

J. A. GUERRERO, J. MATKOWSKI, N. MERENTES

Uniformly continuous composition operators in the space of functions of two variables of bounded φ -variation in the sense of Wiener

Abstract. Assume that the generator of a Nemytskii composition operator is a function of three variables: the first two real and third in a closed convex subset of a normed space, with values in a real Banach space. We prove that if this operator maps a certain subset of the Banach space of functions of two real variables of bounded Wiener φ -variation into another Banach space of a similar type, and is uniformly continuous, then the one-sided regularizations of the generator are affine in the third variable.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B33, 26B30, 26B40.

Key words and phrases: φ -variation in the Wiener sense, composition operator, uniformly continuous operator, left-left regularization, Jensen equation.

1. Introduction. Let I_a^b denote the rectangle $[a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$ with the vertices $a = (a_1, a_2), b = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $(X, |\cdot|), (Y, |\cdot|)$ be real normed spaces and C be a set in X . For a function $h : I_a^b \times C \rightarrow Y$ define the Nemytskii composition operator $H : C^{I_a^b} \rightarrow Y^{I_a^b}$ by

$$H(f)(t, s) = h(t, s, f(t, s)), \quad f \in C^{I_a^b}, (t, s) \in I_a^b,$$

where $C^{I_a^b}$ stands for the family of all functions $f : I_a^b \rightarrow C$. The function h is called the generator of the composition operator H .

Let $(BV_\varphi(I_a^b, X), \|\cdot\|_\varphi)$ be the Banach space of functions $f \in X^{I_a^b}$ which are of bounded φ -variation in the sense of Wiener [9], where the norm $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$ is defined with the aid of Luxemburg-Nakano-Orlicz seminorm [4, 7, 8].

Assume that H maps the set of functions $f \in BV_\varphi(I_a^b, X)$ such that $f(I_a^b) \subset C$ into $BV_\psi(I_a^b, Y)$. In the present paper we prove that, under some conditions, if H is uniformly continuous, then the left-left, right-right, left-right and right-left

regularizations of its generator h with respect to the two first variables are affine functions in the third variable.

This generalizes the result of Chistyakov [2] where it is assumed that H is Lipschitzian.

2. Preliminaries. We begin this section with some notations.

Let $a = (a_1, a_2)$, $b = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be such that $a_i < b_i$, $i = 1, 2$. In the sequel $I_a^b := [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$ denotes the basic rectangle. Let $\xi = (t_i)_{i=0}^m$ and $\eta = (s_j)_{j=0}^n$ be partitions of $[a_1, b_1]$ and $[a_2, b_2]$, respectively (i.e., $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m = b_1$ and $a_2 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n = b_2$). For each function $f \in X^{I_a^b}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{10}f(t_i, s_j) &= f(t_i, s_j) - f(t_{i-1}, s_j) \\ \Delta_{01}f(t_i, s_j) &= f(t_i, s_j) - f(t_i, s_{j-1}) \\ \Delta_{11}f(t_i, s_j) &= f(t_{i-1}, s_{j-1}) - f(t_{i-1}, s_j) - f(t_i, s_{j-1}) + f(t_i, s_j).\end{aligned}$$

We denote by \mathcal{F} the set of all non-decreasing continuous functions $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that $\varphi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$.

DEFINITION 2.1 (Chistyakov [2])

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$, X be a real normed space and $f \in X^{I_a^b}$ be a function.

(i) For $x_2 \in [a_2, b_2]$, the Jordan φ -variation of the function $f(\cdot, x_2)$ in $[x_1, y_1] \subset [a_1, b_1]$, denoted $v_{\varphi, [x_1, y_1]}(f) = v_{\varphi, [x_1, y_1]}(f(\cdot, x_2))$, is defined by

$$(1) \quad v_{\varphi, [x_1, y_1]}(f) := \sup_{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi(|\Delta_{10}f(t_i, x_2)|),$$

where the supremum is taken over all the partitions $\xi = (t_i)_{i=0}^m$ of $[x_1, y_1]$.

