



MAREK WISŁA (Poznań)

Boundedness of the identity embedding of some Musielak–Orlicz spaces

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to extend a theorem proved by P. Turpin in [4] which concerns the boundedness of the identity embedding of Musielak–Orlicz spaces.

1. Introduction. P. Turpin [4] has studied in details the identity embedding of Musielak–Orlicz spaces of functions with real values. Theorem 4 presented in that paper which gives conditions concerning the boundedness of the embedding $i: L^\varphi \rightarrow L^\psi$, $i(f) = f$ (cf. Definition 1.3 below) we extend to the case of more generally Musielak–Orlicz spaces consisting of functions with values in linear-topological spaces.

After the preliminary comprehensions and auxiliary results of this section, in the second one we give fundamental theorems of this paper. In these theorems there are considered functions $\alpha_{u,c}$ satisfying the condition

$$\forall_{\varepsilon > 0} \forall_{u > 0} \exists_{c > 0} \int_T \alpha_{u,c}(t) d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

In the third section we study conditions which must be assumed in order that the above condition could be replaced by a weaker one:

$$\forall_{u > 0} \exists_{c > 0} \int_T \alpha_{u,c}(t) d\mu < +\infty.$$

The last condition links the considerations included in this paper with the above-mentioned theorem of P. Turpin.

Let (T, Σ, μ) be a measure space, where T is an abstract set, Σ is a σ -algebra of subsets of T , μ is a non-negative, complete, atomless and σ -finite measure on Σ . (X, τ) will denote a real linear-topological space. By \mathcal{B}_X we shall denote the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of X .

Let $\mathfrak{M}(T, X)$ be the set of all measurable functions $f: T \rightarrow X$, i.e., functions satisfying $f^{-1}(U) \in \Sigma$ for every $U \in \mathcal{B}_X$. We shall denote by $M(T, X)$ (or shortly M) an arbitrary linear subset of the set $\mathfrak{M}(T, X)$. In particular, $S(T, X)$ will denote the set of all simple functions, i.e., functions of

the type $\sum_{k=1}^n x_k \chi_{A_k}$, where $x_k \in X$, $\mu(A_k) < +\infty$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

1.1. DEFINITION. A function $\Phi: X \times T \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is said to be a Φ -function if there is a set T_0 of measure 0 such that:

- (a) Φ is $\mathcal{B}_X \times \Sigma$ -measurable;
- (b) $\Phi(0, t) = 0$ and $\Phi(x, t) = \Phi(-x, t)$ for every $x \in X$ and $t \in T \setminus T_0$;
- (c) $\Phi(\cdot, t)$ is lower semicontinuous on X and continuous at 0 for every $t \in T \setminus T_0$;
- (d) $\Phi(ux + vy, t) \leq \Phi(x, t) + \Phi(y, t)$ for every $u, v \geq 0$, $u + v = 1$, $x, y \in X$ and $t \in T \setminus T_0$.

If $\Phi(\cdot, t)$ is continuous on $\{x: \Phi(x, t) < +\infty\}$ (resp. convex on X) for almost every (a.e.) $t \in T$, we shall shortly write " Φ is continuous" (resp. convex). The functions which are Φ -functions at least we shall denote by Greek letters Φ, Ψ, \dots

The functional $I_\Phi: \mathfrak{M}(T, X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is defined by $I_\Phi(f) = \int_T \Phi(f(t), t) d\mu$. Let us note that $I_\Phi|_M: M \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$, $I_{\Phi|_M}(f) = I_\Phi(f)$ is a pseudomodular on $M = M(T, X)$ (see [1], [2]).

1.2. DEFINITION. By the Musielak–Orlicz space $L^\Phi(M(T, X))$ (or shortly $L^\Phi(M)$) we mean the set of all functions $f \in M(T, X)$ such that $I_\Phi(af) < +\infty$ for some $a > 0$.

In the space $L^\Phi(M)$ we shall consider the F -seminorm defined by

$$|f|_\Phi = \inf \{u > 0: I_\Phi(f/u) \leq u\}.$$

In the sequel the following notations will be used:

- (1) $B_\Phi(r) = \{f \in L^\Phi(M): |f|_\Phi < r\}$, for $r > 0$,
- (2) $\text{dom } I_\Phi = \{f \in \mathfrak{M}(T, X): I_\Phi(f) < +\infty\}$,
- (3) $P_{u,c}(x) = \{t \in T: u\Psi(cx, t) > \Phi(x, t) \text{ and } \Phi(x, t) < +\infty\}$
for $u, c > 0$, $x \in X$,
- (4) $\alpha_{u,c}(t) = \sup_{x \in X} \Psi(cx, t) \chi_{P_{u,c}(x)}(t)$ for $u, c > 0$, $x \in X$.

