

GRZEGORZ DYMEK, ANDRZEJ WALENDZIAK

On maximal ideals of pseudo MV -algebras

Abstract. We investigate maximal ideals of pseudo MV -algebras and give some characterizations of them. Some properties of a family of maximal ideals of a pseudo MV -algebra generating this algebra are shown as well. Finally, we are interested in finding an example of a pseudo MV -algebra generated by its maximal ideal.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 06D35.

Key words and phrases: pseudo MV -algebra, ideal, maximal (implicative) ideal.



1. Preliminaries.

Pseudo MV -algebras, introduced by G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu in [5] and independently by J. Rachunek in [7] (he uses the name generalized MV -algebra or, in short, GMV -algebra), are a non-commutative generalization of MV -algebras.

Let $A = (A, \oplus, ^-, \sim, 0, 1)$ be an algebra of type $(2, 1, 1, 0, 0)$. Set $x \cdot y = (y^- \oplus x^-)^{\sim}$ for any $x, y \in A$. We consider that the operation \cdot has priority to the operation \oplus , i.e., we will write $x \oplus y \cdot z$ instead of $x \oplus (y \cdot z)$. The algebra A is called a *pseudo MV -algebra* if for any $x, y, z \in A$ the following conditions are satisfied:

- (A1) $x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z$;
- (A2) $x \oplus 0 = 0 \oplus x = x$;
- (A3) $x \oplus 1 = 1 \oplus x = 1$;
- (A4) $1^{\sim} = 0$; $1^- = 0$;
- (A5) $(x^- \oplus y^-)^{\sim} = (x^{\sim} \oplus y^{\sim})^-$;
- (A6) $x \oplus x^{\sim} \cdot y = y \oplus y^{\sim} \cdot x = x \cdot y^- \oplus y = y \cdot x^- \oplus x$;
- (A7) $x \cdot (x^- \oplus y) = (x \oplus y^{\sim}) \cdot y$;
- (A8) $(x^-)^{\sim} = x$.

If the addition \oplus is commutative, then both unary operations $-$ and \sim coincide and A can be considered as an MV -algebra.

Throughout this paper A will denote a pseudo MV -algebra. We will write x^- instead of $(x^-)^-$ and x^\sim instead of $(x^\sim)^\sim$. For any $x \in A$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ we put

$$\begin{aligned} 0x &= 0 \text{ and } (n+1)x = nx \oplus x; \\ x^0 &= 1 \text{ and } x^{n+1} = x^n \cdot x. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 1.1 (GEORGESCU AND IORGULESCU [5]) *The following properties hold for any $x, y \in A$:*

- (a) $(x^\sim)^- = x$;
- (b) $(x^-)^\sim = x^\sim$;
- (c) $0^\sim = 0^- = 1$;
- (d) $1^\sim = 1$;
- (e) $x^\sim \cdot x = 0$;
- (f) $x \oplus x^\sim = 1$; $x^- \oplus x = 1$;
- (g) $(x \oplus y)^- = y^- \cdot x^-$; $(x \oplus y)^\sim = y^\sim \cdot x^\sim$;
- (h) $(x \cdot y)^- = y^- \oplus x^-$; $(x \cdot y)^\sim = y^\sim \oplus x^\sim$;
- (i) $x \oplus y = (y^\sim \cdot x^\sim)^-$;
- (j) $(x \oplus y)^\sim = x^\sim \oplus y^\sim$.

PROPOSITION 1.2 (GEORGESCU AND IORGULESCU [5]) *The following properties are equivalent for any $x, y \in A$:*

- (a) $x^- \oplus y = 1$;
- (b) $y^\sim \cdot x = 0$;
- (c) $y \oplus x^\sim = 1$.

We define

$$(1) \quad x \leq y \iff x^- \oplus y = 1.$$

As it is shown in [5], (A, \leq) is a lattice in which the join $x \vee y$ and the meet $x \wedge y$ of any two elements x and y are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} x \vee y &= x \oplus x^\sim \cdot y = x \cdot y^- \oplus y; \\ x \wedge y &= x \cdot (x^- \oplus y) = (x \oplus y^\sim) \cdot y. \end{aligned}$$

For every pseudo MV -algebra A we set $\mathcal{L}(A) = (A, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$.

