

SEMI-GROUP METHODS IN STOCHASTIC CONTROL

A. BENSOUSSAN

*Department of Mathematics, Université Paris Dauphine, Paris, and I.N.R.I.A.,
Le Chesnay, France*

INTRODUCTION

We present in this paper some stochastic control problems, which are formulated in terms of semi-groups.

Actually we have two things in mind. On the one hand, the dynamic system is represented by a Markov semi-group for which we formulate several control problems. On the other hand, we consider non-linear semi-groups which are themselves derived from stochastic control.

This second idea has been introduced by M. Nisio [9] (see also S. R. Pliska [10] and J. Zabczyk [12]). In [2], [3] A. Bensoussan considered a semi-group approach to variational inequalities and quasi variational inequalities (or to stopping time and impulse control problems) where purely analytic techniques were used (see A. Bensoussan–J.-L. Lions [4] and M. Robin [11] for earlier work, using partly probabilistic and partly analytic techniques). In [6], A. Bensoussan and M. Robin used discretization to study the same problems. In [5], A. Bensoussan and J.-L. Lions considered non linear semi-groups corresponding to stopping time and impulse control problems. This was motivated by an earlier work of L. Barthelemy [1] (see also J. Zabczyk [12]).

The objective of this article is to review the main results obtained by the author (himself or in cooperation with J.-L. Lions or M. Robin) on these semi-group methods.

1. THE PROBLEM OF SEMI-GROUP ENVELOPE

1.1. Setting the problem and assumptions

Let E be a Polish space provided with the Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{E} . We denote by B the space of Borel bounded functions on E , and by C the space of bounded uniformly continuous functions on E . We consider a family

$\Phi^v(t)$, $v \in V$, of operators such that:

$$(1.1) \quad V \text{ is a finite set,}$$

$$\Phi^v(t) \in \mathcal{L}(B; B), \quad \Phi^v(0) = I,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \|\Phi^v(t)\| \leq 1,$$

$$\Phi^v(t+s) = \Phi^v(t)\Phi^v(s),$$

$$\Phi^v(t)\varphi \geq 0 \quad \text{when} \quad \varphi \geq 0.$$

A semi-group of operators on B satisfying (1.2) is called a *Markov semi-group*.

We will assume that

$$(1.3) \quad \Phi^v(t): C \rightarrow C,$$

$$(1.4) \quad t \rightarrow \Phi^v(t)\varphi(x) \text{ is continuous from } (0, \infty) \rightarrow R \quad \forall x \text{ fixed } \forall \varphi \in C.$$

Next let $L(x, v)$ be a function such that

$$(1.5) \quad L_v(x) \equiv L(x, v) \in B,$$

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \Phi^v(t) L_v dt \in C,$$

where α is a positive number.

The first problem we formulate is the following. Consider the set

$$(1.6) \quad u \in B,$$

$$u \leq \int_0^t e^{-\alpha s} \Phi^v(s) L_v ds + e^{-\alpha t} \Phi^v(t) u \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad \forall v.$$

We have the following

THEOREM 1.1. *We assume (1.1), ..., (1.5); then the set of u satisfying (1.6) is not empty and has a maximum element.*

To prove Theorem 1.1 one relies on the following discretization scheme. Let $h > 0$; one considers u_h to be the unique solution of

$$(1.7) \quad u_h = \text{Min}_v \left[\int_0^h e^{-\alpha s} \Phi^v(s) L_v ds + e^{-\alpha h} \Phi^v(h) u_h \right], \quad u_h \in C.$$

Then one proves that

$$(1.8) \quad u_{1/2q} \downarrow u \quad \text{as} \quad q \uparrow \infty,$$

where u is the maximum element of (1.6). For details see A. Bensoussan-M. Robin [6].