(ii) For $x_1 \in [a_1, b_1]$, the Jordan φ -variation of the function $f(x_1, \cdot)$ in $[x_2, y_2] \subset [a_2, b_2]$ is defined by

$$(2) \quad v_{\varphi, [x_2, y_2]}(f) := \sup_{\eta} \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi(|\Delta_{01}f(x_1, s_j)|),$$

where the supremum is taken over all the partitions $\eta = (s_j)_{j=0}^n$ of $[x_2, y_2]$.

(iii) The (two-dimensional) Hardy-Vitali-Wiener φ -variation of a function $f \in X^{I_a^b}$, denoted $v_{\varphi, I_a^b}(f)$, is defined by

$$(3) \quad v_{\varphi, I_a^b}(f) := \sup_{(\xi, \eta)} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi(|\Delta_{11}f(t_i, s_j)|),$$

where the supremum is taken over all pairs $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (ξ, η) with ξ a partition of $[a_1, b_1]$ and η a partition of $[a_2, b_2]$ of the above form.

(iv) The Wiener total φ -variation of $f \in X^{I_a^b}$ is defined by

$$(4) \quad TV_\varphi(f) = TV_\varphi(f, I_a^b) := v_{\varphi, [a_1, b_1]}(f(\cdot, a_2)) + v_{\varphi, [a_2, b_2]}(f(a_1, \cdot)) + v_{\varphi, I_a^b}(f).$$

(v) A function $f \in X^{I_a^b}$ is of bounded Wiener total φ -variation in I_a^b , if $TV_\varphi(f) < \infty$.

(vi) The class of all functions $f \in X^{I_a^b}$ with finite Wiener total φ -variation is denoted by $V_\varphi(I_a^b, X)$; that is

$$V_\varphi(I_a^b, X) := \left\{ f \in X^{I_a^b} : TV_\varphi(f) < \infty \right\}.$$

We denote by $BV_\varphi(I_a^b, X)$ the vector space generated by $V_\varphi(I_a^b, X)$, i.e.

$$BV_\varphi(I_a^b, X) = \left\{ f \in X^{I_a^b} : \exists \lambda > 0 \text{ such that } \lambda f \in V_\varphi(I_a^b, X) \right\}.$$

In the space $BV_\varphi(I_a^b, X)$ we define the norm

$$\|f\|_\varphi := |f(a)| + p_\varphi(f),$$

where

$$p_\varphi(f) = \inf \{ \epsilon > 0 : TV_\varphi(f/\epsilon) \leq 1 \}.$$

Some properties of p_φ are in the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2 (Chistyakov [2]) For $f \in BV_\varphi(I_a^b, X)$, we have

(a) if $(t, s), (t', s') \in I_a^b$, then $|f(t, s) - f(t', s')| \leq 4\varphi^{-1}(1)p_\varphi(f)$;

(b) if $p_\varphi(f) > 0$ then $TV_\varphi\left(\frac{f}{p_\varphi(f)}\right) \leq 1$;

(c) if $\lambda > 0$ then

(c1) $p_\varphi(f) \leq \lambda$ if and only if $TV_\varphi\left(\frac{f}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1$;

(c2) if $TV_\varphi(f/\lambda) = 1$ then $p_\varphi(f) = \lambda$. ■

THEOREM 2.3 (Chistyakov [2]) If $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ is convex and X is a Banach space, then $(BV_\varphi(I_a^b), \|\cdot\|_\varphi)$ is a Banach space. ■

