

STANISŁAW STOIŃSKI

On the almost periodicity of a generalized trigonometric polynomial

*Dedicated to my teacher Professor Julian Musielak on the occasion of the 85th
anniversary of his birthday, in friendship and high esteem*

Abstract. This paper presents some remarks on various types of the almost periodicity of a generalized trigonometric polynomial and of the inverse of a generalized trigonometric polynomial of constant sign.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42A75.

Key words and phrases: Almost periodic function, Generalized trigonometric polynomial.

1. Preliminaries. A non-empty set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called *relatively dense* if there exists a number $l > 0$ such that every open interval in \mathbb{R} of length l contains at least one element of E .

1.1. Given $f, g \in C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, define the quantity

$$D^{(n)}(f, g) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left(|f(x) - g(x)| + \sum_{k=1}^n |(f - g)^{(k)}(x)| \right).$$

A number $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a $(D^{(n)}, \varepsilon)$ -almost period of a function $f \in C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$ whenever $D^{(n)}(f_\tau, f) \leq \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f_\tau(x) \equiv f(x + \tau)$. Let us denote by $E^{(n)}\{\varepsilon; f\}$ the set of all $(D^{(n)}, \varepsilon)$ -almost periods of f . A function $f \in C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$ is said to be $C^{(n)}$ -almost periodic if for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $E^{(n)}\{\varepsilon; f\}$ is relatively dense [1]. In particular, when $n = 0$ one speaks about an ε -almost period of a function $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ which is *uniformly almost periodic* (B -almost periodic) if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $E\{\varepsilon; f\}$ of its ε -almost periods is relatively dense [4], [8], [19]. Let $\widetilde{C}^{(n)}$ denote the space of all $C^{(n)}$ -almost periodic functions.

1.2. Given any $f, g \in C^{(\infty)}(\mathbb{R})$ and a sequence $a = (a_k)$ with positive terms, define

$$D_a^{(\infty)}(f, g) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left(|f(x) - g(x)| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k |(f - g)^{(k)}(x)| \right).$$

A number $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a $(D^{(\infty)}, \varepsilon)$ -almost period of $f \in C^{(\infty)}(\mathbb{R})$ if $D_a^{(\infty)}(f_\tau, f) \leq \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f_\tau(x) \equiv f(x + \tau)$. Denote by $E_a^{(\infty)}\{\varepsilon; f\}$ the set of all $(D_a^{(\infty)}, \varepsilon)$ -almost periods of f . Let $a = (a_k)$ be a sequence such that $a_k > 0$ and $a_{k+1} \leq a_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we say that an $f \in C^{(\infty)}(\mathbb{R})$ is *conditionally locally bounded with respect to a* if for arbitrary closed interval $[c, d]$ the numbers $M_k = M_{k,f}^{[c,d]} = \max\{|f^{(k)}(x)| : x \in [c, d]\}$ satisfy the condition $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k M_{k+1} < \infty$. We then shortly write $f \in (CBC_{a,loc}^{(\infty)})$. An $f \in (CBC_{a,loc}^{(\infty)})$ is called $C_a^{(\infty)}$ -almost periodic if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $E_a^{(\infty)}\{\varepsilon; f\}$ is relatively dense [2]. The space of all $C_a^{(\infty)}$ -almost periodic functions is denoted $\widetilde{C}_a^{(\infty)}$. Every $C_a^{(\infty)}$ -almost periodic function is $C^{(n)}$ -almost periodic for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, hence B -almost periodic.

