## A REPRESENTATION OF RELATIVELY COMPLEMENTED DISTRIBUTIVE LATTICES

 $\mathbf{BY}$ 

## DAVID C. FEINSTEIN (CHICAGO, ILLINOIS)

It is known [1] that every distributive lattice can be imbedded in a Boolean lattice. It is shown in this paper that, for every relatively complemented distributive lattice L, there exists a Boolean lattice B such that L is equal to the intersection of a prime ideal and an ultrafilter of B (Theorem 1.4 (b)). We also show that the free relatively complemented extension of any distributive lattice exists. Using this result, we give a characterization of free relatively complemented distributive lattices (Theorem 2.5).

**0. Background.** The following categories will be considered in this paper:

the category D whose objects are distributive lattices and whose morphisms are lattice homomorphisms;

the category  $\Re$  whose objects are relatively complemented distributive lattices and whose morphisms are lattice homomorphisms;

the category B whose objects are Boolean lattices and whose morphisms are lattice homomorphisms.

Let  $L \in \mathfrak{D}$  and let  $a, b \in L$ . Then a+b will denote the join of a and b, ab the meet of a and b,  $a^*$  the set of all proper prime ideals of L which do not contain a, and  $L^* = \{a^* : a \in L\}$ . The lattice L itself will be considered as a prime ideal and an ultrafilter of L.

It is well known (see [1]) that the ring of sets  $L^*$  is lattice-isomorphic to L and that if  $\hat{B}(L)$  is the field of sets generated by  $L^*$ , then  $\langle \hat{B}(L), i(L) \rangle$  is the free Boolean lattice extension of L, where  $i(L): L \to \hat{B}(L)$  is the natural imbedding of L in  $\hat{B}(L)$ . That is, if  $B_1 \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}}[L, B_1]$ , then there exists a unique  $f^*: \hat{B}(L) \to B_1$  such that  $f^* \circ i(L) = f$ . Then  $\hat{B}: \mathfrak{D} \to \mathfrak{B}$  can be extended to a reflector functor.  $L^*$  will be identified with

L, and  $x \in \hat{B}(L)$  if and only if

$$x = a + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \overline{b}_i + \overline{b},$$

where  $a, a_i, b_i, b \in L$  and  $\bar{b}_i$  is the complement of  $b_i$  in  $\hat{B}(L)$ .

1. Characterization of a relatively complemented distributive lattice.

THEOREM 1.1. If  $L \in \mathbb{R}$ , then L is convex in  $\hat{B}(L)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $a \leq b \leq c$ , where  $a, c \in L$  and  $b \in \hat{B}(L)$ . Since  $b \in \hat{B}(L)$ , b can be written in the form

$$b = u + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \bar{v}_i + \bar{v},$$

where  $u, u_i, v_i, v \in L$  and  $u, \bar{v}$  or  $u_i \bar{v}_i$  cannot occur. Now  $a \leq b$  implies

$$b = a + b = a + u + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \overline{v}_i + \overline{v},$$

and  $b \leqslant c$  implies

$$b = a + cu + \sum_{i=1}^{n} cu_{i} \overline{v}_{i} + c\overline{v}.$$

Thus we can assume

$$b = u + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \bar{v}_i,$$

where u always occurs and  $u_i \bar{v}_i$  can or cannot occur. If no  $u_i \bar{v}_i$  occurs, then  $b = u \in L$ . Assume that

$$b = u + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \bar{v}_i$$

and that  $u_i \overline{v}_i$  occurs. Then  $uv_i \leq v_i \leq u_i + v_i$  for every i and there exists  $v_i' \in L$  such that  $v_i'$  is the complement of  $v_i$  in  $[uv_i, u_i + v_i]$ . Hence

$$v_i' = (u_i + v_i)\bar{v}_i + uv_i = u\bar{v}_i + uv_i$$

and

$$b = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \overline{v}_i}_{i} = u + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_i \overline{v}_i + u v_i)}_{i} = u + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i' \epsilon L}_{i}.$$

LEMMA 1.2. Let  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$  and let  $L \in \mathfrak{R}$  be a sublattice of B such that  $0 \in L$  and  $\hat{B}(L) = B$ . Then L is a prime ideal of B.