In the sequel we will use the notions of left-left regularization and the left-left continuity of a function of two variables. Let $f : I_a^b \rightarrow X$. If the function $f^- : I_a^b \rightarrow X$ given by

$$f^-(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} \lim_{\substack{y_1 \rightarrow x_1^- \\ y_2 \rightarrow x_2^-}} f(y_1, y_2) & \text{for } (x_1, x_2) \in (a_1, b_1] \times (a_2, b_2], \\ \lim_{\substack{y_1 \rightarrow x_1^- \\ y_2 \rightarrow a_2^+}} f(y_1, y_2) & \text{for } x_1 \in (a_1, b_1] \text{ and } x_2 = a_2, \\ \lim_{\substack{y_1 \rightarrow a_1^+ \\ y_2 \rightarrow x_2^-}} f(y_1, y_2) & \text{for } x_1 = a_1 \text{ and } x_2 \in (a_2, b_2], \\ \lim_{\substack{y_1 \rightarrow a_1^+ \\ y_2 \rightarrow a_2^+}} f(y_1, y_2) & \text{for } x_1 = a_1 \text{ and } x_2 = a_2. \end{cases}$$

is well defined, that is, if all the above limits exist, then f^- is called the *left-left regularization* of f .

REMARK 2.4

It is to be noted that $(y_1, y_2) \rightarrow (x_1^-, x_2^-)$ means that $(y_1, y_2) \in I_a^b$, $y_i < x_i, i = 1, 2$, and $(y_1, y_2) \rightarrow (x_1, x_2)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 , and similarly for the other limits.

REMARK 2.5

In a similar way we can define the right-right, left-right, right-left regularizations of a function $f \in BV_\varphi(I_a^b, X)$.

DEFINITION 2.6 A function $f : I_a^b \rightarrow X$ is said to be left-left continuous if

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (t^-, s^-)} f(x, y) = f(t, s) \quad \text{for all } (t, s) \in (a_1, b_1] \times (a_2, b_2].$$

LEMMA 2.7 (Chistyakov [2]) If $f \in BV_\varphi(I_a^b, X)$ then f^- exists, $f^- \in BV_\varphi^-(I_a^b, X)$ and f^- is left-left continuous. ■

REMARK 2.8

The respective counterparts of Lemma 2.7 for the right-right, left-right and right-left regularizations hold also true.

We denote by $BV_\varphi^-(I_a^b, X)$ the subspace of $BV_\varphi(I_a^b, X)$ of those functions which are left-left continuous on $(a_1, b_1] \times (a_2, b_2]$.

3. Main result. Denote by $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ the space of all additive mappings $A : X \rightarrow Y$ and by $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ the space of all continuous linear mappings $A : X \rightarrow Y$.

The main result of this section reads as follows:

THEOREM 3.1 Let $I_a^b \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be rectangle, $(X, |\cdot|)$ be a real normed space, $(Y, |\cdot|)$ be a real Banach space, C be a closed convex subset in X and assume that $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{F}$. If the composition operator H generated by $h : I_a^b \times C \rightarrow Y$ maps $BV_\varphi(I_a^b, C)$ into $BV_\psi(I_a^b, Y)$, and is uniformly continuous, then there exist functions $A : I_a^b \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ and $B : I_a^b \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$h^-(t, s, x) = A(t, s)x + B(t, s), \quad (t, s) \in I_a^b, \quad x \in C,$$

where h^- is the left-left regularization of the function $(t, s) \rightarrow h(t, s, x)$. Moreover, if $0 \in C$ and $\text{int}C \neq \emptyset$, then $A : I_a^b \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ and $B \in BV_\psi^-(I_a^b, Y)$.

PROOF For every $x \in C$, the constant function $I_a^b \ni (t, s) \longrightarrow x$ belongs to $BV_\varphi(I_a^b, C)$. Since H maps $BV_\varphi(I_a^b, C)$ into $BV_\psi(I_a^b, Y)$, the function $I_a^b \ni (t, s) \mapsto h(t, s, x)$ belongs to $BV_\psi(I_a^b, Y)$. Now Lemma 2.7 implies the existence of the left-left regularization h^- of function $(t, s) \rightarrow h(t, s, x)$. By assumption, H is uniformly continuous on $BV_\varphi(I_a^b, C)$. Let $\omega : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be the *modulus continuity* of H , that is

$$\omega(\rho) := \sup \{ \|H(f_1) - H(f_2)\|_\psi : \|f_1 - f_2\|_\varphi \leq \rho; f_1, f_2 \in BV_\varphi(I_a^b, C) \}, \quad \text{for } \rho > 0.$$