PROPOSITION 1.3 (GEORGESCU AND IORGULESCU [5]) *$\mathcal{L}(A)$ is a bounded distributive lattice.*

PROPOSITION 1.4 (GEORGESCU AND IORGULESCU [5]) *Let $a, x, y \in A$. Then the following properties hold:*

- (a) $x \leq y \iff y^- \leq x^- \iff y^\sim \leq x^\sim$;
 (b) $x \leq y \implies x \cdot a \leq y \cdot a$;
 (c) $x \cdot y \leq x; x \cdot y \leq y; x \leq x \oplus y; y \leq x \oplus y$;
 (d) $(x \wedge y)^\sim = x^\sim \vee y^\sim; (x \wedge y)^- = x^- \vee y^-$.

Let $\text{Inf}(A) = \{x \wedge x^- : x \in A\}$. Observe that $\text{Inf}(A) = \{x \wedge x^\sim : x \in A\}$. Indeed, let $y = x \wedge x^-$. Then $y = x^- \wedge (x^-)^\sim = z \wedge z^\sim$, where $z = x^-$. On the other hand, if $y = x \wedge x^\sim$, then $y = x^\sim \wedge (x^\sim)^- = z \wedge z^-$, where $z = x^\sim$.

THEOREM 1.5 *For every $x \in A$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $x \in \text{Inf}(A)$;
 (b) $x \leq x^-$;
 (c) $x \leq x^\sim$;
 (d) $x^2 = 0$;
 (e) $2x^- = 1$;
 (f) $2x^\sim = 1$.

PROOF (a) \implies (b): Let $x \in \text{Inf}(A)$. Then $x = z \wedge z^- \leq z^- \leq z^- \vee (z^-)^- = (z \wedge z^-)^- = x^-$ by Proposition 1.4(d).

(b) \implies (c): Suppose that $x \leq x^-$. By Axiom (A8) and Proposition 1.4(a), $x = (x^-)^\sim \leq x^\sim$.

(c) \implies (d): Let $x \leq x^\sim$. We conclude from Proposition 1.4(b) that $x \cdot x \leq x^\sim \cdot x$, hence that $x^2 \leq 0$, and finally that $x^2 = 0$.

(d) \implies (e): Let $x^2 = 0$. Then $(x^2)^- = 0^-$. Applying Proposition 1.1(h, c) we have $2x^- = 1$.

(e) \implies (f): Suppose that $2x^- = 1$. Hence $(2x^-)^\sim = 1^\sim$. From Proposition 1.1(d, j) we deduce that $2(x^-)^\sim = 1$. By Proposition 1.1(b), $2x^\sim = 1$.

(f) \implies (a): Let $2x^\sim = 1$. Then $(2x^\sim)^- = 1^-$. Applying Proposition 1.1(g) and Axiom (A4) we obtain $[(x^\sim)^-]^2 = 0$. Since $(x^\sim)^- = x = (x^-)^\sim$, we have $(x^-)^\sim \cdot x = 0$. Therefore $x \leq x^-$ by Proposition 1.2. Consequently, $x = x \wedge x^- \in \text{Inf}(A)$. \blacksquare

2. Ideals.

DEFINITION 2.1 An *ideal* of A is a subset I of A satisfying the following conditions:

- (I1) $0 \in I$;
 (I2) If $x, y \in I$, then $x \oplus y \in I$;
 (I3) If $x \in I$, $y \in A$ and $y \leq x$, then $y \in I$.

Under this definition, $\{0\}$ and A are the simplest examples of ideals.

PROPOSITION 2.2 (WALENDZIAK [9]) *Let I be a nonvoid subset of A . I is an ideal of A if and only if I satisfies conditions (I2) and*

- (I3') *If $x \in I$, $y \in A$, then $x \wedge y \in I$.*

Denote by $\text{Id}(A)$ the set of ideals of A and note that $\text{Id}(A)$ ordered by set inclusion is a complete lattice.

REMARK 2.3 Let $I \in \text{Id}(A)$.

- (a) If $x, y \in I$, then $x \cdot y, x \wedge y, x \vee y \in I$.
- (b) I is an ideal of the lattice $\mathcal{L}(A)$.

DEFINITION 2.4 Let I be a proper ideal of A (i.e., $I \neq A$).

- (a) I is called *prime* if, for all $I_1, I_2 \in \text{Id}(A)$, $I = I_1 \cap I_2$ implies $I = I_1$ or $I = I_2$.
- (b) I is *maximal* iff whenever J is an ideal such that $I \subseteq J \subseteq A$, then either $J = I$ or $J = A$.

