

L. PASICKI (Lublin)

On the measures of non-compactness

In [1] K. Kuratowski defined a measure of non-compactness and proved the theorem of non-empty intersection for it.

We give here a shorter proof of this theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let (M, d) be a complete metric space and let $b: 2^M \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be a measure of non-compactness satisfying the following conditions:*

1° $b(E) = 0$ iff \bar{E} is compact,

2° if $E \subset F$, then $b(E) \leq b(F)$,

3° $b(E) = b(E \cup \{x\})$ for $x \in M$.

Then, if for a chain (\mathcal{F}, C) of closed non-empty subsets of M we have $\inf\{b(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}\} = 0$, then $\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let us consider a subsequence $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_{n+1} \subset F_n$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b(F_n) = 0$. We can choose elements $x_n \in F_n$. Let us consider the set $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x_n\}$. By 3° for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$b(D) = b\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x_n\}\right) = b\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x_n\}\right) \leq b(F_k)$$

and therefore $b(D) = 0$. All the limits of the convergent subsequences of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are contained in $F := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$, which is closed and compact. Now we may consider only a chain consisting of compact sets, which, as it is known, have a non-empty intersection. Q.E.D.

Let (X, T) be a linear topological space.

DEFINITION 1. We say that for $Y \subset X$ a mapping $f: Y \rightarrow Y$ is *generalized condensing* if for $A \subset Y$ and such that $f(A) \subset A$ from the compactness of $A - \overline{\text{co}} f(A)$ it follows that \bar{A} is compact (cf. [2]).

We present below a modification of the result obtained in [2].

THEOREM 2. *If $A = \overline{\text{co}} A \subset X$ and $f: A \rightarrow A$ is a generalized condensing mapping, then there exists a compact convex set C such that $\overline{\text{co}} f(C) = C$.*

LEMMA. *If $A = \overline{\text{co}} A$, $f: A \rightarrow A$ and there exists a compact set $B \subset A$ such that $f(B) \subset B$, then there exists a $C = \overline{\text{co}} C \subset A$ such that $\overline{\text{co}} f(C) = C$.*

PROOF. Let us consider a family $\mathcal{F} = (F = \overline{\text{co}} F \subset A: \overline{\text{co}} f(F) \subset F)$. It is non-empty, as $A \in \mathcal{F}$. By the Hausdorff theorem there exists a maximal chain $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{F}$ containing a set that has a non-empty intersection with B . Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{H}$ consist of all sets G such that $G \cap B \neq \emptyset$. The set $C := \bigcap_{G \in \mathcal{G}} G$ is non-empty because, by the compactness of B , $\bigcap_{G \in \mathcal{G}} G \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, $C = \overline{\text{co}} C$, $\overline{\text{co}} f(C) \subset C$ and $\overline{\text{co}} f(C) \in \mathcal{G}$, as $f(B \cap C) \subset B$. By virtue of the definition of C it must be $\overline{\text{co}} f(C) = C$.

Proof of the theorem. In view of the lemma and the definition of the generalized condensing mapping, the theorem will be proved if we find a compact set B such that $f(B) \subset B$.

Let D be any compact subset of A , and $B = \overline{B}$ the minimal set containing D such that $f(B) \subset B$. We see that $B - \overline{f(B)} \subset D$ because $B - \overline{f(B)} \cap (X - D)$ is relatively open in B and would be rejected when non-empty. Now, by the definition of f , B is compact.

COROLLARY. *If (X, T) is a topological space and for a mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ there exists a compact set B such that $f(B) \subset B$, then we can find a compact set $C \subset X$ for which $\overline{f(C)} = C$.*

PROOF. We may follow the procedure that was used in the proof of our lemma, with substituting the operation “ $\overline{\text{co}}$ ” by “ $\overline{\quad}$ ” (closure), and considering a maximal chain containing B .

