



LECH MALIGRANDA (Poznań)

## Interpolation of Lipschitz operators for the pairs of spaces $(L^1, L^\infty)$ and $(l^1, c_0)$

**Abstract.** Let  $\mu_1$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite non-atomic and  $\mu_2$  a discrete measure. Let  $T: L^1(\mu_1) + L^\infty(\mu_1) \rightarrow L^1(\mu_2) + L^\infty(\mu_2)$  be such that  $T$  is a Lipschitz operator from  $L^1(\mu_1)$  to  $L^1(\mu_2)$  and from  $L^\infty(\mu_1)$  to  $L^\infty(\mu_2)$ , where  $L^\infty(\mu_2) = c_0$  and  $L^p(\mu_1)$  is a maximal rearrangement invariant Banach function space such that  $L^\infty(\mu_1) \subset L^p(\mu_1) \subset L^1(\mu_1)$ ,  $L^p(\mu_2) = l^p \subset c_0$ , or  $L^p(\mu_1)$  is a minimal rearrangement invariant Banach function space. Then  $T$  or its extension  $\hat{T}$  is Lipschitz from  $L^p(\mu_1)$  to  $L^p(\mu_2)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and the bound  $K_p$  (or respectively  $\hat{K}_p$ ) does not exceed  $\max(K_1, K_\infty)$ .

**0. Introduction.** This theorem was proved by W. Orlicz [12] for Orlicz spaces  $L^p(0, l)$  in the case where  $l < \infty$  for linear operators, and later [13] for Lipschitz operators. In [4], the theorem was proved for maximal spaces  $L^p(0, 1)$  and linear operators given by an integral transformation. In [10] Mitjagin proved the theorem for linear operators and a minimal rearrangement invariant Banach function space  $L^p(0, 1)$ . Moreover, Mitjagin was the first who proved this theorem for linear operators and minimal sequence spaces  $l^p$ .

Calderón [3] established this theorem in 1966 for quasi-linear operators and every  $L^p(\mu)$  having the majorant property. Next, Lorentz and Shimogaki [5], [6] proved it in the case of Lipschitz operators and for every maximal  $L^p(0, l)$  when  $l < \infty$ , and for minimal  $L^p(0, \infty)$ .

In this paper we show that this theorem holds if  $\mu$  is an adequate measure and  $L^p(\mu)$  is a maximal rearrangement invariant Banach function space such that  $L^\infty(\mu) \subset L^p(\mu) \subset L^1(\mu)$  if  $\mu$  non-atomic and  $l^p \subset c_0$  if  $\mu$  is discrete, or  $L^p(\mu)$  is a minimal rearrangement invariant Banach function space. The idea of the proof is taken from Lorentz-Shimogaki [6] in the case of non-atomic measures. We give also another proof of this theorem for a maximal rearrangement invariant Banach function space, applying the theory of Bennett [1] and Peetre [14].

**1. Preliminaries.** In the sequel,  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space with a countably additive non-negative measure  $\mu$  on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  of subsets of an abstract set  $S$ . By  $M(P)$  we denote the space of all real-valued (resp. non-negative)  $\mu$ -measurable functions on  $S$ , finite a.e. on  $S$ .

A mapping  $\varrho: P \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a *function norm* if  $\varrho$  satisfies the following conditions for all  $f, f_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $g$  in  $P$ :

(i)  $\varrho(f) = 0$  iff  $f = 0$  a.e.

$$\varrho(f+g) \leq \varrho(f) + \varrho(g), \quad \varrho(\lambda f) = \lambda \varrho(f) \quad (\lambda \geq 0);$$

(ii)  $f \leq g$  a.e. implies  $\varrho(f) \leq \varrho(g)$ ;

If we identify  $\mu$ -almost equal functions, then

$$L^{\varrho}(\mu) = \{f \in M: \varrho(|f|) < \infty\}$$

is a normed linear space with the norm  $\|f\| = \varrho(|f|)$ . Such a space is usually called a *normed Köthe space* or *normed function space* (for the theory of normed Köthe spaces, see [18]).

For each  $\varrho$ ,  $\varrho'(f) = \sup \left\{ \int_S |fg| d\mu: \varrho(g) \leq 1 \right\}$  is called the *associated norm* to  $\varrho$ ; it satisfies conditions (i) and (ii). The *associated space*, denoted by  $(L^{\varrho}(\mu))'$  or  $L^{\varrho'}(\mu)$ , is defined as

$$L^{\varrho'}(\mu) = \{f \in M: \varrho'(|f|) < \infty\}.$$

A function norm  $\varrho$  is called:

*continuous* if  $f_n \in L^{\varrho}(\mu)$ ,  $0 \leq f_n \downarrow 0$ , imply  $\varrho(f_n) \downarrow 0$ ,

*semi-continuous* if  $0 \leq f_n \uparrow f$ ,  $f \in L^{\varrho}(\mu)$ , imply  $\varrho(f_n) \uparrow \varrho(f)$ ,

*monotone-complete* if  $0 \leq f_n \uparrow f$  and  $\sup_n \varrho(f_n) < \infty$  imply  $f \in L^{\varrho}(\mu)$ .

If  $\varrho$  satisfies *Fatou property*, i.e.  $f_0, f_1, \dots \in P$  and  $f_n \uparrow f$  a.e. implies  $\varrho(f_n) \uparrow \varrho(f)$  (this is equivalent to semi-continuity and monotone-completeness of the norm), then  $L^{\varrho}(\mu)$  is called a *maximal normed function space*, and if  $\varrho$  is a continuous norm, then  $L^{\varrho}(\mu)$  is called a *minimal normed function space*.