Next lemma is obvious and its proof will be omitted.

LEMMA 2.5 *Every proper ideal of A can be extended to a maximal ideal.*

PROPOSITION 2.6 (WALENDZIAK [9]) *If $I \in \text{Id}(A)$ is maximal, then I is prime.*

PROPOSITION 2.7 (GEORGESCU AND IORGULESCU [5]) *For $I \in \text{Id}(A)$, the following are equivalent:*

- (a) I is prime;
- (b) If $x \wedge y \in I$, then $x \in I$ or $y \in I$.

DEFINITION 2.8 An ideal I of A is called *regular* if I has the unique cover I^* in the lattice $\text{Id}(A)$.

DEFINITION 2.9 A pseudo MV-algebra A is called *normal-valued* if for any regular ideal I of A and any $x \in I^*$, $x \oplus I = I \oplus x$.

An element $x \neq 0$ of a pseudo MV-algebra A is called *infinitesimal* (see [8]) if x satisfies condition

$$nx \leq x^- \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let us denote by $\text{Infinit}(A)$ the set of all infinitesimal elements in A and by $\text{Rad}(A)$ the intersection of all maximal ideals of A .

PROPOSITION 2.10 (RACHŮNEK [8]) *Let A be a pseudo MV-algebra. Then:*

- (a) $\text{Rad}(A) \subseteq \text{Infinit}(A)$;
- (b) *If A is normal-valued, then $\text{Rad}(A) = \text{Infinit}(A)$.*

PROPOSITION 2.11 *The following statements hold:*

- (a) *If $x \in \text{Inf}(A)$ and $y \leq x$, then $y \in \text{Inf}(A)$;*
- (b) $\text{Infinit}(A) \subseteq \text{Inf}(A)$.

PROOF (a) Let $x \in \text{Inf}(A)$ and $y \leq x$. We have $y \leq x \leq x^- \leq y^-$ by Proposition 1.4(a). Hence $y \in \text{Inf}(A)$.

(b) Let $x \in \text{Infin}(A)$. Since $x \leq nx \leq x^-$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \text{Inf}(A)$. Thus $\text{Infin}(A) \subseteq \text{Inf}(A)$. ■

PROPOSITION 2.12 *If $\text{Inf}(A)$ is an ideal of A , then $\text{Inf}(A) = \text{Infin}(A)$.*

PROOF Let $\text{Inf}(A)$ be an ideal of A and $x \in \text{Inf}(A)$. Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $nx \in \text{Inf}(A)$ and hence $nx \leq (nx)^- \leq x^-$ by Proposition 1.4(a). Therefore $x \in \text{Infin}(A)$. Thus $\text{Inf}(A) \subseteq \text{Infin}(A)$. Proposition 2.11 now gives $\text{Inf}(A) = \text{Infin}(A)$. ■

By Propositions 2.10 and 2.12 we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.13 *Let A be a normal-valued pseudo MV-algebra. Then $\text{Inf}(A)$ is an ideal of A if and only if $\text{Inf}(A) = \text{Rad}(A)$.*

DEFINITION 2.14 An ideal I of A is called *implicative* if for any $x, y, z \in A$ it satisfies the following condition:

(Im) $(x \cdot y \cdot z \in I \text{ and } z^\sim \cdot y \in I) \implies x \cdot y \in I$.

PROPOSITION 2.15 (WALENDZIAK [9]) *The implication (Im) is equivalent to (Im')* For all $x, y, z \in A$, if $x \cdot y \cdot z^- \in I$ and $z \cdot y \in I$, then $x \cdot y \in I$.

PROPOSITION 2.16 (WALENDZIAK [9]) *Let $I \in \text{Id}(A)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) I is implicative;
- (b) $\text{Inf}(A) \subseteq I$.

For a nonvoid subset B of a pseudo MV-algebra A we put:

$$B^\sim = \{x^\sim : x \in B\} \text{ and } B^- = \{x^- : x \in B\}.$$

PROPOSITION 2.17 *Let I be a proper ideal of A . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $A = I \cup I^\sim$;
- (b) $A = I \cup I^-$;
- (c) $I^\sim = I^- = A - I$.

PROOF (a) \implies (b): Let $A = I \cup I^\sim$ and suppose that $x \in A - I$. Observe that $x^\sim \in I$. Indeed, if $x^\sim \notin I$, then $x^\sim \in I^\sim$, and hence $x \in I$, a contradiction. Therefore $x = (x^\sim)^- \in I^-$. Consequently, $A = I \cup I^-$.

