

MAŁGORZATA FILIPCZAK, JACEK HEJDUK, WŁADYSŁAW WILCZYŃSKI

## On homeomorphisms of the density type topologies

**Abstract.** This paper is dealing of the homeomorphisms of the density type topologies introduced in [3].

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 54A10, 54C30; Secondary 26A24, 54C05.

*Key words and phrases:* Density points, density topology, homeomorphism.

Through the paper we shall use the standard notation:  $\mathbb{R}$  will be the set of real numbers,  $\mathcal{L}$  the family of Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $l(A)$  the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set  $A$ . By  $\mathbb{N}$  we shall denote the set of all positive integers and by  $\mathcal{S}$  the family of all unbounded and nondecreasing sequences of positive reals. If  $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{S}$ , we shall denote  $\langle s \rangle = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

**DEFINITION 1** (cf.[3]) We shall say that  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is a density point of a set  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  with respect to a sequence  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}$  (in abbr.  $\langle s \rangle$ -density point) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l(A \cap [x - \frac{1}{s_n}; x + \frac{1}{s_n}])}{\frac{2}{s_n}} = 1.$$

Considering the expression

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l(A \cap [x; x + \frac{1}{s_n}])}{\frac{1}{s_n}} = 1$$

we say that  $x$  is a *right side  $\langle s \rangle$ -density point* of a set  $A$ . In the same way we define the *left side  $\langle s \rangle$ -density point* of the set  $A$ .

Reminding the concept of ordinary density point it is worth observing (see [1], [9]) that a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is a density point of a set  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l(A \cap [x - \frac{1}{n}; x + \frac{1}{n}])}{\frac{2}{n}} = 1.$$

DEFINITION 2 We shall say that  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is a dispersion point of a set  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  with respect to a sequence  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}$  (in abbr.  $\langle s \rangle$ -dispersion point) if  $x$  is  $\langle s \rangle$ -density point of the set  $\mathbb{R} \setminus A$ . Precisely, we have that  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is  $\langle s \rangle$ -dispersion point of the set  $A$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l(A \cap [x - \frac{1}{s_n}; x + \frac{1}{s_n}])}{\frac{2}{s_n}} = 0.$$

It is clear that  $x$  is  $\langle s \rangle$ -density point of a set  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  if and only if 0 is  $\langle s \rangle$ -density point of the set  $A - x$ , where  $A - x = \{y : y = a - x, a \in A\}$ . The same is true for  $\langle s \rangle$ -dispersion point. Let  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ . Putting

$$\Phi_{\langle s \rangle}(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \text{ is } \langle s \rangle\text{-density point of } A\},$$

we are getting that operator  $\Phi_{\langle s \rangle} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  is the lower density operator (see [3]). Let  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} = \{A \in \mathcal{L} : A \subset \Phi_{\langle s \rangle}(A)\}$ . Then by the general theory of liftings (cf. [6]) family  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}$  forms topology on the real line. If  $\langle s \rangle = \{n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}$  is simply the classical density topology, which is denoted by  $\mathcal{T}_d$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{T}_d \subset \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}$  for every  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}$ . The following result is presented in [3]:

THEOREM 3 Let  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} = \mathcal{T}_d$  if and only if  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n}{s_{n+1}} > 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}_0 = \{\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S} : \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n}{s_{n+1}} = 0\}$ . Several properties of such topologies, especially where  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}_0$ , have been established in [3], [2], [4] and [5]. In the following theorem the natural properties of  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}$ -topologies are listed.

THEOREM 4 (CF [3], THEOREM 4) With the above notations we have

- (i)  $\forall_{\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}} \forall_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (A \in \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} \Rightarrow A + x \in \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle})$
- (ii)  $\forall_{\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}} (A \in \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} \Rightarrow -A \in \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle})$
- (iii)  $\forall_{\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}_0} \forall_{m \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} (A \in \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} \Rightarrow mA \in \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle})$
- (iv)  $\forall_{\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}} \forall_{|m| \geq 1} (A \in \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} \Rightarrow mA \in \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle})$
- (v)  $\forall_{\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}_0} \forall_{|m| < 1} \exists_{A \in \mathcal{L}} (A \in \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} \wedge mA \notin \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle})$

Our main goal is the investigation that in some cases the spaces  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle})$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle})$  for  $\langle s \rangle, \langle t \rangle \in \mathcal{S}$  are not homeomorphic. Some essential properties of the family of continuous functions with respect to  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}$ -topology will be helpful to get the main result. Let us recall the concept of  $\langle s \rangle$ -approximate continuity.

