

S. STOIŃSKI (Poznań)

## An application of modular spaces to approximation problems. IV

**Abstract.** The paper gives a generalization of a theorem on approximation of a measurable function  $x \geq 0$  by  $F_p$ -pseudomodulars, where  $0 < p \leq 1$  (see [5]), for the case where  $x$  is approximated by  $(F_n, \psi_n, \omega_n)$ -pseudomodulars. In particular, a measurable function  $x \geq 0$  is approximated by singular integrals of the form  $\varrho_n(t, x) = \varphi_n^{-1} \left\{ \int_a^b K_n(u) \varphi_n(x(u+t)) du \right\}$ , where  $\varphi_n(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} a_k^n u^{p_k^n}$ , with  $a_k^n > 0$ ,  $0 < p_k^n < 1$ . (In [5] the case  $\varphi_n(u) = u^p$  is discussed for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ .)

Let  $F$  be an  $F$ -operation in  $R_+ = \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ , i.e., let  $F$  be a mapping  $F: R_+ \times R_+ \rightarrow R_+$  satisfying the following conditions (see [1]–[3]):

- (a)  $F(u, v) = F(v, u)$ ,
- (b)  $F(u, F(v, w)) = F(Fu, v), w$ ,
- (c)  $F(u, 0) = u, F(0, v) = v$ ,
- (d)  $F$  is non-decreasing in each variable separately,
- (e)  $F$  is continuous.

EXAMPLES. (a) For an increasing  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi$  (see [1] and [2]) let

$$F_\varphi(u, v) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(u) + \varphi(v)) \quad \text{for } u, v \geq 0,$$

where  $\varphi^{-1}$  is the function inverse to  $\varphi$  for  $u \geq 0$ ;  $F_\varphi$  is an  $F$ -operation in  $R_+$ . In particular, for  $\varphi(u) = u^p$ ,  $p > 0$ ,  $u \geq 0$ ,

$$F_p(u, v) = (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} \quad \text{for } u, v \geq 0$$

is an  $F$ -operation.

- (b)  $F_\infty(u, v) = \max(u, v)$  for  $u, v \geq 0$  is an  $F$ -operation in  $R_+$ .

If  $F$  is an  $F$ -operation, then:

- (a)  $F(u_1, v_1) \leq F(u_2, v_2)$  for  $0 \leq u_1 \leq u_2, 0 \leq v_1 \leq v_2$ ,
- (b)  $F(u, v) \geq 0, F(0, 0) = 0$ .

It is known (see [5]) that for  $0 < p_1 \leq p_2 < \infty$  we have

$$F_\infty(u, v) \leq F_{p_2}(u, v) \leq F_{p_1}(u, v) \quad \text{for } u, v \geq 0.$$

If

$$\varphi(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \varphi_i(u) \quad \text{for } u \geq 0,$$

where  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , are positive constants,  $\varphi_i$  is an increasing  $\varphi$ -function,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , the series  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \varphi_i(u)$  is convergent for every  $u \geq 0$ , then the  $F$ -operation

$$F_\Sigma(u, v) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(u) + \varphi(v)) \quad \text{for } u, v \geq 0$$

satisfies the inequality

$$(*) \quad F_\Sigma(u, v) \leq \sup_i F_{\varphi_i}(u, v) \quad \text{for } u, v \geq 0.$$

Proof. For  $u, v \geq 0$  and  $\sup_i F_{\varphi_i}(u, v) < \infty$  we have

$$(*) \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i (\varphi_i(u) + \varphi_i(v)) \leq \varphi(\sup_i F_{\varphi_i}(u, v)).$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j \varphi_j(\sup_i F_{\varphi_i}(u, v)) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j (\varphi_j(u) + \varphi_j(v)),$$

we get (\*).

In particular, for

$$\varphi_n(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} \alpha_i^n \varphi_i^n(u), \quad F_\Sigma^n(u, v) = \varphi_n^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} \alpha_i^n (\varphi_i^n(u) + \varphi_i^n(v)) \right),$$

where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\alpha_i^n > 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m_n$ ;  $u, v \geq 0$ , we have the following statements:

(a) If  $\varphi_i^n(u) = u^{p_i^n}$ ,  $p_i^n > 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m_n$ , then for every  $u, v \geq 0$

$$F_\Sigma^n(u, v) \leq F_{p_0^n}(u, v),$$

where  $p_0^n = \min_i p_i^n$ .