Similarly (b) \implies (a). The proof is completed by showing that $I \cap I^- = I \cap I^\sim = \emptyset$. Let $x \in I$ and $x = y^-$, where $y \in I$. By Proposition 1.1(f), $x \oplus y = y^- \oplus y = 1$. Since I is an ideal, $x \oplus y \in I$, and consequently $1 \in I$. Thus $I = A$, which is impossible. Therefore $I \cap I^- = \emptyset$ and similarly $I \cap I^\sim = \emptyset$. ■

DEFINITION 2.18 An ideal I of A is called *normal* if it satisfies the condition:

(N) For all $x, y \in I$, $x \cdot y^- \in I \iff y^\sim \cdot x \in I$.

LEMMA 2.19 (GEORGESCU AND IORGULESCU [5]) *Let I be a normal ideal of A . Then for all $x \in A$:*

$$x \in I \iff x^\sim \in I.$$

For every subset $W \subseteq A$, the smallest ideal of A which contains W , i.e., the intersection of all ideals $I \supseteq W$, is said to be the ideal *generated* by W , and will be denoted by (W) .

PROPOSITION 2.20 (GEORGESCU AND IORGULESCU [5]) *Let I be a normal ideal of A and $x \in A$. Then*

$$(I \cup \{x\}) = \{t \in A : t \leq y \oplus nx \text{ for some } y \in I \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Following [5], for any normal ideal I of A , we define the congruence on A :

$$x \sim_I y \iff x \cdot y^- \vee y \cdot x^- \in I.$$

We denote by x/I the congruence class of an element $x \in A$ and on the set $A/I = \{x/I : x \in A\}$ we define the operations:

$$x/I \oplus y/I = (x \oplus y)/I, \quad (x/I)^- = (x^-)/I, \quad (x/I)^\sim = (x^\sim)/I.$$

The resulting quotient algebra $A/I = (A/I, \oplus, ^-, \sim, 0/I, 1/I)$ becomes a pseudo MV-algebra, called *the quotient algebra of A by the normal ideal I* . Observe that for all $x, y \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} x/I \cdot y/I &= (x \cdot y)/I; \\ x/I \vee y/I &= (x \vee y)/I; \\ x/I \wedge y/I &= (x \wedge y)/I. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that:

$$(2) \quad x/I = y/I \iff x \cdot y^- \vee y \cdot x^- \in I \iff x^\sim \cdot y \vee y^\sim \cdot x \in I;$$

$$(3) \quad x/I = 0/I \iff x \in I;$$

$$(4) \quad x/I = 1/I \iff x^- \in I \iff x^\sim \in I.$$

3. Maximal ideals.

In this section we generalize some facts concerning maximal ideals of MV-algebras. First, we give a generalization of Theorem 4.7 of [2]. Recall that a pseudo MV-algebra A is *locally finite* if and only if for any $x \neq 0$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $nx = 1$. Recall also that a pseudo MV-algebra A is *simple* if and only if there is no non-trivial proper ideal of A .

PROPOSITION 3.1 (DVUREČENSKIJ [4]) *A normal ideal I of a pseudo MV-algebra A is maximal if and only if A/I is a simple pseudo MV-algebra.*

THEOREM 3.2 *Let M be a normal ideal of A , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) M is maximal;
- (b) $(\forall x \notin M)(\exists n \in \mathbb{N})(x^-)^n \in M$;
- (c) $(\forall x \notin M)(\exists n \in \mathbb{N})(x^\sim)^n \in M$;
- (d) A/M is a locally finite pseudo MV-algebra;
- (e) A/M is a simple pseudo MV-algebra.

PROOF (a) \Rightarrow (b): Let $x \notin M$. Take $I = (M \cup \{x\})$. By Proposition 2.20, $I = \{t \in A : t \leq y \oplus nx \text{ for some } y \in M \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Note that $M \subset I$ because if $y \in M$, then by Proposition 1.4(c), $y \leq y \oplus x$ and hence $y \in I$. Since $x \in I - M$ and M is maximal, we obtain $I = A$. Thus $1 \in I$, i.e.,

$$1 = y \oplus nx \text{ for some } y \in M \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From Axiom (A8) we have $y \oplus ((nx)^-)^{\sim} = 1$ and hence $(nx)^- \leq y$ (by (1) and Proposition 1.2). Therefore $(x^-)^n \leq y$ by Proposition 1.1(g). Finally, since $y \in M$ and $(x^-)^n \leq y$, we have $(x^-)^n \in M$.