Hence we get

$$(5) \quad \|H(f_1) - H(f_2)\|_\psi \leq \omega(\|f_1 - f_2\|_\varphi), \quad \text{for } f_1, f_2 \in BV_\varphi(I_a^b, C).$$

From the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_\psi$ we obtain

$$(6) \quad p_\psi(H(f_1) - H(f_2)) \leq \|H(f_1) - H(f_2)\|_\psi, \quad \text{for } f_1, f_2 \in BV_\varphi(I_a^b, C).$$

From Lemma 2.2(c1) and (6), if $\omega(\|f_1 - f_2\|_\varphi) > 0$, then

$$(7) \quad v_{\psi, [a_1, b_1]} \left(\frac{(H(f_1) - H(f_2))(\cdot, a_2)}{\omega(\|f_1 - f_2\|_\varphi)} \right) \leq TV_\psi \left(\frac{H(f_1) - H(f_2)}{\omega(\|f_1 - f_2\|_\varphi)} \right) \leq 1.$$

Therefore, for any $a_1 = \alpha_1 < \beta_1 < \alpha_2 < \beta_2 < \dots < \alpha_m < \beta_m = b_1$; $a_2 = \bar{\alpha}_1 < \bar{\beta}_1 < \bar{\alpha}_2 < \bar{\beta}_2 < \dots < \bar{\alpha}_m < \bar{\beta}_m = b_2$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the definitions of the operator H and the functional $v_{\psi, [a_1, b_1]}(\cdot, a_2)$, imply that

(8)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^m \psi \left(\frac{|h(\alpha_i, \bar{\alpha}_i, f_1(\alpha_i, \bar{\alpha}_i)) - h(\alpha_i, \bar{\alpha}_i, f_2(\alpha_i, \bar{\alpha}_i)) - h(\alpha_i, \bar{\beta}_i, f_1(\alpha_i, \bar{\beta}_i)) + h(\alpha_i, \bar{\beta}_i, f_2(\alpha_i, \bar{\beta}_i))|}{\omega(\|f_1 - f_2\|_\varphi)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{h(\beta_i, \bar{\alpha}_i, f_1(\beta_i, \bar{\alpha}_i)) + h(\beta_i, \bar{\alpha}_i, f_2(\beta_i, \bar{\alpha}_i)) + h(\beta_i, \bar{\beta}_i, f_1(\beta_i, \bar{\beta}_i)) - h(\beta_i, \bar{\beta}_i, f_2(\beta_i, \bar{\beta}_i))|}{\omega(\|f_1 - f_2\|_\varphi)} \right) \\ & \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha < \beta$, we define functions $\eta_{\alpha, \beta} : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$(9) \quad \eta_{\alpha, \beta}(t) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \leq \alpha \\ \frac{t - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} & \text{if } \alpha \leq t \leq \beta \\ 1 & \text{if } \beta \leq t. \end{cases}$$

We first fix $t \in (a_1, b_1]$, $s \in (a_2, b_2]$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For arbitrary finite sequence $a_1 < \alpha_1 < \beta_1 < \alpha_2 < \beta_2 < \dots < \alpha_m < \beta_m < t$, $a_2 < \bar{\alpha}_1 < \bar{\beta}_1 < \bar{\alpha}_2 < \bar{\beta}_2 < \dots < \bar{\alpha}_m < \bar{\beta}_m < s$ and $x_1, x_2 \in C$, $x_1 \neq x_2$, the functions $f_1, f_2 : I_a^b \longrightarrow X$ defined by

$$(10) \quad f_\ell(\tau, \gamma) := \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\eta_{\alpha_i, \beta_i}(\tau) + \eta_{\bar{\alpha}_i, \bar{\beta}_i}(\gamma) - 1 \right) (x_1 - x_2) + x_\ell + x_2 \right], \quad (\tau, \gamma) \in I_a^b, \ell = 1, 2,$$