(b) If  $\varphi_i^n(u) = \log_{p_i^n}(1+u)$ ,  $p_i^n > 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m_n$ , then for every  $u, v \geq 0$

$$F_\Sigma^n(u, v) \leq u + v + uv.$$

If we set

$$\bar{F}(u, v) = \begin{cases} F(u, v) & \text{if } u < \infty, v < \infty, \\ \infty & \text{if } u = \infty \text{ or } v = \infty, \end{cases}$$

where  $F$  is an  $F$ -operation, then we can extend  $F$  to a function  $\bar{F}: \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+ \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+$ , where  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+ = \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ .

Let  $X$  be a real linear space and let  $F$  be an  $F$ -operation. Suppose that  $\omega$  is an increasing continuous function of  $u \geq 0$  such that  $\omega(0) = 0$ ,  $\omega(1) = 1$ ,  $\omega(u) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\omega(uv) \geq \omega(u)\omega(v)$  for  $u, v \geq 0$ .

A functional  $\varrho: X \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$  is called an  $(F, \omega, \psi)$ -pseudomodular if for every  $x, y \in X$ :

- (a)  $\varrho(0) = 0$ ,
- (b)  $\varrho(-x) = \varrho(x)$ ,
- (c)  $\varrho(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \bar{F}(\psi(\alpha)\varrho(x), \psi(\beta)\varrho(y))$ ,

where  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  and  $\omega(\alpha) + \omega(\beta) \leq 1$ ,  $\psi: \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ ,  $\psi(t) \geq t$  for  $t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ . In the case where  $\varrho$  satisfies conditions (b), (c) and the condition

$$(a') \varrho(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0,$$

in the place of (a),  $\varrho$  is called an  $(F, \omega, \psi)$ -modular (see [4] and [7]). Let

$$X_\varrho = \{x \in X: \text{iim}_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \varrho(\lambda x) = 0\},$$

where  $\varrho$  is an  $(F, \omega, \psi)$ -pseudomodular.  $X_\varrho$  is called an  $(F, \omega, \psi)$ -modular space. In the following, we shall assume that  $X_\varrho$  contains elements  $\neq 0$ , i.e., there exists an  $x \in X$  such that  $x \neq 0$  and  $\varrho(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$ .

If  $F = F_1$ ,  $\omega(u) = u$ ,  $\psi(t) \equiv 1$ , then  $X_\varrho$  is a modular space (see [2]). For  $F = F_1$ ,  $\omega(u) = u$ ,  $\psi(t) = t$ ,  $X_\varrho$  is a modular space generated by a convex pseudomodular  $\varrho$ .

If  $\omega(u) = u$ ,  $\psi(t) \equiv 1$ , then  $X_\varrho$  is an  $F$ -modular space (see [3]) which is generated by an  $F$ -pseudomodular  $\varrho$ . In the case  $\psi(t) \equiv 1$ ,  $X_\varrho$  is an  $(F, \omega)$ -modular space (see [1], [2]). If  $F = F_1$ ,  $\omega(u) = u^s$ ,  $\psi(t) = t^s$ ,  $0 < s \leq 1$ , then  $X_\varrho$  is an  $(F_1, u^s, t^s)$ -modular space generated by an  $s$ -convex pseudomodular  $\varrho$ . Then  $X_\varrho$  is also an  $(F_x, u^s)$ -modular space.

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  denote a measure space with a finite measure  $\mu$  defined on  $\Sigma$ , a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\varrho_n(t, x): \Omega \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and let  $\mathcal{X}$  — the space of functions  $x: \Omega \rightarrow \langle -\infty, \infty \rangle$  which are  $\Sigma$ -measurable and almost everywhere finite with the convention that  $x = y$  iff  $x(t) = y(t)$  almost everywhere.

Let us assume that:

- (i)  $\varrho_n(t, x)$  is an  $(F^n, \omega_n, \psi_n)$ -pseudomodular in  $\mathcal{X}$  for all  $t \in \Omega$  and for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $F^n$  are arbitrary  $F$ -operations.