Proof. If  $1 \in L$ , then  $L \in \mathfrak{B}$  implies  $\hat{B}(L) = L$ , so L = B. Assume  $1 \notin L$ . By Theorem 1.1, L is convex in  $\hat{B}(L)$ , and  $0 \in L$ ,  $1 \notin L$  imply L is a proper ideal of B.

We claim that L is a prime ideal of B. Indeed, given  $a \in B - L$ , we show that  $\bar{a} \in L$ . The assumption  $B = \hat{B}(L)$  yields

$$a = u + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \bar{v}_i + \bar{v},$$

where  $u, u_i, v_i, v \in L$  and u, v or  $u_i \overline{v}_i$  need not occur. If  $u_i \overline{v}_i$  occurs, we get  $0 \leq u_i \overline{v}_i \leq u_i$ ;  $0, u_i \in L$  implies  $u_i v_i \in L$  by convexity of L. Thus  $a = u + \overline{v}$ , where  $u, v \in L$  and  $\overline{a} = \overline{u}v$ . Since  $v \in L$ ,  $\overline{a} = \overline{u}v \in L$  by convexity of L.

A similar argument yields

LEMMA 1.3. Let  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$  and let  $L \in \mathfrak{R}$  be a sublattice of B such that  $1 \in L$  and  $\hat{B}(L) = B$ . Then L is an ultrafilter of B.

THEOREM 1.4. Let  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

- (a) If P and F are a non-principal prime ideal and ultrafilter, respectively, such that  $F \neq B-P$ , then P, F and  $P \cap F$  are relatively complemented convex sublattices of B and  $\hat{B}(P) = \hat{B}(F) = \hat{B}(P \cap F) = B$ .
- (b) If  $L \in \mathbb{R}$  is a sublattice of B such that  $\hat{B}(L) = B$ , then  $L = P \cap F$ , where P is either a non-principal prime ideal of B or P = B and F is either a non-principal ultrafilter of B or F = B.

Proof. (a) P is obviously a relatively complemented lattice and, clearly, P is a sublattice of B such that  $0 \in P$ . Suppose  $a \in B - P$ . Then  $\bar{a} \in P$  implies  $\hat{B}(P) = B$ . Thus P is convex in B by Theorem 1.1.

Similarly, F is convex in B.

Now consider  $P \cap F$ . Clearly,  $P \cap F$  is a relatively complemented sublattice of B. We show that  $\hat{B}(P \cap F) = B$ . Let  $a \in B - P \cap F$ . Then there are the following three possibilities:

- (i)  $a \notin P$  and  $a \notin F$ . Then  $\bar{a} \in P \cap F$  and  $a = \bar{a} \in B(P \cap F)$ .
- (ii)  $a \notin P$  and  $a \in F$ . Then, for any  $b \in P \cap F$ , we have  $ab \in P \cap F$  and  $\bar{a} + b \in P \cap F$ . Thus  $a\bar{b} = \overline{\bar{a} + b} \in \hat{B}(P \cap F)$  and  $ab \in P \cap F$  implies

$$a = ab + a\bar{b} \in \hat{B}(P \cap F).$$

(iii)  $a \in P$  and  $a \notin F$  — dual to (ii).

Thus  $\hat{B}(P \cap F) = B$  and, by Theorem 1.1,  $P \cap F$  is convex in B.

(b) If  $0 \in L$ , then L is a prime ideal by Lemma 1.2. If  $1 \in L$ , then L is an ultrafilter of B by Lemma 1.3.

Suppose  $0 \notin L$  and  $1 \notin L$ . Then  $\hat{B}(L) = B$ , so that L is convex in B by Theorem 1.1. Let

$$P = \{x \in B : x \leq a \text{ for some } a \in L\}.$$

Then P is a proper ideal of B, and  $P \supseteq L$  implies  $\hat{B}(P) = B$ . Hence P is a prime ideal of B by Lemma 1.2. Dually,

$$F = \{x \in B : x \geqslant a \text{ for some } a \in L\}$$

is an ultrafilter of B. Now  $L \subseteq F$  and  $L \subseteq P$  imply  $L \subseteq P \cap F$ . Let  $x \in P \cap F$ . Then there exist  $a \in L$  such that  $a \leq x$  and  $b \in L$  such that  $x \leq b$ . Since L is convex in B,  $x \in L$ .