In the sequel we consider *Banach function spaces* (complete normed function spaces) such that

$$L^1(\mu) \cap L^{\infty}(\mu) \subset L^{\varrho}(\mu) \subset L^1(\mu) + L^{\infty}(\mu).$$

It is clear that if  $\mu$  is a non-atomic measure and  $\mu(S) \leq \infty$  or  $\mu$  is a discrete measure (i.e. purely atomic with atoms of equal measure 1), then

$$L^1(\mu) \cap L^{\infty}(\mu) \subset L^{\varrho}(\mu) \subset L^1(\mu) + L^{\infty}(\mu)$$

if and only if

(iii)  $\mu(E) < \infty$  implies that there exists  $A_E$  independent of  $f$  such that  $\int_E |f| d\mu \leq A_E \varrho(f)$ ,

(iv)  $\mu(E) < \infty$  implies  $\varrho(\chi_E) < \infty$ .

For each  $\mu$ -measurable function  $f$  on  $S$ , the function  $d_f(y) = \mu\{x \in S:$

$\{|f(x)| > y\}$ ,  $y > 0$ , is called the *distribution function* of  $f$ .  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are called *equimeasurable* if  $d_{f_1} = d_{f_2}$  holds; we denote this by writing  $f_1 \sim f_2$ .

A function norm  $\varrho$  is called *rearrangement-invariant* if

(v)  $f_1 \sim f_2$  implies  $\varrho(f_1) = \varrho(f_2)$ ;

$\mathcal{L}(\mu)$  is then called a *rearrangement-invariant Banach function space*.

Examples of rearrangement invariant Banach function spaces are Lebesgue spaces  $L^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ), Lorentz spaces  $\Lambda, M, L^{p,\alpha}, \Lambda_\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ) and Orlicz spaces  $L^\varphi$ .

The smallest and the largest of the rearrangement invariant maximal Banach function spaces with non-atomic or discrete measure are respectively  $L^1(\mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu)$  and  $L^1(\mu) + L^\infty(\mu)$ , this in the sense that the continuous embeddings

$$L^1(\mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu) \subset \mathcal{L}(\mu) \subset L^1(\mu) + L^\infty(\mu)$$

hold for any rearrangement-invariant maximal Banach function space  $\mathcal{L}(\mu)$  satisfying (iii) and (iv).

**2. Majorant property.** For each  $f \in M$ ,  $f^*$  denotes the non-increasing rearrangement of  $f$ , which is the right continuous inverse of the function  $d_f$ , i.e.

$$f^*(t) \doteq \inf \{y > 0: d_f(y) \leq t\}, \quad 0 \leq t < \mu(S) \leq \infty.$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(t) &= d_{d_f}^m(t) = |\{\lambda > 0: d_f(\lambda) > t > 0\}| \\ &= \sup \{\lambda > 0: d_f(\lambda) > t > 0\} \quad \text{for } 0 < t < \mu(S), \end{aligned}$$

and  $f^*(0) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in S} |f(x)|$ . We write  $g \prec f$  for  $f, g \in L^1(\mu) + L^\infty(\mu)$ , if

$$\int_0^t g^*(s) ds \leq \int_0^t f^*(s) ds \quad \text{for any } 0 < t < \mu(S).$$

LEMMA 1. If  $h_n \prec f$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^{-n} h_n \prec f$ .

Proof. By the inequality  $\int_0^t (f_1 + f_2)^*(u) du \leq \int_0^t f_1^*(u) du + \int_0^t f_2^*(u) du$  (see [7], p. 108), we get

$$\int_0^t \left( \sum_{n=1}^N 2^{-n} h_n \right)^*(s) ds \leq \sum_{n=1}^N 2^{-n} \int_0^t h_n^*(s) ds \leq \int_0^t f^*(s) ds \quad \text{for every } N \geq 1.$$

Since  $\sum_{n=1}^N 2^{-n} h_n \uparrow \sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^{-n} h_n$  implies  $\left( \sum_{n=1}^N 2^{-n} h_n \right)^* \uparrow \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^{-n} h_n \right)^*$ , by Beppo-

Levi theorem we obtain

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \left( \sum_{n=1}^N 2^{-n} h_n \right)^*(s) ds = \int_0^t \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} h_n \right)^*(s) ds.$$

Hence  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} h_n < f$ .

If  $f \in L^p(\mu)$  and  $g < f$  imply  $g \in L^p(\mu)$ , then we shall say that  $L^p(\mu)$  has the *majorant property* ( $L^p(\mu) \in \text{MP}$ ); if, moreover,  $\varrho(g) \leq \varrho(f)$ , then we shall say that  $L^p(\mu)$  has the *strong majorant property* ( $L^p(\mu) \in \text{SMP}$ ).

LEMMA 2. *If  $L^p(\mu) \in \text{MP}$ , then there exists an equivalent rearrangement invariant function norm  $\varrho_0$  such that  $L^p(\mu) \in \text{SMP}$ .*

Proof (see also [7], Theorem 16.1). Let us put, for  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ ,

$$\varrho_0(f) = \sup \{ \varrho(g) : g \in \Omega(f) \},$$

where  $\Omega(f) = \{ h \in L^1(\mu) + L^\infty(\mu) : h < f \}$ . There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $g < f, f \in L^p(\mu)$ , imply  $\varrho(g) \leq C\varrho(f)$ . Suppose that this condition does not hold for each  $C > 0$ . Then there exist positive functions  $f_n, g_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that

$$g_n < f_n, \quad \varrho(g_n) \geq n \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho(f_n) = 2^{-2^n}.$$

Putting  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n f_n$ , we have  $f \in L^p(\mu)$  and  $2^n g_n < 2^n f_n < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n f_n = f$  for  $n \geq 1$ . By Lemma 1, we get

$$g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} (2^n f_n) < f;$$

hence  $g \in L^p(\mu)$ . On the other hand,  $0 \leq g_n \leq g$ , therefore  $\varrho(g) \geq \varrho(g_n) \geq n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , a contradiction. From the above we get  $\varrho(f) \leq \varrho_0(f) \leq C\varrho(f)$  for  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ .  $\varrho_0$  is a rearrangement-invariant function norm.