1.3. DEFINITION. Let Y, Z be linear-topological spaces. A linear operator $G: Y \rightarrow Z$ is *bounded* if there is a neighbourhood U of 0 in Y such that the set $G(U)$ is bounded in Z (the set U may be not bounded in Y).

The following assertions will be quoted in the sequel:

1.4. [5] If X is a separable space and Φ is continuous, then the functions $\alpha_{u,c}$ are measurable and

$$\alpha_{u,c}(t) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Psi(cx_k, t) \chi_{P_{u,c}(x_k)}(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in T,$$

where the set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ is dense in the space X .

1.5. [6] Assume that X is a separable space and Φ is continuous. If

$$\forall_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_T \alpha_{u_m, c_m}(t) d\mu > 2^m$$

for some sequences (u_m) and (c_m) of positive numbers, then there are sequences (m_k) of numbers and (g_k) of simple functions such that

$$\{t \in T: g_k(t) \neq 0\} \cap \{t \in T: g_l(t) \neq 0\} = \emptyset \quad \text{for } k \neq l,$$

$$I_\Phi(g_k) \leq u_{m_k} \quad \text{and} \quad I_\Psi(c_{m_k} g_k) \geq 1 \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

1.6. [6] If the assumptions of 1.5 are satisfied and

$$\forall_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_T \alpha_{u_m, c_m}(t) d\mu > \varepsilon$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and some sequences (u_m) , (c_m) of positive numbers, then there is a sequence (g_m) of simple functions such that $g_m \in \text{dom } I_\Phi$, $I_\Phi(g_m) \leq u_m \varepsilon$ and $I_\Psi(c_m g_m) \geq \varepsilon$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

1.7. [4] The set $A \subset L^\Phi(M)$ is additively bounded, i.e., for every $s > 0$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \subset B_\Phi(s) + \dots + B_\Phi(s)$ (n terms $B_\Phi(s)$), we shall denote this set by $+^n B_\Phi(s)$ if and only if

$$\exists_{a > 0} \sup_{f \in A} \int_T \Phi(af(t), t) d\mu < +\infty.$$

2. The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 4 (b) in [4]:

2.1. THEOREM. (a) If

- (B) there is a set T_0 of measure 0 such that for all numbers $\varepsilon > 0$ and $K > 0$ there are a number $c > 0$ and a measurable function $h: T \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that $\int_T h(t) d\mu < \varepsilon$ and

$$\Psi(cx, t) \leq K\Phi(x, t) + h(t) \quad \text{for all } x \in X \text{ and } t \in T \setminus T_0,$$

then the embedding $i: L^\Phi(M) \rightarrow L^\Psi(M)$, $i(f) = f$, is bounded.

(b) If X is a separable space, Φ is continuous on X , $S(T, X) \cap \text{dom } I_\Phi \subset M(T, X)$ and the embedding $i: L^\Phi(M) \rightarrow L^\Psi(M)$ is bounded, then condition (B) holds.

Proof. (a) We shall show that the unit ball $B_\Phi(1) = \{f \in L^\Phi(M): |f|_\Phi < 1\}$ is bounded in the space $L^\Psi(M)$, i.e.,

$$\forall_{d > 0} \exists_{a > 0} aB_\Phi(1) \subset B_\Psi(d).$$

Let d be an arbitrary positive number. In virtue of (B) there are a set T_0 of

measure 0, a number $c > 0$ and a function $h: T \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that $\int_T h(t) d\mu < \frac{1}{3}d$ and

$$(5) \quad \Psi(cx, t) \leq \frac{1}{3}d\Phi(x, t) + h(t) \quad \text{for all } x \in X \text{ and } t \notin T_0.$$

Now, let $a = \frac{1}{3}cd$ and $f \in B_\Phi(1)$. Then, by (5),

$$I_\Psi\left(\frac{af}{\frac{2}{3}d}\right) \leq I_\Psi\left(\frac{af}{\frac{1}{3}d}\right) = I_\Psi(cf) \leq \frac{1}{3}d \cdot I_\Phi(f) + \frac{1}{3}d \leq \frac{2}{3}d.$$

Thus $|af|_\Psi \leq \frac{2}{3}d < d$, so $af \in B_\Psi(d)$.