DEFINITION 5 (CF [4]) Let  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}$ . We shall say that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\langle s \rangle$ -approximately continuous at  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  if there exists a set  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $x_0 \in A \cap \Phi_{\langle s \rangle}(A)$  and  $f|_A$  is continuous at  $x_0$ .

THEOREM 6 (CF [4]) Let  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then a function  $f : (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_0)$ , where  $\mathcal{T}_0$  denotes the natural topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ , is continuous (we say that  $f$  is  $\langle s \rangle$ -continuous function) if and only if the function  $f$  is  $\langle s \rangle$ -approximately continuous at  $x_0$  for every  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . By  $F'_{\langle s \rangle}^{(+)}(x)$  we shall denote the right-side derivate number of the function  $F$  at a point  $x$  with respect to the sequence  $\langle s \rangle$ ; it means that

$$F'_{\langle s \rangle}^{(+)}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x + \frac{1}{s_n}) - F(x)}{\frac{1}{s_n}}.$$

In the similar way

$$F'_{\langle s \rangle}^{(-)}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x) - F(x - \frac{1}{s_n})}{\frac{1}{s_n}}$$

If  $F'_{\langle s \rangle}^{(+)}(x) = F'_{\langle s \rangle}^{(-)}(x)$  then this common value we shall denote by  $F'_{\langle s \rangle}(x)$  and it will be called the derivate number at the point  $x$  with respect to the sequence  $\langle s \rangle$  (cf [7]).

THEOREM 7 Let  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a locally bounded  $\langle s \rangle$ -continuous function. Then there exists a continuous function  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F'_{\langle s \rangle}(x) = f(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

PROOF Let  $f$  be an  $\langle s \rangle$ -continuous function which is locally bounded. Since  $f$  is measurable, we can define for a fixed point  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , a function

$$F(x) = \int_a^x f(t)dt,$$

which is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . We will prove that  $F'_{\langle s \rangle}^{(+)}(x) = f(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let us fix  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . There exists an interval  $(\alpha, \beta)$  containing  $x_0$  and a real number  $M > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| < M$  for  $x \in (\alpha, \beta)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The function  $f$  is  $\langle s \rangle$ -approximately continuous at  $x_0$  hence there exists a measurable set  $A$  such that  $x_0 \in \Phi_{\langle s \rangle}(A)$  and  $f|_A$  is continuous at  $x_0$ . Assume that  $A \subset (\alpha, \beta)$ . Hence

(1) there exists a number  $\delta > 0$  such that conditions  $x \in A$  and  $|x - x_0| < \delta$  implies that  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ ;

(2) there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for any  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $\frac{1}{s_n} < \delta$ ,  $x_0 + \frac{1}{s_n} < \beta$  and

$$\frac{l(A' \cap [x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{s_n}])}{\frac{1}{s_n}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M}.$$

Therefore for  $n \geq n_0$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{F(x_0 + \frac{1}{s_n}) - F(x_0)}{\frac{1}{s_n}} - f(x_0) \right| = \left| s_n \int_{x_0}^{x_0 + \frac{1}{s_n}} f(t) dt - f(x_0) \right| = \\
& = \left| s_n \int_{x_0}^{x_0 + \frac{1}{s_n}} f(t) dt - s_n \int_{x_0}^{x_0 + \frac{1}{s_n}} f(x_0) dt \right| = s_n \left| \int_{x_0}^{x_0 + \frac{1}{s_n}} (f(t) - f(x_0)) dt \right| \leq \\
& \leq s_n \int_{x_0}^{x_0 + \frac{1}{s_n}} |f(t) - f(x_0)| dt = s_n \int_{A \cap [x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{s_n}]} |f(t) - f(x_0)| dt + \\
& + s_n \int_{A' \cap [x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{s_n}]} |f(t) - f(x_0)| dt < s_n \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \cdot l([x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{s_n}] \cap A) + \\
& + 2M \cdot l(A' \cap [x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{s_n}]) < s_n \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{s_n} + 2M \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{4M} = \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $F'_{\langle s \rangle}(-)(x) = f(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . ■

**THEOREM 8** (CF [7], THEOREM 6) *Let  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}$ . If  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function and there exists  $g'_{\langle s \rangle}(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  then the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the form  $f(x) = g'_{\langle s \rangle}(x)$  has the Darboux property.*