For example, we shall show the triangle inequality. Let  $f_1, f_2 \in L^p(\mu)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $g \in L^p(\mu)$  such that  $g < f_1 + f_2, \varrho_0(f_1 + f_2) \leq \varrho(g) + \varepsilon$ . There exist  $g_1, g_2$  such that  $g_i < f_i, i = 1, 2, g = g_1 + g_2$  (see [5]). Since  $L^p(\mu) \in \text{MP}$ , therefore  $g_1, g_2 \in L^p(\mu)$ . We have

$$\varrho_0(f_1 + f_2) \leq \varrho(g) + \varepsilon \leq \varrho(g_1) + \varrho(g_2) + \varepsilon \leq \varrho_0(f_1) + \varrho_0(f_2) + \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we conclude the triangle inequality.

A measure space  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  is called *adequate* if for any  $f, g \in P$

$$\sup \left\{ \int_S fg' d\mu : g' \sim g \right\} = \int_0^{\mu(S)} f^*(t)g^*(t) dt.$$

Non-atomic measure spaces and discrete measure spaces are adequate (see Luxemburg [7], Mills [9], Silverman [16]).

LUXEMBURG THEOREM. If  $\mu$  is an adequate measure and  $L^p(\mu)$  is maximal, then  $L^p(\mu) \in \text{SMP}$ .

If  $\mu$  is not adequate, then this theorem may be false (counter-example – see [7], p. 118).

If  $\varrho$  is monotone-complete, then by the Amemiya theorem (see [18]),  $\gamma\varrho(f) \leq \varrho''(f) \leq \varrho(f)$  for  $f \in M$  and  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ . Hence, if  $\mu$  is adequate, then  $f \in L^p(\mu)$  and  $g < f$  implies  $g \in L^p(\mu)$  and  $\varrho(g) \leq 1/\gamma\varrho(f)$ .

MITJAGIN–CALDERÓN THEOREM. If  $\mu$  is a separable non-atomic measure or a discrete measure and  $L^p(\mu)$  is minimal, then  $L^p(\mu) \in \text{SMP}$ .

G. I. Russu [15] has given examples of rearrangement-invariant Banach function spaces  $L^p(m)$  and  $L^r(m)$ , where  $S = [0, 1]$  and  $m$  is the Lebesgue measure, such that  $L^p(m) \notin \text{MP}$  and  $L^r(m) \in \text{MP}$ ,  $L^r(m) \notin \text{SMP}$ .

We shall say that  $L^p(\mu)$  has *universal majorant property* ( $L^p(\mu) \in \text{UMP}$ ) if each closed rearrangement-invariant Banach function subspace  $L^{p_0}(\mu)$  of the space  $L^p(\mu)$  has the majorant property, i.e.  $L^{p_0}(\mu) \in \text{MP}$ .

Let  $f \in L^1(m) + L^\infty(m)$ , and let

$$(Hf)(t) = 1/t \int_0^t f^*(s) ds \cdot \chi_{(0,1]}(t) \quad \text{if } S = [0, 1], \quad l \leq \infty.$$

We shall say that  $L^p(m)$  has *Hardy property* ( $L^p(m) \in \text{HP}$ ) if  $f \in L^p(m)$  implies  $Hf \in L^p(m)$ . If  $L^p(m) \in \text{HP}$ , then each of its closed rearrangement invariant subspaces  $L^{p_0}(m)$  belongs to HP. Since  $L^p(m) \in \text{HP}$  implies  $L^p(m) \in \text{MP}$ , therefore if  $L^p(m) \in \text{HP}$ , then  $L^p(m) \in \text{UMP}$ . Note that the converse is false:  $L^1(m) \in \text{UMP}$  and  $L^1(m) \notin \text{HP}$ . A. A. Siedajev has given even an example of  $L^p(m)$  without continuous norm such that  $L^p(m) \in \text{UMP}$  and  $L^p(m) \notin \text{HP}$ .

**3. Non-atomic case.** An operator  $T$  which maps a Banach space  $X$  into a Banach space  $Y$  is called a *Lipschitz operator* if  $T0 = 0$  and if  $\|Tf - Tg\|_Y \leq K \|f - g\|_X$ ,  $f, g \in X$ , for some  $K > 0$ . The smallest  $K$  in this inequality is called the *bound* of  $T$ .

By  $\text{Lip}(X, Y; K)$  ( $B(X, Y; K)$ ,  $\alpha(X, Y; K)$ ) we denote the class of all Lipschitz (bounded, linear and bounded) operators  $T$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  with bound not exceeding  $K$ . If  $X = Y$ , we shall write  $\text{Lip}(X; K)$  ( $B(X; K)$ ,  $\alpha(X; K)$ ).

LEMMA 3. Let  $\mu$  be a non-atomic measure. If  $\mu(S) \geq t > 0$ , then there exists a set  $E_t \in \Sigma$  such that  $\mu(E_t) = t$  and

$$\int_{E_t} |f| d\mu = \int_0^t f^*(u) du, \quad |f(x)| \geq f^*(t) \quad \text{for } x \in E_t \text{ a.e.,}$$

$$|f(x)| \leq f^*(t) \quad \text{for } x \in S \setminus E_t \text{ a.e.}$$

Proof (see [11], Theorem 5.4.7 or [17], Lemma 3.17).

