$  be a  $C$ -comodule

$$M_n = \underbrace{M \square_C \dots \square_C M}_n, \quad M_0 = C, \quad M_{k,l} = M_k \square_C M_l,$$

$$M_{q,r,s} = M_q \square_C M_r \square_C M_s.$$

Natural product projections of

$$N = \prod_{n \geq 0} M_n, \quad K = \prod_{k,l \geq 0} M_{k,l}, \quad Q = \prod_{q,r,s \geq 0} M_{q,r,s}$$

will be denoted by  $p_n, p_{k,l}, p_{q,r,s}$ , respectively. Consider  $C$ -comodule morphisms  $\psi: N \rightarrow K, \psi_1, \psi_2: K \rightarrow Q$  uniquely determined by the formulas

$$p_{k,l}\psi = p_{k+l}, \quad p_{q,r,s}\psi_1 = p_{q+r,s}, \quad p_{q,r,s}\psi_2 = p_{q,r+s}.$$

Let  $\hat{T}_C M = \psi^{-1}(\text{Im } i)$ , where  $i$  is the natural embedding  $N \square_C N \hookrightarrow K$  (see 1.4). For  $f: M \rightarrow M'$  being a  $C$ -comodule morphism, let

$$\hat{T}_C f: \hat{T}_C M \rightarrow \hat{T}_C M'$$

be the unique map determined by the equalities  $\hat{p}_n \hat{T}_C f = (f \square \dots \square f) p_n$ .

**3.7. LEMMA.**  $\hat{T}_C$  is a covariant functor from  $C\text{-Comod}$  to the category of non-commutative  $C$ -coalgebras.

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{\Delta}: \hat{T}_C M \rightarrow N_C \square_C N$  and  $\hat{\varepsilon}: \hat{T}_C M \rightarrow C$  be  $C$ -comodule maps such that  $i \hat{\Delta} = \psi|_{\hat{T}_C M}$  and  $\hat{\varepsilon} = p_0|_{\hat{T}_C M}$ . It is easy to verify that

$$i_2(I \square \psi) \hat{\Delta} = \psi_2 \psi = \psi_1 \psi = i_1(\psi \square I) \hat{\Delta},$$

where  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  are the natural embeddings  $K \square_C N \hookrightarrow Q$  and  $N \square_C K \hookrightarrow Q$ , respectively. Therefore, it follows that  $(I \square \psi) \hat{\Delta}$  and  $(\psi \square I) \hat{\Delta}$  are factorized by  $N \square_C N \square_C N$ , and so we can consider  $\hat{\Delta}$  as a morphism

$$\hat{T}_C M \rightarrow \hat{T}_C M \square_C \hat{T}_C M.$$

$\hat{\Delta}$  is a comultiplication and  $\hat{\varepsilon}$  is a counit, since

$$p_n(I \square p_0) \hat{\Delta} = (p_n \square p_0) \hat{\Delta} = p_{n,0} \psi = p_n = p_n(p_0 \square I) \Delta$$

and

$$\bar{i}(I \square \hat{\Delta}) \hat{\Delta} = \psi_2 \psi = \psi_1 \psi = \bar{i}(\hat{\Delta} \square I) \hat{\Delta},$$

where  $\bar{i}$  is the natural embedding  $N \square_C N \square_C N \hookrightarrow Q$ . Now it is sufficient to show that  $\text{Im } \hat{T}_C f \subset \hat{T}_C M'$  and that  $T_C f$  is a  $C$ -coalgebra morphism.