1.3. Denote by R_0 the set of all functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} . Given $f \in R_0, x \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the variation of f on $[x - 1, x + 1]$ by

$$V(f; x) = \sup_{\Pi} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k)|,$$

where $\Pi = \{x - 1 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{n-1} < x_n = x + 1\}$. For $f, g \in R_0$ we put

$$V(f, g) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (|f(x) - g(x)| + V(f - g; x)).$$

Denote by BV_{loc} the set of all $f \in R_0$ of locally finite variation, meaning $V(f; x) < \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $f \in BV_{loc}$. If $V(f_\tau, f) \leq \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f_\tau(x) \equiv f(x + \tau)$, then $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a (V, ε) -almost period of f . The set of all (V, ε) -almost periods of f is denoted $E_V\{\varepsilon; f\}$. A continuous function $f \in BV_{loc}$ is said to be *almost periodic in variation* or V -almost periodic if $E_V\{\varepsilon; f\}$ is relatively dense for all $\varepsilon > 0$ [14],[19]. The space of all V -almost periodic functions is denoted \widetilde{V} .

1.4. Let F_Δ be the class of subsets of the plane Oxy whose projections onto the x -axis coincide with the closed interval (bounded or not) and such that the intersection of each line $x = x_0$, where $x_0 \in \Delta$, with every $F \in F_\Delta$ is a bounded closed interval or unbounded interval: $(-\infty, a], [a, +\infty), (-\infty, +\infty)$. Let $A, B \in F_\Delta$. The *Hausdorff distance* [7] between A and B is the quantity

$$r_\Delta(A, B) = \max \left(\sup_{X \in A} \inf_{Y \in B} \|X - Y\|_0, \sup_{X \in B} \inf_{Y \in A} \|X - Y\|_0 \right),$$

where

$$\|X - Y\|_0 = \|X(x_1, y_1) - Y(x_2, y_2)\|_0 = \max(|x_1 - x_2|, |y_1 - y_2|).$$

If $\Delta = \mathbb{R}$, we write $r_\Delta = r$. It is easily checked that for all $A, B, C \in F_\Delta$ the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned} r_\Delta(A, B) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow A = B, \\ r_\Delta(A, B) &= r_\Delta(B, A), \\ r_\Delta(A, B) &\leq r_\Delta(A, C) + r_\Delta(C, B). \end{aligned}$$

The following lemmas are useful in estimating Hausdorff distance:

LEMMA 1.1 *Let $A, B \in F_\Delta$ and $\delta > 0$. Then*

$$r_\Delta(A, B) \leq \delta$$

if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (a) *for every $X \in A$ there is a $Y \in B$ such that $\|X - Y\|_0 \leq \delta$,*
- (b) *for every $X \in B$ there is a $Y \in A$ such that $\|X - Y\|_0 \leq \delta$.*

LEMMA 1.2 *Let $A, B \in F_\Delta$. If there is an $X_0 \in A$ such that $\|X_0 - Y\|_0 > \delta$ for all $Y \in B$, then*

$$r_\Delta(A, B) > \delta.$$

Proofs can be found in [9] and [10].

Let $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The *lower* and *upper Baire functions* of f are defined by

$$I_f(x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \inf_{|x-x'| \leq \delta} f(x') \quad \text{and} \quad S_f = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{|x-x'| \leq \delta} f(x'),$$

resp. A *complete graph* of f is the set

$$\tilde{f} = \{(x, y) : x \in \Delta \quad \text{and} \quad I_f(x) \leq y \leq S_f(x)\}.$$

REMARK 1.3

$$a \leq b \Leftrightarrow (a \leq b \quad \text{if} \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{or} \quad a < b \quad \text{if} \quad a = -\infty \quad \text{or} \quad b = +\infty).$$

Then $\tilde{f} \in F_\Delta$. The *Hausdorff distance between functions* $f, g : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined to be the Hausdorff distance their complete graphs, i.e. $r_\Delta(f, g) = r_\Delta(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$.

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If for $\varepsilon > 0$ the Hausdorff distance between f and f_τ , where $f_\tau(x) \equiv f(x + \tau)$, satisfies $r(f_\tau, f) \leq \varepsilon$, then τ is called an (H, ε) -almost period of f . The set of all (H, ε) -almost periods of f is denoted $E_H\{\varepsilon; f\}$. A function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called H -almost periodic if $E_H\{\varepsilon; f\}$ is relatively dense for all $\varepsilon > 0$ [5], [11]-[13], [17]-[20]. Denote by \tilde{H} the space of all H -almost periodic functions. In the following, in case when the function f is discontinuous at the

point x_0 , we denote with $f(x_0)$ one of the members from interval $[I_f(x_0), S_f(x_0)]$ (or: $(-\infty, S_f(x_0)], [I_f(x_0), +\infty), (-\infty, +\infty)$).