For  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $f^{(\alpha)}$  will denote the  $\alpha$ -truncation of  $f$ , that is, the function

$$f^{(\alpha)}(x) = \min(|f(x)|, \alpha) \operatorname{sgn} f(x).$$

LEMMA 4. Let  $\mu$  be a non-atomic measure. If  $T: L^1(\mu) + L^\infty(\mu) \rightarrow L^1(\mu) + L^\infty(\mu)$  is such that  $T \in \operatorname{Lip}(L^1(\mu); 1) \cap B(L^\infty(\mu); 1)$ , then  $Tf < f$  for any  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ .

Proof. For each  $a$ ,  $0 < a < \mu(S)$ , we can find measurable sets  $E_{1,a}$  and  $E_{2,a}$  such that  $\mu(E_{1,a}) = \mu(E_{2,a}) = a$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^a (Tf)^*(u) du &= \int_{E_{1,a}} |Tf(t)| d\mu, & \int_0^a f^*(u) du &= \int_{E_{2,a}} |f(t)| d\mu, \\ |f(t)| &\geq f^*(a) & \text{for } t \in E_{2,a} \text{ a.e.,} \\ |f(t)| &\leq f^*(a) & \text{for } t \in S \setminus E_{2,a} \text{ a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $\alpha = f^*(a)$ , we have for the truncation  $f^{(\alpha)}$  of  $f$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^a (Tf)^*(u) du &= \int_{E_{1,a}} |Tf(t)| d\mu \leq \int_{E_{1,a}} |Tf(t) - (Tf^{(\alpha)})(t)| d\mu + \int_{E_{1,a}} |Tf^{(\alpha)}(t)| d\mu \\ &\leq \int_S |f(t) - f^{(\alpha)}(t)| d\mu + \int_{E_{1,a}} \|f^{(\alpha)}\|_\infty d\mu \\ &= \int_{E_{2,a}} |f(t) - f^{(\alpha)}(t)| d\mu + a \|f^{(\alpha)}\|_\infty \\ &\leq \int_{E_{2,a}} |f(t) - \alpha \operatorname{sgn} f(t)| d\mu + \alpha a \\ &= \int_{E_{2,a}} (|f(t)| - \alpha) d\mu + \alpha a = \int_{E_{2,a}} |f(t)| d\mu = \int_0^a f^*(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $Tf < f$ .

PROPOSITION (Calderón theorem). If  $T: L^1(\mu) + L^\infty(\mu) \rightarrow L^1(\mu) + L^\infty(\mu)$  is such that  $T \in \alpha(L^1(\mu); K_1) \cap \alpha(L^\infty(\mu); K_\infty)$  and  $L^q(\mu) \in \text{MP}$ , then  $T \in \alpha(L^q(\mu); K_q)$ , where  $K_q \leq C \max(K_1, K_\infty)$  and  $C > 0$  is the constant from Lemma 2.

THEOREM 1. Let  $\mu$  be a non-atomic measure and let  $T: L^1(\mu) + L^\infty(\mu) \rightarrow L^1(\mu) + L^\infty(\mu)$  be such that  $T \in \operatorname{Lip}(L^1(\mu); K_1) \cap \operatorname{Lip}(L^\infty(\mu); K_\infty)$ .

(a) If  $L^q(\mu)$  is maximal and  $L^\infty(\mu) \subset L^q(\mu) \subset L^1(\mu)$  or  $L^q(\mu)$  is minimal and  $\mu$  is a separable finite measure, then  $T \in \operatorname{Lip}(L^q(\mu); K_q)$ , where  $K_q \leq \max(K_1, K_\infty)$ ;

(b) If  $L^q(\mu)$  is minimal and  $\mu(S) = \infty$ , then  $T$  can be extended uniquely to  $\hat{T}$  on  $L^q(\mu)$  belonging to  $\operatorname{Lip}(L^q(\mu); \hat{K}_q)$ , where  $\hat{K}_q \leq \max(K_1, K_\infty)$ .

Proof. We fix  $h \in L^1(\mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu)$  and define the operator  $S$  by

$$Sf = \frac{T(f+h) - Th}{\max(K_1, K_\infty)}, \quad f \in L^1(\mu) \cup L^\infty(\mu).$$

Then  $S \in \text{Lip}(L^1(\mu); 1) \cap \text{Lip}(L^\infty(\mu); 1)$ . Hence, by Lemma 4,  $Sf < f$  for each  $f \in L^2(\mu) \cap L^1(\mu)$  and  $\varrho(Sf) \leq \varrho(f)$ , because  $L^2(\mu) \in \text{SMP}$ . This means that

$$\varrho(Tf - Th) = \varrho(\max(K_1, K_\infty)S(f-h)) \leq \max(K_1, K_\infty)\varrho(f-h).$$

(a<sub>max</sub>) For arbitrary  $f, g \in L^2(\mu)$ , we consider the truncations  $f^{(n)}, g^{(n)}$ . Then  $T(f^{(n)})$  and  $T(g^{(n)})$  converge to  $Tf$  and  $Tg$ , respectively, in the  $L^1(\mu)$ -norm since  $T \in \text{Lip}(L^1(\mu); K_1)$  and  $L^2(\mu) \subset L^1(\mu)$ . Consequently, the same holds in the measure  $\mu$ . Therefore, for a properly chosen sequence  $n_i$ ,  $T(f^{(n_i)})$  and  $T(g^{(n_i)})$  converge almost everywhere to  $Tf$  and  $Tg$ . Since  $f^{(n)} \in L^\infty(\mu)$  for any  $f \in L^2(\mu)$  and  $|f^{(n)} - g^{(n)}| \leq |f - g|$ , we have

$$\varrho(T(f^{(n_i)}) - T(g^{(n_i)})) \leq \max(K_1, K_\infty)\varrho(f-g).$$

Hence, by virtue of Fatou property, we get

$$\varrho(Tf - Tg) \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(T(f^{(n_i)}) - T(g^{(n_i)})) \leq \max(K_1, K_\infty)\varrho(f-g).$$

(a<sub>min</sub>) For arbitrary  $f, g \in L^2(\mu)$  we consider  $h_n \in L^\infty(\mu)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), such that  $\varrho(g - h_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We have

$$\varrho(Tf - Tg) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(Tf - Th_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(Th_n - Tg) \leq \max(K_1, K_\infty),$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varrho(f - h_n) + \varrho(h_n - g)) = \max(K_1, K_\infty)\varrho(f-g).$$

(b) Since  $\varrho$  is a continuous norm,  $T$  can be extended from  $L^2(\mu) \cap L^1(\mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu)$  to  $L^2(\mu)$  uniquely, because  $L^1(\mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu)$  is dense in  $L^2(\mu)$ .