(ii)  $\varrho_n(t, x)$  is  $\Sigma$ -measurable and almost everywhere finite with respect to  $t$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

(iii) If for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$   $\varrho_n(t, x) = 0$  for almost all  $t$ , then  $x = 0$ .

For  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  let us write

$$\varrho_{ns}(x) = \int_{\Omega} \varrho_n(t, x) d\mu, \quad \varrho^s(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot \frac{\varrho_{ns}(x)}{1 + \varrho_{ns}(x)}$$

and

$$X_{\varrho^s} = \{x \in \mathcal{X}: \varrho^s(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } \lambda \rightarrow 0+\}.$$

In the sequel we suppose that the following condition is satisfied, in addition to conditions (i)–(iii):

(iv) If  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $x(t) \leq y(t)$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ , then for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  we have  $\varrho_n(t, x) \leq \varrho_n(t, y)$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ .

We say that a sequence  $(\varrho_n)$  preserves constants if:

(a) constant functions belong to  $X_{\varrho^s}$ ,

(b)  $\varrho_n(t, c) = c$  for every  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $c \geq 0$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

(c)  $\varrho_n(t, x - x(t))$  is a  $\Sigma$ -measurable function of  $t$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If a sequence  $(\varrho_n)$  preserves constants,  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ , then for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ ,  $\omega_n(\alpha) + \omega_n(\beta) \leq 1$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \varrho^s \{ \lambda |x(\cdot) - \varrho_n(\cdot, x)| \} \\ & \leq \varrho^s \left\{ \lambda \max \left[ \frac{1}{\psi_n(\alpha)} H^n \left( \psi_n(\alpha) \varrho_n(\cdot, x), \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. \psi_n(\beta) \varrho_n \left( \cdot, \frac{\omega_n(\alpha) - \alpha}{\beta} x(\cdot) - \alpha \frac{x - x(\cdot)}{\beta} \right) \right), \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. H^n \left( \frac{\psi_n(\alpha)}{\alpha} x(\cdot), \psi_n(\beta) \varrho_n \left( \cdot, \frac{x - x(\cdot)}{\beta} \right) \right) \right] + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \lambda \max \left[ \frac{\psi_n(\alpha) - \omega_n(\alpha)}{\psi_n(\alpha)}, \frac{\psi_n(\alpha) - \alpha}{\alpha} \right] x(\cdot) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $H^n(u, v) = F^n(u, v) - u$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ ,  $\omega_n(\alpha) + \omega_n(\beta) \leq 1$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Since the sequence  $(\varrho_n)$  preserves constants, we have for  $t \in A = \{t \in \Omega: x(t) \text{ is finite}\}$

$$\varrho_n(t, x(t)) = x(t).$$

Therefore, for  $t \in A$ ,

$$\varrho_n(t, x) - x(t) \leq \overline{F^n} \left\{ \psi_n(\alpha) \frac{x(t)}{\alpha}, \psi_n(\beta) \varrho_n \left( t, \frac{x - x(t)}{\beta} \right) \right\} - x(t).$$

Let  $B_{n,cx} = \{t \in \Omega: \varrho_n(t, cx) \text{ is finite, } c \text{ is non-negative constant}\}$ . Then for  $t \in A \cap B_{n,x/(x\beta)}$  we have

$$\varrho_n(t, x) - x(t) \leq F^n \left\{ \psi_n(\alpha) \frac{x(t)}{\alpha}, \psi_n(\beta) \varrho_n \left( t, \frac{x-x(t)}{\beta} \right) \right\} - \psi_n(\alpha) \frac{x(t)}{\alpha} + \frac{\psi_n(\alpha) - \alpha}{\alpha} x(t).$$