This follows from the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} p'_{k,l}\psi'\hat{T}_C f &= (f \square \dots \square f)p_{k+l} = (f \square \dots \square f)p_{k,l}\psi|_{\hat{T}_C M} = (f \square \dots \square f)p_{k,l}i\hat{\Delta} \\ &= (f \square \dots \square f)(p_k \square p_l)\hat{\Delta} = ((f \square \dots \square f)p_k \square (f \square \dots \square f)p_l)\hat{\Delta} \\ &= (p'_k \hat{T}_C f \square p'_l \hat{T}_C f)\hat{\Delta} = (p'_k \square p'_l)\hat{T}_C f\hat{\Delta} = p'_{k,l}i'(\hat{T}_C f \square \hat{T}_C f). \end{aligned}$$

**3.8. Definition.** The maximal commutative subcoalgebra  $\hat{S}_C M$  of  $\hat{T}_C M$  is called the *symmetric C-coalgebra* over  $M$ . The existence and the uniqueness follow from [9], Section 3, p. 63.

It is clear that we have a covariant functor

$$\hat{S}_C : C\text{-Comod} \rightarrow \text{Coalg-}C.$$

**3.9. PROPOSITION.**  $\hat{S}_C$  is right adjoint to the forgetting functor  $U : \text{Coalg-}C \rightarrow C\text{-Comod}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a  $C$ -coalgebra and  $M$  a  $D$ -comodule. We define a map

$$\Phi : \text{Hom}_C(UD, M) \rightarrow \text{Coalg-}C(D, \hat{S}_C M)$$

as follows. If  $f : D \rightarrow M$  is a  $C$ -comodule map, then there exists a unique  $C$ -comodule morphism

$$\bar{f} : D \rightarrow N = \prod_{n \geq 0} M_n$$

such that

$$p_0 \bar{f} = \bar{\varepsilon}_D, \quad p_1 \bar{f} = f, \quad p_n \bar{f} = (f \square \dots \square f)(\bar{\Delta} \square I \square \dots \square I) \dots \bar{\Delta}.$$

Observe that  $\text{Im } \psi \bar{f} \subset N \square_C N$ , since

$$p_{k,l}\psi \bar{f} = p_{k+l} \bar{f} = (p_k \bar{f} \square p_l \bar{f}) \bar{\Delta} = (p_k \square p_l)(\bar{f} \square \bar{f}) \bar{\Delta} = p_{k,l}i(\bar{f} \square \bar{f}) \bar{\Delta}.$$

Consequently,  $\text{Im } \bar{f} \subset \hat{T}_C M$ . Furthermore, since  $D$  is commutative, we have  $\text{Im } \bar{f} \subset \hat{S}_C M$  and so, using the above equalities, one can check that  $\bar{f} : D \rightarrow \hat{S}_C M$  is a morphism of  $C$ -coalgebras. We put  $\Phi f = \bar{f}$ . Clearly,  $\Phi$  is a monomorphism. It is easy to see that if  $g : D \rightarrow \hat{S}_C M$  is a  $C$ -coalgebra map, then

$$p_n g = (p_1 g_n \square \dots \square p_1 g)(\bar{\Delta} \square I \square \dots \square I) \dots \bar{\Delta}.$$

Thus  $\Phi$  is an epimorphism, and the proof is complete.

**3.10. COROLLARY.**  $\hat{S}_C(V^* \otimes C) = C\{X\}$  whenever  $V$  is a vector space with basis  $X$ .

The proof follows from the natural equivalence of functors:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Coalg-}C(\cdot, \hat{S}_C(V^* \otimes C)) &\approx \text{Hom}_C(\cdot, V^* \otimes C) \approx \text{Hom}_k(\cdot, V) \approx \text{Set}(X, \cdot) \\ &\approx \text{Coalg-}C(\cdot, C\{X\}). \end{aligned}$$

For  $C = k$ ,  $\hat{S}_k M$  is the cofree cocommutative coalgebra in the sense of Sweedler (see [9], Section 6, p. 129).

