



STANISŁAW FUDALI (Wrocław)

## On the osculating $k$ -plane of a curve in an $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space

In considering the general problem to find possibly weak analytic conditions for the existence of osculating  $k$ -dimensional surface  $M$  of a  $p$ -dimensional surface  $L$  in an  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space, I restricted myself to the case where  $p = 1$  and  $M$  is a  $k$ -sphere or a  $k$ -plane. The results for the case of a  $k$ -sphere are in [1]. In this note I present some results of this kind for  $M$  being a  $k$ -plane.

Let  $L(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n x^j(t) \mathbf{k}_j$  be the radius vector of a curve  $L$  in  $E^n$ , where  $x^j: t \rightarrow x^j(t)$  are  $C^{k-1}$  functions,  $\mathbf{k}_j$  are the unit vectors and a coordinate system in  $E^n$  is chosen so that at some point  $P_0(t_0)$  of  $L$  the derivatives  $\dot{L}(t_0), \ddot{L}(t_0), \dots, \overset{(k-1)}{L}(t_0)$  are linearly independent. Then, by a proper choice of the vectors  $\mathbf{k}_j$ , we may achieve that the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} \overset{(k-1)}{x}^{n-k+2}(t_0) & \dots & \overset{(k-1)}{x}^n(t_0) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dot{x}^{n-k+2}(t_0) & \dots & \dot{x}^n(t_0) \end{vmatrix} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} V$$

does not vanish at  $P_0(t_0)$ . In the sequel we assume also that  $P_0$  is not a point of inflection of order  $k-1$  of  $L$ . It means that exists such neighbourhood of  $P_0$ , that  $k$  points of it, chosen on the curve  $L$ , do not lie in any  $(k-1)$ -dimensional plane.

A  $k$ -plane in  $E^n$  is uniquely determined by any  $k-h+1$  of its points and  $h$  directions, where  $h$  is any integer not exceeding  $\frac{1}{2}(k+1)$ ; the points should not lie in any  $(k-h-1)$ -dimensional plane. In the sequel we shall consider only  $k$ -planes determined either by  $k+1$  points and  $0$  directions or by  $k$  points and  $1$  direction; the points will always belong to the given curve  $L$  and the direction will be tangent to  $L$  at one of chosen points.

Let the points  $P_1(t_1), P_2(t_2), \dots, P_{k-h+1}(t_{k-h+1})$  of  $L$  be chosen so that  $P_r$  lies between  $P_{r-1}$  and  $P_{r+1}$  for each  $r \in \{3, 4, \dots, k-h+1\}$ , and

any tangent line let be tangent at  $P_k$ , whenever it is considered; the sequence of these points will be called *regular* and denoted  $\text{RSP}(k-h+1)$ . By  ${}^h\pi^k(P_s)$  we mean the  $k$ -plane through the points  $P_s$  ( $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, k+1\}$ ) when  $h = 0$ , and the  $k$ -plane through the points  $P_s$  ( $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ) and through the tangent line at  $P_k$  when  $h = 1$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $\text{RSP}(k-h+1)$  converge to  $P_0$  along  $L$ ; the limit  $k$ -plane of the sequence  $\{{}^h\pi^k(P_s^a)\}$ , whenever it exists, will be called the *osculating  $k$ -plane* of the curve  $L$  at  $P_0$  and will be denoted by  ${}^h_r\pi^k$  ( $r \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $h \in \{0, 1\}$ ):

$${}^h_r\pi^k = \lim_{\text{RSP}(k-h+1) \rightarrow P_0} {}^h\pi^k(P_s^a),$$

whereby we write

- (a)  ${}^h_1\pi^k$  if  $P_0$  belongs to none of the arcs  $\widetilde{P_1^a P_2^a}$ ,
- (b)  ${}^h_2\pi^k$  if  $P_0$  belongs to each of the arcs  $\widetilde{P_1^a P_2^a}$  and is different from  $P_1^a$  and  $P_2^a$  for each  $a \in N$ ,
- (c)  ${}^h_3\pi^k$  if  $P_0$  is one of  $P_1^a$  or  $P_2^a$  in each sequence of the family  $\text{RSP}^a(k-h+1)$ ,  $a \in N$ .