1.2. Regularity results

We now assume the following regularity properties:

(1.9) E is a Banach space,

(1.10) $|L(x, v) - L(y, v)| \leq K|x - y|^\delta, \quad 0 \leq \delta \leq 1,$

(1.11) $\forall g \in C^{0,\delta}(E)$ (i.e., $|g(x) - g(y)| \leq \|g\|_\delta |x - y|^\delta$),

we have

$$|\Phi^v(t)g(x) - \Phi^v(t)g(y)| \leq e^{\lambda t} \|g\|_\delta |x - y|^\delta,$$

with $\lambda \geq 0$,

(1.12) $t \rightarrow \Phi^v(t)\varphi(x)$ is (Lebesgue) measurable from $(0, \infty)$ into R

$$\forall \varphi \in B \quad \forall x \text{ fixed.}$$

We can then state the following

THEOREM 1.2. *We make the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 and (1.9), (1.10), (1.11), (1.12). Then the maximum element u of (1.6) belongs to C and $u_{1/2^n}$ converges to u uniformly on every compact subset of E .*

An intermediary result, used in the proof of Theorem 1.2, is that if $\alpha > \lambda$ then actually $u \in C^{0,\delta}(E)$.

1.3. Probabilistic interpretation

We give here the interpretation of the maximum element of the set (1.6).

We assume

$$(1.13) \quad \Phi(t)1 = 1.$$

Consider $\Omega = E^{(0,\infty)}$, $x(t, \omega)$ to be the canonical process, $M_t^\alpha = \sigma(x(\lambda), t \leq \lambda \leq s)$, $M_t = M_t^\infty$. For simplicity we take $V = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. With $i \in V$ we associate a probability $P_i^{x_t}$ on (Ω, M_t) such that

$$(1.14) \quad E_i^{x_t} \varphi(x(s)) = \Phi^i(s-t)\varphi(x) \quad \forall s \geq t.$$

We denote by W the class of step processes adapted to M_0^t with values in V . More precisely, if $w \in W$, then there exists a sequence

$$\tau_0 = 0 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_n < \dots$$

which is *deterministic*, increasing and convergent to $+\infty$, and

$$(1.15) \quad w \equiv v(\cdot), \quad v(t, \omega) = v_n(\omega), \quad t \in [\tau_n, \tau_{n+1}),$$

where v_n is $M_0^{\tau_n}$ measurable with values in V .

Then one can construct a probability P_w^x (for given x in E and w in W) on (Ω, M_0) such that the following property holds:

(1.16)

$$E_w^x[\varphi(x(t)) | M_0^{\tau_n}] = \Phi^{\sigma_n}(t - \tau_n)\varphi(x(\tau_n)) \quad \forall \varphi \in B \text{ and } \tau_n \leq t < \tau_{n+1}.$$

Next one defines the functional

$$(1.17) \quad J^x(w) = E_w^x \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} L(x(t), v(t)) dt.$$

Set

$$(1.18) \quad W_h = \{w \in W \mid \tau_n = nh\}.$$

We can state

THEOREM 1.3. *We make the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 and (1.13). (Then u_h , which is the unique solution of (1.7), satisfies*

$$(1.19) \quad u_h(x) = \operatorname{Min}_{w \in W_h} J^x(w).$$

Moreover

$$(1.20) \quad u(x) = \operatorname{Inf}_{w \in \bigcup_q W_{1/2^q}} J^x(w).$$

2. THE STOPPING TIME PROBLEM

2.1. Setting the problem

Let (E, \mathcal{E}) and B, C be as in §1.1. We consider a Markov semi-group on B , $\Phi(t)$ (i.e., cf. (1.2)),

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(t) &\in \mathcal{L}(B, B), \quad \Phi(0) = I, \quad \|\Phi(t)\| \leq 1, \\ \Phi(t+s) &= \Phi(t)\Phi(s), \\ \Phi(t)\varphi &\geq 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \varphi \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We will assume that

$$(2.1) \quad t \rightarrow \Phi(t)\varphi(x) \text{ is continuous from } (0, \infty) \rightarrow R \quad \forall x \text{ fixed } \forall \varphi \in B.$$

Let also

$$(2.3) \quad \psi \in B,$$

(2.4)

$L \in B$ such that $t \rightarrow \Phi(t)L(x)$ is (Lebesgue) measurable $\forall x$ fixed.