belong to $BV_\varphi(I_a^b, C)$. From (10) we have

$$f_1 - f_2 = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{2},$$

therefore

$$\|f_1 - f_2\|_\varphi = \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{2} \right|;$$

and, moreover,

$$f_1(\alpha_i, \bar{\alpha}_i) = x_2; \quad f_2(\alpha_i, \bar{\alpha}_i) = \frac{-x_1 + 3x_2}{2}; \quad f_1(\beta_i, \bar{\alpha}_i) = x_2; \quad f_2(\beta_i, \bar{\alpha}_i) = \frac{-x_1 + 3x_2}{2};$$

$$f_1(\beta_i, \bar{\beta}_i) = x_1; \quad f_2(\beta_i, \bar{\beta}_i) = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}; \quad f_1(\alpha_i, \bar{\beta}_i) = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}; \quad f_2(\alpha_i, \bar{\beta}_i) = x_2.$$

Using (8), we hence get

$$(11) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \psi \left(\frac{\left| h(\alpha_i, \bar{\alpha}_i, x_2) - h(\alpha_i, \bar{\alpha}_i, \frac{-x_1+3x_2}{2}) - h(\alpha_i, \bar{\beta}_i, \frac{x_1+x_2}{2}) + h(\alpha_i, \bar{\beta}_i, x_2) \right|}{\omega(\|f_1 - f_2\|_\varphi)} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{h(\beta_i, \bar{\alpha}_i, x_2) + h(\beta_i, \bar{\alpha}_i, \frac{-x_1+3x_2}{2}) + h(\beta_i, \bar{\beta}_i, x_1) - h(\beta_i, \bar{\beta}_i, \frac{x_1+x_2}{2})}{\omega(\|f_1 - f_2\|_\varphi)} \right) \\ \leq 1.$$

Since, for any $x \in C$, the constant function $I_a^b \ni (t, s) \rightarrow x$ belongs to $BV_\varphi(I_a^b, C)$ and H maps $BV_\varphi(I_a^b, C)$ into $BV_\psi(I_a^b, Y)$, the function $I_a^b \ni (t, s) \mapsto h(t, s, x)$ is in $BV_\psi(I_a^b, Y)$ for any fixed $x \in C$. From continuity of ψ and the left-left continuity of h^- (Lemma 2.7), letting $(\alpha_1, \bar{\alpha}_1)$ tend to (t, s) from the left in (11), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \psi \left(\frac{\left| h^-(t, s, x_1) - 2h^-(t, s, \frac{x_1+x_2}{2}) + h^-(t, s, x_2) \right|}{\omega\left(\frac{|x_1-x_2|}{2}\right)} \right) \leq 1,$$

that is

$$\psi \left(\frac{\left| h^-(t, s, x_1) - 2h^-(t, s, \frac{x_1+x_2}{2}) + h^-(t, s, x_2) \right|}{\omega\left(\frac{|x_1-x_2|}{2}\right)} \right) \leq \frac{1}{m}.$$

Hence, since $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary,

$$\psi \left(\frac{\left| h^-(t, s, x_1) - 2h^-(t, s, \frac{x_1+x_2}{2}) + h^-(t, s, x_2) \right|}{\omega\left(\frac{|x_1-x_2|}{2}\right)} \right) = 0.$$

As $\psi \in \mathcal{F}$, we obtain

$$h^-(t, s, x_1) - 2h^-(t, s, \frac{x_1+x_2}{2}) + h^-(t, s, x_2) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$(12) \quad h^-\left(t, s, \frac{x_1+x_2}{2}\right) = \frac{h^-(t, s, x_1) + h^-(t, s, x_2)}{2}$$

for all $(t, s) \in (a_1, b_1] \times (a_2, b_2]$, and for all $x_1, x_2 \in C$.