It follows from [4] that there exists an anti-equivalence of categories of  $C$ -coalgebras and profinite  $C^*$ -algebras given by the pair of functors

$$*: \text{Coalg-}C \rightarrow \text{Prof-}C^* \quad \text{and} \quad \text{hom}(\cdot, k): \text{Prof-}C^* \rightarrow \text{Coalg-}C,$$

where  $\text{hom}(A, k)$  denotes the vector space of all continuous morphisms from  $A$  to  $k$  ( $k$  has the discrete topology). Then the above construction of  $S_C$  is dual to the construction of the functor  $\hat{S}_C$  from the category of pseudocompact modules over  $C^*$  to the category of profinite  $C^*$ -algebras (see [4], p. 85-86).

**4. Triples and André-Appelgate cohomology.** This section contains some of the results concerning triples and André-Appelgate cohomology which can be obtained by dualization of results from [2] and which are needed in our further considerations.

Throughout this section  $\mathfrak{C}$  will be a fixed category.

A collection  $X = \{X^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  of objects of  $\mathfrak{C}$  together with morphisms  $\varepsilon_i^n: X^{n-1} \rightarrow X^n$  and  $\delta_i^n: X^{n+1} \rightarrow X^n$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n$ ) is a *cosimplicial object* in  $\mathfrak{C}$  if, for any  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_i &= \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_j \varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i \delta_{j-1} \quad \text{for } i < j, \\ \delta_j \delta_i &= \delta_i \delta_{j+1} \quad \text{for } i \leq j, \\ \delta_i \varepsilon_i &= I = \delta_i \varepsilon_{i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_j \varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_{i-1} \delta_j \quad \text{for } i > j+1. \end{aligned}$$

An *augmented cosimplicial object* in  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a cosimplicial object  $X$  with a morphism  $\varepsilon_0: X^{-1} \rightarrow X^0$  such that  $\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_0$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{I} = (T, \eta, \mu)$ , where  $T: \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$  is a covariant functor, and  $\eta: \text{Id} \rightarrow T$  and  $\mu: T \circ T \rightarrow T$  are natural transformations of functors.  $\mathfrak{I}$  is a *triple* in  $\mathfrak{C}$  if  $\mu(\eta T) = \text{Id} = \mu(T\eta)$  and  $\mu(T\mu) = \mu(\mu T)$ . If  $\mathfrak{I}$  is a triple and  $C$  is an object of  $\mathfrak{C}$ , then  $\mathfrak{I}C = \{T^{n+1}C\}$  together with  $\varepsilon_i = T^{n-i} \eta T^i$  and  $\delta_i = T^{n-i} \mu T^i$  is an augmented cosimplicial object.

An object  $C$  of  $\mathfrak{C}$  is called  *$\mathfrak{I}$ -injective* if  $\eta(C): C \rightarrow TC$  splits.

A  *$\mathfrak{I}$ -resolution* of  $C$  is a cochain complex  $\{X^n, d^n\}$  in  $Z\mathfrak{C}$  (the additive category over  $\mathfrak{C}$ ) such that all  $X^n$  are  $\mathfrak{I}$ -injective and the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z\mathfrak{C}(C, TD) \rightarrow Z\mathfrak{C}(X^0, TD) \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact in the category of abelian groups for any  $D \in \text{Ob } \mathfrak{C}$ .

A *cosimplicial  $\mathfrak{I}$ -resolution* of  $C$  is an augmented cosimplicial object  $X$  such that the associated cochain complex in  $Z\mathfrak{C}$ ,

$$KX = \left\{ X^n, \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i \varepsilon_i^{n+1} \right\},$$

is a  $\mathfrak{I}$ -resolution of  $C$ .

$\mathfrak{L}C$  is a cosimplicial  $\mathfrak{L}$ -resolution of  $C$  called the *standard  $\mathfrak{L}$ -resolution*.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  denote an arbitrary abelian category. For any functor  $E: \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $H^n(C, E)_{\mathfrak{X}}$  is defined as the  $n$ -th cohomology object of the cochain complex

$$0 \longrightarrow EX^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} EX^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} EX^2 \xrightarrow{d^2} \dots \quad \text{with } d^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i E\varepsilon_i^{n+1},$$

where  $X$  is a certain cosimplicial  $\mathfrak{L}$ -resolution of  $C$ . The object  $H^n(C, E)_{\mathfrak{X}}$  is independent of the choice of  $X$ .