Alternatively, if we make some regularity assumptions on ψ , L , namely

$$(2.5) \quad \psi \in C, \quad \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\alpha t} \Phi(t) L dt \in C,$$

then we use a weaker form of (2.2), namely

$$(2.6) \quad \Phi(t): C \rightarrow C \quad \forall t > 0,$$

$$(2.7) \quad t \rightarrow \Phi(t)\varphi(x) \text{ is continuous from } (0, \infty) \rightarrow R \quad \forall x \text{ fixed } \forall \varphi \in C.$$

We define the following problem. Consider the set of functions

$$(2.8) \quad u \in B, \quad u \leq \psi, \\ u \leq \int_0^t e^{-\alpha s} \Phi(s) L ds + e^{-\alpha t} \Phi(t) u \quad \forall t \geq 0;$$

then we have

THEOREM 2.1. *We assume (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) or (2.1), (2.5), (2.6), (2.7); then the set of functions satisfying (2.8) is not empty and has a maximum element.*

2.2. Approximation schemes

There are two methods to prove Theorem 2.1, which are approximation methods of different kinds. One can use the penalty method:

$$(2.9) \quad u_\varepsilon = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\alpha t} \Phi(t) \left[L - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (u_\varepsilon - \psi)^+ \right] dt, \quad u_\varepsilon \in B,$$

or the discretization method:

$$(2.10) \quad u_h = \text{Min} \left[\psi, \int_0^h e^{-\alpha t} \Phi(t) dt + e^{-\alpha h} \Phi(h) u_h \right], \quad u_h \in B.$$

We can also obtain the continuity of the maximum element of (2.8) and the uniform convergence of u_ε , u_h towards u under slightly more stringent assumptions. We assume that $\Phi(t)$ satisfies (2.1), (2.6) and

$$(2.11) \quad t \rightarrow \Phi(t)\varphi \text{ is continuous from } [0, \infty) \text{ into } C \quad \forall \varphi \in C.$$

We also assume (2.5) for the data; we then have

THEOREM 2.2. *We assume (2.1), (2.6), (2.11), (2.5). Then the maximum element of (2.8) belongs to C and we have*

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon &\rightarrow u && \text{in } C, && \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \\ u_h &\rightarrow u && \text{in } C, && \text{as } h \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

For details, we refer to A. Bensoussan [3], and A. Bensoussan-M. Robin [6].

2.3. Probabilistic interpretation

We give the probabilistic interpretation of the maximum element u of (2.8) (under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1). Consider Ω , M_0 defined in § 1.3. For any fixed x in E , we construct P^x on (Ω, M_0) such that

$$(2.12) \quad E^x \varphi(x(t)) = \Phi(t)\varphi(x) \quad \forall \varphi \in B.$$

Let θ be a M^t stopping time; we define

$$(2.13) \quad J^x(\theta) = E^x \left[\int_0^\theta e^{-at} L(x(t)) dt + e^{-a\theta} \psi(x(\theta)) \right].$$

Consider stopping times of the form

$$(2.14) \quad \theta = \nu h,$$

where ν is a random integer such that $\{\nu = n\} \in M^{nh} \forall n$. We denote by Θ_h the set of stopping times satisfying (2.14). We have

THEOREM 2.3. *We make the assumptions of Theorem 2.1; then one has*

$$(2.15) \quad u_h(x) = \operatorname{Min}_{\theta \in \Theta_h} J^x(\theta)$$

and

$$(2.16) \quad u(x) = \operatorname{Inf}_{\theta \in \bigcup_q \Theta_{1/2^q}} J^x(\theta).$$

In the case of Theorem 2.2, one can prove the following

THEOREM 2.4. *We make the assumptions of Theorem 2.2. Then u satisfies*

$$(2.17) \quad u(x) = \operatorname{Min}_\theta J^x(\theta).$$

The probabilistic set-up for Theorem 2.4 to hold true is actually slightly different from that of Theorem 2.3. One assumes that:

$$(2.18) \quad E, \mathcal{E} \text{ is a semi compact,}$$

$$(2.19) \quad t \rightarrow \Phi(t)\varphi \text{ is continuous from } [0, \infty) \text{ into } \hat{C} \quad \forall \varphi \in \hat{C},$$

where

$$\hat{C} = \{\varphi \in C \mid \forall \varepsilon \exists K_\varepsilon \text{ compact such that } |\varphi(x)| < \varepsilon \forall x \notin K_\varepsilon\}.$$