Choose the points  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-h+1}$  on  $L$  in some neighbourhood of  $P_0 \in L$  and let  $P_{k-h+1}$  be the origin of each vector  $P_i P_{k-h+1}$  ( $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-h\}$ ). Then a  $k$ -plane generated by these vectors, and by tangent line at  $P_k$  (when  $h = 1$ ), is described by the system of  $n-k$  equations

$$(1) \quad \sum (x^s - x_{k-h+1}^s)^h X^{n-k+1 \dots n} = 0$$

for each  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-k\}$ , where we write  $x_p^j$  instead of  $x^j(t_p)$ ,

$$(2) \quad {}^h X^{r+1 \dots n s n-k+1 \dots r-1} =$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{x_1^{r+1} - x_{k-h+1}^{r+1}}{t_1 - t_{k-h+1}} & \dots & \frac{x_{k-1}^{r+1} - x_{k-h+1}^{r+1}}{t_{k-1} - t_{k-h+1}} & (1-h) \frac{x_k^{r+1} - x_{k+1}^{r+1}}{t_k - t_{k+1}} + h \dot{x}_k^{r+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{x_1^n - x_{k-h+1}^n}{t_1 - t_{k-h+1}} & \dots & \frac{x_{k-1}^n - x_{k-h+1}^n}{t_{k-1} - t_{k-h+1}} & (1-h) \frac{x_k^n - x_{k+1}^n}{t_k - t_{k+1}} + h \dot{x}_k^n \\ \frac{x_1^s - x_{k-h+1}^s}{t_1 - t_{k-h+1}} & \dots & \frac{x_{k-1}^s - x_{k-h+1}^s}{t_{k-1} - t_{k-h+1}} & (1-h) \frac{x_k^s - x_{k+1}^s}{t_k - t_{k+1}} + h \dot{x}_k^s \\ \frac{x_1^{n-k+1} - x_{k-h+1}^{n-k+1}}{t_1 - t_{k-h+1}} & \dots & \frac{x_{k-1}^{n-k+1} - x_{k-h+1}^{n-k+1}}{t_{k-1} - t_{k-h+1}} & (1-h) \frac{x_k^{n-k+1} - x_{k+1}^{n-k+1}}{t_k - t_{k+1}} + h \dot{x}_k^{n-k+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{x_1^{r-1} - x_{k-h+1}^{r-1}}{t_1 - t_{k-h+1}} & \dots & \frac{x_{k-1}^{r-1} - x_{k-h+1}^{r-1}}{t_{k-1} - t_{k-h+1}} & (1-h) \frac{x_k^{r-1} - x_{k+1}^{r-1}}{t_k - t_{k+1}} + h \dot{x}_k^{r-1} \end{vmatrix}$$

for  $r \in \{n-k+1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\sum$  denotes the summation over all cyclic permutations of the sequence of superse ripts  $s, n-k+1, n-k+2, \dots, n$ .

Each equation of (1) describes any hyperplane  $H_s$ . A normal unit vector of such hyperplane is

$$(3) \quad H_s = \left\langle \underbrace{0, \dots, 0, \frac{{}^h X^{n-k+1 \dots n}}{\sqrt{\sum_s ({}^h X^{i_1 \dots i_k})^2}}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{{}^h X^{n-k+2 \dots ns}}{\sqrt{\sum_s ({}^h X^{i_1 \dots i_k})^2}}, \dots}_{n-k}, \dots, \frac{{}^h X^{sn-k+1 \dots n-1}}{\sqrt{\sum_s ({}^h X^{i_1 \dots i_k})^2}} \right\rangle,$$

where  $\sum_s$  denotes the summation over all sequences of superscripts  $i_1, \dots, i_k$ , which are elements of the set  $\{s, n-k+1, \dots, n\}$ . By this we mean that the reare formed all cyclic permutations of the sequence of  $s, n-k+1, \dots, n$ , in each of that permutation the first term is omitted and the rest of them is denoted by  $i_1, \dots, i_k$ .