Remarks. (1) If  $S = (0, l)$ ,  $l < \infty$  and  $L^\infty(m) \subset L^2(m) \subset L^1(m)$  is maximal or  $l = \infty$  and  $L^2(m)$  is minimal, then Theorem 1 is the Lorentz-Shimogaki theorem (see [5] and [6]).

(2) Lorentz and Shimogaki gave the exact value of  $K_\varrho$ . There is

$$K_\varrho \leq K_\infty \sup \left\{ \frac{\varrho(\sigma_a f)}{\varrho(f)} : 0 \neq f \in L^2 \right\}, \quad \text{where } a = \frac{K_\infty}{K_1}$$

and

$$(\sigma_a f)(t) = f(at) \chi_{[0, \mu(S)]}(at)$$

(see [6]).

(3) In Theorem 1 we can assume that  $T \in \text{Lip}(L^1(\mu); K_1) \cap B(L^\infty(\mu); K_\infty)$  instead of  $T \in \text{Lip}(L^1(\mu); K_1) \cap \text{Lip}(L^\infty(\mu); K_\infty)$ .

PROBLEM. Is Theorem 1 true for a non-atomic measure such that  $\mu(S) = \infty$  and the space  $L^1(\mu) + L^\infty(\mu)$ , i.e. does there exist an extension  $\hat{T} \in \text{Lip}(L^1(\mu) + L^\infty(\mu); K)$ ?

The space  $L^1(\mu) + L^\infty(\mu)$  is identical with Gould space  $L^G$  (see [8]) for which  $L^1 \subset L^G_a \subset L^G = L^1 + L^\infty$ .

**4. Sequential case.** If  $\mu$  is a discrete measure and  $f \in c_0$ , then  $f^*$  is a step function constant on  $[n, n + 1)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ; thus we shall sometimes regard  $f^*$  as the sequence  $(f_n^*)_{n=0}^\infty$ , where  $(f_n^*)_{n=0}^\infty$  is a non-increasing sequence obtained from  $(|f_n|)_{n=0}^\infty$  by a suitable permutation of indices. In the case  $0 \leq t < 1$  we have

$$\int_0^t f^*(s) ds = \int_0^t f^*(0) ds = tf_0^*;$$

moreover, if  $t \geq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds &= \int_0^{[t]} f^*(s) ds + \int_{[t]}^t f^*(s) ds = \sum_{n=0}^{[t]-1} \int_n^{n+1} f^*(s) ds + \int_{[t]}^t f^*([t]) ds \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{[t]-1} f^*(n) + (t - [t]) f^*([t]) = \sum_{n=0}^{[t]-1} f_n^* + (t - [t]) f_{[t]}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_0^t f^*(s) ds = \begin{cases} tf_0^* & \text{if } 0 \leq t < 1, \\ \sum_{n=0}^{[t]-1} f_n^* + (t - [t]) f_{[t]}^* & \text{if } t \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

**LEMMA 5.** If  $\sum_{n=0}^k |b_n| \leq \sum_{n=0}^k |a_n|$  for  $0 \leq k \leq N$  and  $\alpha_0 \geq \alpha_1 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_N > 0$ , then  $\sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n |b_n| \leq \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n |a_n|$ .

**Proof.** If  $A_k = \sum_{n=0}^k |a_n|$  and  $B_k = \sum_{n=0}^k |b_n|$  ( $A_{-1} = B_{-1} = 0$ ), then, using Abel's transformation, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n |a_n| = \alpha_N A_N + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} A_n (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}) \geq \alpha_N B_N + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} B_n (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}) = \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n |b_n|.$$

**LEMMA 6.** If  $T \in \text{Lip}(l^1; 1) \cap B(c_0; 1)$ , then  $Tf < f$  for  $f \in l^1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f^* = (f_0^*, f_1^*, \dots) = (|f_{\sigma(0)}|, |f_{\sigma(1)}|, \dots)$  and

$$(Tf)^* = ((Tf)_0^*, (Tf)_1^*, \dots) = (|(Tf)_{\pi(0)}|, |(Tf)_{\pi(1)}|, \dots),$$

where  $\sigma, \pi$  are permutations of non-negative indices. Let  $\alpha = f_k^*$  for  $k \geq 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^k (Tf)_n^* &= \sum_{n=0}^k |(Tf)_{\pi(n)}| \leq \sum_{n=0}^k |(Tf)_{\pi(n)} - (Tf^{(\alpha)})_{\pi(n)}| + \sum_{n=0}^k |(Tf^{(\alpha)})_{\pi(n)}| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty |f_{\pi(n)} - f_{\pi(n)}^{(\alpha)}| + \sum_{n=0}^k \sup_n |f_{\pi(n)}^{(\alpha)}| \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty |f_{\pi(n)} - f_{\pi(n)}^{(\alpha)}| + (k+1) \sup_n |f_{\pi(n)}^{(\alpha)}| = A. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A_k = \{n \geq 0: |f_{\pi(n)}| \geq f_k^*\}$ . Evidently,  $\mu(A_k) \geq k+1$ , Then