1.5. Given $f, g \in C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $N > 0$, we define the quantity

$$\left(ND^{(n)}\right)(f, g) = \max_{-N \leq x \leq N} \left(|f(x) - g(x)| + \sum_{k=1}^n \left| (f - g)^{(k)}(x) \right| \right).$$

A number $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ is called an $((ND^{(n)}), \varepsilon)$ -almost period of $f \in C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N > 0$, if $(ND^{(n)})(f_\tau, f) \leq \varepsilon$, where $f_\tau \equiv f(x + \tau)$. Denote by $NE^{(n)}\{\varepsilon; f\}$ the set of all $((ND^{(n)}), \varepsilon)$ -almost periods of f . An $f \in C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$ is called $(NC^{(n)})$ -almost periodic if there exists a $C^{(n)}$ -almost periodic function φ , so-called *majorant* of f , such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that each $(D^{(n)}, \delta)$ -almost period of φ is an $((ND^{(n)}), \varepsilon)$ -almost period of f [3]. The space of all $(NC^{(n)})$ -almost periodic functions is denoted $\widetilde{NC^{(n)}}$. In particular, for $n = 0$ we obtain the N -almost periodic functions [8].

2. Main results. We shall consider the generalized trigonometric polynomial

$$(1) \quad T(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m (\alpha_k \cos(\nu_k x) + \beta_k \sin(\nu_k x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where α_k, β_k and ν_k are given real numbers.

Of course, T is B -almost periodic. It is known [19] that f is $C^{(n)}$ -almost periodic if and only if $f, f', \dots, f^{(n)}$ are B -almost periodic. Hence T is $C^{(n)}$ -almost periodic for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

THEOREM 2.1 *If for the generalized trigonometric polynomial T of the form (1) the sequence $a = (a_n)$, where $0 < a_{n+1} \leq a_n \leq 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfies the following condition*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(a_n \sum_{k=1}^m \max(|\nu_k|^n, |\nu_n|^{n+1}) (|\alpha_k| + |\beta_k|) \right) < \infty,$$

then T is $C_a^{(\infty)}$ -almost periodic.

PROOF Since for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$T^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m \nu_k^n \left(\alpha_k \cos\left(\nu_k x + n \frac{\pi}{2}\right) + \beta_k \sin\left(\nu_k x + n \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right),$$

we seen that for every closed interval $[c, d]$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n M_{n+1} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \max \left\{ |T^{(n+1)}(x)| : x \in [c, d] \right\} \leq \\ &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^m |\nu_k|^{n+1} (|\alpha_k| + |\beta_k|) \right) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and so $T \in (CBC_{a,loc}^{(\infty)})$.

Of course, the trigonometric polynomial T is $C^{(p)}$ -almost periodic for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

For an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $\tau \in E^{(p)}\{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, T\}$ we obtain

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left(|T_\tau(x) - T(x)| + \sum_{n=1}^p a_n |(T_\tau - T)^{(n)}(x)| \right) &\leq \\ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left(|T_\tau(x) - T(x)| + \sum_{n=1}^p |(T_\tau - T)^{(n)}(x)| \right) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n |(T_\tau - T)^{(n)}(x)| \leq 2 \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left(a_n \sum_{k=1}^m |\nu_k|^n (|\alpha_k| + |\beta_k|) \right)$$

By the assumption it follows that there is a natural p for which

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left(a_n \sum_{k=1}^m |r_k|^n (|\alpha_k| + |\beta_k|) \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Thus, by (2) and (3) we have $E^{(p)}\{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}; T\} \subset E_a^{(\infty)}\{\varepsilon; T\}$. Hence T is $C_a^{(\infty)}$ -almost periodic. ■