For  $t \in A \cap B_{n,x}$  we have

$$x(t) - \varrho_n(t, x) = \frac{1}{\psi_n(\alpha)} [\omega_n(\alpha) x(t) - \psi_n(\alpha) \varrho_n(t, x)] + \frac{\psi_n(\alpha) - \omega_n(\alpha)}{\psi_n(\alpha)} x(t),$$

and for  $t \in A \cap B_{n,x} \cap B_{n,x/\beta}$  we obtain

$$\omega_n(\alpha) x(t) \leq F^n \left\{ \psi_n(\alpha) \varrho_n(t, x), \psi_n(\beta) \varrho_n \left( t, \alpha \frac{x(t) - x}{\beta} + \frac{\omega_n(\alpha) - \alpha}{\beta} x(t) \right) \right\}$$

and for  $t \in A \cap B_{n,x/\beta}$

$$\varrho_n \left( t, \alpha \frac{x(t) - x}{\beta} + \frac{\omega_n(\alpha) - \alpha}{\beta} x(t) \right) \leq F^n \left( \psi_n(\alpha) \varrho_n \left( t, \frac{x}{\beta} \right), \psi_n(\beta) \frac{\omega_n(\alpha)}{\beta^2} x(t) \right).$$

Thus for  $t \in A \cap B_{n,x} \cap B_{n,x/\beta}$  we have

$$x(t) - \varrho_n(t, x) \leq \frac{1}{\psi_n(\alpha)} F^n \left( \psi_n(\alpha) \varrho_n(t, x), \psi_n(\beta) \varrho_n \left( t, \alpha \frac{x(t) - x}{\beta} + \frac{\omega_n(\alpha) - \alpha}{\beta} x(t) \right) \right) - \varrho_n(t, x) + \frac{\psi_n(\alpha) - \omega_n(\alpha)}{\psi_n(\alpha)} x(t).$$

Hence, for  $t \in A \cap B_{n,x} \cap B_{n,x/(x\beta)} \cap B_{n,x/\beta}$  and for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  we obtain

$$|x(t) - \varrho_n(t, x)| \leq \max \left\{ H^n \left( \psi_n(\alpha) \frac{x(t)}{\alpha}, \psi_n(\beta) \varrho_n \left( t, \frac{x-x(t)}{\beta} \right) \right) + \frac{\psi_n(\alpha) - \alpha}{\alpha} x(t), \frac{1}{\psi_n(\alpha)} H^n \left( \psi_n(\alpha) \varrho_n(t, x), \psi_n(\beta) \varrho_n \left( t, \alpha \frac{x(t) - x}{\beta} + \frac{\omega_n(\alpha) - \alpha}{\beta} x(t) \right) \right) + \frac{\psi_n(\alpha) - \omega_n(\alpha)}{\psi_n(\alpha)} x(t) \right\},$$

where  $H^n(u, v) = F^n(u, v) - u$ . Applying condition (iv), we obtain the assertion.

In particular, if  $H^n(u, v) = v$ ,  $\psi_n(\alpha) = \psi_n(\beta) \equiv 1$ ,  $\omega_n(\alpha) = \alpha$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have the inequality

$$\varrho^s \left\{ \lambda |x(\cdot) - \varrho_n(\cdot, x)| \right\} \leq \varrho^s \left\{ 2\lambda \frac{x - x(\cdot)}{\beta} \right\} + \varrho^s \left\{ 2\lambda \frac{\beta}{\alpha} x(\cdot) \right\}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ ,  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  (see [1], [2]).

In the following, we investigate certain particular cases:

1.1. Let  $\varrho_n(t, x)$  be the  $(F^n, \omega_n, \psi_n)$ -pseudomodular in  $\mathcal{X}$  defined for  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , by

$$F^n(u, v) = F_{\Sigma}^n(u, v) = \varphi_n^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \alpha_i^n (u^{p_i^n} + v^{p_i^n}) \right),$$

$\varphi_n(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \alpha_i^n u^{p_i^n}$ ,  $\alpha_i^n$  being positive constants,  $p_i^n > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\omega_n(u) = u$ ,  $\psi_n(t) \equiv 1$ . Moreover, let  $\varrho_n(\cdot, x) \in L(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

If  $p_0 = \inf_n p_0^n \in (0, 1)$ , where  $p_0^n = \min_i p_i^n$ , then the space  $X_{\varrho^n}$ , generated by the sequence  $(\varrho_n)$ , is an  $F_{p_0}$ -modular space.

**Proof.**

(a)  $x = 0 \Leftrightarrow \varrho^s(x) = 0$ .