**4.1.** We have

$$H^n(C, E)_{\mathfrak{X}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } n > 0, \\ EC & \text{for } n = 0, \end{cases}$$

whenever  $C$  is  $\mathfrak{L}$ -injective.

**4.2.** If  $C$  and  $D$  have the cosimplicial  $\mathfrak{L}$ -resolutions  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, such that  $X \amalg Y$  is a cosimplicial  $\mathfrak{L}$ -resolution of  $C \amalg D$ , then

$$H^n(C, E)_{\mathfrak{X}} \oplus H^n(D, E)_{\mathfrak{X}} = H^n(C \amalg D, E)_{\mathfrak{X}}$$

for any product-preserving functor  $E$ .

**4.3.** Let  $D \in \text{Ob } \mathfrak{C}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{C}, D)$  is a category of morphisms  $C \rightarrow D$  in  $\mathfrak{C}$ , and morphisms in this category are commutative triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & B \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & D & \end{array}$$

If  $E: \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  is a functor, then  $(E, D): (\mathfrak{C}, D) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  is defined by

$$(E, D)(C \rightarrow D) = \ker(EC \rightarrow ED).$$

Any triple  $\mathfrak{L}$  in  $\mathfrak{C}$  induces (in a natural way) a triple  $(\mathfrak{L}, D)$  in  $(\mathfrak{C}, D)$  such that

$$(\mathfrak{L}, D)(C \rightarrow D) = TC \amalg D \rightarrow D.$$

**4.4.** If

$$H^n(C \amalg TB, E)_{\mathfrak{X}} = \begin{cases} H^n(C, E)_{\mathfrak{X}} & \text{for } n > 0, \\ H^0(C, E)_{\mathfrak{X}} \oplus ETB & \text{for } n = 0 \end{cases}$$

for any  $C, B \in \text{Ob } \mathfrak{C}$ , then an arbitrary sequence of morphisms  $C \rightarrow D \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathfrak{C}$  induces an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(C \rightarrow D, (E, D))_{(\mathfrak{X}, D)} &\rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H^{n-1}(C \rightarrow B, (E, B))_{(\mathfrak{X}, B)} \\ &\rightarrow H^{n-1}(D \rightarrow B, (E, B))_{(\mathfrak{X}, B)} \rightarrow H^n(C \rightarrow D, (E, D))_{(\mathfrak{X}, D)} \rightarrow \dots, \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $E$  preserves the product.

**4.5.** Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be an arbitrary category. Any pair of adjoint functors  $U: \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$  and  $F: \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$  induces a certain triple  $\mathfrak{T}$  with  $T = FU$  and appropriate  $\eta$  and  $\mu$  (see [2]). If  $\mathfrak{B}$  is an abelian category and  $X$  is an augmented cosimplicial object in  $\mathfrak{C}$  such that  $X^n$  are  $\mathfrak{T}$ -injective for  $n \geq 0$ , and if there exists a contraction of the cochain complex

$$\left\{ UX^n, \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i U\varepsilon_i^{n+1} \right\} \quad \text{in } \mathfrak{B},$$

then  $X$  is a cosimplicial  $\mathfrak{T}$ -resolution.