COROLLARY 1.5. Every lattice  $L \in \mathbb{R}$  can be imbedded in a Boolean lattice B so that  $L = P \cap F$ , where P is a prime ideal of B and F is an ultrafilter of B.

Proof. The proof follows if we take  $B = \hat{B}(L)$  and apply Theorem 4 (b).

## 2. The free relatively complemented extension of a distributive lattice. LEMMA 2.1. If $L \in \mathfrak{D}$ is a convex sublattice of $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ , then $L \in \mathfrak{R}$ .

Proof. Suppose  $a, b, c \in L$  and  $a \le b \le c$ . Then there exists  $b' \in B$  such that b+b'=c and bb'=a. Since  $a \le b' \le c$ ,  $b' \in L$  by convexity of L. Thus  $L \in \Re$ .

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $L \in \mathfrak{D}$  and consider L as a sublattice of  $\hat{B}(L)$ . Then

$$R(L) = \left\{ x \in \hat{B}(L) : x \in L \text{ or } x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \overline{b}_i, \text{ where } a, a_i, b_i \in L \right\}$$

is the smallest relatively complemented sublattice of  $\hat{B}(L)$  that contains L as a sublattice.

Proof. First we show that R(L) is a relatively complemented lattice. Clearly, R(L) is a lattice. Suppose  $x \leq y \leq z$ , where  $x, z \in R(L)$  and  $y \in \hat{B}(L)$ . Then

$$x = a + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \bar{c}_i$$
 and  $z = e + \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j \bar{g}_j$ ,

where  $a, b_i, c_i, e, f_j, g_j \in L$  and  $b_i \bar{c}_i, f_j \bar{g}_j$  cannot occur. Since  $y \in \hat{B}(L)$ ,

$$y = u + \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_k \overline{v}_k + \overline{v} \quad \text{for } u, u_k, v_k, v \in L,$$

where  $u, u_k \overline{v}_k$  or  $\overline{v}$  cannot occur. If  $a \in L$  and  $a \leq x$ , then  $a \leq y$ , so a + y = y. Similarly, since yz = y, we have

$$y = u'' + \sum_{k=1}^n u'_k \overline{v}'_k,$$

where u'',  $u'_k$ ,  $v'_k \in L$ , and u'' must occur and  $u'_k \overline{v}'_k$  can or cannot occur.

Therefore,  $y \in R(L)$ . Thus R(L) is convex in  $\hat{B}(L)$  and  $R(L) \in \Re$  by Lemma 2.1.

Next we show that R(L) is the smallest relatively complemented distributive lattice containing L as a sublattice.

Let  $L' \in \mathbb{R}$  be a sublattice of  $\hat{B}(L)$  containing L. We show that if

$$x = a + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \bar{c}_i \quad \text{ for } a, a_i, b_i \in L,$$

then  $x \in L'$ . It suffices to show that, for any  $a, b, c \in L$ , we have  $a + b\overline{c} \in L'$ . Now  $a \le a + c \le a + b + c$  and  $a, a + c, a + b + c \in L'$ . Since  $L' \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $c' \in L'$  such that (a+c)c' = a and a+c+c' = a+b+c. But  $c' \in \hat{B}(L)$  implies that

$$c'=a+(a+b+c)\overline{(a+c)}=a+(a+b+c)\overline{a}\overline{c}=a+b\overline{a}\overline{c}=a+b\overline{c}\epsilon L'.$$

Note. R(L) contains 0 if and only if L contains 0; similarly for 1.

Definition. Let  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$  and let  $L \in \mathfrak{D}$  be a sublattice of B. The convex hull of L in B, denoted by  $L_B^*$ , is the smallest convex sublattice of B containing L.