(b) \Leftrightarrow (c): Applying Proposition 1.1(g, b) we get

$$(5) \quad (x^\sim)^n = (nx)^\sim = ((nx)^-)^{\sim} = ((x^-)^n)^{\sim}.$$

Since M is a normal ideal of A , we have

$$(6) \quad (x^-)^n \in M \Leftrightarrow ((x^-)^n)^{\approx} \in M$$

by Lemma 2.19. Combining (5) with (6) yields

$$(x^-)^n \in M \Leftrightarrow (x^\sim)^n \in M.$$

Consequently, (b) \Leftrightarrow (c).

(c) \Rightarrow (d): Let $x/M \neq 0/M$. From (3) it follows that $x \notin M$. Since $(x^\sim)^n \in M$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $0/M = (x^\sim)^n / M = (x^\sim / M)^n = ((x/M)^\sim)^n$. Hence

$$1/M = (0^- / M) = (0/M)^- = [((x/M)^\sim)^n]^- = n(x/M)$$

by Proposition 1.1(i). Thus A/M is locally finite.

(d) \Rightarrow (e): Assume that A/M is a locally finite pseudo MV-algebra and there is a non-trivial proper ideal I of A/M . Take $x/M \in I$ such that $x/M \neq 0/M$. Since A/M is locally finite, $n(x/M) = 1/M$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But $n(x/M) \in I$ because I is an ideal. Therefore $1/M \in I$ and hence $I = A/M$ which contradicts the assumption that I is proper.

(e) \Rightarrow (a): See Proposition 3.1. ■

THEOREM 3.3 *Let M be a proper ideal of A . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) M is maximal and implicative;
- (b) M is prime and implicative;
- (c) M is prime and $\text{Inf}(A) \subseteq M$;
- (d) $A = M \cup M^\sim$;
- (e) $A = M \cup M^-$.

PROOF (a) \Rightarrow (b): See Proposition 2.6.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): By Proposition 2.16.

(c) \Rightarrow (d): Let $x \in A - M$. From (c) it follows that $x \wedge x^- \in M$. By Proposition 2.7, $x \in M$ or $x^- \in M$. Since $x \notin M$, we have $x^- \in M$. Then $x = (x^-)^\sim \in M^\sim$, and consequently (d) holds.

(d) \Rightarrow (e): See Proposition 2.17.

(e) \Rightarrow (a): Let $A = M \cup M^-$. It is easy to see that M is maximal. Now let $x \in A$ and suppose that $x \wedge x^- \notin M$. Hence $x \wedge x^- \in M^-$, and therefore $(x \wedge x^-)^\sim \in M$. By Proposition 1.4(d), $(x \wedge x^-)^\sim = x^\sim \vee (x^-)^\sim = x^\sim \vee x$. Consequently, $x \vee x^\sim \in M$. From this we deduce that $x, x^\sim \in M$ and hence $1 = x \oplus x^\sim \in M$, a contradiction. Thus $\text{Inf}(A) \subseteq M$ and by Proposition 2.16, M is implicative. \blacksquare

COROLLARY 3.4 *Let M be a proper ideal of A . If $A = M \cup M^\sim (= M \cup M^-)$, then M is a maximal ideal generating A .*

Next two theorems generalize Theorems 4.10 and 4.12 of [3], respectively. First, for any pseudo MV-algebra A we define

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_1(A) = \{M \in \text{Id}(A) : M \text{ is prime and implicative}\}.$$

By Theorem 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_1 &= \{M \in \text{Id}(A) : M \text{ is prime and } \text{Inf}(A) \subseteq M\} \\ &= \{M \in \text{Id}(A) - \{A\} : A = M \cup M^\sim\} \\ &= \{M \in \text{Id}(A) - \{A\} : A = M \cup M^-\}. \end{aligned}$$

From Corollary 3.4 it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_0(A) = \{M : M \text{ is a maximal ideal of } A \text{ generating } A\}.$$

Let I be a proper ideal of an MV-algebra A . C. S. Hoo [6] describes the subalgebra A_I generated by I . He proved that $A_I = I \cup I^-$. Therefore, if M is a maximal ideal of A generating A , then $A = M \cup M^-$. Hence $\mathcal{M}_0(A) = \mathcal{M}_1(A)$.