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_{n \in A_k} |f_{\pi(n)} - f_{\pi(n)}^{(\alpha)}| + (k+1) \sup_n |f_{\pi(n)}^{(\alpha)}| \\ &\leq \sum_{n \in A_k} |f_{\pi(n)} - \alpha \operatorname{sgn} f_{\pi(n)}| + (k+1) f_k^* \\ &= \sum_{n \in A_k} (|f_{\pi(n)}| - f_k^*) + (k+1) f_k^* \\ &= \sum_{n \in A_k} |f_{\pi(n)}| - f_k^* (\mu(A_k) - k - 1) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\mu(A_k)} |f_{\sigma(n)}| - f_k^* (\mu(A_k) - k - 1) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^k |f_{\sigma(n)}| + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\mu(A_k)} |f_{\sigma(n)}| - f_k^* (\mu(A_k) - k - 1) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^k |f_{\sigma(n)}| + |f_{\sigma(k+1)}| (\mu(A_k) - k - 1) - |f_{\sigma(k)}| (\mu(A_k) - k - 1) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^k |f_{\sigma(n)}| = \sum_{n=0}^k f_n^*. \end{aligned}$$

If  $0 < t < 1$ , then  $(Tf)_0^* = \|Tf\|_{c_0} \leq \|f\|_{c_0} = f_0^*$ . If  $t > 1$  is arbitrarily fixed, then

$$\sum_{n=0}^k (Tf)_n^* \leq \sum_{n=0}^k f_n^* \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq [t].$$

Let  $\alpha_n = 1$  if  $n \leq [t] - 1$  and  $\alpha_n = t - [t]$  if  $n = [t]$ ; then by Lemma 5

$$\sum_{n=0}^{[t]-1} (Tf)_n^* + (t - [t]) (Tf)_{[t]}^* = \sum_{n=0}^{[t]} \alpha_n (Tf)_n^* \leq \sum_{n=0}^{[t]} \alpha_n f_n^* = \sum_{n=0}^{[t]-1} f_n^* + (t - [t]) f_{[t]}^*.$$

Hence  $Tf \prec f$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $T \in \operatorname{Lip}(l^1; K_1) \cap \operatorname{Lip}(c_0; K_\infty)$ ,  $l^p \subset c_0$  and  $l^p$  is maximal or minimal, then  $T \in \operatorname{Lip}(l^p; K_\rho)$ , where  $K_\rho \leq \max(K_1, K_\infty)$ .*

**Proof.** We have  $\rho(Tf - Th) \leq \max(K_1, K_\infty) \rho(f - h)$  for  $f \in l^1$  and  $h \in l^1$ , by the proof of Theorem 1.

If  $l^p$  is maximal and if  $f_{(n)}(k) = f(k) \chi_{(0, 1, \dots, n)}(k)$ , then  $f_{(n)} \uparrow f$ ,  $|f_{(n)} - g_{(n)}| \leq |f - g|$ ,  $f_{(n)} \in l^1$  and  $T(f_{(n)}) \rightarrow T(f)$  in  $c_0$ -norm, since  $T \in \operatorname{Lip}(c_0; K_\infty)$  and  $\|f_{(n)} - f\|_{c_0} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We have

$$\rho(T(f_{(n)}) - T(g_{(n)})) \leq \max(K_1, K_\infty) \rho(f_{(n)} - g_{(n)}) \leq \max(K_1, K_\infty) \rho(f - g).$$

Hence, by virtue of the Fatou property, we obtain  $T \in \operatorname{Lip}(l^p; K_\rho)$ , where  $K_\rho \leq \max(K_1, K_\infty)$ . In case of  $l^p$  minimal, the proof is performed similarly as in Theorem 1.

**5. Alternative proofs.** Applying the theory of Bennett and Peetre, we give here alternative proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 for maximal rearrangement invariant spaces.

Let  $(X_1, X_2)$  be a *Banach couple*, i.e. there is a Hausdorff topological vector space  $X$  and continuous embeddings  $X_1 \subset X, X_2 \subset X$ . The  $K$ -functional of Peetre is defined on  $X_1 + X_2$  for each  $t > 0$  by

$$K(t; f) \equiv K(t; f; X_1, X_2) = \inf_{f=f_1+f_2} (\|f_1\|_1 + t\|f_2\|_2), \quad f \in X_1 + X_2.$$

Since  $K(t; f)$  is a continuous concave function of  $t > 0$ , we have

$$K(t; f) = K(0^+; f) + \int_0^t k(s; f) ds, \quad f \in X_1 + X_2,$$

where  $k(s; f)$  is non-negative, right-continuous and non-increasing for  $s > 0$ . We shall restrict our attention to these  $f \in X_1 + X_2$  for which  $K(0^+; f) \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} K(t; f) = 0$ , which is equivalent to the fact that  $f \in \overline{X_1 \cap X_2}^{X_1} + X_2$  (see [2], p. 8).

If  $(X_1, X_2)$  is a Banach couple and  $\varrho$  is a rearrangement invariant norm on  $(0, \mu(S))$ , we denote by  $(X_1, X_2)_{\varrho; k}$  the space of elements  $f \in \overline{X_1 \cap X_2}^{X_1} + X_2$  for which  $\varrho(k(s; f))$  is finite. The space  $(X_1, X_2)_{\varrho; k}$  is Banach space with norm  $\|f\|_{\varrho; k} = \varrho(k(t; f))$  and

$$X_1 \cap X_2 \subset (X_1, X_2)_{\varrho; k} \subset \overline{X_1 \cap X_2}^{X_1} + X_2 \subset X_1 + X_2$$

(see [1], p. 420).