THEOREM 2.2 *If $f \in \widetilde{C}^{(2)}$, $|f(x)| > 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\inf\{f(x) \operatorname{sgn} f(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\} = 0$, then $g = \frac{1}{f}$ is $(NC^{(2)})$ -almost periodic.*

PROOF Let for example $f(x) > 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Since g is unbounded, hence g is not $C^{(2)}$ -almost periodic. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N > 0$. Denote

$$M = \max \left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f^{(i)}(x)|, i = 0, 1, 2 \right), \quad m_N = \min_{-N \leq x \leq N} f(x)$$

and let

$$\delta \in \left(0, \min \left(\frac{m_N}{2}, \varepsilon \left(\frac{2}{(m_N)^2} + \frac{12M^2}{(m_N)^3} + \frac{336M^6}{(m_N)^8} \right)^{-1} \right) \right).$$

For $\tau \in E^{(2)}\{\delta; f\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(ND^{(2)}\right)(g_\tau, g) \\
& \leq \max_{-N \leq x \leq N} |g_\tau(x) - g(x)| + \max_{-N \leq x \leq N} |g'_\tau(x) - g'(x)| + \max_{-N \leq x \leq N} |g''_\tau(x) - g''(x)| \\
& = \max_{-N \leq x \leq N} \frac{|f_\tau(x) - f(x)|}{f_\tau(x)f(x)} + \max_{-N \leq x \leq N} \frac{|f'_\tau(x)f^2(x) - f'(x)f^2_\tau(x)|}{f^2_\tau(x)f^2(x)} \\
& \quad + \max_{-N \leq x \leq N} \frac{1}{f^4_\tau(x)f^4(x)} (|f''_\tau(x)f^2_\tau(x)f^4(x) - f''(x)f^4_\tau(x)f^2(x) \\
& \quad + 2(f'^2_\tau(x)f^4_\tau(x)f(x) - f'^2(x)f_\tau(x)f^4(x))|) \\
& \leq \delta \left(\frac{1}{(m_N - \delta)m_N} + \frac{3M^2}{(m_N - \delta)^2 m_N} + \frac{21M^6}{(m_N - \delta)^4 m_N^4} \right) < \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, g is $(NC^{(2)})$ -almost periodic, because it has a $C^{(2)}$ -almost periodic majorant f whose each $(D^{(2)}, \delta)$ -almost period is an $((ND^{(2)}), \varepsilon)$ -almost period of g . ■

Assume that the polynomial T of the form (1) satisfies the following condition

$$(4) \quad |T(x)| > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \inf\{T(x)\operatorname{sgn}T(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\} = 0.$$

Then, from the above theorem it follows that $\frac{1}{T}$ is $(NC^{(2)})$ -almost periodic. Moreover, $\frac{1}{T}$ is N -almost periodic [8], [16] and μ -almost periodic [15], [20].

S. Hartman expressed supposition [6] that the inverse of the generalized trigonometric polynomial of constant sign that fulfills the condition (4) is an unbounded function H -almost periodic.

THEOREM 2.3 *If T is the generalized trigonometric polynomial that fulfills the condition (4), then $f = \frac{1}{T}$ is not H -almost periodic.*

PROOF Let for example $T(x) > 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Of course, the function f is unbounded. Now, we shall show that f fails to be H -almost periodic. This means that for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ there exists a sequence (I_n) of open intervals of length, resp., d_1, d_2, \dots and with $d_n \rightarrow \infty$, none of which contains an (H, ε_0) -almost period of f . Let us denote $\varepsilon_0 = 1$. For $a_0 > 2$ let us put

$$\max\{f(x) : x \in [-a_0, a_0]\} = f(x_0) > 0$$

and

$$d_n = a_n - a_{n-1} > 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

We assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = \infty$. Let

$$\max\{f(x) : x \in [-a_n, -a_{n-1}] \cup [a_{n-1}, a_n]\} = f(x_n) > f(x_{n-1}) + 1$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. In the following way we construct the sequence (I_n) . Let $n = 2, 3, \dots$. If $x_n \in [-a_n, -a_{n-1}]$, then

