



ZBIGNIEW POLNIAKOWSKI (Poznań)

On solutions of some linear difference equations

In my paper⁽¹⁾ (Theorem 2) we have considered asymptotic properties (for $x \rightarrow \infty$) of integrals of the differential equation

$$y^{(n)} - \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} c_v(x) y^{(v)} = 0.$$

In this paper we shall prove an analogous theorem (Theorem 2) concerning asymptotic properties of solutions of the difference equation

$$(1) \quad \Delta^n y(x-n) - \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} a_v(x) \Delta^v y(x-v) = 0,$$

where $\Delta^v y(x-v) = \sum_{s=0}^v (-1)^s \binom{v}{s} y(x-s)$. The v -th difference $\Delta^v y(x)$ of the function $y(x)$ is an analogue of the derivative $y^{(v)}(x)$. The asymptotic relations (11) in Theorem 2 and the relations occurring in Theorem 2 in my paper⁽¹⁾ are similar if we notice that we have $\Delta P_k(x-1)/P_k(x) = \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x)$ and $\varphi'(x)/\varphi(x) = \varepsilon_k c_0^{1/n}(x)$, where $\varphi(x) = \exp \varepsilon_k \int_{x_0}^x c_0^{1/n}(t) dt$. The methods of the proofs for both theorems are similar. We write here $\Delta y(x) = \Delta^1 y(x)$.

From Theorem 2 some oscillation theorem follows (Theorem 3). We obtain Theorem 2 from the

THEOREM 1. *Let us suppose that the functions $b_{mj}(x)$, $m, j = 1, \dots, n$ ($n \geq 2$) are complex valued and locally bounded for $x \geq x_0$; moreover, suppose $b_{mm}(x) \neq 0$ for $x \geq x_0$, $m = 1, \dots, n$,*

$$(2) \quad \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |b_{11}(\xi+s) - 1| < \infty \quad \text{uniformly for } x_0 \leq \xi \leq x_0 + 1,$$

and for every m ($1 \leq m \leq n$) one of the two following hypotheses is satisfied:

⁽¹⁾ Z. Polniakowski, *On some linear differential equations*, Comm. Math. 19 (1976), p. 349-367.

(3a) The series $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (|b_{mm}(\xi+s)|-1)$ and $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} b_{mj}(\xi+s)$ are convergent absolutely and uniformly for $x_0 \leq \xi \leq x_0+1$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $j \neq m$, or

(3b) $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (|b_{mm}(\xi+s)|-1) = \infty$ uniformly for $x_0 \leq \xi \leq x_0+1$, $|b_{mm}(x)| > 1$ for $x \geq x_0$ or $|b_{mm}(x)| < 1$ for these x and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} b_{mj}(x)/(|b_{mm}(x)|-1) = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $j \neq m$.

Then the system of equations

$$(4) \quad u_m(x-1) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{mj}(x) u_j(x), \quad m = 1, \dots, n,$$

has for $x \geq x_0+1$ a solution $\bar{u}_1(x), \dots, \bar{u}_n(x)$ such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}_1(x) = 1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}_m(x) = 0$ for $m = 2, \dots, n$.

THEOREM 2. Suppose the functions $a_v(x)$, $v = 0, \dots, n-1$, are defined for $x \geq n$ and real valued. Furthermore, let us suppose that

(5) $a_0(x) \neq 0$ and $a_0(x)$ has constant sign for $x > n$,

(6) $a_0(x-1)/a_0(x)$ tends monotonically to 1 as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

(7) $a_0(x)$ tends monotonically to a as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $0 < |a| \leq \infty$,

(8) $\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} (\Delta a_0(\xi+s))^2 a_0^{-2}(\xi+s) < \infty$ uniformly for $n \leq \xi \leq n+1$,

(9) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} a_v(x) a_0^{(v+1-n)/n}(x) = 0$ for $v = 1, \dots, n-1$,

(10) $\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} |a_v(\xi+s) a_0^{(v-n)/n}(\xi+s)| < \infty$ uniformly for $n \leq \xi \leq n+1$
and for $v = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Then the difference equation (1) has for $x \geq n$ solutions $y_k(x)$, $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, such that we have for $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$(11) \quad y_k(x) \sim a_0^{(1-n)/2n}(x) P_k(x),$$

$$\Delta^m y_k(x-m) \sim \varepsilon_k^m a_0^{m/n}(x) y_k(x), \quad m = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where $\varepsilon_k = e^{2k\pi i/n}$ and $P_k(x) = 1 / \prod_{s=0}^{[x]-n} (1 - \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x-s))$ for $x \geq n$.

If, in addition,

$$(12) \quad a_0(x) \neq 1 \quad \text{for } x \geq n \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} a_0(x) \neq 1,$$

then the difference equation (1) has for $x \geq n$ a solution $y_n(x)$ satisfying relations (11) for $k = n$.

The functions $y_1(x), \dots, y_n(x)$ are linearly independent. If $|a| < \infty$ and $\cos(2k + \theta)\pi/n > \frac{1}{2}|a^{1/n}|$, then $|\Delta^m y_k(x)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$; if $\cos(2k + \theta)\pi/n < \frac{1}{2}|a^{1/n}|$, then $\Delta^m y_k(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, $m = 0, \dots, n-1$. (We set here $\theta = 0$ if $a_0(x) > 0$ and $\theta = 1$ if $a_0(x) < 0$ for $x > n$.)

If $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |a_0(x)| = \infty$, then $\Delta^m y_k(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

Let us notice that the functions $a_v(x) = \pm x^{p_v}$, where $p_0 \geq 0$ and $p_v < \min((n-v-1)p_0/n, (n-v)p_0/n-1)$ for $v = 1, \dots, n-1$, satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. *Let us suppose that assumptions (5)–(10) and (12) of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Moreover, suppose that $a_0(x)$ is continuous for $x \geq n$ and $a_0(n) = 0$. If $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x) \neq 0$ for $x > n$, then the difference equation (1) has two real solutions $y_k^*(x)$ and $y_k^{**}(x)$ such that for $x \geq n$*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^m y_k^*(x-m) &= \left\{ \cos(B_k(x) + \lambda_{km}) + \delta_{km}(x) \right\} \cdot |a_0^{(2m+1-n)/2n}(x) P_k(x)|, \\ \Delta^m y_k^{**}(x-m) &= \left\{ \sin(B_k(x) + \lambda_{km}) + \eta_{km}(x) \right\} \cdot |a_0^{(2m+1-n)/2n}(x) P_k(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{km} = (2k + \theta)m\pi/n + \theta(1-n)\pi/2n$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{km}(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{km}(x) = 0$ for $m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. The function $B_k(x)$ is real valued and continuous for $x \geq n$, has a constant sign for sufficiently large x and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |B_k(x)| = \infty$. The functions $\Delta^m y_k^*(x)$ and $\Delta^m y_k^{**}(x)$ change the sign as $x \rightarrow \infty$ infinitely many times.