If the points  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-h+1}$  converge to  $P_0$  along  $L$ , all components of  $H_s$  become indeterminate forms of the type  $0/0$ . To avoid of it we must transform each component in (3). Do it as follows:

1° Write  ${}_1^0 X^j [t_{k-h+1} t_i]$  in (3) instead of  $(x_i^j - x_{k-h+1}^j)/(t_i - t_{k-h+1})$  and the  $k$ -th column of  ${}^h X^{r+1 \dots nsn-k+1 \dots r-1}$  subtract from the  $i$ -th column for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ ; obtained difference divide by  $t_i - t_k$ . Then, in the  $i$ -th column of the newly obtained determinant, we have the expression of the form

$$\frac{{}_1^0 X^j [t_{k+1} t_i] - {}_1^0 X^j [t_{k+1} t_k]}{t_i - t_k} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} {}_2^0 X^j [t_{k+1} t_k t_i] \quad (\text{when } h = 0)$$

or

$$\frac{{}_1^0 X^j [t_k t_i] - {}_1^0 X^j [t_k t_k]}{t_i - t_k} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} {}_1^1 X^j [t_k t_i] \quad (\text{when } h = 1) \text{ for each } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

This expression is called a *finite difference of order 2 and of kind 0* or is called a *finite difference of order 1 and of kind 1*, accordingly.

2° In the newly obtained determinant subtract the  $(k-1)$ -th column from its  $i$ -th column for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-2\}$  and obtained difference divide by  $t_i - t_{k-1}$ . Then, in the  $i$ -th column of the obtained determinant, we have the finite difference of order  $3-h$  and of kind  $h$ :

$$\frac{{}_2^h X^j [t_{k-h+1} \dots t_i] - {}_2^h X^j [t_{k-h+1} \dots t_{k-1}]}{t_i - t_{k-1}} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} {}_{3-h}^h X^j [t_{k-h+1} \dots t_{k-1} t_i]$$

for  $h \in \{0, 1\}$ , and so on. In the last step of such transformation subtract the second column from the first one of the last obtained determinant and obtained difference divide by  $t_1 - t_2$ . Then in the first column we obtain the finite difference of order  $k-h$  and of kind  $h$ :

$$\frac{{}_{k-h-1}^h X^j [t_{k-h+1} \dots t_3 t_1] - {}_{k-h-1}^h X^j [t_{k-h+1} \dots t_3 t_2]}{t_1 - t_2} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} {}_{k-h}^h X^j [t_{k-h+1} \dots t_3 t_2 t_1].$$

For such finite difference we have

LEMMA 1. Let  $x^j: t \rightarrow x^j(t)$  be a  $C^{k+h-1}$  function ( $h \in \{0, 1\}$ ) in an interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$  and let  $t_1, \dots, t_{k-h-1}$  be a regular sequence of points in  $\langle a, b \rangle$ . Then for every point  $t_s \in (t_m, t_{k-h-1})$  ( $m \in \{-1, 0\}$ , letting  $t_{-1} = a, t_0 = b$ ) with  $t_s \neq t_p$  ( $p \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-h-2\}$ ) there exists a point  $u \in (t_m, t_{1-m})$  such that

$${}_q^h X^j [t_{k-h-1} \dots t_{k-q-h-1}] = \frac{1}{(q+h)!} x^{(q+h)j}(u) \quad (q \leq k-h-2).$$

(It is Theorem 1 in [2].)