(b) In virtue of 1.4 the functions $\alpha_{u,c}$ given by (4) are measurable. Consider

$$(6) \quad \forall_{\varepsilon > 0} \forall_{u > 0} \exists_{c > 0} \int_T \alpha_{u,c}(t) d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

Suppose that (6) does not hold. Then there are numbers $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $u > 0$ such that $\int_T \alpha_{u,c}(t) d\mu \geq \varepsilon_0$ for every $c > 0$. Since the embedding i is bounded, the ball $B_\Phi(b)$ is bounded in the space $L^\Psi(M)$ for some $b > 0$. Now, if ε is a sufficiently small number, e.g. $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\varepsilon_0, b/2u\}$, then $\int_T \alpha_{u,c}(t) d\mu > \varepsilon$ for every $c > 0$ and the ball $B_\Phi(2u\varepsilon)$ is bounded in the space $L^\Psi(M)$. Hence $a\bar{B}_\Phi(u\varepsilon) \subset B_\Psi(\varepsilon)$ for some $a > 0$, where $\bar{B}_\Phi(r) = \{f \in L^\Phi(M) : |f|_\Phi \leq r\}$, $r > 0$.

In virtue of 1.6 (putting $u_m = u$, $c_m = au$ for each $m = 1, 2, \dots$) there is a simple function g such that $I_\Phi(g) \leq u\varepsilon$ and $I_\Psi(au g) > \varepsilon$. Write $f = \varepsilon \cdot u g$. Then $I_\Phi(f/u\varepsilon) = I_\Phi(g) \leq u\varepsilon$, i.e., $f \in \bar{B}_\Phi(u\varepsilon)$.

On the other hand $I_\Psi(af/\varepsilon) = I_\Psi(au g) > \varepsilon$, so $af \notin B_\Psi(\varepsilon)$ and we get a contradiction. Since (6) \Rightarrow (B) (with $K = u^{-1}$ and $h(t) = \alpha_{u,c}(t)$) the proof is finished.

2.2. Remark. Conditions (B) and (6) are equivalent by the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 (b).

2.3. COROLLARY. (a) If

(LB) *there is a set T_0 of measure 0 such that for arbitrary numbers $\varepsilon > 0$ and $K > 0$ we can find a number $c > 0$ and a measurable function $h: T \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that $\int_T h(t) d\mu < \varepsilon$ and*

$$\Phi(cx, t) \leq K\Phi(x, t) + h(t) \quad \text{for every } x \in X \text{ and } t \in T \setminus T_0,$$

then the Musielak–Orlicz space $(L^\Phi(M), |\cdot|_\Phi)$ is locally bounded.

(b) *If X is a separable space, Φ is continuous, $S(T, X) \cap \text{dom } I_\Phi \subset M(T, X)$ and the space $(L^\Phi(M), |\cdot|_\Phi)$ is locally bounded, then the function Φ satisfies condition (LB).*

2.4. COROLLARY. *If*

- (+) *there is a function $\kappa: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that $\kappa(0) = 0$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \kappa(c) = 0$ and $\Phi(cx, t) \leq \kappa(c) \Phi(x, t)$ for all $c \geq 0$, $x \in X$ and a.e. $t \in T$*

(in particular: if Φ is a p -convex function on X), *then the Musielak–Orlicz space $(L^\Phi(M), |\cdot|_\Phi)$ is locally bounded.*

2.5. Remark. If the function Φ satisfies condition (+), then either $\sup_{x \in X} \Phi(x, t) = +\infty$ or $\Phi(\cdot, t) \equiv 0$ for a.e. $t \in T$.

Proof. Assume that Φ satisfies (+) for all $t \notin T_0$ ($\mu(T_0) = 0$). Moreover, let $t \notin T_0$ be fixed and suppose $\Phi(\cdot, t) \not\equiv 0$, i.e., $\Phi(y, t) > 0$ for some $y \in X$. By continuity of the function κ at 0 we obtain

$$\forall \exists \forall_{n \in \mathbb{N} \ c_n > 0} \forall_{x \in X} \Phi(c_n \cdot x, t) \leq \frac{1}{n} \Phi(x, t).$$