**COROLLARY 9** *Every  $\langle s \rangle$ -approximately continuous function has the Darboux property.*

Basing on this corollary and following the proof of the form of connected sets in the density topology  $T_d$  (see [9], Theorem 3.7) we are getting

**THEOREM 10** *Let  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}$ . The family of  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}$ -connected sets is identical with the family of connected sets with respect to natural topology.*

There are two sequences  $\langle s \rangle, \langle t \rangle \in \mathcal{S}_0$  pointed in [3] such that  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} \setminus \mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle} \setminus \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} \neq \emptyset$ . We will prove that the spaces  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle})$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle})$  having the indicated properties are not homeomorphic. Let us start with the following lemma:

**LEMMA 11** *Let  $\langle s \rangle, \langle t \rangle \in \mathcal{S}$ . If  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} \setminus \mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle} \neq \emptyset$  then there exist: a right side interval set  $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [a_k, b_k]$ ,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} b_k = 0$ ,  $b_{k+1} < a_k < b_k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and a subsequence  $\{t_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of the sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , and a real number  $\alpha > 0$  such that*

- (1)  $0$  is a right side  $\langle s \rangle$ -dispersion point of the set  $B$

$$(2) \quad \frac{l([a_k; b_k] \cap [0; \frac{1}{t_{n_k}}])}{\frac{1}{t_{n_k}}} > \alpha \quad \text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

PROOF By the assumption there exists a measurable set  $A \in \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}$  such that  $A \notin \mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle}$ . It implies that there exists a point  $x_0 \in A$  such that  $x_0 \notin \Phi_{\langle t \rangle}(A)$ . Let  $C = A - x_0 = \{a - x_0 : a \in A\}$ . Since the topologies  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle}$  are invariant with respect to translation, we have that  $C \in \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}$  and  $0 \notin \Phi_{\langle t \rangle}(C)$ . Therefore there exists a real number  $\alpha > 0$  and a subsequence  $\{t_{n_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of the sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$\frac{l(C' \cap [0; \frac{1}{t_{n_i}}])}{\frac{1}{t_{n_i}}} > 2\alpha,$$

where  $C'$  denotes the complement of  $C$ , for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . There is no loss of generality in assuming that

$$(3) \quad \frac{l(C' \cap [0; \frac{1}{t_n})}{\frac{1}{t_n}} > 2\alpha$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $n_1 = 1$ . Because  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \nearrow \infty$  then there exists  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(4) \quad \frac{l(C' \cap [\frac{1}{t_{n_2}}; \frac{1}{t_{n_1}}])}{\frac{1}{t_{n_1}}} > \alpha.$$

Let  $a_1 = \frac{1}{t_{n_1}} - l(C' \cap [\frac{1}{t_{n_2}}; \frac{1}{t_{n_1}}])$  and  $b_1 = \frac{1}{t_{n_1}}$ . It is clear that  $a_1 \in (0; b_1)$  and by (4) we have

$$t_{n_1} \cdot l([a_1; b_1] \cap [0; \frac{1}{t_{n_1}}]) = t_{n_1} \cdot (b_1 - a_1) > \alpha.$$

Let us assume that we have already defined the segments  $[a_i; b_i]$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k - 1$ , and the positive integers  $n_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . By (3), we know that there exists  $n_{k+1} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $t_{n_k} \cdot l(C' \cap [\frac{1}{t_{n_{k+1}}}; \frac{1}{t_{n_k}}]) > \alpha$ . Let  $a_k = \frac{1}{t_{n_k}} - l(C' \cap [\frac{1}{t_{n_{k+1}}}; \frac{1}{t_{n_k}}])$  and  $b_k = \frac{1}{t_{n_k}}$ . Then

$$t_{n_k} \cdot l([a_k; b_k] \cap [0; \frac{1}{t_{n_k}}]) = t_{n_k} \cdot (b_k - a_k) > \alpha.$$

Putting

$$B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [a_k; b_k]$$

we have obtained that the set  $B$  and the sequence  $\{t_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfy condition (2).