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $(X_1, X_2)$  and  $(Y_1, Y_2)$  be two Banach couples, and  $E_1, E_2$  subsets of  $X_1 + X_2$ , and let  $\varrho$  be a rearrangement invariant norm such that  $L^{\varrho} \in \text{SMP}$ . If  $T: X_1 + X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 + Y_2$  is such that*

$$(a) \quad K(t; Tf - Tg) \leq C_1 K(C_2 t; f - g) \text{ for all } f \in E_1, g \in E_2, t \in (0, \infty),$$

$$(b) \quad \|Tf - Th\|_{Y_1} \leq K_1 \|f - h\|_{X_1} \text{ if } f - h \in X_1, f \in E_1, \|Th - Tg\|_{Y_2} \leq K_2 \|h - g\|_{X_2} \text{ if } h - g \in X_2, g \in E_2,$$

then there holds

$$\|Tf - Tg\|_{\varrho; k} \leq K \|f - g\|_{\varrho; k} \quad \text{if } f \in E_1, g \in E_2, f - g \in (X_1, X_2)_{\varrho; k},$$

where  $K \leq \max(C_1, C_1 C_2)$  or  $K \leq \max(K_1, K_2)$ , respectively.

**Proof.** (a) We note first that if  $f - g \in \overline{X_1 \cap X_2}^{X_1} + X_2$ , then  $Tf - Tg \in \overline{Y_1 \cap Y_2}^{Y_1} + Y_2$ . Hence we have

$$K(t; Tf - Tg) = \int_0^t k(s; Tf - Tg) ds \quad \text{for any } f - g \in (X_1, X_2)_{\varrho; k}.$$

In this case, the inequality  $K(t; Tf - Tg) \leq C_1 K(C_2 t; f - g)$  reduces to

$$\int_0^t k(s; Tf - Tg) ds \leq C_1 C_2 \int_0^t k(C_2 s; f - g) ds, \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

Hence  $\varrho(k(s; Tf-Tg)) \leq \varrho(C_1 C_2 k(C_2 s; f-g))$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf-Tg\|_{\varrho;k} &\leq \varrho(C_1 C_2 k(C_2 s; f-g)) \\ &\leq C_1 C_2 \sup_{f-g \in L^{\varrho}} \frac{\varrho(k(C_2 s; f-g))}{\varrho(k(s; f-g))} \cdot \varrho(k(s; f-g)) \\ &\leq \max(C_1, C_1 C_2) \varrho(k(s; f-g)) = \max(C_1, C_1 C_2) \|f-g\|_{\varrho;k}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) If  $f-g = f_1+f_2$  is any decomposition, we have a decomposition  $Tf-Tg = g_1+g_2$  if we set

$$f_1 = f-h, \quad f_2 = h-g, \quad g_1 = Tf-Th, \quad g_2 = Th-Tg.$$

Using (b), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K(t; Tf-Tg) &\leq \|g_1\|_1 + t \|g_2\|_2 = \|Tf-Th\|_1 + t \|Th-Tg\|_2 \\ &\leq K_1 \|f-h\|_1 + K_2 t \|h-g\|_2 = K_1(\|f_1\|_1 + K_2/K_1, t \|f_2\|_2), \end{aligned}$$

which clearly implies  $K(t; Tf-Tg) \leq K_1 K(K_2/K_1, t; f-g)$ . Hence and by (a), we complete the proof.

Remark. In the case of a linear operator  $T$  and  $E_1 = X_1+X_2$ ,  $E_2 = \{0\}$ , (a) is Bennett's interpolation theorem (see [1], Theorem 5.3), and (b) is Peetre's theorem (see [14], Theorem 2.1).

We say that a Banach function space  $Y$  has the (\*) property in relation to a subspace  $X$  and some classes of operators  $\mathcal{T}$  if

(\*) for any  $f, g \in Y$  there exist  $(f_n), (g_n) \in X$  such that  $f_n \uparrow f$ ,  $g_n \uparrow g$  a.e.,  $|f_n - g_n| \leq |f - g|$  and there is a subsequence  $(n_k)$  for which  $T(f_{n_k}) \rightarrow Tf$ ,  $T(g_{n_k}) \rightarrow Tg$  a.e. for  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ .

For example: (a)  $L^{\varrho}$  such that  $L^{\infty} \subset L^{\varrho} \subset L^1$  has the (\*) property in relation to  $L^{\infty}$  and  $T \in \text{Lip}(L^1; K_1)$ ;

(b)  $l^{\varrho}$  such that  $l^{\varrho} \subset c_0$  has the (\*) property in relation to  $l^1$  and  $T \in \text{Lip}(c_0; K_{\infty})$ .

THEOREM 4. Let  $(X_1, X_2)$  be a Banach function couple,  $L^{\varrho} \in \text{SMP}$  and let  $T: X_1+X_2 \rightarrow X_1+X_2$  be such that  $T \in \text{Lip}(X_1; K_1) \cap \text{Lip}(X_2, K_2)$ . If  $L^{\varrho}$  is maximal and  $(X_1, X_2)_{\varrho;k}$  has the (\*) property in relation to  $X_1 \cap X_2$  and to the above  $T$  or if  $L^{\varrho}$  is minimal, then  $T$  or  $\hat{T} \in \text{Lip}((X_1, X_2)_{\varrho;k}; K_{\varrho}$  or  $\hat{K}_{\varrho})$ , where  $K_{\varrho}$  or  $\hat{K}_{\varrho} \leq \max(K_1, K_2)$ .