**4.6.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an abelian category with arbitrary products. Let us distinguish a small and full subcategory  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Objects of  $\mathfrak{M}$  will be called *models*. For any functor  $E: \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ , the *cochain complex*  $\{C^n(\mathfrak{M}, E), d^n\}$  is defined as follows:

$$C^n(\mathfrak{M}, E) = \prod_{M_0 \xrightarrow{a_0} \dots \xrightarrow{a_{n-1}} M_n} EM_n, \quad \text{where } M_i \in \mathfrak{M},$$

$$d^{n-1} = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \varepsilon_i^n,$$

and  $\varepsilon_i^n: C^{n-1} \rightarrow C^n$  is determined by the formula

$$\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle \varepsilon_i^n = \begin{cases} \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle & \text{for } i = 0, \\ \langle a_0, \dots, a_i a_{i-1}, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle & \text{for } 0 < i < n, \\ E(a_{n-1}) \langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-2} \rangle & \text{for } i = n, \end{cases}$$

where  $\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle: C^n(\mathfrak{M}, E) \rightarrow EM_n$  is a product structural map. Furthermore, the  $n$ -th cohomology object of that cochain complex will be denoted by  $H^n(\mathfrak{M}, E)$ .

**4.7.** Let  $C \in \text{Ob } \mathfrak{C}$ . Then  $E_0: (C, \mathfrak{M}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  is a functor defined by  $E_0(C \rightarrow M) = EM$ . The *André-Appelgate cohomology* of  $C$  with coefficients in  $E$  and models  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a collection of objects

$$A^n(C, E) = H^n((C, \mathfrak{M}), E_0),$$

**4.8.** We have

$$A^n(M, E) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } n > 0, \\ EM & \text{for } n = 0, \end{cases}$$

whenever  $M$  is a model.

**5. Cohomology of coalgebras.** A cosimplicial object in  $\text{Coalg-}C$  will be called a *cosimplicial  $C$ -coalgebra*. The chain

$$UX = (0 \longrightarrow X^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} X^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} \dots) \quad \text{with } d^n = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \varepsilon_i^{n+1}$$

is called the *associated chain complex* of  $X$  in  $C\text{-Comod}$ .

A cosimplicial free  $C$ -resolution of  $C$ -coalgebra  $D$  is an augmented cosimplicial  $C$ -coalgebra  $X$  such that  $X^{-1} = D$ ,  $UX$  is acyclic, and  $X^n$  are free for  $n \geq 0$ .

Let us consider a triple  $\mathfrak{F}_C$  in  $\text{Coalg-}C$  defined by the pair of adjoint functors

$$\text{Coalg-}C \xrightleftharpoons[\bar{U}]{C\{\cdot\}} \text{Set},$$

where  $\bar{U}$  is the functor defined in 3.2. It is clear that any free  $C$ -coalgebra is  $\mathfrak{F}_C$ -injective.

The following lemma is a consequence of well-known facts concerning a simplicial group homology and of results from [1], Section 5:

**5.1. LEMMA.**  $X$  is a cosimplicial free  $C$ -resolution of  $D$  iff  $X$  is a cosimplicial  $\mathfrak{F}_C$ -resolution of  $D$ .

**5.2. LEMMA.** Assume that  $\text{Cotor}_n^C(B, D) = 0$  for  $n > 0$ . If  $\{X^n\}$  and  $\{Y^n\}$  are cosimplicial free  $C$ -resolutions of  $B$  and  $D$ , respectively, then  $\{X^n \square_C Y^n\}$  is a cosimplicial  $C$ -resolution of  $B \square_C D$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Section 2 in [3] that there exists a chain homotopy  $U(X \square_C Y) \simeq UX \square_C UY$ . Any free  $C$ -coalgebra is injective as a  $C$ -comodule and, therefore,  $UX$  and  $UY$  are injective resolutions of  $B$  and  $D$ , respectively, in  $C\text{-Comod}$ . Thus  $U(X \square_C Y)$  is acyclic iff  $\text{Cotor}_n^C(B, D) = 0$  for  $n > 0$ . If  $X^n$  and  $Y^n$  are free  $C$ -coalgebras, then also  $X^n \square_C Y^n$  is free.