LEMMA 2. Let  $x^j: t \rightarrow x^j(t)$  be a  $C^{k-1}$  function in an interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$ ; let a finite derivative  $x^{(k)j}(t_0) = B_k^j$  exist at a point  $t_0 \in \langle a, b \rangle$  and  $a, b, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{k-h-1}$  ( $h \in \{0, 1\}$ ) be a regular sequence of points in the interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$ . Then there exists the finite limit

$$\lim_{\substack{\text{RSP}^{(k-h+1)} \rightarrow t_0 \\ t_0 \in \langle a, b \rangle}} {}_{k-h}^h X^j [t_{k-h-1} \dots t_1 b a] = \frac{1}{k!} B_k^j,$$

at the point  $t_0$ . (It is Theorem 2 in [2].)

LEMMA 3. Let  $x^j: t \rightarrow x^j(t)$  be a  $C^{k-1}$  function in an interval  $\langle A, B \rangle \supset \langle a, b \rangle$  and let exist the finite limit

$$\lim_{a, b \rightarrow t_0} \frac{x^{(k-1)j}(a) - x^{(k-1)j}(b)}{a - b} = C_k$$

at such point  $t_0 \in \langle A, B \rangle$  that  $t_0 \notin \langle a, b \rangle$ ; moreover, let the points  $a, b, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{k-h-1}$  chosen in  $\langle a, b \rangle$  form a regular sequence of points ( $h \in \{0, 1\}$ ). Then there exists the finite limit

$$\lim_{\substack{\text{RSP}^{(k-h+1)} \rightarrow t_0 \\ t_0 \notin \langle a, b \rangle}} {}_{k-h}^h X^j [t_{k-h-1} \dots t_1 b a] = \frac{1}{k!} C_k^j$$

at the point  $t_0$ . (It is Theorem 3 in [2].)

Recall here one more notion.

DEFINITION. If  $x^j: t \rightarrow x^j(t)$  is the  $C^{k-1}$  function in a closed interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$ , then by the right-hand side (left-hand side)  $k$ -th derivative of the function  $x^j$  at  $a$  ( $b$ ) we mean

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} x^{(k+)j}(a) &= \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ u > 0}} \frac{x^{(k-1)j}(a+u) - x^{(k-1)j}(a)}{u} \\ \left( x^{(k-)j}(b) &= \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ u < 0}} \frac{x^{(k-1)j}(b+u) - x^{(k-1)j}(b)}{u} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

LEMMA 4. Let  $x^j: t \rightarrow x^j(t)$  be a  $C^{k-1}$  function in a closed interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$ ; let the finite right-hand side (left-hand side) derivative  $x^{(k+)}(a) = D_{k+}^j$  ( $x^{(k-)}(b) = D_{k-}^j$ ) exist and let  $a, b, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{k-h-1}$  ( $h \in \{0, 1\}$ ) be a regular sequence of points in the interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$ . Then there exists the finite limit

$$\lim_{\text{RSP}(k-h+1) \rightarrow a} {}_{k-h}X^j [t_{k-h-1} \dots t_1 ba] = \frac{1}{k!} D_{k+}^j$$

$$\left( \lim_{\text{RSP}(k-h+1) \rightarrow b} {}_{k-h}X^j [t_{k-h-1} \dots t_1 ba] = \frac{1}{k!} D_{k-}^j \right)$$

at the point  $a$  (or  $b$ ).

A proof of this Lemma is identical with the one of Theorem 2 in [2] if we write the limit of (4) instead of

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \frac{x^{(q)}(t) - x^{(q)}(t_0)}{t - t_0}$$

using there.

After the indicated above transformations of (2) we use Lemma 1 to the finite differences in a term for the components of vector  $H_s$  and write  $\frac{1}{(q+h)!} x^{(q+h)}(\zeta_i^r)$  instead of  ${}_{q-h}X^j [t_{k-h+1} \dots t_{k-h-q+1}]$  for each  $q \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-h-1\}$ . Any determinant in one of components of vector  $H_s$  has now the form (5) (see p. 320).