Let (d_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that $1 \leq c_n d_n \leq c_{n+1} d_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore

$$\sup_{x \in X} \Phi(x, t) \geq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi(d_n \cdot y, t) \geq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (n \cdot \Phi(c_n d_n y, t)) \geq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (n \cdot \Phi(y, t)) = +\infty.$$

2.6. EXAMPLE. Denote by $C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$ the space of all continuous functions $x: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ (\mathbf{R} being the space of real numbers) with topology yielded by the family of sets of the form

$$V(a_1, \dots, a_m; \varepsilon) = \{x \in C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}): \forall_{1 \leq i \leq m} |x(a_i)| < \varepsilon\},$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. As the space of parameters T we shall consider the space \mathbf{R} with σ -algebra Σ which consists of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of \mathbf{R} and with the Lebesgue measure μ on Σ . Define

$$\mathcal{E}: C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}) \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty), \quad (x, t) \rightarrow |x(t)|.$$

Then \mathcal{E} is a convex Φ -function with finite values and, in virtue of Corollary 2.4, the Musielak–Orlicz space $(L^{\mathcal{E}}(M(\mathbf{R}, C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}))), |\cdot|_{\mathcal{E}})$ is locally bounded.

2.7. P. Turpin in [4] has proved that in the case where $X = \mathbf{R}$, Φ is a Musielak–Orlicz function (cf. [4] Definition 1, p. 71) $M(T, \mathbf{R})$ is the space of all measurable functions from T into \mathbf{R} , the embedding $i: L^\Phi(M) \rightarrow L^\Psi(M)$ is bounded if and only if

$$(7) \quad \forall_{u > 0} \exists_{c > 0} f_{c,u} \in L^\Psi(M),$$

where $f_{c,u}(t) = \sup \{x \geq 0: u\Psi(cx, t) > \Phi(x, t)\}$ (if the set on the right-hand side of the equality is empty, then we define $f_{c,u}(t) = 0$).

On the other hand, defining $\Psi(+\infty, t) = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(x, t)$, we have

$$(8) \quad \alpha_{u,c}(t) = \sup_{x \geq 0} \Psi(cx, t) \chi_{P_{u,c}(x)}(t) = \Psi(cf_{c,u}(t), t)$$

for a.e. $t \in T$. Hence condition (B) takes the form

$$(9) \quad \forall_{\varepsilon > 0} \forall_{u > 0} \exists_{c > 0} \int_T \Psi(cf_{c,u}(t), t) d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, are conditions (7) and (9) equivalent?

The implication (9) \Rightarrow (7) is obvious. Conversely, let $u > 0$. By (7), $\int_T \Psi(sf_{c,u}(t), t) d\mu < +\infty$ for some $c, s > 0$. Since $f_{v,u}(t) \leq f_{c,u}(t)$ for every $0 < v \leq c$ and $t \in T$,

$$\Psi(vf_{v,u}(t), t) \leq \Psi(sf_{c,u}(t), t) \quad \text{for } 0 < v \leq \min\{c, s\} \text{ and a.e. } t \in T.$$

Moreover, by continuity of the function $\Psi(\cdot, t)$ at 0,

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \Psi(vf_{v,u}(t), t) \leq \lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \Psi(vf_{c,u}(t), t) = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in T,$$

so, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, $\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \int_T \Psi(vf_{v,u}(t), t) d\mu = 0$. Thus, condition (9) holds.

Let us note that in this case the assumption concerning the continuity of the function Φ on R may be omitted, since it is only used in the proof of the fact that the functions $\alpha_{u,c}$ are measurable. The measurability of these functions follows immediately from (8).

3. In this section we shall study a little more general problem than the above discussed, namely: what conditions must be fulfilled in order that conditions (B) and (B*), where

(B*) there is a set T_0 of measure 0 such that for every $K > 0$ there are a number $c > 0$ and a measurable function $h: T \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that $\int_T h(t) d\mu < +\infty$ and

$$\Psi(cx, t) \leq K\Phi(x, t) + h(t) \quad \text{for every } x \in X \text{ and } t \in T \setminus T_0,$$

to be equivalent to each other?

To simplify the notations, in the sequel we shall assume that X is a separable space and Φ is a continuous Φ -function.

3.1. THEOREM. *The equivalence*

$$(B) \Leftrightarrow [(B^*) \text{ and } \forall_{u > 0} \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \alpha_{u,c}(t) = 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in T]$$

holds.

