

P N NATARAJAN

## An addendum to the paper “Some Characterizations of Schur Matrices in Ultrametric Fields”

**Abstract.** In this short note, we add a few remarks in the context of [1].

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 40, 46.

*Key words and phrases:* Ultrametric field, Schur matrix.

The present note is a continuation of [1]. We follow the notations and results of [1].

In Theorem 2.7 of [1], we have actually proved that if  $A = (a_{nk})$  satisfies (4), (15) of [1] and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk}$  exists,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $A$  is a Schur matrix. We note here that the converse holds too. To be more specific, we put the result as a theorem and prove it for the sake of completeness. This result gives one more characterization of Schur matrices in the ultrametric set up (see [1], Theorem 2.4).

**THEOREM 1**  $A = (a_{nk})$  is a Schur matrix if and only if

$$(1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots;$$

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} \text{ exists, } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots;$$

and

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n, k \rightarrow \infty} (a_{n+1, k} - a_{nk}) = 0.$$

**PROOF Sufficiency.** Let (1), (2), (3) hold. In view of (1),  $(Ax)_n$  is defined for any  $x = \{x_k\} \in \ell_\infty$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Again, using (1),

$$(4) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (a_{n+1, k} - a_{nk}) = 0, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

(3) and (4) together imply that

$$(5) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq 0} |a_{n+1,k} - a_{nk}| = 0.$$

Using (2), we have,

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_{n+1,k} - a_{nk}) = 0, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Appealing to (5), (6) and Theorem 2.3 of [1], we have,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{(Ax)_{n+1} - (Ax)_n\} = 0, \quad x = \{x_k\} \in \ell_\infty,$$

i.e.,  $\{(Ax)_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $K$ . Since  $K$  is complete,  $\{(Ax)_n\} \in c$ ,  $x = \{x_k\} \in \ell_\infty$ , i.e.,  $A$  is a Schur matrix.

Necessity. Let  $A$  be a Schur matrix. It is clear that (1) and (2) are necessary by considering the  $A$ -transforms of the sequences  $\{1, 1, 1, \dots\}$  and  $\{0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots\}$ , 1 occurring in the  $k$ th place,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $A$  is a Schur matrix,

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n+k \rightarrow \infty} (a_{n+1,k} - a_{nk}) = 0,$$

using Theorem 2.4 of [1]. Note that (7) implies (3), using Theorem 2.2 of [1] so that (3) is necessary too, completing the proof of the theorem. ■

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P.N. Natarajan, *Some characterization of Schur matrices in ultrametric fields*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. **52** (2012), 137–142.

P N NATARAJAN

OLD NO. 2/3, NEW NO. 3/3, SECOND MAIN ROAD, R.A. PURAM, CHENNAI 600 028, INDIA

E-mail: pinnangudinatarajan@gmail.com

(Received: 12.04.13)