Proof of Theorem 1. We shall apply the theorem of Cauchy (difference analogue of de l'Hôspital rule) in the following formulation: Suppose we have for $x \geq x_0$:

$$(i) \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |g(x)| = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{v=1}^{[x-x_0]} |\Delta g(x-v)| \leq K |g(x)|$$

or

$$(ii) g(x) \neq 0, \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} |\Delta g(x+v)| \leq K |g(x)|.$$

In case (i) we assume that there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ tending to ∞ such that the function $f(x)$ is bounded in every interval $\langle x_n, x_{n+1} \rangle$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. In case (ii) we assume that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$. If $\Delta f(x) = s(x) \times \Delta g(x)$, then $\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow \infty} |f(x)/g(x)| \leq K \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow \infty} |s(x)|$.

We set, for $x \geq x_0$, $g_1(x) = 1 / \prod_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{11}(x+v)$, $g_m(x) = \prod_{s=0}^{[x-x_0]} b_{mm}(x-s)$ $= \prod_{v=0}^{[x-x_0]} b_{mm}(\xi+v)$, where $\xi = x - [x-x_0]$, for $m = 2, \dots, n$.

By (2) and the inequality $|\ln(1+z)| \leq 2|z|$, true for $|z| \leq 1/2$, we get $|\ln g_1(x+s)| \leq 2 \sum_{v=s+1}^{\infty} |b_{11}(x+v) - 1|$ for $x_0 \leq x \leq x_0 + 1$ and sufficiently large s .

We obtain from this that $g_1(x+s) \rightarrow 1$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly for $x_0 \leq x \leq x_0 + 1$ and consequently $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g_1(x) = 1$.

By (3a) and (3b) we have the following three cases for $2 \leq m \leq n$:

- (13) In case (3a) we have $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} |g_m(\xi + s)| = \prod_{v=0}^{\infty} |b_{mm}(\xi + v)| = G_m(\xi)$ uniformly for $x_0 \leq \xi \leq x_0 + 1$, where $0 < a_1 \leq G_m(\xi) \leq a_2$ with some constants a_1 and a_2 .
- (14) In case (3b) and $|b_{mm}(x)| (> 1)$ we have $|g_m(\xi + s)| \uparrow \infty$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $\langle x_0, x_0 + 1 \rangle$ (by the inequality $|g_m(x)| \geq \sum_{v=0}^{[x-x_0]} (|b_{mm}(\xi + v)| - 1)$) and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |g_m(x)| = \infty$.
- (15) In case (3b) and $|b_{mm}(x)| < 1$ we have $|g_m(\xi + s)| \downarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $\langle x_0, x_0 + 1 \rangle$ (by the inequality $\ln x \leq x - 1$ ($x > 0$)) and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g_m(x) = 0$.

Let us notice that in case (13), i.e., (3a), the function $g_m(x)$ is bounded for $x \geq x_0$. Namely, there exists an $N \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{v=N+1}^{\infty} |\ln |b_{mm}(\xi + v)|| \leq 1$ for $x_0 \leq \xi \leq x_0 + 1$. Then

$$|\ln |g_m(x)|| \leq \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} |\ln |b_{mm}(\xi + v)|| \leq \sum_{v=0}^N |\ln |b_{mm}(\xi + v)|| + 1.$$

Moreover, we obtain that $\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\ln |g_m(x)|| = \sup_{\langle x_0, x_0 + 1 \rangle} |\ln G_m(\xi)| < \infty$.

In the m -th equation in (4) we represent the function $u_m(x)$, $1 \leq m \leq n$, in the form $u_m(x) = c_m(x)/g_m(x)$, where the function $c_m(x)$ may be evaluated. We obtain from (4) for $x \geq x_0 + 1$

$$c_m(x-1)/g_m(x-1) = b_{mm}(x)c_m(x)/g_m(x) + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^n b_{mj}(x)u_j(x),$$

$$c_m(x-1) - c_m(x) = g_m(x-1) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^n b_{mj}(x)u_j(x).$$

In the formal way we obtain from this in cases $m = 1$, (13) and (15)

$$c_m(x) = \gamma_m(x) + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} g_m(x+s) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^n b_{mj}(x+s+1)u_j(x+s+1) \quad (x \geq x_0)$$

and in case (14)

$$c_m(x) = \gamma_m(x) - \sum_{s=1}^{[x-x_0]} g_m(x-s) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^n b_{mj}(x-s+1) u_j(x-s+1) \quad (x \geq x_0+1),$$

where $\gamma_m(x)$ is some periodic function with the period $w = 1$. (We have $\gamma_m(x) = -\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} c_m(x+s)$ in cases $m = 1$, (13) and (15), and $\gamma_m(x) = c_m(x - [x - x_0])$ in case (14).) The obtained result may be written in the following form:

$$(16) \quad u_m(x) = \gamma_m(x)/g_m(x) + \sum_{j=1}^n J_{mj}^{[0]}(u_j), \quad m = 1, \dots, n, \quad x \geq x_0+1,$$

where

$$(17) \quad J_{mj}^{[0]}(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m = j, \\ (1/g_m(x)) \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} g_m(x+s) b_{mj}(x+s+1) u(x+s+1) & \text{if } m \neq j, \text{ in cases } m = 1, (13) \text{ and } (15), \\ -(1/g_m(x)) \sum_{s=1}^{[x-x_0]} g_m(x-s) b_{mj}(x-s+1) u(x-s+1) & \text{if } m \neq j, \text{ in case } (14). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to show that in cases $m = 1$, (13) and (15) the series $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} g_m(\xi+s) b_{mj}(\xi+s+1)$ are uniformly convergent for $x_0 \leq \xi \leq x_0+1$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $j \neq m$. For $m = 1$ and in case (13) this follows from hypothesis (3a). In case (15) there follows, for a given $\epsilon > 0$, the existence of the point $x_1 \geq x_0+1$ such that we have $|g_m(x)| \leq \epsilon$ and, by (3b), $|b_{mj}(x)| \leq 1 - |b_{mm}(x)|$ for $x \geq x_1$. We obtain for $x \geq x_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |g_m(x+s) b_{mj}(x+s+1)| &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |g_m(x+s)| (1 - |b_{mm}(x+s+1)|) \\ &= - \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \Delta |g_m(x+s)| = |g_m(x)| \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we shall prove that

$$(18) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} J_{mj}^{[0]}(1) = 0 \quad \text{for } m, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

This is easy to see in cases $m = 1$ and (13). In cases (14) and (15), applying theorem of Cauchy (for $g(x) = |g_m(x)|$ and $K = 1$), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |J_{mj}^{[0]}(1)| &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |g_m(x-1) b_{mj}(x)| / (|g_m(x-1)| - |g_m(x)|) \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |b_{mj}(x)| / (1 - |b_{mm}(x)|) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by hypothesis (3b).