Then one takes

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= D([0, \infty); E), & x(t; \omega) &\equiv \omega(t), \\ M_0 &= \sigma(x(t); t \geq 0), & M^t &= \sigma(x(s), s \leq t), \end{aligned}$$

and by the general theory of Markov processes (cf. E. B. Dynkin [8]), there exists a unique probability P^x on Ω, M_0 such that if we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{M}^t &= M^{t+0} \text{ completed,} \\ \bar{M}_0 &= M_0 \text{ completed,} \end{aligned}$$

then $(\Omega, \bar{M}_0, P^x, \bar{M}^t, x(t))$ is a strong Markov process right continuous and quasi continuous from the left. This set-up permits us to obtain (2.17).

3. IMPLICIT OBSTACLES

We assume that $\Phi(t)$ satisfies (2.1), (2.6), (2.11) and

$$(3.1) \quad L \in B, \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-at} \Phi(t) L dt \in C, \quad L \geq 0.$$

Also, let M be an operator such that

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} M: C \rightarrow C \text{ is Lipschitz, concave and monotone increasing} \\ \text{(i.e., } M\varphi_1 \leq M\varphi_2 \text{ if } \varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2), \quad M(0) \geq k > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the set of functions

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} u \in C, \quad u \leq Mu, \\ u \leq \int_0^t e^{-as} \Phi(s) L ds + e^{-at} \Phi(t) u. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

THEOREM 3.1. *We assume (2.1), (2.6), (2.11) and (3.1), (3.2). Then the set of functions u satisfying (3.3) is not empty and has a maximum element.*

One can approximate (3.3) by using the following discretization scheme:

$$(3.4) \quad u_h = \text{Min} \left[Mu_h, \int_0^h e^{-at} \Phi(t) L dt + e^{-ah} \Phi(h) u_h \right], \quad u_h \in C.$$

In particular, one can prove

$$(3.5) \quad u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } C.$$

4. NON-LINEAR SEMI-GROUP

4.1. Assumptions - The equation

In this section we assume that $\Phi(t)$ satisfies (2.1), (2.6) and

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|\Phi(t)\varphi(s) - \varphi(s)\|_C \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in C(0, T, C),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \forall L \in B, \quad t \rightarrow \Phi(t)L(x) \text{ is (Lebesgue) measurable} \quad \forall x \in E, \\ \int_0^\infty e^{-at} \Phi(t)L \, dt \in C, \quad a \geq 0,$$

$$(4.3) \quad \bar{u} \in C.$$

We first consider the problem

$$(4.4) \quad u(\cdot) \in C([0, T]; C), \quad u(0) = \bar{u}, \\ u(t) = \int_0^{t-s} e^{-a\sigma} \Phi(\sigma)L \, d\sigma + e^{-a(t-s)} \Phi(t-s)u(s) \quad \forall s \leq t \in [0, T].$$

It is easy to check that (4.4) admits one and only one solution, namely:

$$(4.5) \quad u(t) = \int_0^t e^{-a\sigma} \Phi(\sigma)L \, d\sigma + e^{-at} \Phi(t)\bar{u}.$$

Then we set

$$(4.6) \quad u(t) = T(t)\bar{u},$$

and $T(t)$ is a non-linear (in fact affine) semi-group of contractions on C .