Showing that 0 is the right side  $\langle s \rangle$ -dispersion point of the set  $B$  we shall finish the proof. Firstly we prove that

$$(5) \quad l(B \cap [0; h]) \leq l(C' \cap [0; h])$$

for any  $h \in (0; b_1]$ . For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} l(B \cap [0; b_k]) &= \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} l(B \cap (b_{i+1}; b_i]) = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} l([a_i; b_i]) = \\ &= \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} l(C' \cap [b_{i+1}; b_i]) = l(C' \cap [0; b_k]). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $h \in (0; b_1]$ . There exists a positive integer  $k$  such that  $h \in (b_{k+1}; b_k] = (b_{k+1}; a_k] \cup (a_k; b_k]$ . If  $h \in (b_{k+1}; a_k]$  then  $l(B \cap [0; h]) = l(B \cap [0; b_{k+1}]) = l(C' \cap [0; b_{k+1}]) \leq l(C' \cap [0; h])$ . If  $h \in (a_k; b_k]$  then  $l(B \cap [0; h]) = l(B \cap [0; b_k]) - l(B \cap [h; b_k]) = l(C' \cap [0; b_k]) - l([h; b_k]) \leq l(C' \cap [0; b_k]) - l(C' \cap [h; b_k]) = l(C' \cap [0; h])$ . By (5) we have that 0 is the right side  $\langle s \rangle$ -dispersion point of the set  $B$  because 0 is the right side  $\langle s \rangle$ -dispersion point of the set  $C'$ . ■

**THEOREM 12** *Let  $\langle s \rangle, \langle t \rangle \in S_0$ . If  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} \setminus \mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle} \setminus \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} \neq \emptyset$  then the spaces  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle})$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle})$  are not homeomorphic.*

**PROOF** Let us suppose that there exists a homeomorphism  $h : (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle})$ . Observe first that, for every open interval  $(a; b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ , the sets  $h^{-1}((a; b))$  and  $h((a; b))$  are open intervals. From Theorem 10 and continuity of the function  $h$  we know that that the image of an interval is an interval. The same is true for  $h^{-1}$ .

Let  $(a; b)$  be an open interval. As  $(a; b) \in \mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle}$ , we have that  $J = h^{-1}((a; b)) \in \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}$ . We also know that  $J$  is an interval (bounded or unbounded). Let us suppose that the left endpoint of  $J$ , denoted by  $x$ , belongs to  $J$ . Since  $x$  is not the point of the left side  $\langle s \rangle$ -density of  $J$ , we obtain that  $J \notin \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}$ . This contradiction proves that  $J$  is left side open. Similarly we get that  $J$  is right side open. By the same arguments we obtain that  $h((a; b))$  is an open interval.

Hence  $h$  and  $h^{-1}$  are continuous transformations from  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_0)$  onto  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_0)$ , where  $\mathcal{T}_0$  is natural topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ . It implies that  $h$  is a homeomorphism, so  $h$  is strictly monotone. Let us suppose that  $h$  is increasing.

We shall prove that the functions  $h$  and  $h^{-1}$  satisfy (N)-Lusin condition. Let us suppose that  $h$  does not satisfy condition (N). Then there exists a set  $P \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $P$  is the Lebesgue measure zero set while  $h(P)$  is not.

The set  $P$  and all its subsets are closed with respect to the  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}$  topology. Hence  $h(P)$  is closed with respect to the  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle}$  topology. Thus the set  $h(P)$  is measurable and  $l(h(P)) > 0$ . It is clear that every subset of the set  $h(P)$  is an image of a fixed subset of  $P$  with respect to  $h$ . In that way an arbitrary subset of  $h(P)$  is measurable. This contradiction proves that the function  $h$  satisfies (N)-Lusin condition. In the similar way we get that  $h^{-1}$  also satisfies (N)-Lusin condition.

The functions  $h$  and  $h^{-1}$ , as monotone functions, are differentiable almost everywhere. We next show that there exists a point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the function  $h$  is differentiable at  $x_0$  and the function  $h^{-1}$  is differentiable at  $h(x_0)$ , and

$$h'(x_0) \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty).$$

Let  $A$  be the set of points of nondifferentiability of the function  $h$  and  $B$  - the set of points of nondifferentiability of  $h^{-1}$ . From what has already been proved, it follows that  $l(A \cup h^{-1}(B)) = 0$ . Simultaneously  $h'(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (A \cup h^{-1}(B))$  because the function  $h$  is increasing. Observe that it is not true that  $h'$  is equal to 1 almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}$ , because otherwise  $h$  would be identity everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\mathcal{T}_{(s)} \neq \mathcal{T}_{(t)}$ . If we denote  $C = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{x : h'(x) = 1\}$ , then  $C$  is a measurable set and  $l(C) > 0$ . Let  $D = \{x : h'(x) = 0\}$  and suppose that  $l(C \setminus D) = 0$ . Then obviously  $l(D) > 0$  and  $l(h(D)) > 0$ . But for each  $y \in h(D)$  we have  $(h^{-1})'(y) = +\infty$ , which is impossible by virtue of Theorem 4.4, chapter IX, p. 270 in [8]. Hence  $l(C \setminus D) > 0$  and there exists  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h'(x_0) \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$  because  $h$  is differentiable almost everywhere.