There exists $x_2 \geq x_0 + 1$ such that $|g_1(x)| \geq 1/2$ and $|J_{m_j}^{[0]}(1/g_1(x))| \leq 1/2n$ for $m, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $x \geq x_2$, by (18).

We set $J_{m_j}^{[k]}(u) = \sum_{s=1}^n J_{m_s}^{[0]}(J_{s_j}^{[k-1]}(u))$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $x \geq x_0 + 1$. It is easy to prove by induction that $|J_{m_1}^{[k]}(1/g_1(x))| \leq 2^{-k}$ for $m = 1, \dots, n$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and $x \geq x_2$. Setting for $x \geq x_2$

$$\bar{u}_1(x) = 1/g_1(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} J_{1_1}^{[k]}(1/g_1(x)),$$

$$\bar{u}_m(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} J_{m_1}^{[k]}(1/g_1(x)) \quad \text{for } m = 2, \dots, n,$$

we obtain that $|\bar{u}_1(x)| \leq 3$ and $|\bar{u}_m(x)| \leq 2$ for $m = 2, \dots, n$ and $x \geq x_2$. The functions $u_m(x) = \bar{u}_m(x)$ satisfy for $x \geq x_2$ the system of equations (16) for $\gamma_1(x) = 1$ and $\gamma_m(x) = 0$, $m = 2, \dots, n$. Namely we have for $m = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} 1/g_1(x) + \sum_{j=2}^n J_{1_j}^{[0]}(\bar{u}_j) &= 1/g_1(x) + \sum_{j=2}^n J_{1_j}^{[0]} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} J_{j_1}^{[k]}(1/g_1(x)) \right) \\ &= 1/g_1(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=2}^n J_{1_j}^{[0]}(J_{j_1}^{[k]}(1/g_1(x))) \\ &= 1/g_1(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} J_{1_1}^{[k+1]}(1/g_1(x)) = \bar{u}_1(x) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $m = 2, \dots, n$.

By (18) we obtain $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} J_{m_j}^{[0]}(\bar{u}_j) = 0$ for $m, j = 1, \dots, n$, since the functions $\bar{u}_j(x)$ are bounded for $x \geq x_2$. From (16) for $\gamma_1(x) = 1$ and $\gamma_m(x) = 0$ ($m = 2, \dots, n$) we get $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}_1(x) = 1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}_m(x) = 0$ for $m = 2, \dots, n$. By (4) the functions $\bar{u}_m(x)$, $m = 1, \dots, n$, may be extended to the point x_0 .

LEMMA 1. *If the function $a_0(x)$ satisfies assumptions (5)–(7) of Theorem 2, then the equation*

$$(19) \quad \psi(x)\psi(x-1) \dots \psi(x-n+1) = a_0(x) \quad (n \geq 2 \text{ and } x > n)$$

has n solutions $\psi(x) = \psi_k(x)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, such that $\psi_k(x) \sim \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ ($\varepsilon_k = e^{2k\pi i/n}$). Moreover, we have $\psi_k(x)/\psi_k(x-1) \geq 1$ for $x > 2$ or $\psi_k(x)/\psi_k(x-1) \leq 1$ for these x .

Proof. From (19) we obtain $\psi(x) \neq 0$ for $x > 1$ and

$$\psi(x)/\psi(x-n) = a_0(x)/a_0(x-1) \quad \text{for } x > n+1.$$

If $\sigma(x) = \psi(x)/\psi(x-1)$ for $x > 2$, then

$$(20) \quad \sigma(x)\sigma(x-1) \dots \sigma(x-n+1) = a_0(x)/a_0(x-1) \quad \text{for } x > n+1.$$

Setting $\ln \sigma(x) = \tau(x)$ for $x > 2$ and $\ln(a_0(x)/a_0(x-1)) = f(x)$ for $x > n+1$, we obtain from (20) the equation

$$(21) \quad \tau(x) + \tau(x-1) + \dots + \tau(x-n+1) = f(x) \quad \text{for } x > n+1,$$

where by assumption the function $f(x)$ tends monotonically to 0 as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\tau(x-n) - \tau(x) = f(x-1) - f(x)$ for $x > n+2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x) = \tau_0(x) &= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \{ \tau_0(x+(s-1)n) - \tau_0(x+sn) \} \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \{ f(x+sn-1) - f(x+sn) \} \quad \text{for } x > 2. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain from this

$$(22) \quad \tau_0(x) = \lambda(x)f(x+n-1) \quad \text{for } x > 2,$$

where $0 \leq \lambda(x) \leq 1$. It follows that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tau_0(x) = 0$ and $\text{sgn } \tau_0(x) = \text{sgn } f(x)$ for $x > 2$.

For $x > 2$ we set $\sigma_0(x) = \exp \tau_0(x)$ and

$$(23) \quad \psi_0(x) = \gamma(x) \prod_{s=0}^{[x]-3} \sigma_0(x-s) \quad \text{for } x \geq 3,$$

where $\gamma(x)$ is some periodic function with the period $w = 1$, which will be defined below. From (23) and (20) we obtain for $x \geq n+2$

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_0(x)\psi_0(x-1)\dots\psi_0(x-n+1) \\ &= \gamma^n(x) \prod_{s=0}^{[x]-3} \sigma_0(x-s) \prod_{s=0}^{[x]-4} \sigma_0(x-s-1) \dots \prod_{s=0}^{[x]-n-2} \sigma_0(x-s-n+1) \\ &= \gamma^n(x) \prod_{s=3}^{n+1} \sigma_0^{n-s+2}(x-[x]+s) \prod_{s=0}^{[x]-n-2} a_0(x-s)/a_0(x-s-1) \\ &= \gamma^n(x) \prod_{s=3}^{n+1} \sigma_0^{n-s+2}(x-[x]+s) a_0(x)/a_0(x-[x]+n+1). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\gamma^n(x) = a_0(x-[x]+n+1) / \prod_{s=3}^{n+1} \sigma_0^{n-s+2}(x-[x]+s)$, we obtain that the function $\psi_0(x)$ satisfies for $x \geq n+2$ equation (19). We get from (23) $\psi_0(x)/\psi_0(x-1) = \sigma_0(x) = \exp \tau_0(x) \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and by (19)

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(x) = \psi_0(x)\psi_0(x-1)\dots\psi_0(x-n+1) &= \psi_0^n(x) \{ \psi_0(x-1)/\psi_0(x) \} \dots \\ &\dots \{ \psi_0(x-n+1)/\psi_0(x) \} \sim \psi_0^n(x) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then $|\psi_0(x)| \sim |a_0^{1/n}(x)|$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Instead of $a_0(x)$ the function $|\psi_0(x)|$ satisfies (19) for $|a_0(x)|$.