THEOREM 2.3. If  $L \in \mathfrak{D}$ , then  $L_{B(L)}^* = R(L)$ .

Proof. Clearly,  $\hat{B}(R(L)) = \hat{B}(L)$  since  $L \subseteq R(L) \subseteq \hat{B}(R(L))$ . Thus, by Theorem 1.1, R(L) is convex in  $\hat{B}(L)$ , and  $L^*_{\hat{B}(L)}$  is a sublattice of R(L).

Also,  $L^*_{\hat{B}(L)} \in \Re$ , and L is a sublattice of  $L^*_{\hat{B}(L)}$ . Hence R(L) is a sublattice of  $L^*_{\hat{B}(L)}$  by Theorem 2.2.

Definition. Let  $L \in \mathfrak{D}$ . Then  $\langle R(L), \lambda(L) \rangle$  is the free relatively complemented extension of L if  $R(L) \in \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\lambda(L) : L \to R(L)$  is an imbedding map and whenever  $M \in \mathfrak{R}$  and  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}}[L, M]$ , then there exists a unique  $f^* \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{R}}[R(L), M]$  such that  $f^* \circ \lambda(L) = f$ .

THEOREM 2.4. Let  $L \in \mathfrak{D}$  and let  $\lambda(L) : L \to R(L)$  be the imbedding map. Then  $\langle R(L), \lambda(L) \rangle$  is the free relatively complemented extension of L.

Proof. Let  $M \in \Re$  and let  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}}[L, M]$ . Then

$$\hat{B}(f) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{B}}[\hat{B}(L), \hat{B}(M)]$$

is the unique extension of f to  $\hat{B}(L)$ . Let  $f^* = \hat{B}(f)|_{R(L)}$ . We claim that  $\text{Im} f^* \subseteq M$ . Indeed, let  $x \in R(L)$ . If  $x \in L$ , then  $f^*(x) = f(x) \in M$ . Now suppose

Then 
$$x = b + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \overline{d}_i, \quad \text{where } b, c_i, d_i \in L.$$

$$f^*(x) = f^* \left( b + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \overline{d}_i \right) = f^*(b) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} f^*(c_i) f^*(\overline{d}_i)$$

$$= f(b) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(c_i) \overline{f(d_i)} \in R(f(L)).$$

Also,  $f(L) \subseteq M$  implies  $R(f(L)) \subseteq M$  by Theorem 2.2. Thus  $\mathrm{Im} f^* \subseteq M$ . Clearly,  $f^* \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}}[R(L), M]$  and  $f^* \circ \lambda(L) = f$ . The uniqueness of  $f^*$  follows from the uniqueness of  $\hat{B}(f)$ .

Remarks. (1) By Theorem 2.4,  $R: \mathfrak{D} \to \mathfrak{R}$  can be extended to a reflector functor.

- (2) It is well known (see [1]) that the free distributive lattice on n generators, n being finite, has the length  $2^n-2$ . If  $L \in \mathfrak{D}$ ,  $|L| < \aleph_0$  and C is a maximal chain of L, then  $\hat{B}(L) = \hat{B}(C)$ . If the length of L is k, then  $|\hat{B}(L)| = 2^k$ .
- (3) Let  $\mathfrak A$  and  $\mathfrak C$  be categories that have free objects. Let  $F:\mathfrak A\to\mathfrak C$  be a reflector functor. Then it is known (see [2]) that if S is a set and  $A_S$  is free on S in  $\mathfrak A$ , then  $F(A)_S$  is free on S in  $\mathfrak C$ .

From Remarks (2) and (3) we get the following characterization of the free objects in  $\Re$ .

THEOREM 2.5. Let S be a non-empty set. If  $F_S$  is the free object on S in  $\mathfrak{D}$ , then  $R(F_S)$  is the free object on S in  $\mathfrak{R}$ . If |S|=n, then  $R(F_S) \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $|R(F_S)|=2^{2^{n}-2}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Grätzer, Lattice theory, San Francisco 1971.
- [2] B. Mitchell, Theory of categories, New York 1965.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 10. 1. 1973; en version modifiée le 10. 2. 1974