(b)  $\varrho^s(-x) = \varrho^s(x)$  for  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

(c) For  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ ,  $S(u) = u/(1+u)$  for  $u \geq 0$  we have

$$\varrho^s(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} S \left( \int_{\Omega} F_{\Sigma}^n(\varrho_n(t, x), \varrho_n(t, y)) d\mu \right).$$

It is known (see [5]) that for  $x, y \in L^r(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  and for the  $F$ -operation  $F_p$ ,  $0 < p \leq 1$ , the inequality

$$(*) \quad \left\{ \int_{\Omega} [F_p(|x(t)|, |y(t)|)]^r d\mu \right\}^{1/r} \leq F_p \left\{ \left[ \int_{\Omega} |x(t)|^r d\mu \right]^{1/r}, \left[ \int_{\Omega} |y(t)|^r d\mu \right]^{1/r} \right\}$$

holds for  $r \geq p$ .

If  $0 < p \leq 1$ ,  $u_n, v_n \geq 0$ , the  $F$ -operation  $F_p$  satisfies the condition

$$(* *) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n F_p(u_n, v_n) \leq \bar{F}_p \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n u_n, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n v_n \right),$$

where  $a_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , are non-negative constants (see [5]).

Applying (\*), (\*) and (\* \*) and the inequality  $S(F_p(u, v)) \leq F_p(S(u), S(v))$  for  $p \in (0, 1)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^s(\alpha x + \beta y) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} S \left( \int_{\Omega} F_{p_0^n}(\varrho_n(t, x), \varrho_n(t, y)) d\mu \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} S(F_{p_0}(\varrho_{ns}(x), \varrho_{ns}(y))) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} F_{p_0} (S(\varrho_{ns}(x)), S(\varrho_{ns}(y))) \leq F_{p_0}(\varrho^s(x), \varrho^s(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $X_{\varrho^n}$  is an  $F_{p_0}$ -modular space.

Using Theorem 1 and proceeding as in the proof of Corollary in [5], we obtain

**THEOREM 2.** *If a sequence  $(\varrho_n)$  preserves constants, then for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $x \in X_{\varrho^s}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ , there exists  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

$$(\varrho^s \{ \lambda [x(\cdot) - \varrho_n(\cdot, x)] \})^{p_0} < \left( \varrho^s \left\{ 2\lambda \max \left[ H_{p_0} \left( \frac{x(\cdot)}{1-\beta}, \varrho_n \left( \cdot, \frac{x-x(\cdot)}{\beta} \right) \right), H_{p_0} \left( \varrho_n(\cdot, x), \varrho_n \left( \cdot, \frac{x-x(\cdot)}{\beta} \right) \right) \right] \right\} \right)^{p_0} + \varepsilon,$$

where  $H_{p_0}(u, v) = F_{p_0}(u, v) - u$ .

A sequence  $(\varrho_n)$  is called *singular at a point*  $x \in X_{\varrho^s}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ , if for every  $a' > 0$ ,  $b' > 1$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  we have

$$J_n^m(x) = \int_{\Omega} \varrho_m \left\{ t, a' \max \left[ H^n \left( \frac{b'}{b'-1} x(\cdot), \varrho_n(\cdot, b'(x-x(\cdot))) \right), H^n \left( \varrho_n(\cdot, x), \varrho_n(\cdot, b'(x-x(\cdot))) \right) \right] \right\} d\mu \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

From Theorem 2 follows the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If a sequence  $(\varrho_n)$  preserves constants and  $(\varrho_n)$  is singular at a point  $x \in X_{\varrho^s}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ , then for every  $\lambda > 0$*

$$\varrho^s \{ \lambda [x(\cdot) - \varrho_n(\cdot, x)] \} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

1.2. Let  $\Omega = \langle a, b \rangle$ , where  $a = k(b-a)$  and  $k$  is an integer, let  $\Sigma$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable sets in  $\langle a, b \rangle$  and  $\mu$  be the Lebesgue measure. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  denote the set of  $\Sigma$ -measurable and almost everywhere finite functions in  $\langle a, b \rangle$ , extended by periodicity, with period  $b-a$ , outside  $\langle a, b \rangle$ . Let  $K_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , be  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions positive almost everywhere in  $\langle a, b \rangle$  and such that

$$\int_a^b K_n(u) du = 1 \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let

$$(A) \quad \varrho_n(t, x) = \varphi_n^{-1} \left\{ \int_a^b K_n(u) \varphi_n(|x(u+t)|) du \right\},$$

where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$ ,  $x \in L(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ ,  $\varphi_n(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \alpha_i^n u^{p_i^n}$ ,  $\alpha_i^n > 0$ ,  $p_i^n \in (0, 1)$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ;  $i = 1, \dots, k_n$ ;  $p_0^n = \min_i p_i^n$ ,  $p_0 = \inf_n p_0^n > 0$ .