We set $\theta = 0$ if $a_0(x) > 0$ and $\theta = 1$ if $a_0(x) < 0$ for $x > n$. Then the functions $\psi_k(x) = e^{(2k+\theta)\pi i/n} |\psi_0(x)|$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, satisfy (19) and they have the desired asymptotic properties. From (22) there follows that $\tau_0(x) \geq 0$ for $x > 2$ or $\tau_0(x) \leq 0$ for $x > 2$, and $\sigma_0(x) = \psi_0(x)/\psi_0(x-1) \geq 1$ or $\psi_0(x)/\psi_0(x-1) \leq 1$ for these x . The same equalities satisfy the functions $\psi_k(x)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$.

LEMMA 2. Suppose the functions $F_v(x)$ are defined and different from 0 for $x \geq x_0$, $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |\Delta F_v(\xi + s)/F_v(\xi + s)|^2 < \infty$ for $v = 1, \dots, p$, uniformly for $x_0 \leq \xi \leq x_0 + 1$. Furthermore, suppose the series $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |F(\xi + s)|$ is uniformly convergent in $\langle x_0, x_0 + 1 \rangle$. If the function $\varphi(x)$ satisfies for $x \geq x_0$ the equality

$$(24) \quad \Delta\varphi(x)/\varphi(x) = \sum_{v=1}^p r_v \Delta F_v(x)/F_v(x) + F(x)$$

and is defined and different from 0 in the interval $\langle x_0, x_0 + 1 \rangle$, then we have $\varphi(x) \sim \gamma_1(x) \prod_{v=1}^p F_v^r(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Here $\gamma_1(x)$ is some periodic function with the period $w = 1$, defined and different from 0 in the interval $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$.

Proof. Let us notice that from the inequality $|\ln(1+z) - z| \leq |z|^2$, true for $|z| \leq 1/2$, there follows that the convergence of the series $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |a_s|^2$ implies the convergence of the series $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |\ln(1+a_s) - a_s|$.

By hypothesis we obtain that $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |G_v(\xi + s)| < \infty$ for $v = 1, \dots, p$, uniformly in $\langle x_0, x_0 + 1 \rangle$, where

$$(25) \quad G_v(x) = \ln(1 + \Delta F_v(x)/F_v(x)) - \Delta F_v(x)/F_v(x).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{\alpha-1} \ln(1 + \Delta F_v(\xi + s)/F_v(\xi + s)) &= \sum_{s=0}^{\alpha-1} \ln(F_v(\xi + s + 1)/F_v(\xi + s)) \\ &= \ln F_v(x) - \ln F_v(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi = x - \alpha$ and $\alpha = [x - x_0]$. By (25) we obtain that

$$(26) \quad \sum_{s=0}^{\alpha-1} \Delta F_v(\xi + s)/F_v(\xi + s) = \ln F_v(x) - \ln F_v(\xi) - \sum_{s=0}^{\alpha-1} G_v(\xi + s).$$

By (24), the inequality $|ab| \leq \frac{1}{2}(a^2 + b^2)$, and by hypothesis we get $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |\Delta\varphi(\xi + s)/\varphi(\xi + s)|^2 < \infty$ uniformly in $\langle x_0, x_0 + 1 \rangle$, and, as above,

$$(27) \quad \ln\varphi(x) - \ln\varphi(\xi) = \sum_{s=0}^{\alpha-1} \Delta\varphi(\xi + s)/\varphi(\xi + s) + \sum_{s=0}^{\alpha-1} \Phi(\xi + s),$$

the series $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |\Phi(\xi + s)|$ being uniformly convergent in $\langle x_0, x_0 + 1 \rangle$. By (27), (24) and (26) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \varphi(x) - \ln \varphi(\xi) &= \sum_{s=0}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{v=1}^p r_v \Delta F_v(\xi + s) / F_v(\xi + s) + \sum_{s=0}^{\alpha-1} (F(\xi + s) + \Phi(\xi + s)) \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^p r_v (\ln F_v(x) - \ln F_v(\xi)) - \sum_{v=1}^p r_v \sum_{s=0}^{\alpha-1} G_v(\xi + s) + \sum_{s=0}^{\alpha-1} (F(\xi + s) + \Phi(\xi + s)). \end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof of Lemma 2 putting

$$\gamma_1(x) = K(\xi)\varphi(\xi) \prod_{v=1}^p F_v^{-r_v}(\xi),$$

where

$$K(\xi) = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{v=1}^p r_v \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} G_v(\xi + s) + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (F(\xi + s) + \Phi(\xi + s)) \right\}.$$

LEMMA 3. Suppose that the function $a_0(x)$ satisfies assumptions (5)–(8) of Theorem 2 and $\psi_k(x)$ is defined as in Lemma 1. We put for $x \geq n + 1$

$$A(x) = (1/n) \sum_{s=1}^n 1/\psi_k(x - s + 1)$$

and

$$1/\varphi_1(x) = \prod_{s=0}^{[x]-n-1} \psi_k(x - s) A(x - s).$$

Then $\varphi_1(x) \sim \gamma_2(x) a_0^{(1-n)/2n}(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $\gamma_2(x)$ is some periodic function with the period $w = 1$, defined and different from 0 in the interval $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$.

Proof. Let us notice that if $\eta_v = \sum_{s=1}^v \lambda_s$ for $v = 1, \dots, n - 1$, and $\sum_{s=1}^n \lambda_s = 0$, then for every sequence $\{c_v\}$ we have the identity

$$(28) \quad \sum_{v=1}^n \lambda_v c_v = \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \eta_v (c_v - c_{v+1}),$$

which may be obtained by the transformation of Abel.

From the definitions we get for $x \geq n + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \varphi_1(x) / \varphi_1(x) &= 1/\psi_k(x + 1) A(x + 1) - 1 \\ &= (1/n A(x + 1)) \left(n/\psi_k(x + 1) - \sum_{v=1}^n 1/\psi_k(x - v + 2) \right) \\ &= (1/n A(x + 1)) \sum_{v=1}^n \lambda_v / \psi_k(x - v + 2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_1 = n-1$ and $\lambda_v = -1$ for $v = 2, \dots, n$. Applying (28) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\varphi_1(x)/\varphi_1(x) &= (1/nA(x+1)) \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (n-v)(1/\psi_k(x-v+2) - 1/\psi_k(x-v+1)) \\ &= (-1/nA(x+1)) \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (n-v)f_v(x)/\psi_k(x-v+2), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_v(x) = \Delta\psi_k(x-v+1)/\psi_k(x-v+1)$. Setting $\lambda_s^* = 1/n$ for $s = 1, \dots, n$ and $s \neq v$, $\lambda_v^* = 1/n-1$, we get for $x \geq n+1$

$$(29) \quad \Delta\varphi_1(x)/\varphi_1(x) = - \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} ((n-v)/n)f_v(x) + F(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= (1/nA(x+1)) \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (n-v)f_v(x) \left(-1/\psi_k(x-v+2) + (1/n) \sum_{s=1}^n 1/\psi_k(x-s+2) \right) \\ &= (1/nA(x+1)) \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (n-v)f_v(x) \sum_{s=1}^n \lambda_s^*/\psi_k(x-s+2) \\ &= (1/nA(x+1)) \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (n-v)f_v(x) \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \eta_s^* (1/\psi_k(x-s+2) - 1/\psi_k(x-s+1)) \\ &= - \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} (n-v)\eta_s^* f_v(x) f_s(x) / nA(x+1) \psi_k(x-s+2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_s^* = \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^*$.