Now let  $D$  be a  $C$ -coalgebra and  $M$  a  $D$ -comodule. We define cohomologies  $H^n(C, D, M)$  and  $\bar{H}^n(C, D, M)$  of  $D$  with coefficients in  $M$  as  $n$ -th cohomology objects of the cochain complex  $\text{Coder}_C(M, X)$  and  $\text{Codiff}_C(M, X)$ , respectively, where  $X$  is an arbitrary cosimplicial free  $C$ -resolution of  $D$  (taken without  $X^{-1} = D$ ).

**5.3.** It is easy to see that

$$H^n(C, D, M) = H^n(D \xrightarrow{I} D, E_C)_{(D, \mathfrak{F}_C)},$$

$$\bar{H}^n(C, D, M) = H^n(D \xrightarrow{I} D, \bar{E}_C)_{(D, \mathfrak{F}_C)},$$

where  $E_C$  and  $\bar{E}_C$  are functors from  $(D, \text{Coalg-}C)$  to  $k\text{-Mod}$  defined by

$$E_C(D \rightarrow B) = \text{Coder}_C(M, B), \quad \bar{E}_C(D \rightarrow B) = \text{Codiff}_C(M, B)$$

and

$$(D, F_C)_{(D \rightarrow B)} = (D \rightarrow F_C D \rightarrow F_C B).$$

Hence  $\bar{H}^n(C, D, M)$  and  $H^n(C, D, M)$  are independent of the choice of the resolution  $X$ .

**5.4. COROLLARY.** a.  $H^0(C, D, M) = \text{Coder}_C(M, D)$ .

b.  $H^n(C, B, M) \oplus H^n(C, D, M) = H^n(C, B \square_C D, M)$ , whenever

$$\text{Cotor}_n^C(B, D) = 0 \quad \text{for } n > 0.$$

*Proof.* Statement a is consequence of the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Coder}_C(M, D) \rightarrow \text{Coder}_C(M, F_C D) \rightarrow \text{Coder}_C(M, F_C^2 D)$$

which can be easily verified. Statement b follows immediately from 5.2, 5.3 and 4.2.

**5.5. THEOREM.** *An arbitrary sequence  $D \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  in  $\text{Coalg-}k$  induces the exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \text{Coder}_B(M, D) \rightarrow \text{Coder}_C(M, D) \rightarrow \dots \\ &\rightarrow H^{n-1}(C, B, M) \rightarrow H^n(B, D, M) \rightarrow H^n(C, D, M) \rightarrow H^n(C, B, M) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

for any  $D$ -comodule  $M$ .

*Proof.* Observe that

$$H^n(D \rightarrow C, (E_k, C))_{(\mathfrak{F}_k, C)} = H^n(C, D, M).$$

Indeed,

$$(F_k, C)(D \rightarrow C) = (F_k D \otimes C \rightarrow C) = (F_C D \rightarrow C),$$

$$(E_k, C)(D \rightarrow C) = \ker(\text{Coder}_k(M, D) \rightarrow \text{Coder}_k(M, C)) = \text{Coder}_C(M, D).$$

Since  $\text{Cotor}_n^k(B, C) = 0$  for  $n > 0$ , we have, by 5.2 and 4.2,

$$H^n(B, E_k)_{\mathfrak{F}_k} \oplus H^n(C, E_k)_{\mathfrak{F}_k} = H^n(B \otimes C, E_k)_{\mathfrak{F}_k}.$$

Thus the theorem follows from 4.4 and 5.4.

Of course, the functor  $\bar{H}^n$  has analogous properties as  $H^n$ .

In  $\text{Coalg-}C$  we can consider other triple  $\mathfrak{S}_C$  induced by the pair of adjoint functors

$$\text{Coalg-}C \xrightleftharpoons[U]{\hat{S}_C} C\text{-Comod}.$$

Using this triple, one can define cohomologies  $G^n(C, D, M)$  and  $\bar{G}^n(C, D, M)$  similarly as  $H^n$  and  $\bar{H}^n$  in 5.3.