An interesting problem is to prove Trotter's formula, (cf. M. G. Crandall-T. M. Liggett [7]). One considers for $\lambda > 0$

$$(4.7) \quad R_\lambda(\bar{u}) = \int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda+a)t} \Phi(t) (\bar{u}/\lambda + L) \, dt.$$

Then we have

THEOREM 4.1. *We assume (2.1), (2.6), (4.1), (4.2), (4.3); then one has*

$$(4.8) \quad \forall t > 0, \quad R_{t/n}^n(\bar{u}) \rightarrow T(t)\bar{u} \quad \text{in } C \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

4.2. Evolution inequalities

We now consider a function $\psi(t)$ such that

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi &\in C([0, T]; C), \\ \bar{u} &\leq \psi(0). \end{aligned}$$

We set the following problem:

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} u(\cdot) &\in C([0, T]; C), \quad u(0) = u, \\ u(t) &\leq \psi(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \\ u(t) &\leq \int_0^{t-s} e^{-\alpha\sigma} \Phi(\sigma) L d\sigma + e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \Phi(t-s) u(s) \quad \forall s \leq t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

One has

THEOREM 4.2. *We make the same assumptions as in Theorem 4.1, and (4.9). Then the set of functions satisfying (4.10) is not empty and has a maximum element.*

If we consider next the case where $\psi(t)$ is constant,

$$(4.11) \quad \psi, \bar{u} \in C, \quad \bar{u} \leq \psi,$$

and denote by $u(t) = S(t)\bar{u}$ the maximum solution of (4.10), then $S(t)$ defines a non linear semi-group of contractions on

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\bar{u} \in C \mid \bar{u} \leq \psi\}.$$

Moreover,

$$S(t)\bar{u} \rightarrow \bar{u} \text{ in } C, \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0.$$

One then states Trotter's formula for this non-linear semi-group. We have to define the equivalent of the resolvent (as in (4.7)). This is done as follows. We write

$$(4.12) \quad R_\lambda(\bar{u}) = z_\lambda,$$

where z_λ is the maximum element of the set

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} z &\leq \psi, \quad z \in C, \\ z &\leq \int_0^t e^{-(\alpha+1/\lambda)s} \Phi(s) (L + \bar{u}/\lambda) ds + e^{-(\alpha+1/\lambda)t} \Phi(t) z. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

THEOREM 4.3. *We assume (2.1), (2.6), (4.2), (4.3), (4.11). Then the following property holds:*

$$(4.14) \quad \forall t > 0 \quad R_{1/n}^n(\bar{u}) \rightarrow S(t)\bar{u} \quad \text{in } C, \quad \forall \bar{u} \in \mathcal{C}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In the proof of Theorem 4.3 an important role is played by the penalized semi-group. Define $u_\varepsilon(t)$ as the solution of

$$(4.15) \quad u_\varepsilon(t) = e^{-\alpha t} \Phi(t) \bar{u} + \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-\lambda)} \Phi(t-\lambda) \left[L - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (u_\varepsilon(\lambda) - \psi)^+ \right] d\lambda;$$

then

$$u_\varepsilon(t) = S_\varepsilon(t) \bar{u}.$$

With this penalized non-linear semi-group one associates the resolvent $R_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(\bar{u}) = z_\varepsilon$, defined as the solution of

$$(4.16) \quad z_\varepsilon = \int_0^\infty e^{-(\alpha+1/\lambda)t} \Phi(t) \left(L + \bar{u}/\lambda - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (z_\varepsilon - \psi)^+ \right) dt.$$

The proof of Theorem 4.3 consists in obtaining a priori estimates, among them the following uniform estimate:

$$(4.17) \quad \|R_{t/n,\varepsilon}^n \bar{u} - S_\varepsilon(t) \bar{u}\| \leq 2K \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}},$$

where K is a constant which does not depend on ε or t . For details see A. Bensoussan–J.-L. Lions [5].