By Lemma 1 and (19) we get for $v = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta\psi_k(x-v+1)| &\leq |\Delta\psi_k(x) + \Delta\psi_k(x-1) + \dots + \Delta\psi_k(x-n+1)| \\ &= |\psi_k(x+1) - \psi_k(x-n+1)| = |\psi_k(x+1) \Delta a_0(x)/a_0(x+1)|. \end{aligned}$$

By (6) there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that for large x and $v = 1, \dots, n$ there is

$$|f_v(x)| = |\Delta\psi_k(x-v+1)/\psi_k(x-v+1)| \leq M |\Delta a_0(x)/a_0(x)|,$$

since $\psi_k(x+1)/\psi_k(x-v+1) \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. We have also $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} A(x)\psi_k(x-s+1)$

$= 1$. By (8) we obtain the series $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |f_v^2(x+s)|$ and $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |F(x+s)|$ are uniformly convergent for $n+1 \leq x \leq n+2$.

We have $\varphi_1(x) \neq 0$ for $x \geq n+1$. By (29) and Lemma 2 for $F_v(x) = \psi_k(x-v+1)$ and $r_v = (v-n)/n$ ($v = 1, \dots, n-1$) we obtain

$$\varphi_1(x) \sim \gamma_1(x) \prod_{v=1}^{n-1} \psi_k^{(v-n)/n}(x-v+1) \sim \gamma_1(x) \psi_k^{(1-n)/2}(x) \sim \gamma_2(x) a_0^{(1-n)/2n}(x)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

LEMMA 4. Suppose $a_0(x)$ satisfies assumptions (5)–(8) and (12) of Theorem 2, and $A(x)$ is defined as in Lemma 3. We set

$$P(x) = 1 / \prod_{s=0}^{[x]-n-1} (1 - 1/A(x-s)) \quad \text{for } x \geq n+1$$

and

$$P_k(x) = 1 / \prod_{s=0}^{[x]-n} (1 - \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x-s)) \quad \text{for } x \geq n,$$

cf. (11). Then $P_k(x) \sim \gamma_3(x)P(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $\gamma_3(x)$ is some periodic function with the period $w = 1$, defined and different from 0 in the interval $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$.

Proof. Since $A(x) \sim 1/\psi_k(x) \sim \varepsilon_k^{-1} a_0^{-1/n}(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} a_0(x) \neq 1$ we may assume that $A(x) \neq 1$ for $x \geq n+1$.

We set for $x \geq n+1$

$$\begin{aligned} P_k(x)/P(x) &= \prod_{v=0}^{[x]-n-1} (1 + R(x-v)) / (1 - \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x - [x] + n)) \\ &= \prod_{s=n+1}^{[x]} (1 + R(x - [x] + s)) / (1 - \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x - [x] + n)). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} R(x) &= (1 - 1/A(x)) / (1 - \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x)) - 1 \\ &= (\varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x) - 1/A(x)) / (1 - \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x)) \\ &= (A(x) - \varepsilon_k^{-1} a_0^{-1/n}(x)) / A(x) (\varepsilon_k^{-1} a_0^{-1/n}(x) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove that the series $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |R(x+s)|$ is uniformly convergent in the interval $\langle n+1, n+2 \rangle$. We consider the functions $f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{v=1}^n x_v^n/n$ and $f_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \dots x_n$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(a+h_1, \dots, a+h_n) &= f_1(a, \dots, a) + \sum_{v=1}^n h_v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_v} f_1(a, \dots, a) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n h_v h_s \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_v \partial x_s} f_1(a + \theta h_1, \dots, a + \theta h_n) \\ &= a^n + a^{n-1} \sum_{v=1}^n h_v + ((n-1)/2) \sum_{v=1}^n h_v^2 (a + \theta h_v)^{n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \theta < 1$, and similarly

$$f_2(a+h_1, \dots, a+h_n) = a^n + a^{n-1} \sum_{v=1}^n h_v + \frac{1}{2}(a + \theta_1 h_1) \dots (a + \theta_1 h_n) \times \\ \times \sum_{v=1}^n \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq v}}^n h_v h_s / (a + \theta_1 h_v)(a + \theta_1 h_s),$$

where $0 < \theta_1 < 1$. Then

$$(30) \quad f_1(a+h_1, \dots, a+h_n) - f_2(a+h_1, \dots, a+h_n) \\ = ((n-1)/2) \sum_{v=1}^n h_v^2 (a + \theta h_v)^{n-2} - \\ - \frac{1}{2}(a + \theta_1 h_1) \dots (a + \theta_1 h_n) \sum_{v=1}^n \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq v}}^n h_v h_s / (a + \theta_1 h_v)(a + \theta_1 h_s).$$

Setting $a = |\psi_k^{-1/n}(x)|$ and $h_v = |\psi_k^{-1/n}(x-v+1)| - |\psi_k^{-1/n}(x)|$ for $v = 1, \dots, n$, by (19) we get

$$|A(x) - \varepsilon_k^{-1} a_0^{-1/n}(x)| = f_1(a+h_1, \dots, a+h_n) - f_2(a+h_1, \dots, a+h_n).$$

We have $|a + \theta h_v| \leq |\psi_k^{-1/n}(x)|$, $v = 1, \dots, n$, in the case $|\psi_k(x)| \leq |\psi_k(x-1)|$, and $|a + \theta h_v| \leq |\psi_k^{-1/n}(x-v+1)| \leq |\psi_k^{-1/n}(x-n)|$ in the case $|\psi_k(x)| \geq |\psi_k(x-1)|$, cf. Lemma 1. Moreover, $|h_v| \leq |\psi_k^{-1/n}(x-n) - \psi_k^{-1/n}(x)|$ for $v = 1, \dots, n$ and $x > n+1$. By (30) we get

$$|A(x) - \varepsilon_k^{-1} a_0^{-1/n}(x)| \leq n(n-1) |\psi_k^{(2-n)/n}(x)| \cdot |\psi_k^{-1/n}(x-n) - \psi_k^{-1/n}(x)|^2$$

in the case $|\psi_k(x)| \leq |\psi_k(x-1)|$ and

$$|A(x) - \varepsilon_k^{-1} a_0^{-1/n}(x)| \leq n(n-1) |\psi_k^{(2-n)/n}(x-n)| \cdot |\psi_k^{-1/n}(x-n) - \psi_k^{-1/n}(x)|^2$$

in the case $|\psi_k(x)| \geq |\psi_k(x-1)|$.