**5.6. LEMMA.**  *$\{X^n \square_C Y^n\}$  is a cosimplicial  $\mathfrak{S}_C$ -resolution of  $B \square_C D$  whenever  $\{X^n\}$  and  $\{Y^n\}$  are standard  $\mathfrak{S}_C$ -resolutions.*

*Proof.* For any  $C$ -coalgebra  $D$ , the standard  $\mathfrak{S}_C$ -resolution is in  $C\text{-Comod}\{\hat{S}_C^{n+1}(s)\}$ , where  $s: \hat{S}_C D \rightarrow D$  is a  $C$ -comodule map such that  $s\varepsilon_0 = I$ . Hence  $U\{X^n \square_C Y^n\}$  has a contraction and the lemma follows by 4.5.

For  $C = k$ , the standard  $\mathfrak{F}_k$ -resolution is also an  $\mathfrak{S}_k$ -resolution, since the complex  $U\mathfrak{F}_k D$  has a contraction in  $k\text{-Mod}$  (see 3.10 and 4.5). Hence, in such a case,  $\bar{H}^n$  and  $\bar{G}^n$  coincide and so do  $H^n$  and  $G^n$ .

It follows by 5.6 that

$$G^n(C, B, M) \oplus G^n(C, D, M) = G^n(C, B \square_C D, M),$$

whenever  $B, D \in \text{Coalg-}C$ . Hence 5.5 is true also for  $G^n$ .

Let us consider a category  $(D, \text{Coalg-}C)$  and its full subcategory

$$\mathfrak{M} = \{D \rightarrow k[X_1 \dots X_n]^\circ \otimes C\}_{n \geq 1}.$$

The André-Appelgate cohomology of  $I: D \rightarrow D$  with coefficients in  $E_C$  or  $\bar{E}_C$  and models  $\mathfrak{M}$  will be denoted by  $A(C, D, M)$  or  $\bar{A}(C, D, M)$ , respectively. It is obvious that  $A^n(C, D, M)$  is the  $n$ -th cohomology object of the cochain complex  $\{C^n(D), d^n\}$ , where

$$C^n(D) = \prod_{D \xrightarrow{a_0} C\{X_{i_0}\} \xrightarrow{a_1} \dots \xrightarrow{a_{n-1}} C\{X_{i_n}\}} \text{Coder}(M, C\{X_{i_n}\})$$

( $C\{X_i\}$  are models), and  $d^n$  is defined similarly as in 4.6.

From Propositions 4.1 and 8.1 in [1] we infer that  $A^n(C, D, M) = H^n(C, D, M)$  for any  $C$ -coalgebra  $D$  if the following lemma holds:

**5.7. LEMMA.** *For any set  $X$ ,*

$$A^n(C, C\{X\}, M) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } n > 0, \\ \text{Coder}_C(M, C\{X\}) & \text{for } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

To prove this lemma we need the following notion:

**5.8. Definition** (see [5]). An inverse system of  $k$ -modules  $C = \{C_i\}_{i \in I}$  is *weakly flabby* if the natural homomorphism

$$\lim_{\leftarrow I} C \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow J} C$$

is surjective whenever  $J$  is a directed subset of  $I$ .

**Proof of Lemma 5.7.** By 4.8, the lemma follows for  $X$  finite. Now observe that any inclusion  $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$  induces an epimorphism  $T^n: C^n(C\{X\}) \rightarrow C^n(C\{Y\})$  such that

$$\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle T^n = \langle a_0 p, \dots, a_n \rangle, \quad \text{where } p = C\{i\}.$$

Let  $B^n$  be a cochain complex and let  $\{R^n Y\}_{Y \in \text{ob } X}$  be a collection of maps  $R^n Y: B^n \rightarrow C^n(C\{Y\})$  satisfying

$$C^n(C\{i_1\})R^n Y = R^n Y_1$$

whenever  $i_1: Y_1 \hookrightarrow Y$  is an inclusion.