DEFINITION 2. We say that a mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ is *b-condensing* ($b: 2^X \rightarrow \overline{R}$) if for all $A \subset X$ such that $b(f(A)) < \infty$ and $b(A) \leq b(f(A))$, $f(A)$ is compact.

We see that if b satisfies 1°, 2° and

$$3^\circ \quad b(E) = b(\overline{\text{co}} E \cup Z) \text{ for all } Z \subset A \text{ and } b(Z) = 0,$$

then for $Y \subset X$ and $b(Y) < \infty$, any b -condensing mapping $f: Y \rightarrow Y$ is generalized condensing.

Now, let $(B, \| \cdot \|)$ be a Banach space and let $s: 2^B \rightarrow \overline{R}$ denote the Hausdorff measure of non-compactness (for $A \subset B: s(A) = \inf \{r \in \overline{R}: \text{there exists a finite } r\text{-net in } A\}$). It is known that for $E, F \subset B$ s satisfies 1° and the following conditions:

$$4^\circ \quad s(E \cup F) = \max (s(E), s(F)),$$

$$5^\circ \quad s(\overline{\text{co}} E) = s(E),$$

6° if $N_e(E) := \{x \in B: \text{dist}(x, E) \leq e\}$, then there exists a function $k: R^+ \rightarrow \overline{R}$ such that $\lim_{e \rightarrow 0^+} k(e) = 0$, $s(N_e(E)) \leq s(E) + k(e)$.

THEOREM 3. The measure of non-compactness $b: 2^B \rightarrow \bar{R}$ satisfies $1^\circ, 4^\circ-6^\circ$ iff there exists a non-decreasing continuous function $g: \bar{R} \rightarrow \bar{R}$ such that $b = g \circ s$.

Proof. (i) For $x \in B, r \in R^+$ and the balls $K \subset B$ we have $\lim_{e \rightarrow 0} b(K(x, r+e)) = b(K(x, r))$.

Let $0 < e$; then in view of 4° and, on the other hand, by 6° ,

$$b(K(x, r)) \leq b(K(x, r+e)) \leq b(K(x, r)) + k(e),$$

and similarly, for $-r \leq e < 0$,

$$b(K(r-e)) \leq b(K(x, r)) \leq b(K(x, r-e)) + k(e)$$

which proves (i).

(ii) For all $x \in B, r \in R^+ b(K(x, r)) = b(K(0, r))$.

For each $0 < e < r$ we can choose $t \in R^+$ such that $K(x, r-e) \subset \overline{\text{co}} \{K(0, r) \cup \{tx\}\}$ and therefore by 4° and 5° , $b(K(x, r-e)) \leq b(K(0, r))$ and similarly $b(K(0, r-e)) \leq b(K(x, r))$. Now (ii) is a consequence of (i).

(iii) Let $E \subset B, s(E) = r$. From the definition of s and by 4° , (ii) and 6° we have:

$$b(E) = b\left(\bigcup_{i \in I_e} K(x_i, r+e)\right) = b(K(0, r+e)) \leq b(E) + k(e),$$

where $E \subset \left(\bigcup_{i \in I_e} K(x_i, r+e)\right)$ and I_e is finite. Therefore

$$b(E) = b(K(0, r)) =: g(r) = g \circ s(K(0, r)) = g \circ s(E)$$

which with (i) and 4° gives the thesis of our theorem.

COROLLARY. If $f: B \rightarrow B$ is a b -condensing mapping and b satisfies $1^\circ, 4^\circ-6^\circ$, then f is s -condensing.

Proof. Obviously, if $s(f(A)) < s(A)$, then $b(f(A)) \leq b(A)$ and equivalently, because of the properties of g , from $b(A) \leq b(f(A))$ it follows that $s(A) \leq s(f(A))$.

References

- [1] K. Kuratowski, *Sur les espaces complets*, Fund. Math. 15 (1930), p. 301-309.
 [2] E. A. Lifschic, B. N. Sadovskij, *Theory of fixed point for generalized condensing operators* (in Russian), D.A.N. SSSR 183.2 (1968), p. 278-279.