We obtain

$$\psi_k^{-1/n}(x-n) - \psi_k^{-1/n}(x) = (\psi_k^{-1}(x-n) - \psi_k^{-1}(x)) / (\psi_k^{(1-n)/n}(x-n) + \\ + \psi_k^{(2-n)/n}(x-n) \psi_k^{-1/n}(x) + \dots + \psi_k^{(1-n)/n}(x)) \sim (\psi_k^{-1}(x-n) - \\ - \psi_k^{-1}(x)) / n \psi_k^{(1-n)/n}(x) \sim (\psi_k(x) - \psi_k(x-n)) / n \psi_k^{(n+1)/n}(x).$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. By (19) we get

$$\psi_k(x) - \psi_k(x-n) = \psi_k(x) \Delta a_0(x-1) / a_0(x)$$

and

$$|\psi_k(x) - \psi_k(x-n)| \sim |a_0^{(1-n)/n}(x) \Delta a_0(x-1)| \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

We deduce from this that

$$|\psi_k^{-1/n}(x-n) - \psi_k^{-1/n}(x)| \sim |a_0^{(1-n)/n}(x) \Delta a_0(x-1) / n \psi_k^{(n+1)/n}(x)| \\ \sim (1/n) |a_0^{-1-1/n^2}(x) \Delta a_0(x-1)| \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that we have $|\varepsilon_k^{-1} a_0^{-1/n}(x) - 1| \geq \varepsilon$ for sufficiently large x . (In the case $k = n$ this follows from (12).) We obtain for large x

$$|R(x)| \leq |A(x) - \varepsilon_k^{-1} a_0^{-1/n}(x)| / \varepsilon |A(x)| \leq (n(n-1)/\varepsilon) M(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x) &= |\psi_k^{(2-n)/n}(x)| \cdot |\psi_k^{-1/n}(x-n) - \psi_k^{-1/n}(x)|^2 / |A(x)| \\ &\sim n^{-2} |a_0^{(2-n)/n^2}(x) / A(x)| \cdot |a_0^{-2-2/n^2}(x)| \cdot |\Delta a_0(x-1)|^2 \\ &\sim n^{-2} a_0^{-2}(x-1) (\Delta a_0(x-1))^2 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

in the case $|\psi_k(x)| \leq |\psi_k(x-1)|$, and similarly in the case $|\psi_k(x)| \geq |\psi_k(x-1)|$.

By (8) the series $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} M(x+s)$ and $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |R(x+s)|$ are uniformly convergent in the interval $\langle n+1, n+2 \rangle$. We complete the proof of Lemma 4 setting

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_3(x) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{v=0}^{[x]-n-1} (1 + R(x-v)) / (1 - \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x - [x] + n)) \\ &= \prod_{s=n+1}^{\infty} (1 + R(x - [x] + s)) / (1 - \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x - [x] + n)), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\prod_{s=[x]+1}^{\infty} (1 + R(x - [x] + s)) = \prod_{s=1}^{\infty} (1 + R(x + s)) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. We write the difference equation (1) in the form of the following system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta z_v(x-1) &= z_{v+1}(x) \quad \text{for } v = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ (31) \quad \Delta z_n(x-1) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j(x) z_{j+1}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $z_v(x) = \Delta^{v-1} y(x-v+1)$ for $v = 1, \dots, n$.

For a given index k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) we define the function $\psi_k(x)$ as in Lemma 1. Moreover, for $x \geq n+1$ we put (cf. Lemmas 3 and 4):

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= (1/n) \sum_{s=1}^n 1/\psi_k(x-s+1), \\ 1/\varphi_1(x) &= \prod_{s=0}^{[x]-n-1} \psi_k(x-s) A(x-s), \\ 1/P(x) &= \prod_{s=0}^{[x]-n-1} (1 - 1/A(x-s)), \\ \varphi_v(x) &= \varphi_1(x) \prod_{s=0}^{v-2} \psi_k(x-s) \quad \text{for } v = 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

We substitute into (31)

$$z_v(x) = \varphi_v(x)P(x)w_v(x) \quad \text{for } v = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } x \geq n+1,$$

and we obtain the system

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_v(x)P(x)w_v(x) - \varphi_v(x-1)P(x-1)w_v(x-1) &= \varphi_{v+1}(x)P(x)w_{v+1}(x) \\ &\quad (v = 1, \dots, n-1), \\ \varphi_n(x)P(x)w_n(x) - \varphi_n(x-1)P(x-1)w_n(x-1) \\ &= P(x) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j(x)\varphi_{j+1}(x)w_{j+1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing the v -th equation in (32) by $\varphi_{v+1}(x)P(x)$ ($v = 1, \dots, n-1$) and the n -th equation by $a_0(x)\varphi_1(x)P(x)$ we get

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\varphi_v(x-1)P(x-1)}{\varphi_{v+1}(x)P(x)} w_v(x-1) &= \frac{1}{\psi_k(x-v+1)} w_v(x) - w_{v+1}(x) \\ &\quad (v = 1, \dots, n-1), \\ \frac{\varphi_n(x-1)P(x-1)}{a_0(x)\varphi_1(x)P(x)} w_n(x-1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\psi_k(x-n+1)} w_n(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{a_j(x)\varphi_{j+1}(x)}{a_0(x)\varphi_1(x)} w_{j+1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi_v(x-1)/\varphi_{v+1}(x) = \varphi_n(x-1)/a_0(x)\varphi_1(x) = A(x)$ for $v = 1, \dots, n-1$, we obtain from (33)

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} (A(x)-1)w_v(x-1) &= (1/\psi_k(x-v+1))w_v(x) - w_{v+1}(x) \\ &\quad (v = 1, \dots, n-1), \\ (A(x)-1)w_n(x-1) &= -w_1(x) + (1/\psi_k(x-n+1))w_n(x) - \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(a_j(x) / \prod_{s=j}^{n-1} \psi_k(x-s) \right) w_{j+1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

For a given index m ($1 \leq m \leq n$) we multiply the v -th equation in (34) by ε_{m-1}^{v-1} for $v = 1, \dots, n-1$, and the last equation in (34) by ε_{m-1}^{n-1} .