Assume now that  $P_0$  belongs to the interior of each arc  $\overline{P_1 P_2}$  for  $a \in N$  and let the derivative  $L^{(k)}(t_0) = \sum_{j=1}^n x^j \cdot k_j$  exist at  $P_0$  and be linearly independent with  $\dot{L}(t_0), \ddot{L}(t_0), \dots, L(t_0)$ . Then we may choose a coordinate system in  $E^n$  so that the derivatives  $x^j(t_0) = B_k^j$  are non-zero for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-k+1\}$ . Converge with  $\text{RSP}(k-h+1)$  to  $P_0$  and apply Lemma 2. Then, since  $L$  is of  $C^{k-1}$ -class, we have the determinant

$$\prod_{r=1}^{k-h+1} \frac{1}{r!} \begin{vmatrix} B_k^{r+1} & x^{(k-1)r+1}(t_0) & \dots & \ddot{x}^{r+1}(t_0) & (1-h)\dot{x}^{r+1}(t_0) & + h\dot{x}^{r+1}(t_0) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ B_k^n & x^{(k-1)n}(t_0) & \dots & \ddot{x}^n(t_0) & (1-h)\dot{x}^n(t_0) & + h\dot{x}^n(t_0) \\ B_k^s & x^{(k-1)s}(t_0) & \dots & \ddot{x}^s(t_0) & (1-h)\dot{x}^s(t_0) & + h\dot{x}^s(t_0) \\ B_k^{n-k+1} & x^{(k-1)(n-k+1)}(t_0) & \dots & \ddot{x}^{n-k+1}(t_0) & (1-h)\dot{x}^{n-k+1}(t_0) & + h\dot{x}^{n-k+1}(t_0) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ B_k^{r-1} & x^{(k-1)(r-1)}(t_0) & \dots & \ddot{x}^{r-1}(t_0) & (1-h)\dot{x}^{r-1}(t_0) & + h\dot{x}^{r-1}(t_0) \end{vmatrix}$$

(5)

$$\prod_{r=1}^{k-h-1} \frac{1}{r!} \begin{array}{ccccccc} \hline {}_{k-h}^h X^{r+1}[t_{k-h+1} \dots t_1] & \dots & x^{r+1}(\zeta_1^{r+1}) & \dots & \hat{x}^{r+1}(\zeta_{k-2}^{r+1}) & (1-h)\hat{x}^{r+1}(\zeta_{k-1}^{r+1}) & + h\hat{x}_k^{r+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ {}_{k-h}^h X^n[t_{k-h+1} \dots t_1] & \dots & x^n(\zeta_1^n) & \dots & \hat{x}^n(\zeta_{k-2}^n) & (1-h)\hat{x}^n(\zeta_{k-1}^n) & + h\hat{x}_k^n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ {}_{k-h}^h X^s[t_{k-h+1} \dots t_1] & \dots & x^s(\zeta_1^s) & \dots & \hat{x}^s(\zeta_{k-2}^s) & (1-h)\hat{x}^s(\zeta_{k-1}^s) & + h\hat{x}_k^s \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ {}_{k-h}^h X^{n-k+1}[t_{k-h+1} \dots t_1] & \dots & x^{n-k+1}(\zeta_1^{n-k+1}) & \dots & \hat{x}^{n-k+1}(\zeta_{k-2}^{n-k+1}) & (1-h)\hat{x}^{n-k+1}(\zeta_{k-1}^{n-k+1}) & + h\hat{x}_k^{n-k+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ {}_{k-h}^h X^{r-1}[t_{k-h+1} \dots t_1] & \dots & x^{r-1}(\zeta_1^{r-1}) & \dots & \hat{x}^{r-1}(\zeta_{k-2}^{r-1}) & (1-h)\hat{x}^{r-1}(\zeta_{k-1}^{r-1}) & + h\hat{x}_k^{r-1} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