Proof. Let  $f_0 \in X_1 \cap X_2$  and  $Sf = T(f+f_0) - Tf_0, f \in X_1 \cap X_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Sf\|_1 &\leq K_1 \|f\|_1 \quad \text{for } f \in X_1, \\ \|Sf-Sg\|_2 &\leq K_2 \|f-g\|_2 \quad \text{for } f, g \in X_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 3 (b) with  $E_1 = \{0\}$  and  $E_2 = X_2$ , we have

$$\|Tf-Tf_0\|_{\varrho;k} = \|S(f-f_0)\|_{\varrho;k} \leq \max(K_1, K_2) \|f-f_0\|_{\varrho;k}$$

for  $f \in (X_1, X_2)_{\varrho; k} \cap X_1 \cap X_2$  and  $f_0 \in X_1 \cap X_2$ . Hence the theorem follows, by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $(X_1, X_2)$  be a Banach function couple,  $\|f\|_{X_2} \leq C \cdot \|f\|_{X_1}$  for  $f \in X_1$ , and let  $L^p$  be maximal. If  $T \in \text{Lip}(X_1; K_1) \cap \text{Lip}(X_2; K_2)$  and  $(X_1, X_2)_{\varrho; k}$  has the (\*) property in relation to  $X_1$ , then*

$$T \in \text{Lip}((X_1, X_2)_{\varrho; k}; K), \quad \text{where } K \leq \max(K_1, K_2).$$

We consider the Lebesgue spaces  $L^1(\mu)$  and  $L^\infty(\mu)$  over a  $\sigma$ -finite adequate measure space  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ . If  $L^{\varrho_0}(\mu)$  is a maximal rearrangement invariant space, then there is a maximal rearrangement invariant norm  $\varrho$  on  $(0, \mu(S))$  such that  $\varrho_0(f) = \varrho(f^*)$  (see [7], Theorem 12.2). Since

$$K(t; f; L^1(\mu), L^\infty(\mu)) = \int_0^t f^*(s) ds, \quad 0 < t < \infty$$

(see [17], p. 240),  $k(s; f)$  is just  $f^*(s)$ . Therefore, the norm on  $(L^1, L^\infty)_{\varrho; k}$  is

$$\|f\|_{\varrho; k} = \varrho(k(s; f)) = \varrho(f^*) = \varrho_0(f).$$

Hence

$$(L^1(\mu), L^\infty(\mu))_{\varrho; k} = L^{\varrho_0}(\mu).$$

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $T \in \text{Lip}(L^1(0, l); K_1) \cap \text{Lip}(L^\infty(0, l); K_\infty)$ , where  $l < \infty$ , or  $T \in \text{Lip}(l^1; K_1) \cap \text{Lip}(c_0; K_\infty)$ , then  $T \in \text{Lip}(L^p(0, l); K)$  or  $T \in \text{Lip}(l^{\varrho_0}; K)$ , respectively, where  $K \leq \max(K_1, K_\infty)$ .*

The proof is a consequence of Corollary 1 and the fact that  $(L^1(\mu); L^\infty(\mu))_{\varrho; k} = L^{\varrho_0}(\mu)$ .

**Remark.** If  $a = (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in c_0$ , then  $K(t; a; l^1, c_0) = ta_1^* = t\|a\|_{c_0}$  if  $0 \leq t < 1$ , and

$$K(t, a; l^1, c_0) = \sum_{n=1}^{[t]} a_n^* + (t - [t]) a_{[t]+1}^* \quad \text{if } t \geq 1.$$

## References

- [1] C. Bennett, *Banach function spaces and interpolation methods. I. The abstract theory*, J. Func. Anal. 17 (1974), p. 409–440.
- [2] H. Berens, *Interpolationsmethoden zur Behandlung von Approximationsprozessen auf Banachräumen*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 64, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York 1968.
- [3] A. P. Calderón, *Spaces between  $L^1$  and  $L^\infty$  and the theorem of Marcinkiewicz*, Studia Math. 26 (1966), p. 273–299.
- [4] G. G. Lorentz, *Berstein polynomials*, University Press, Toronto 1953.
- [5] —, T. Shimogaki, *Interpolation theorems for operators in function spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 2 (1968), p. 31–51.
- [6] —, —, *Majorants for interpolation theorems*, Publ. Ramanujan Inst. 1 (1969), p. 115–122.

- [7] W. A. J. Luxemburg, *Rearrangement invariant Banach function spaces*, Queen's Papers in Pure. Appl. Math. 10 (1967), p. 83–144.
  - [8] —, A. C. Zaanen, *Some examples of normed Köthe spaces*, Math. Ann. 162 (1966), p. 337–350.
  - [9] S. E. Mills, *Normed Köthe spaces as intermediate spaces of  $L_1$  and  $L_\infty$* , Pacific J. Math. 52 (1974), p. 157–173.
  - [10] B. S. Mitjagin, *An interpolation theorem for modular spaces* (Russian), Mat. Sb. 66 (1965), p. 473–482.
  - [11] G. O. Okikiolu, *Aspects of the theory of bounded integral operators in  $L^p$ -spaces*, Academic Press, London 1971.
  - [12] W. Orlicz, *Ein Satz über die Erweiterung von linearen Operationen*, Studia Math. 5 (1934), p. 127–140.
  - [13] —, *On a class of operations over the space of integrable functions*, ibidem 14 (1954), p. 302–309.
  - [14] J. Peetre, *Interpolation of Lipschitz operators and metric spaces*, Mathematica (Cluj) 12 (1970), p. 325–334.
  - [15] G. I. Russu, *Symmetric spaces which have not majorant property* (Russian), Mat. Issled. 4 (1969), p. 82–93.
  - [16] G. Silverman, *Rearrangement invariant Köthe spaces*, Math. Ann. 189 (1970), p. 222–234.
  - [17] E. Stein, G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces* (Russian), Mir, Moscow 1974.
  - [18] A. C. Zaanen, *Integration*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1967.
-