Proof. The implication (B) \Rightarrow (B*) is obvious. Let $u > 0$ be an arbitrary fixed number. Write

$$A_n = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \{t \in T: \alpha_{u,1/m}(t) > 1/n\}, \quad A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n.$$

If $\mu(A) = 0$ then

$$\forall t \notin A \quad \forall n \in \mathbf{N} \quad \exists m \in \mathbf{N} \quad \alpha_{u,1/m}(t) \leq 1/n,$$

so, $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \alpha_{u,c}(t) = 0$ for a.e. $t \in T$.

Now, assume $\mu(A) > 0$. Then $\mu(A_n) > 0$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$: and hence

$$\int_T \alpha_{u,1/m}(t) d\mu \geq \int_{A_n} \alpha_{u,1/m}(t) d\mu \geq (1/n) \mu(A_n)$$

for each $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore, (B) is not true for $0 < \varepsilon < (1/n) \mu(A_n)$ and we get a contradiction.

To prove the converse implication we shall show at first that condition (B*) is equivalent to the following one:

$$(10) \quad \forall u > 0 \quad \exists c > 0 \quad \int_T \alpha_{u,c}(t) d\mu < +\infty.$$

Suppose that (10) does not hold, i.e.,

$$\exists u > 0 \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N} \quad \int_T \alpha_{u,1/m}(t) d\mu = +\infty.$$

Then, by 1.5 (for sequences $u_m = u$, $c_m = 1/m$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$), we can find a sequence of simple functions (g_k) such that

$$(11) \quad \{t \in T: g_k(t) \neq 0\} \cap \{t \in T: g_l(t) \neq 0\} = \emptyset \quad \text{for } k \neq l,$$

$$I_{\Phi}(g_k) \leq u \quad \text{and} \quad I_{\Psi}\left(\frac{1}{m_k} g_k\right) \geq 1 \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

In virtue of (B*) (for $K = 1/2u$) there are a set T_0 of measure 0, a number $c > 0$ and a function $h: T \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that $H = \int_T h(t) d\mu < +\infty$ and

$$\Psi(cx, t) \leq \frac{1}{2u} \Phi(x, t) + h(t) \quad \text{for every } x \in X \text{ and } t \in T \setminus T_0.$$

Let $m \in \mathbf{N}$ be an arbitrary number. Then there is $k_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $1/m_k \leq c$ for $k \geq k_0$. Write

$$f_n = \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_0+n-1} g_k, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then

$$I_{\Psi}(cf_n) \leq \frac{1}{2u} I_{\Phi}(f_n) + H = \frac{1}{2u} \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_0+n-1} I_{\Phi}(g_k) + H \leq \frac{1}{2}n + H.$$

On the other hand, by (11)

$$I_{\Psi}(cf_n) \geq \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_0+n-1} I_{\Psi}\left(\frac{1}{m_k} g_k\right) \geq n,$$

so $H \geq \frac{1}{2}n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $\int_T h(t) d\mu = +\infty$.

The obtained contradiction ends the proof of the implication $(\mathbf{B}^*) \Rightarrow (10)$. Since the converse one is obvious, the equivalence $(\mathbf{B}^*) \Leftrightarrow (10)$ holds. Now, it suffices to use the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and Remark 2.2 to obtain the thesis.

3.2. LEMMA. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the identity embedding $i: L^{\Phi}(M) \rightarrow L^{\Psi}(M)$ is bounded,*
- (ii) *every ball $B_{\Phi}(r)$ is bounded in the space $L^{\Psi}(M)$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) only. Let $s > 0$ be such a number that the ball $B_{\Phi}(s)$ is bounded in the space $L^{\Psi}(M)$ and let $\varepsilon, r > 0$. By 1.7, the ball $B_{\Phi}(r)$ is additively bounded in $L^{\Phi}(M)$, i.e., $B_{\Phi}(r) \subset +^n B_{\Phi}(s)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, we can find $a > 0$ such that $a \cdot B_{\Phi}(s) \subset B_{\Psi}(\varepsilon/n)$, so

$$a \cdot B_{\Phi}(r) \subset +^n a B_{\Phi}(s) \subset +^n B_{\Psi}(\varepsilon/n) \subset B_{\Psi}(\varepsilon).$$

Thus $B_{\Phi}(r)$ is a bounded set in $L^{\Psi}(M)$.

3.3. COROLLARY. *The Musielak–Orlicz space $L^{\Phi}(M)$ is locally bounded if and only if the base $\{B_{\Phi}(r): r > 0\}$ of the topology of the space $L^{\Phi}(M)$ consists of bounded sets.*

Of course, the above lemma and corollary remain true for arbitrary space X and Φ -functions Φ and Ψ .