We can assume that  $h(0) = 0$  and  $h'(0) \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$ . Indeed, since the topologies  $\mathcal{T}_{(s)}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{(t)}$  are invariant with respect to translation, the function  $\bar{h}$  defined by formula

$$\bar{h}(x) = h(x + x_0) - h(x_0)$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , is a homeomorphism from  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{(s)})$  onto  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{(t)})$ . For the simplicity of denotation, assume that  $h = \bar{h}$ .

Firstly, let  $a = h'(0) < 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_{(s)} \setminus \mathcal{T}_{(t)} \neq \emptyset$  then, by Lemma 11, there exists a subsequence  $\{t_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of the sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a number  $\alpha > 0$ , and a set

$$B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [a_k; b_k] = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [a_k; \frac{1}{t_{n_k}}]$$

such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} b_k = 0$ ,  $b_{k+1} < a_k < b_k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and zero is  $(s)$ -dispersion point of the set  $B$ . Moreover, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(6) \quad \frac{l([a_k; b_k] \cap [0; \frac{1}{t_{n_k}}])}{\frac{1}{t_{n_k}}} = \frac{b_k - a_k}{b_k} > \alpha$$

It is easy to check that  $B' \in \mathcal{T}_{(s)}$ . Further, we shall prove that  $(h(B))' \notin \mathcal{T}_{(t)}$ . By the properties of the function  $h$  we have that

$$h(B) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [h(a_k); h(b_k)]$$

Since  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  tend to zero and  $h'(0) = a$  we get that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a natural number  $k_0$  such that

$$\left| \frac{h(a_k)}{a_k} - a \right| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{h(b_k)}{b_k} - a \right| < \varepsilon$$

for any  $k > k_0$ . Let  $\varepsilon = \frac{\alpha - \alpha}{4}$ . There exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $k > k_0$  we have

$$(a - \varepsilon) \cdot b_k < h(b_k) < (a + \varepsilon) \cdot b_k \quad \text{and} \\ (a - \varepsilon) \cdot a_k < h(a_k) < (a + \varepsilon) \cdot a_k$$

By the inequality  $h'(0) < 1$ , there exists  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $k > K$

$$(7) \quad h(b_k) < b_k$$

For every  $k > \max(k_0, K)$

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} h(b_k) - h(a_k) &\geq (a - \varepsilon) \cdot b_k - (a + \varepsilon) \cdot a_k = a \cdot (b_k - a_k) - \varepsilon \cdot (b_k + a_k) \\ &\geq a \cdot (b_k - a_k) - 2b_k\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and by (7)

$$h(B) \cap [0; \frac{1}{t_{n_k}}] = h(B) \cap [0; b_k] \supset [h(a_k); h(b_k)] .$$

In that way, taking into account (8) and (6), we get

$$\frac{l(h(B) \cap [0; \frac{1}{t_{n_k}}])}{\frac{1}{t_{n_k}}} \geq \frac{h(b_k) - h(a_k)}{b_k} \geq a \frac{b_k - a_k}{b_k} - 2\varepsilon > a\alpha - \frac{a\alpha}{2} = \frac{a\alpha}{2} .$$

It means that zero is not  $\langle t \rangle$ -dispersion point of the set  $(h(B))'$ ; hence  $(h(B))' \notin \mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle}$ . It is a contradiction with the fact that  $h$  is a homeomorphism.

Let  $a = h'(0) > 1$ . Because the function  $h^{-1}$  is differentiable at the point  $h(0) = 0$  then  $(h^{-1})'(0) = \frac{1}{a} < 1$ . Repeating the construction of the set  $B$  and using the fact that  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle} \setminus \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} \neq \emptyset$  we are getting the contradiction by the same arguments like in the previous part. ■

Now we shall discuss that in Theorem 12 the assumptions are essential. It is not possible to assume only the one condition that  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} \setminus \mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle} \neq \emptyset$  or  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle} \setminus \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} \neq \emptyset$ . We have the following observation:

REMARK 13 Suppose that  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $m > 0$ . Let  $\langle ms \rangle$  denote the sequence  $\{ms_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\frac{1}{m}\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} = \{\frac{1}{m}A : A \in \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}\}$ , where  $\frac{1}{m}A = \{\frac{1}{m}a : a \in A\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle ms \rangle} = \frac{1}{m}\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}$ .