We define  $R^n: B^n \rightarrow C^n(C\{X\})$  by setting

$$\langle a'_0, \dots, a'_n \rangle R^n = \langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle R^n Y,$$

where  $Y$  and  $a'_0$  are such that  $a_0 p = a'_0$  (see 3.5). Then it follows that  $T^m R^n = R^n Y$  and it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{\overleftarrow{Y \in sX}} C^n(C\{Y\}) = C^n(C\{X\}).$$

Consequently,  $\{C^n(C\{Y\})\}_{Y \in sX}$  is a weakly flabby system, since, for any directed subset  $s_1 X$  of  $sX$ , we have

$$\lim_{\overleftarrow{Y \in s_1 X}} C^n(C\{Y\}) = C^n(C\{Z\}) \quad \text{with } Z = \bigcup_{Y \in s_1 X} Y$$

and, therefore, the map  $p: C^n(C\{X\}) \rightarrow C^n(C\{Z\})$  is an epimorphism. Furthermore,  $\{\text{Coder}_C(M, C\{Y\})\}_{Y \in sX}$  is also a weakly flabby system. Indeed,

$$\text{Coder}_C(M, C\{X\}) = \prod_{x \in X} M^* = \lim_{\overleftarrow{Y \in sX}} \prod_{y \in Y} M^* = \lim_{\overleftarrow{Y \in sX}} \text{Coder}_C(M, C\{Y\}),$$

$$\text{Coder}_C(M, C\{Z\}) = \lim_{\overleftarrow{Y \in s_1 X}} \text{Coder}_C(M, C\{Y\}),$$

and the natural map

$$\text{Coder}_C(M, C\{X\}) \rightarrow \text{Coder}_C(M, C\{Z\})$$

is surjective. Then we have an exact sequence of weakly flabby systems

$$0 \rightarrow \{\text{Coder}_C(M, C\{Y\})\} \rightarrow \{C^0(C\{Y\})\} \rightarrow \dots$$

By Theorems 1.8 and 1.9 of Jensen [5], the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Coder}_C(M, C\{X\}) \rightarrow C^0(C\{X\}) \rightarrow C^1(C\{X\}) \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact, and the lemma follows.

By similar considerations for  $\bar{A}^n$ , one can obtain

$$\bar{A}^n(C, C\{X\}, M) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } n > 0, \\ \prod_{x \in X} M & \text{for } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since, by 3.6,

$$\bar{H}^0(C, C\{X\}, M) = \left( \prod_{x \in X} k \right) \otimes M,$$

we have  $\bar{A} \neq \bar{H}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. André, *Méthode simpliciale en algèbre homologique et algèbre commutative*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 32 (1967).
- [2] M. Barr and J. Beck, *Homology and standard constructions*, ibidem 80 (1970).
- [3] A. Dold and D. Puppe, *Homologie nicht additiver Funktoren. Anwendungen*, Annales de l'Institut de Fourier 11 (1961), p. 201-312.
- [4] P. Gabriel, *Groupes formels*, Séminaire de géométrie algébrique, 1963-1964.
- [5] C. U. Jensen, *Les foncteurs dérivés de  $\lim$  et leurs applications en théorie des modules  $V$* , Lecture Notes in Mathematics 254 (1972).
- [6] K. Lee, *Cosimplicial cohomology of coalgebras*, Nagoya Mathematical Journal 47 (1972), p. 199-226.
- [7] J. Milnor and J. C. Moore, *On the structure of Hopf algebras*, Annals of Mathematics 81 (1965), p. 211-264.
- [8] D. G. Quillen, *Notes on homology of commutative algebras*, M. I. T., 1967.
- [9] M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf algebras*, New York 1969.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 13. 12. 1973*

---