In the next theorem the following condition will be used:

- (12) for every sequence (x_n) of elements of the space X there is a set T_0 of measure 0 such that the implication

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi(x_n, t) < +\infty \Rightarrow \limsup_{v \rightarrow 0} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Psi(vx_n, t) = 0 \quad \text{for every } t \in T \setminus T_0$$

holds.

3.4. THEOREM. $(\mathbf{B}) \Leftrightarrow [(\mathbf{B}^*) \text{ and } (12)].$

Proof. Assume that conditions (B*) and (12) are satisfied and let $u > 0$. Then $\int_T \alpha_{u,c}(t) d\mu < +\infty$ for some $c > 0$. Write (cf. (3), (4))

$$A_{u,c} = \{t \in T: 0 < \alpha_{u,c}(t) < +\infty\},$$

$$J_{t,u,c} = \{k \in N: t \in P_{u,c}(x_k)\},$$

where the set $\{x_k: k \in N\}$ is dense in the space X . Let T_0 be the set taken from condition (12) for the sequence (x_k) . Then

$$\alpha_{u,c}(t) = \sup_{k \in J_{t,u,c}} \Psi(cx_k, t) \leq K_t < +\infty$$

for $t \in A_{u,c} \setminus T_0$ and some number $K_t > 0$. Hence

$$\sup_{k \in J_{t,u,c}} \Phi(x_k, t) \leq \sup_{k \in J_{t,u,c}} u\Psi(cx_k, t) \leq u \cdot K_t < +\infty$$

for every $t \in A_{u,c} \setminus T_0$. By (12)

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \alpha_{u,v}(t) = \lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \sup_{k \in J_{t,u,v}} \Psi(vx_k, t) \leq \lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \sup_{k \in J_{t,u,c}} \Psi(vx_k, t) = 0$$

for $t \in A_{u,c} \setminus T_0$.

If $t \in T \setminus A_{u,c}$ then $\alpha_{u,c}(t)$ is equal either 0 or $+\infty$. In the first case $\alpha_{u,v}(t) = 0$ for every $0 < v \leq c$. Moreover, the set $\{t \in T: \alpha_{u,c}(t) = +\infty\}$ is of measure 0, so $\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \alpha_{u,v}(t) = 0$ for a.e. $t \in T$. Hence, in virtue of Theorem 3.1, condition (B) holds.

Now, we shall show that (B) \Rightarrow (12). Suppose that condition (12) is not satisfied, i.e., there is a sequence (x_k) of elements of X such that the set

$$G = \{t \in T: \sup_{k \in N} \Phi(x_k, t) < +\infty \text{ and } \limsup_{c \rightarrow 0} \sup_{k \in N} \Psi(cx_k, t) > 0\}$$

is of positive measure. Write

$$G_n = \{t \in T_n: \sup_{k \in N} \Phi(x_k, t) \leq n \text{ and } \limsup_{c \rightarrow 0} \sup_{k \in N} \Psi(cx_k, t) > 1/n\}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where (T_n) is a nondecreasing sequence of subsets of T of finite measure and such that $\mu(T \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n) = 0$. Since $\mu(G \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n) = 0$, there is a number n such that $0 < \mu(G_n) < +\infty$. Now, write $f_k = x_k \chi_{G_n}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$$(13) \quad I_{\Phi}(f_k) \leq n\mu(G_n) \quad \text{for each } k \in N.$$

Moreover,

$$\inf_{c > 0} \sup_{k \in N} \Psi(cx_k, t) > 1/n \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in G_n,$$

because $\Psi(c_1 x, t) \leq \Psi(c_2 x, t)$ for every $c_1 \leq c_2$, $x \in X$ and a.e. $t \in T$. Hence

$$\forall_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \exists_{k_m \in \mathbb{N}} I_\Psi \left(\frac{1}{m} f_{k_m} \right) \geq \frac{1}{n} \mu(G_n),$$

so

$$\inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}} I_\Psi \left(\frac{1}{m} f_{k_m} \right) > 0.$$

Let $F = \{f_{k_m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. By (13) $F \subset B_\Phi(r)$, where

$$r = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n\mu(G_n) \leq 1, \\ n \cdot \mu(G_n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.2, the ball $B_\Phi(r)$ is bounded in the space $L^\Psi(M)$, so

$$\forall_{\varepsilon > 0} \exists_{a > 0} a \cdot F \subset a \cdot B_\Phi(r) \subset B_\Psi(\varepsilon).$$