REMARK 14 Let  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}_0$ . Then

- 1<sup>0</sup>  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_{\langle ms \rangle}$  for any  $m > 1$ ,
- 2<sup>0</sup>  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle ms \rangle} \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}$  for any  $m < 1$ .

The fact that  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} \neq \mathcal{T}_{\langle ms \rangle}$  is a simple consequence of Theorem 4 and Remark 13. It is obvious that in both cases 1<sup>0</sup> and 2<sup>0</sup> the spaces  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle})$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\langle ms \rangle})$  are homeomorphic. It is sufficient to take  $h(x) = \frac{1}{m}x$  and  $h(x) = mx$ , respectively.

COROLLARY 15 For every sequence  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}_0$  there exists a sequence  $\langle t \rangle \in \mathcal{S}_0$  such that  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle} \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}$  and the spaces  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle})$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle})$  are homeomorphic.

COROLLARY 16 For every sequence  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}_0$  there exists a sequence  $\langle t \rangle \in \mathcal{S}_0$  such that  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle}$  and the spaces  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\langle t \rangle})$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle})$  are homeomorphic.

We are finishing our considerations with the following:

THEOREM 17 For every  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}$  the spaces  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_d)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle})$  are not homeomorphic.

PROOF Let  $\langle s \rangle \in \mathcal{S}$ . Let us suppose that there exists a homeomorphism  $h : (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_d)$ . It is easy to check that for every  $\alpha > 0$  the function  $h_\alpha(x) = \alpha \cdot h(x)$  is also a homeomorphism. Following the proof of the main Theorem 12 we are able to get that  $h$  is a such homeomorphism that  $h(0) = 0$  and  $h'(0) \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$ . If  $h'(0) < 1$  that taking into account that  $\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle} \setminus \mathcal{T}_d \neq \emptyset$ , by the same arguments as presented in Theorem 12 we are getting a contradiction. If  $h'(0) > 1$  then let us define  $h_\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot h(x)$ , where  $\alpha > h'(0)$ . In that way the homeomorphism  $h_\alpha$  satisfies conditions:  $h_\alpha(0) = 0$  and  $h'_\alpha(0) < 1$ . This observation ends the proof. ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. Ciesielski, L. Larson and K. Ostaszewski, *I-density Continuous Functions*, Memoirs of Amer. Math. Soc. **515** (1994).
- [2] M. Filipczak, T. Filipczak and J. Hejduk, *On the comparison of the density type topologies*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena **LII** (2004), 1-11.
- [3] M. Filipczak and J. Hejduk, *On topologies associated with the Lebesgue measure*, Tatra Mountains Math. Publ. **28** (2004), 187-197.
- [4] A. Loranty, *On the generalization of the approximate continuity*, Folia Mathematica **10(1)** (2003), 59-65.
- [5] A. Loranty, *Separation axioms of the density type topologies*, to appear.
- [6] J. Lukes, J. Maly and L. Zajicek, *Fine Topology Methods in Real Analysis and Potential Theory*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1189, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1986.
- [7] M. Laczkovich and G. Petruska, *Remarks on a problem of A.M.Bruckner*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungaricae **38** (1981), 205-214.
- [8] S. Saks, *Theory of the integral*, Monografie Matematyczne 1937.
- [9] W. Wilczyński, *Density Topologies*, chapter 15 of Handbook of Measure Theory, edited by E. Pap, Elsevier 2002.

MALGORZATA FILIPCZAK  
 FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ  
 UL. BANACHA 22, PL-90-238 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND  
 E-mail: malfil@math.uni.lodz.pl

JACEK HEJDUK  
 FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ  
 UL. BANACHA 22, PL-90-238 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND  
 E-mail: jachej@math.uni.lodz.pl

WŁADYSŁAW WILCZYŃSKI  
 FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ  
 UL. BANACHA 22, PL-90-238 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND  
 E-mail: wwil@kryisia.uni.lodz.pl

(Received: 25.01.04)