For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$  the inequality

$$(\ast \ast) \quad \varrho_n(t, x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \left( \int_a^b K_n(u) |x(u+t)|^{p_i^n} du \right)^{1/p_i^n}$$

holds.

Proof. Since

$$\int_a^b K_n(u) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \alpha_i^n |x(u+t)|^{p_i^n} \right] du \leq \varphi_n \left( \max_i \left\{ \int_a^b K_n(u) |x(u+t)|^{p_i^n} du \right\}^{1/p_i^n} \right),$$

we have

$$\varrho_n(t, x) \leq \max_i \left\{ \int_a^b K_n(u) |x(u+t)|^{p_i^n} du \right\}^{1/p_i^n}$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ;  $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$ . Hence  $(\ast \ast)$  follows.

The sequence  $(\varrho_n)$ , where  $\varrho_n(t, x)$  is defined by formula (A), satisfy conditions (i)–(iv) and  $\varrho_n(\cdot, x) \in L(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ;  $(\varrho_n)$  preserves constants.

We say that  $(K_n)$  is a singular kernel if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a+\delta}^{b-\delta} K_n(u) du = 0$$

for every  $\delta \in (0, (b-a)/2)$ .

THEOREM 3. If:

- (a)  $x \geq 0$ ,  $x \in L^{1+\gamma}(\langle a, b \rangle, \Sigma, \mu) \cap \mathcal{X}$ , where  $0 < \gamma < 1$ ,
- (b) the sequence  $(\varrho_n)$  is defined by formula (A), where

$$\sup_n k_n < \infty, \quad p_i^n \in \left\langle \frac{1}{l+1}, \frac{2}{l+1} \right\rangle, \quad p_0 \in \left\langle \frac{1}{l+1}, \frac{1}{l} \right\rangle,$$

$$l = \max \left\{ m = 1, 2, \dots : \gamma > \frac{m-1}{m+1} \right\},$$

and  $(K_n)$  is a singular kernel, then for every  $\lambda > 0$  we have

$$\varrho^\lambda \{ \lambda [x - \varrho_n(\cdot, x)] \} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\varrho^\lambda$  is an  $F_{p_0}$ -modular.

Proof. Let  $x \in L^{1+\gamma}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) \cap \mathcal{X}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ , where  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , and let  $(\varrho_n)$  satisfy condition (b),  $a' > 0$ ,  $b' > 1$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$

Let us denote

$$y(\cdot) = a' \max \left[ H_{p_0} \left( \frac{b'}{b'-1} x(\cdot), \varrho_n(\cdot, b'(x-x(\cdot))) \right), \right. \\ \left. H_{p_0} \left( \varrho_n(\cdot, x), \varrho_n(\cdot, b'(x-x(\cdot))) \right) \right].$$

Then, applying (\* \*) we have

$$J_n^m(x) = \int_{\Omega} \varrho_m(t, y) dt \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_m} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{mi}(t, y) dt,$$

where

$$\varrho_{mi}(t, y) = \left\{ \int_a^b K_m(u) [y(u+t)]^{p_i^m} du \right\}^{1/p_i^m}.$$

Let

$$u_1 = \frac{b'}{b'-1} x(u+t), \quad u_2 = \varphi_n^{-1} \left\{ \int_a^b K_n(v) \varphi_n(x(v+u+t)) dv \right\},$$

$$v_0 = \varphi_n^{-1} \left\{ \int_a^b K_n(v) \varphi_n(b'|x(v+u+t) - x(u+t)) dv \right\}.$$