On the other hand, taking a number m_0 such that

$$\frac{1}{m_0} \leq a \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon \in \left(0, \inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}} I_\Psi \left(\frac{1}{m} f_{k_m} \right) \right)$$

we obtain

$$\varepsilon < \inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}} I_\Psi \left(\frac{1}{m} \cdot f_{k_m} \right) \leq I_\Psi \left(\frac{1}{m_0} \cdot f_{k_{m_0}} \right) \leq I_\Psi(a \cdot f_{k_{m_0}}) < \varepsilon.$$

This contradiction ends the proof.

Write

(14) for every sequence (x_n) there is a set T_0 of measure 0 such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Psi(x_n, t) < +\infty \Rightarrow \lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Psi(vx_n, t) = 0$$

for every $t \in T \setminus T_0$.

3.5. LEMMA. [(B*) and (14)] \Rightarrow (B).

Proof. In virtue of Theorem 3.1 it suffices to show that $\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \alpha_{u,v}(t) = 0$ for a.e. $t \in T$ and every $u > 0$. Let $u > 0$ be fixed. By (B*), $\int_T \alpha_{u,c}(t) d\mu < +\infty$ for some $c > 0$. Let us put $A = \{t \in T: 0 < \alpha_{u,c}(t) < +\infty\}$ and $J_{t,u,c} = \{k \in \mathbb{N}: t \in P_{u,c}(x_k)\}$, where (x_k) is a dense subset of X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \alpha_{u,c}(t) &= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Psi(cx_k, t) \chi_{P_{u,c}}(x_k)(t) \\ &= \sup_{k \in J_{t,u,c}} \Psi(cx_k, t) < +\infty \quad \text{for } t \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t \in A \setminus T_0$, where the set T_0 is taken from (14) for the sequence (x_k) . By (14) we obtain the existence of a number $a_t \in (0, 1)$ such that $\Psi(a_t c x_k, t) < \varepsilon$ for $k \in J_{t, u, c}$. Therefore

$$\alpha_{u, v}(t) = \sup_{k \in J_{t, u, v}} \Psi(v x_k, t) < \varepsilon$$

for all $v \leq a_t c$ because $J_{t, u, v} \subset J_{t, u, a_t c}$. Thus $\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \alpha_{u, v}(t) = 0$ for a.e. $t \in T$.

3.6. COROLLARY. *If the function Ψ satisfies condition (+) introduced in Corollary 2.4 (for $\Phi = \Psi$), then conditions (B) and (B*) are equivalent.*

3.7. THEOREM. *Assume that the topology in the space X is generated by a p -homogeneous norm $\|\cdot\|$.*

(a) *If $\liminf_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(x, t) > 0$ for a.e. $t \in T$, then*

$$(B) \Rightarrow [(B^*) \text{ and } \forall_{\|x_n\| \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi(x_n, t) = +\infty \text{ for a.e. } t \in T)].$$

$$(b) [(B^*) \text{ and } \forall_{\|x_n\| \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Psi(x_n, t) = +\infty \text{ for a.e. } t \in T)] \Rightarrow (B).$$

Proof. (a) Suppose that (B) is satisfied and there are a sequence (x_n) and a set A of positive measure such that $\|x_n\| \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi(x_n, t) < +\infty$ for $t \in A$. Then

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Psi(c x_n, t) \geq \liminf_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(x, t) > 0$$

for every $c > 0$ and $t \in A$. Hence condition (12) does not hold and we get a contradiction.

(b) We shall show that Ψ satisfies condition (14). Let (x_n) be an arbitrary sequence of elements of the space X .

(b1) Suppose that the sequence $(\|x_n\|)$ is bounded, i.e., $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| \leq a$ for some $a > 0$. Now, let T_0 be the set taken from Definition 1.1 and assume that $\varepsilon > 0$, $t \notin T_0$. By the continuity of the function $\Psi(\cdot, t)$ at 0 there is a number $\delta > 0$ such that $\Psi(x, t) < \varepsilon$ for all $\|x\| < \delta$. Since $\|v x_n\| < \delta$ for $0 < v < (\delta/a)^{1/p}$, $\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Psi(v x_n, t) = 0$, so Ψ satisfies (14).