$$I_n = (-a_{n-1} - x_n + 1, -a_{n-2} - x_n - 1).$$

However, if $x_n \in [a_{n-1}, a_n]$, then

$$I_n = (a_{n-2} - x_n + 1, a_{n-1} - x_n - 1).$$

Then, by Lemma 1.2, for $\tau \in I_n$ the following estimation holds

$$r(f_\tau, f) > 1.$$

Hence f is not H -almost periodic. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Adamczak, $C^{(n)}$ -almost periodic functions, Ann. Soc. Math. Pol., Ser. I, Comment. Math. **37** (1997), 1–12.
- [2] M. Adamczak, $C_a^{(\infty)}$ -almost periodic functions, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **27** (1999), 87–97.
- [3] M. Adamczak, S. Stoiński *On the $(NC^{(n)})$ -almost periodic functions*, Function Spaces, Proceedings of the Sixth Conference, Wrocław, 2001, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd. (2003), 39–48.
- [4] H. Bohr, *Zur Theorie der fastperiodischen Funktionen, I Teil: Eine Verallgemeinerung der Theorie der Fourierreihen*, Acta math. **45** (1925), 29–127.
- [5] A. S. Džafarov, G. M. Gasanov, *Almost periodic functions with respect to the Hausdorff metric and some of their properties*, Izv. Akad. Nauk Azerbaidžan. SSR, Ser. Fiz.-Techn. Mat. Nauk, **No 1** (1977), 57–62 (in Russian).
- [6] S. Hartman, *Review of the thesis of S. Stoiński, Almost periodic functions and approximation of functions with respect to the Hausdorff metric*, Wrocław, 1974.
- [7] F. Hausdorff, *Grundzüge der Mengenlehre*, Leipzig, 1914.
- [8] B. M. Levitan, *Almost Periodic Functions*, Moscow, 1953 (in Russian) .
- [9] B. Sendov, *Hausdorff Approximation*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1990.
- [10] B. Sendov, B. Penkov, *The ε -entropy and the ε -capacity of the space of continuous functions*, Bülgar. Akad. Nauk. Izv. Mat. Inst. **6** (1962), 27–50 (in Bulgarian).
- [11] B. Sendov, V. A. Popov, *On certain properties of the Hausdorff metric*, Mathematica (Cluj) **8** (31) (1966), 163–172 (in Russian).
- [12] S. Stoiński, *H-almost periodic functions*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **1** (1974), 113–122.
- [13] S. Stoiński, *A connection between H-almost periodic functions and almost periodic functions of other types*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **3** (1976), 205–223.
- [14] S. Stoiński, *Real-valued functions almost periodic in variation*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **22** (1993), 141–148.
- [15] S. Stoiński, *Almost periodic functions in the Lebesgue measure*, Ann. Soc. Math. Pol., Ser. I, Comment. Math. **34** (1994), 189–198.
- [16] S. Stoiński, *A note on N-almost periodic functions and (NI)-almost periodic functions*, Ann. Soc. Math. Pol., Ser. I, Comment. Math. **44(2)** (2004), 199–204.

- [17] S. Stoiński, *On superposition of almost periodic functions*, Int. Journ. Evol. Equat. Vol. **1**, No **2** (2005), 145–151.
- [18] S. Stoiński, *On the almost periodicity of the superposition of functions*, Int. Journ. Evol. Equat. Vol. **2**, No **4** (2008), 333–342.
- [19] S. Stoiński, *Almost Periodic Functions*, Wyd. Nauk. UAM, Poznań, 2008 (in Polish).
- [20] S. Stoiński, *On unbounded almost periodic functions in Hausdorff metric*, Comment. Math. Vol. **53**, No **1** (2013), 17–22.

STANISŁAW STOIŃSKI
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY
UMULTOWSKA 87, 61-614 POZNAŃ, POLAND
E-mail: stoi@amu.edu.pl

(Received: 14.10.2013)