Since  $1/(l+1) \leq p_0 < 1/l$ ,

$$J_n^m(x) \leq a' \sum_{i=1}^{k_m} 2^{1/p_i^m-1} \left\{ (l+1)^{1/p_i^m-1} \left[ \int_a^b \int_a^b K_m(u) v_0^{p_i^m} du \right]^{1/p_i^m} dt + \right.$$

$$+ (l+1)^{1/p_i^m-1} \sum_{k=1}^l \binom{l+1}{k} \left[ \int_a^b \int_a^b K_m(u) u_1^{k p_i^m/(l+1)} v_0^{(l,k) p_i^m} du \right]^{1/p_i^m} dt +$$

$$\left. + \int_a^b \left[ \int_a^b K_m(u) \left( \sum_{k=1}^l \binom{l+1}{k} u_2^{k p_i^m/(l+1)} v_0^{(l,k) p_i^m} \right) du \right]^{1/p_i^m} dt \right\}$$

$$= a' \sum_{i=1}^{k_m} 2^{1/p_i^m-1} \left\{ (l+1)^{1/p_i^m-1} I_1 + (l+1)^{1/p_i^m-1} \sum_{k=1}^l \binom{l+1}{k} I_2 + I_3 \right\},$$

where  $(l, k) = (l+1-k)/(l+1)$ .

In the sequel we shall apply the generalized Minkowski inequality

$$(M) \quad \int_a^c \left( \int_a^b |F(u, v)| dv \right)^q du \leq \left[ \int_a^b \left( \int_a^c |F(u, v)|^q dv \right)^{1/q} du \right]^q$$

for measurable function  $F$  in the rectangle  $\langle a, b \rangle \times \langle c, d \rangle$ ,  $q \geq 1$  (see [6]).

Using inequality (\* \*) and (M), we have

$$I_1 \leq b' k_n^{1/p_i^m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \left\{ \int_a^b K_n(v) \left[ \int_a^b |x(v+s) - x(s)| ds \right]^{p_j^n} dv \right\}^{1/p_j^n}$$

and

$$I_2 \leq b'(b'-1)^{-k/(l+1)} M \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \left\{ \int_a^b K_n(v) \left[ \int_a^b |x(v+s) - x(s)|^{(l,k) p} ds \right]^{p_j^n/(l,k) p} dv \right\}^{(l,k)/p_j^n},$$

where  $P = (l+1)(\omega+1)p_j^n$ ,

$$\frac{1+\gamma}{(1+\gamma+\gamma l)p_j^n} - 1 < \omega < \frac{1+\gamma}{lp_j^n} - 1 \quad \text{for } \frac{l-1}{l+1} < \gamma < 1,$$

because for  $x \in L^{1+\gamma}$  there exists a constant  $M$  such that for  $k = 1, \dots, l$  and  $Q = P/(P-1)$

$$\left( \int_a^b (x(s))^{kQ/(l+1)} ds \right)^{1/Q} \leq M.$$

Applying the generalized Minkowski inequality, we obtain

$$I_3 \leq l^{1/p_i^m - 1} \sum_{k=1}^l \binom{l+1}{k} \left[ \int_a^b K_m(u) \left( \int_a^b u_2^{k/(l+1)} v_0^{(l,k)} dt \right)^{p_i^m} du \right]^{1/p_i^m}$$

and, using (\* \*), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b u_2^{k/(l+1)} v_0^{(l,k)} dt \\ & \leq b^{(l,k)} M k_n \sum_{r=1}^{k_n} \int_a^b K_n(v) \left[ \int_a^b |x(v+s) - x(s)|^{(l,k)P} ds \right]^{p_r^n / ((l,k)P)} dv \Big\}^{(l,k)/p_r^n}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1+\gamma}{(1+\gamma+\gamma l)p_j^n} - 1 < \omega < \min \left( \frac{1+\gamma}{lp_j^n}, \frac{1}{(l+1)p_j^n - 1} \right) - 1.$$