(b2) The sequence $(\|x_n\|)$ is not bounded. Then there is a subsequence $(\|x_{n_k}\|)$ such that $\|x_{n_k}\| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Write

$$A = \{t \in T: \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Psi(x_{n_k}, t) < +\infty\}.$$

By assumption, the set A is of measure 0. Let $t \notin A$. Then

$$+\infty = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Psi(x_{n_k}, t) \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Psi(x_n, t).$$

Thus the condition on the left-hand side of implication (14) is false, so Ψ satisfies condition (14).

3.8. Remark. If $X = (R, |\cdot|)$, then conditions:

$$(15) \quad \forall_{|x_n| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in N} \Psi(x_n, t) = +\infty \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in T$$

and

$$(16) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(x, t) = +\infty \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in T$$

are equivalent.

3.9. COROLLARY. *If the topology of the space X is generated by a p -homogeneous norm $\|\cdot\|$, $\liminf_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(x, t) > 0$ and $\sup_{x \in X} \Phi(x, t) < +\infty$ for all $t \in G$, where $G \in \Sigma$ is a set of positive measure, then the identity embedding $i: L^\Phi(M) \rightarrow L^\Psi(M)$ is not bounded. In particular, if $\Psi = \Phi$, then the Musielak-Orlicz space $(L^\Phi(M), |\cdot|_\Phi)$ is not locally bounded.*

Write:

(LB*) there is a set T_0 of measure 0 such that for every numbers $\varepsilon > 0$ and $K > 0$ we can find a number $c > 0$ and an integrable function $h: T \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that

$$\Phi(cx, t) \leq K\Phi(x, t) + h(t) \quad \text{for every } x \in X \text{ and } t \in T \setminus T_0.$$

From the above theorems we obtain the following corollary:

3.10. COROLLARY. (a) (LB) \Leftrightarrow [(LB*) and $\forall_{u>0} \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \alpha_{u,c}^*(t) = 0$ for a.e. $t \in T$], where the function $\alpha_{u,c}^*$ is defined by (4) with $\Psi = \Phi$.

(b) (LB) \Leftrightarrow [(LB*) and (13*)], where by (13*) we denote condition (13) with $\Psi = \Phi$.

(c) If the topology of the space X is generated by a p -homogeneous norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $\liminf_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi(x, t) > 0$ for a.e. $t \in T$, then

$$(LB) \Leftrightarrow [(LB*) \text{ and } \forall_{\|x_n\| \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{n \in N} \Phi(x_n, t) = +\infty \text{ for a.e. } t \in T)].$$

3.11. Assume $X = R$ and let the functions Φ, Ψ do not depend on the parameter. Then condition (B) (which is equivalent to (B*)) takes the form

$$\forall_{0 < K < 1} \exists_{c > 0} \exists_{a > 0} \forall_{x \geq a} \Psi(cx) \leq K\Phi(x)$$

if $\mu(T) < +\infty$; and if $\mu(T) = +\infty$:

$$\forall_{0 < K < 1} \exists_{c > 0} \forall_{x \geq 0} \Psi(cx) \leq K\Phi(x).$$

If, moreover, $0 < \Phi(x) < +\infty$ for $x > 0$, then the above conditions can be written in the following way ([3], [4]):

$$s(\Phi, \Psi) = \inf_{\lambda > 0} \limsup_t \frac{\Psi(\lambda t)}{\Phi(t)} = 0,$$

where $t \rightarrow +\infty$ if $\mu(T) < +\infty$ and

$$t \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0, \\ +\infty, \end{cases}$$

if $\mu(T) = +\infty$.

References

- [1] J. Musielak, W. Orlicz, *On modular spaces*, *Studia Math.* 18 (1959), 49–65.
- [2] H. Nakano, *Generalized modular spaces*, *ibidem* 31 (1968), 439–449.
- [3] P. Turpin, *Opérateurs linéaires entre espaces d'Orlicz non localement convexes*, *ibidem* 46 (1973), 153–165.
- [4] —, *Conditions de bornitude et espaces de fonctions mesurables*, *ibidem* 56 (1976), 69–91.
- [5] M. Wisła, *Continuity of the identity embedding of some Orlicz spaces. I*, *Comment. Math.* 24 (1984), 171–184.
- [6] —, *Continuity of the identity embedding of some Orlicz spaces. II*, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.* 31 (1983), 143–150.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI
 UNIwersYTET im. A. MICKIEWICZA, POZNAŃ
 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
 A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY, POZNAŃ