4.3. Case of implicit obstacles

In this section we assume that $\Phi(t)$ satisfies (2.1), (2.6), (2.11) and we also assume (3.1), (3.2). Also, let \bar{u} be such that

$$(4.18) \quad \bar{u} \in C, \quad \bar{u} \geq 0, \quad \bar{u} \leq M\bar{u}.$$

One considers the following problem:

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} u(\cdot) &\in C([0, T]; C), \quad u(0) = \bar{u}, \\ u(t) &\leq Mu(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \\ u(t) &\leq \int_0^{t-s} e^{-\alpha\sigma} \Phi(\sigma) L d\sigma + e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \Phi(t-s) u(s) \quad \forall s \leq t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

One obtains the following

THEOREM 4.4. *We assume (2.1), (2.6), (2.11) and (3.1), (3.2), (4.18). Then the set of functions u satisfying (4.19) is not empty and has a maximum element. If we set*

$$u(t) = S(t) \bar{u},$$

then $S(t)$ is a non-linear semi-group of contractions on

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\bar{u} \in C \mid \bar{u} \geq 0, \bar{u} \leq M\bar{u}\}.$$

One also can prove Trotter's formula, but only for \bar{u} from a subset of \mathcal{C} . We define the resolvent $R_\lambda(\bar{u}): C \rightarrow C$ by setting

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} z &\leq Mz, \quad z \in C, \\ z &\leq \int_0^t e^{-(\alpha+1/\lambda)s} \Phi(s) (L + \bar{u}/\lambda) ds + e^{-(\alpha+1/\lambda)t} \Phi(t)z, \end{aligned}$$

and $z_\lambda = R_\lambda(\bar{u})$ is the maximum element of (4.20). One can then prove the following

THEOREM 4.5. *We make the assumptions of Theorem 4.4 Then one has*

$$(4.21) \quad \forall t > 0, \quad R_{i_n}^n(\bar{u}) \rightarrow S(t)\bar{u}$$

for any \bar{u} such that $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{C}$ and

$$\bar{u} \leq \int_0^t e^{-\alpha\sigma} \Phi(\sigma) L d\sigma + e^{-\alpha t} \Phi(t) \bar{u} \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

In proving Theorem 4.5 one uses the following approximation:

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon(t) &= e^{-\alpha t} \Phi(t) \bar{u} + \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \Phi(t-s) \left[L - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (u_\varepsilon(s) - M u_\varepsilon(s))^+ \right] ds, \\ u_\varepsilon(t) &= S_\varepsilon(t) \bar{u}. \end{aligned}$$

For related results, see L. Barthelemy [1].

References

- [1] L. Barthelemy, *Application de la théorie des semi-groupes non linéaires dans L^∞ à l'étude d'une classe d'inéquations quasi variationnelles*, Thèse de 3ème cycle, Université de Franche-Comté, Besançon 1980.
- [2] A. Bensoussan, *Optimal impulsive control theory*, in: *Stochastic Control Theory and Stochastic Differential Systems*, Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, edited by M. Kohlmann and W. Vogel, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1979.
- [3] —, *On the semi group approach to variational and quasi variational inequalities*, in: *Proceedings of the 1st Franco-South East Asian Conference on Math. Sciences*, Singapore 1979.
- [4] A. Bensoussan, J.-L. Lions, *Applications des Inéquations Variationnelles en Contrôle Stochastique*, Dunod, Paris 1978.
- [5] —, —, *Contrôle Impulsionnel et Inéquations Quasi-Variationnelles*, Dunod, Paris, 1982.

- [6] A. Bensoussan, M. Robin, *On the convergence of the discrete time dynamic programming equation for general semigroups*, SIAM J. Control Optimization (20) 1982, 722-746.
 - [7] M. G. Crandall, T. M. Liggett, *Generation of semigroups of nonlinear transformations in general Banach spaces*, Amer. J. Math. 93 (1977), 265-298.
 - [8] E. B. Dynkin, *Markov Processes*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1965.
 - [9] M. Nisio, *On a non linear semigroup attached to stochastic optimal control*, RIMS Kyoto University 13 (1976), 513-537.
 - [10] S. R. Pliska, *A semigroup representation of the maximum expected reward vector in continuous parameter Markov decision theory*, SIAM J. Control 13, 6 (1975), 1115-1129.
 - [11] M. Robin, *Contrôle impulsionnel des processus de Markov*, Thèse, Paris 1978.
 - [12] J. Zabczyk, *Semigroup methods in Stochastic Control Theory*, CRM 821, Université de Montréal, 1978.
-