For  $\delta \in (0, (b-a)/2)$  and  $j = 1, \dots, k_n$ ;  $k = 1, \dots, l$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \int_a^b K_n(v) \left[ \int_a^b |x(v+s) - x(s)| ds \right]^{p_j^n} dv \right\}^{1/p_j^n} \\ & \leq 2^{1/p_0} \left\{ [(b-a)^{w(\gamma)-1/(1+\gamma)} \omega_{1+\gamma}(\delta; x)]^{(l,k)} + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2^{(l,k,p_j^n)} \left[ \int_{a+\delta}^{b-\delta} K_n(v) dv \right]^{(l,k)/p_j^n} [(b-a)^{w(\gamma)-1-\gamma} \|x\|_{1+\gamma}]^{(l,k)} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(\gamma, l, k, p_j^n) = (l, k) \left( \frac{1}{p_j^n} + \frac{\gamma(1+\gamma+\gamma l)}{1+\gamma} \right) - 1,$$

$$\|x\|_p = \left\{ \int_a^b |x(t)|^p dt \right\}^{1/p},$$

$$\omega_p(\delta; x) = \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \left\{ \int_a^b |x(t+h) - x(t)|^p dt \right\}^{1/p},$$

$$w(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1+\gamma}, & \text{when } b-a \in (0, 1), \\ \frac{1+\gamma+\gamma l}{1+\gamma}, & \text{when } b-a > 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$J_n^m(x) \leq a' A(m) \left\{ B(b', l, p_0) k_n^{1/p_0} \omega_1(\delta; x) + \right. \\ \left. + B(b', l, p_0) k_n^{1/p_0 - 1} \|x\|_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \left( \int_{a+\delta}^{b-\delta} K_n(v) dv \right)^{1/p_j^n} + \right. \\ \left. + C(b', l, M, p_0) \sum_{k=1}^l \binom{l+1}{k} D(b', k, l) \times \right. \\ \left. \times [2k_n E(\gamma, k, l, p_0) (\omega_{1+\gamma}(\delta; x))^{1/(l+1)} + \right. \\ \left. + F(\gamma, k, l, p_0) (\|x\|_{1+\gamma})^{(l,k)} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \left( \int_{a+\delta}^{b-\delta} K_n(v) dv \right)^{(l,k)/p_j^n} \right\},$$

where  $B, C, D, E, F$  are bounded functions.

Since  $x \in L^{1+\gamma}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ ,  $(K_n)$  is a singular kernel and  $\sup_n k_n < \infty$ , we

have for every  $m = 1, 2, \dots$   $J_n^m(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

It follows from Corollary 1 that for every  $\lambda > 0$

$$\varrho^s \{ \lambda [x - \varrho_n(\cdot, x)] \} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

COROLLARY 2. Suppose that:

- (a)  $x \geq 0$ ,  $x \in L^{1+\gamma}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) \cap \mathcal{X}$ , where  $0 < \gamma < 1$ ,
- (b) the sequence  $(\varrho_n)$  is of the form

$$\varrho_n(t, x) = \left\{ \int_a^b K_n(u) [x(u+t)]^{p_n} du \right\}^{1/p_n},$$

where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ;  $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$ ,  $p_n \in \langle 1/(l+1), 1/l \rangle$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$l = \max \left\{ m = 1, 2, \dots : \gamma > \frac{m-1}{m+1} \right\},$$

and  $(K_n)$  is a singular kernel.

Under these assumptions:

- (a) if  $p_n \downarrow p_0$ , then for every  $\lambda > 0$

$$\varrho^s \{ \lambda [x - \varrho_n(\cdot, x)] \} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\varrho^s$  is an  $F_{p_0}$ -modular in  $\mathcal{X}$ ,

- (b) if  $p_n \uparrow p_0$ , then for every  $\lambda > 0$

$$\varrho^s \{ \lambda [x - \varrho_n(\cdot, x)] \} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\varrho^s$  is an  $F_{p_1}$ -modular in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

### References

- [1] J. Musielak, *Modular spaces*, Poznań 1978 (in Polish).
- [2] —, *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1034, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [3] —, J. Peetre, *F-modular spaces*, *Functiones et Approximatio* 1 (1974), 67–73.

- [4] S. Stoiński,  *$(\varphi, \psi)$ -convex functions*, *ibidem* 8 (1980), 85–88.
- [5] —, *An application of modular spaces to approximation problems, III*, *Comment. Math.* 25 (1985), 161–172.
- [6] R. Taberski, *Approximation of functions by trigonometric polynomials*, Poznań 1979 (in Polish).
- [7] R. Urbański, *Modular spaces over a field with valuation generated by a  $(\omega, \vartheta)$ -convex modular*, *Studia Math.* 77 (1983), 121–131.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI UNIwersYTETU im A. MICKIEWICZA, POZNAŃ  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY, POZNAŃ

---