Adding the obtained n equations and setting $\sum_{v=1}^n \varepsilon_{m-1}^{v-1} w_v(x) = nu_m(x)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} n(A(x)-1)u_m(x-1) &= \sum_{v=1}^n (\varepsilon_{m-1}^{v-1}/\psi_k(x-v+1))w_v(x) - \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{m-1}^{v-1} w_{v+1}(x) - \\ &\quad - \varepsilon_{m-1}^{n-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(a_v(x) / \prod_{s=v}^{n-1} \psi(x-s) \right) w_{v+1}(x) \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^n (\varepsilon_{m-1}^{v-1}/\psi_k(x-v+1) - \varepsilon_{m-1}^{v-2}) w_v(x) - \varepsilon_{m-1}^{n-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(a_v(x) / \prod_{s=v}^{n-1} \psi_k(x-s) \right) w_{v+1}(x) \\ &= S_1 - S_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $w_v(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_{v-1}^{n-j+1} u_j(x)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{v=1}^n (\varepsilon_{m-1}^{v-1} / \psi_k(x-v+1) - \varepsilon_{m-1}^{v-2}) \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_{v-1}^{n-j+1} u_j(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n u_j(x) \sum_{v=1}^n (\varepsilon_{m-1}^{v-1} / \psi_k(x-v+1) - \varepsilon_{m-1}^{v-2}) \varepsilon_{v-1}^{n-j+1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n u_j(x) \sum_{v=1}^n \varepsilon_{v-1}^{n+m-j} (1 / \psi_k(x-v+1) - \varepsilon_{m-1}^{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^n u_j(x) \sum_{v=1}^n \varepsilon_{v-1}^{n-j} / \psi_k(x-v+1) + n(A(x) - \varepsilon_{m-1}^{n-1}) u_m(x), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \varepsilon_{m-1}^{n-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (a_v(x) / \prod_{s=v}^{n-1} \psi_k(x-s)) \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_v^{n-j+1} u_j(x) \\ &= \varepsilon_{m-1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^n u_j(x) \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_v^{n-j+1} a_v(x) / \prod_{s=v}^{n-1} \psi_k(x-s) = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_{mj}(x) u_j(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_{mj}(x) = \varepsilon_{m-1}^{n-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_v^{n-j+1} a_v(x) / \prod_{s=v}^{n-1} \psi_k(x-s)$.

By Lemma 1 and (6) we obtain $A(x) \sim \varepsilon_k^{-1} a_0^{-1/n}(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exist $x_0 \geq n+1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|A(x) - 1| \geq \varepsilon$ for $x \geq x_0$. (In the case $k = n$ we apply (12).) Moreover, we have $A(x) \neq 0$ for $x \geq n+1$.

We obtain the system of equations

$$u_m(x-1) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{mj}(x) u_j(x), \quad m = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } x \geq x_0,$$

where

$$(35) \quad b_{mj}(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{v=1}^n \varepsilon_{v-1}^{m-j} / \psi_k(x-v+1) n(A(x)-1) - \varphi_{mj}(x) / n(A(x)-1) & \text{if } m \neq j, \\ (A(x) - \varepsilon_{m-1}^{n-1}) / (A(x)-1) - \varphi_{mm}(x) / n(A(x)-1) & \text{if } m = j. \end{cases}$$

We shall prove that the functions $b_{mj}(x)$ defined by (35) satisfy hypotheses of Theorem 1. By (10) and Lemma 1 there follows that

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |\varphi_{mj}(x+s)| < \infty, \text{ uniformly for } n+1 \leq x \leq n+2 \text{ and } m, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then hypothesis (2) is satisfied. From (35) and (28) we obtain for $m, j = 1, \dots, n, m \neq j, c_v = 1/\psi_k(x-v+1)$ and $x \geq x_0$ that

$$b_{mj}(x) = \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \eta_v (1/\psi_k(x-v+1) - 1/\psi_k(x-v)) / n(A(x)-1) - \varphi_{mj}(x) / n(A(x)-1),$$

$$\text{where } \eta_v = \sum_{s=1}^v \varepsilon_{s-1}^{m-j}.$$

By (7) we obtain that

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |1/\psi_k(x+s) - 1/\psi_k(x+s+1)| < \infty \quad \text{uniformly for } n+1 \leq x \leq n+2.$$

We infer from this that second hypothesis in (3a) is satisfied for $m, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $j \neq m$.

We have for $m = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} & |b_{mm}(x) - 1| \\ &= \left| \frac{A(x) + \varphi_{mm}(x)/n - \varepsilon_{m-1}^{n-1}}{A(x) - 1} \right| - 1 = \frac{||A(x)| + \varphi_m(x) - \alpha_m| - ||A(x)| - \varepsilon_k|}{||A(x)| - \varepsilon_k|} \\ &= (||A(x)| + \varphi_m(x) - \alpha_m|^2 - ||A(x)| - \varepsilon_k|^2)/R(x) \\ &= (2|A(x)|(re \varphi_m(x) + re \varepsilon_k - re \alpha_m) + |\varphi_m(x)|^2 - 2re(\varphi_m(x)/\alpha_m))/R(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_m = \varepsilon_{m-1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_k$, $\varphi_m(x) = \varepsilon_k \varphi_{mm}(x)/n$ and

$$R(x) = ||A(x)| - \varepsilon_k| \{ ||A(x)| + \varphi_m(x) - \alpha_m| + ||A(x) - \varepsilon_k| \}.$$

By (9) we obtain $\varphi_{mj}(x) = o(A(x))$ and $\varphi_{mj}(x) = o(1)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ ($m, j = 1, \dots, n$), since by (7) the function $A(x)$ remains bounded for $x \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, by (7) and (12) we get $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} R(x) = c$, where $0 < c < \infty$.

Let us suppose that for some m we have $re \alpha_m \neq re \varepsilon_k$. Then we get $|b_{mm}(x) - 1| \sim 2|A(x)| (re \varepsilon_k - re \alpha_m)/R(x) \sim \lambda|A(x)| \sim \lambda|a_0^{-1/n}(x)|$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, with some constant λ , and the difference $|b_{mm}(x) - 1|$ has a constant sign for sufficiently large x . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} b_{mj}(x)/(|b_{mm}(x) - 1|) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{v=1}^n (\varepsilon_{v-1}^{m-j}/\psi_k(x-v+1))R(x)}{2n(A(x) - 1)|A(x)|(re \varepsilon_k - re \alpha_m)} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{v=1}^n \varepsilon_{v-1}^{m-j} \cdot c}{2n(A(x) - 1)(re \varepsilon_k - re \alpha_m)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $j \neq m$, since $\varphi_{mj}(x) = o(1/\psi_k(x))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} A(x) \psi_k(x-v+1) = 1$.

By (7) we obtain the series $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} ||b_{mm}(x+s) - 1|$ is uniformly convergent or uniformly divergent to ∞ for $x_0 \leq x \leq x_0 + 1$. If for the index considered above m we have $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} ||b_{mm}(x+s) - 1| = \infty$ uniformly for $x_0 \leq x \leq x_0 + 1$, then hypothesis (3b) is satisfied. (If the series $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |b_{mm}(x+s) - 1|$ is uniformly convergent for $x_0 \leq x \leq x_0 + 1$, then there is satisfied hypothesis (3a).)