instead of that in (5). Among all determinants which have appeared in (3), the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} B_k^j & x^{(k-1)j}(t_0) & \dots & \ddot{x}^j(t_0) & (1-h)\dot{x}^j(t_0) & + h\ddot{x}^j(t_0) \\ B_k^{n-k+2} & x^{(k-1)(n-k+2)}(t_0) & \dots & \ddot{x}^{n-k+2}(t_0) & (1-h)\dot{x}^{n-k+2}(t_0) & + h\ddot{x}^{n-k+2}(t_0) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ B_k^n & x^{(k-1)n}(t_0) & \dots & \ddot{x}^n(t_0) & (1-h)\dot{x}^n(t_0) & + h\ddot{x}^n(t_0) \end{vmatrix}$$

is non-zero, according to the remark about numbers  $B_k^j$  ( $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-k+1\}$ ) and about the determinant  $V$ . This determinant appears in the denominator of each component of vectors  $H_s$  and appears as the numerator of the one such component. That means that there exists the limit non-zero vector of a sequence of normal unit vectors  $H_s$  of hyperplane for each  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-k\}$ . It follows that there exists the limit  $k$ -plane of a sequence of  $k$ -planes described by (1). This limit  $k$ -plane, by its definition, is the osculating  $k$ -plane  ${}^h_2\pi^k$ . Then we have

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $L(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n x^j(t) \cdot k_j$  be the radius vector of a curve  $L$  in  $E^n$ , where  $x^j: t \rightarrow x^j(t)$  are  $C^{k-1}$ -functions. Moreover, let  $P_0$  be not a point of inflection of order  $k-1$  of  $L$ . If there exist the derivatives  $\dot{L}(t_0), \ddot{L}(t_0), \dots, \overset{(k)}{L}(t_0)$  of  $L(t)$  and if they are linearly independent, then there exists the osculating  $k$ -plane  ${}^h_2\pi^k$  ( $h \in \{0, 1\}$ ) of  $L$  at the point  $P_0$ .

Also it is true

**THEOREM 2.** Under the same hypothesis on the curve  $L$  and the point  $P_0$  as in Theorem 1, the osculating  $k$ -plane  ${}^h_1\pi^k$  ( $h \in \{0, 1\}$ ) of  $L$  at the point  $P_0$  exists provided there exist vectors  $\dot{L}(t_0), \dots, \overset{(k-1)}{L}(t_0)$  and vector  $C$  such that  $C = \sum_{j=1}^n C_k^j \cdot k_j$ , where

$$C_k^j = \lim_{a, b \rightarrow t_0} \frac{x^{(k-1)j}(a) - x^{(k-1)j}(b)}{a - b},$$

and all these vectors are linearly independent.

The proof of this Theorem runs in the same way as the one of Theorem 1, but here is exploited Lemma 3 instead of Lemma 2.

Using Lemma 4 instead of Lemma 2 in the proof of Theorem 1 we can prove

**THEOREM 3.** Under the same hypothesis on the curve  $L$  and the point  $P_0$  as in Theorem 1, the osculating  $k$ -plane  ${}^h_3\pi^k$  ( $h \in \{0, 1\}$ ) of  $L$  at the point  $P_0$  exists provided there exist vectors  $\dot{L}(t_0), \dots, \overset{(k-1)}{L}(t_0)$  and vector  $D$  such that

$D = \sum_{j=1}^n D_k^j \cdot k_j$ , where  $D_k^j$  is a one-side  $k$ -th derivative of  $x^j$  at the point  $P_0$ , and all these vectors are linearly independent.

#### References

- [1] S. Fudali, *On the osculating  $k$ -sphere of a curve in an  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space*, Comm. Math. 18 (1974), p. 11-20,
- [2] — *Несколько замечаний о конечных разностях*, Prace Naukowe Instytutu Matematyki i Fizyki Teoretycznej Politechniki Wrocławskiej, Studia i Materiały 8 (1973), p. 75-88.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY UNIwersytetu WROCLAWSKIEGO

---