For $t \in (a_1, b_1]$ and $s = a_2$, let us fix $a_1 < \alpha_1 < \beta_1 < \alpha_2 < \beta_2 < \dots < \alpha_m < \beta_m < t$ and $a_2 < \bar{\alpha}_1 < \bar{\beta}_1 < \bar{\alpha}_2 < \bar{\beta}_2 < \dots < \bar{\alpha}_m < \bar{\beta}_m < b_2$. Proceeding as above we get (11). Taking the limit as $(\alpha_1, \bar{\beta}_m) \rightarrow (t^-, a_2^+)$ and by (11), we get, again (12). The cases when $t = a_1$ and $s \in (a_2, b_2]$ or $t = a_1$ and $s = a_2$ can be treated similarly. Consequently,

$$h^-\left(t, s, \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}\right) = \frac{h^-(t, s, x_1) + h^-(t, s, x_2)}{2}$$

is valid for all $(t, s) \in I_a^b$ and all $x_1, x_2 \in C$.

Therefore, the function $h^-(t, s, \cdot)$ satisfies the Jensen functional equation in C , for each $(t, s) \in I_a^b$. Adapting the standard argument (cf. Kuczma [3]), we conclude that, for each $(t, s) \in I_a^b$ there exist an additive function $A(t, s)$ and $B(t, s) \in Y$ such that

$$(13) \quad h^-(t, s, x) = A(t, s)x + B(t, s), \quad x \in C, (t, s) \in I_a^b.$$

The “moreover part”, follow from the uniform continuity of the operator $H : BV_\varphi(I_a^b, C) \rightarrow BV_\psi(I_a^b, Y)$ and $\text{int}C \neq \emptyset$ imply the continuity of the function $A(t, s)$, consequently $A(t, s) \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$.

Since $0 \in C$, putting $x = 0$ in (13), we get

$$h^-(t, s, 0) = B(t, s), \quad (t, s) \in I_a^b,$$

which shows that $B \in BV_\psi(I_a^b, Y)$. ■

REMARK 3.2 The counterparts of Theorem 3.1 for the right-right, right-left and left-right regularizations are also valid.

REMARK 3.3 The uniformly continuous composition operators for functions of bounded variation in a single variable were considered in [5].

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Appell and P. P. Zabrejko, *Nonlinear Superposition Operator*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1990.
- [2] V. V. Chistyakov, *Superposition Operators in the Algebra of Functions of two Variables with Finite Total Variation*, Monatshefte für Mathematik 137 (2002), 99-114.
- [3] M. Kuczma, *An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities*, Polish Scientific Editors and Silesian University, Warszawa -Kraków- Katowice, 1985.
- [4] W. A. Luxemburg, *Banach Function Spaces*, Ph.D. thesis, Technische Hogeschool te Delft, Netherlands, 1955.

- [5] J. Matkowski, *Uniformly continuous superposition operators in the space of bounded variation functions*, Math. Nachr. **282** (2010).
- [6] J. Matkowski and J. Miś, *On a Characterization of Lipschitzian Operators of Substitution in the Space $BV([a, b])$* , Math. Nachr. **117** (1984), 155-159.
- [7] H. Nakano, *Modular Semi-Ordered Spaces*, Tokyo, 1950.
- [8] W. Orlicz, *A note on modular spaces. I*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **9** (1961), 157-162.
- [9] N. Wiener, *The quadratic variation of function and its Fourier coefficients*, Massachusetts J. Math. **3** (1924), 72-94.

J. A. GUERRERO
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL EXPERIMENTAL DEL TÁCHIRA, DPTO. DE MATEMÁTICAS Y FÍSICA
SAN CRISTÓBAL-VENEZUELA
E-mail: jaguerrero4@gmail.com, jguerre@unet.edu.ve

J. MATKOWSKI
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ECONOMETRICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZIELONA GÓRA
ZIELONA GÓRA, POLAND
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SILESIAN UNIVERSITY
KATOWICE, POLAND
E-mail: J.Matkowski@wmie.uz.zgora.pl

N. MERENTES
UNIVERSIDAD CENTRAL DE VENEZUELA, ESCUELA DE MATEMÁTICAS
CARACAS-VENEZUELA
E-mail: nmer@ciens.ucv.ve

(Received: 28.09.2009)