THEOREM 3.5 $\mathcal{M}_1 = \emptyset$ if and only if $(\text{Inf}(A)) = A$.

PROOF First, assume that $\mathcal{M}_1 = \emptyset$ and $(\text{Inf}(A))$ is a proper ideal of A (i.e., $(\text{Inf}(A)) \neq A$). By Lemma 2.5, there exists a maximal ideal M containing $(\text{Inf}(A))$. We can write $\text{Inf}(A) \subseteq (\text{Inf}(A)) \subseteq M$. From Theorem 3.3 it follows that $A = M \cup M^\sim$ and we obtain a contradiction. For the converse, take a prime ideal M such that $\text{Inf}(A) \subseteq M$. Then $A = (\text{Inf}(A)) \subseteq M$, which contradicts the assumption that M is proper. \blacksquare

THEOREM 3.6 $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{(\text{Inf}(A))\}$ if and only if $(\text{Inf}(A))$ is maximal.

PROOF If $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{(\text{Inf}(A))\}$, then, of course, $(\text{Inf}(A))$ is maximal. Now, assume that $(\text{Inf}(A))$ is maximal. Since $\text{Inf}(A) \subseteq (\text{Inf}(A))$, we deduce from Theorem 3.3 that $(\text{Inf}(A)) \in \mathcal{M}_1$. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_1$. Hence $\text{Inf}(A) \subseteq M$. Consequently, $(\text{Inf}(A)) \subseteq M$. We have $(\text{Inf}(A)) = M$, because $(\text{Inf}(A))$ is maximal. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{(\text{Inf}(A))\}$. ■

Finally, we give an example of a pseudo MV -algebra generated by its maximal ideal.

EXAMPLE 3.7 Let $A = \{(1, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y \geq 0\} \cup \{(2, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y \leq 0\}$, $\mathbf{0} = (1, 0)$, $\mathbf{1} = (2, 0)$. For any $(a, b), (c, d) \in A$, we define operations $\oplus, -, \sim$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b) \oplus (c, d) &= \begin{cases} (1, b + d) & \text{if } a = c = 1, \\ (2, ad + b) & \text{if } ac = 2 \text{ and } ad + b \leq 0, \\ (2, 0) & \text{in other cases,} \end{cases} \\ (a, b)^- &= \left(\frac{2}{a}, -\frac{2b}{a}\right), \\ (a, b)^\sim &= \left(\frac{2}{a}, -\frac{b}{a}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then $A = (A, \oplus, -, \sim, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$ is a pseudo MV -algebra. Let $M = \{(1, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y \geq 0\}$. Then M is a maximal ideal of A and $A = M \cup M^-$ is generated by M .

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Ambrosio and A. Lettieri, *A classification of bipartite MV-algebras*, Math. Japonica **38** (1993), 111–117.
- [2] C. C. Chang, *Algebraic analysis of many valued logics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1958), 467–490.
- [3] A. Di Nola, F. Liguori and S. Sessa, *Using maximal ideals in the classification of MV-algebras*, Port. Math. **50** (1993), 87–102.
- [4] A. Dvurečenskij, *States on Pseudo MV-algebras*, Studia Logica **68** (2001), 301–327.
- [5] G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, *Pseudo-MV algebras*, Multi. Val. Logic. **6** (2001), 95–135.
- [6] C. S. Hoo, *MV-algebras, ideals and simplicity*, Math. Japon. **34** (1989), 563–583.
- [7] J. Rachůnek, *A non-commutative generalization of MV-algebras*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **52** (2002), 255–273.
- [8] J. Rachůnek, *Radicals in non-commutative generalizations of MV-algebras*, Math. Slovaca **52** (2002), 135–144.
- [9] A. Walendziak, *On implicative ideals of pseudo MV-algebras*, Sci. Math. Jpn. **62** (2005), 281–287; e-2005, 363–369.

GRZEGORZ DYMEK
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF PODLASIE
3 MAJA 54, 08-110 SIEDLCE, POLAND
E-mail: gdymek@o2.pl

ANDRZEJ WALENDZIAK
WARSAW SCHOOL OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
NEWELSKA 6, 01-447 WARSZAWA, POLAND
E-mail: walent@interia.pl

(Received: 20.03.2006)