In the case $\operatorname{re} \alpha_m = \operatorname{re} \varepsilon_k$ we get $|b_{mm}(x)| - 1 \sim \{2|A(x)| \operatorname{re} \varphi_m(x) - 2 \operatorname{re}(\varphi_m(x)/\alpha_m)\}/R(x)$ and we obtain $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} ||b_{mm}(x+s)| - 1| < \infty$ uniformly for $x_0 \leq x \leq x_0 + 1$, since the series $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |\varphi_m(x+s)|$ is uniformly convergent in this interval. In this case hypothesis (3a) is satisfied.

Applying Theorem 1 we obtain that the system of equations (4) with coefficients $b_{mj}(x)$ defined by (35) has for sufficiently large x a solution $\bar{u}_1(x), \dots, \bar{u}_n(x)$ such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}_1(x) = 1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}_m(x) = 0$ for $m = 2, \dots, n$. The solution $\{\bar{u}_m(x)\}$ may be extended to the point $x = x_0$.

Setting $\bar{w}_m(x) = \sum_{v=1}^n \varepsilon_{m-1}^{n-v+1} \bar{u}_v(x)$, $m = 1, \dots, n$, we obtain that the functions $\bar{w}_m(x)$ satisfy for $x \geq x_0$ the system of equations (34) and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \bar{w}_m(x) = 1$ for $m = 1, \dots, n$. Then the function

$$y_k(x) = \bar{w}_1(x) \varphi_1(x) P(x) \gamma_3(x) / \gamma_2(x) \quad (\text{cf. Lemmas 3 and 4})$$

satisfies the difference equation (1) and by Lemmas 3 and 4 satisfies the asymptotic relations (11). By (1) the solution $y_k(x)$ may be extended to the point $x = 0$.

Now, we shall prove indirectly that the functions $y_k(x)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, are linearly independent. Suppose that there exist constants c_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$, such that $\sum_{k=1}^n |c_k| > 0$ and

$$(36) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n c_k y_k(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$

Setting

$$W(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \begin{vmatrix} y_1(x) & \dots & y_n(x) \\ y_1(x-1) & \dots & y_n(x-1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ y_1(x-n+1) & \dots & y_n(x-n+1) \end{vmatrix},$$

we obtain

$$W(y_1, \dots, y_n) = C \begin{vmatrix} y_1(x) & \dots & y_n(x) \\ \Delta y_1(x-1) & \dots & \Delta y_n(x-1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \Delta^{n-1} y_1(x-n+1) & \dots & \Delta^{n-1} y_n(x-n+1) \end{vmatrix},$$

where $C = (-1) \binom{n}{2}$, and

$$W(y_1, \dots, y_n) / P_1(x) \dots P_n(x) = C \begin{vmatrix} a_{11}(x) & \dots & a_{1n}(x) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1}(x) & \dots & a_{nn}(x) \end{vmatrix},$$

where $a_{ik}(x) = \Delta^{i-1}y_k(x-i+1)a_0^{(n+1-2i)/2n}(x)/P_k(x)$. By (11) we obtain $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} a_{ik}(x) = \varepsilon_k^{i-1}$ for $i, k = 1, \dots, n$. Since the determinant $|\varepsilon_k^{i-1}|$ is different from 0 and $P_k(x) \neq 0$ for $x \geq n$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$, we infer that $W(y_1, \dots, y_n) \neq 0$ for sufficiently large x , in contradiction with (36).

Finally, by (11) and (6) we get for $x \rightarrow \infty$, $m = 0, \dots, n-1$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$:

$$|\Delta^m y_k(x-m)/\Delta^m y_k(x-m-1)| \sim |y_k(x)/y_k(x-1)| \sim 1/|1 - \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x)| \rightarrow \lambda_k.$$

If $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} a_0(x) = a$ and $0 < |a| < \infty$, then $\lambda_k = 1/|1 - a_k - i\beta_k| = ((1 - a_k)^2 + \beta_k^2)^{-1/2}$, where $\varepsilon_k a^{1/n} = a_k + i\beta_k$.

By (11) the functions $\Delta^m y_k(x)$ satisfy the inequality $0 < \eta \leq |\Delta^m y_k(x)| \leq M$ in the interval $\langle x_1, x_1 + 1 \rangle$ for sufficiently large x_1 and with some η, M . Writing

$$\Delta^m y_k(x) = \Delta^m y_k(x - [x - x_1]) \prod_{v=0}^{[x-x_1]-1} \Delta^m y_k(x-v)/\Delta^m y_k(x-v-1)$$

we obtain that if $\alpha_k > \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_k^2 + \beta_k^2)$, then $\lambda_k > 1$ and $|\Delta^m y_k(x)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$; if $\alpha_k < \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_k^2 + \beta_k^2)$, then $\lambda_k < 1$ and $\Delta^m y_k(x) \rightarrow 0$. In the case when $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |a_0(x)| = \infty$ (i.e., $\lambda_k = 0$) we get similarly $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^m y_k(x) = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 3. By hypothesis the functions $P_k(x) = 1/\prod_{s=0}^{[x]-n} (1 - \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x-s))$ are continuous for $x > n$, $x \neq n+1, n+2, \dots$, and right-hand side continuous at the points $x = n, n+1, \dots$. For $x = v = n+1, n+2, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} P_k(v-\varepsilon) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} 1/\prod_{s=0}^{v-n-1} (1 - \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(v-\varepsilon-s)) \\ &= 1/\prod_{s=0}^{v-n-1} (1 - \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(v-s)) \\ &= 1/\prod_{s=0}^{v-n} (1 - \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(v-s)) = P_k(v), \end{aligned}$$

by hypothesis. It follows that the functions $P_k(x)$ are continuous for $x \geq n$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$. We set for $x \geq n$

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \alpha(x) &= (1 - \operatorname{re} \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x))/|1 - \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x)|, \\ \sin \alpha(x) &= \operatorname{im} \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x)/|1 - \varepsilon_k a_0^{1/n}(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq \alpha(x) < 2\pi$. Then we have for $x \geq n$

$$\begin{aligned} P_k(x) &= |P_k(x)| \prod_{v=0}^{[x]-n} (\cos \alpha(x-v) + i \sin \alpha(x-v)) \\ &= |P_k(x)| \prod_{s=n}^{[x]} (\cos \alpha(\xi + s) + i \sin \alpha(\xi + s)) \\ &= |P_k(x)| \exp iB_k(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi = x - [x]$ and the function $B_k(x) = \sum_{s=n}^{[x]} \alpha(\xi + s) = \arg P_k(x)$ is continuous for $x \geq n$.

If $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |a_0(x)| = \infty$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sin \alpha(x) = \sin(2k + \theta)\pi/n \neq 0$, by hypothesis; if $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} a_0(x) = a$ and $|a| < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sin \alpha(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k a^{1/n} / |1 - \varepsilon_k a^{1/n}| = \sin \{(2k + \theta)\pi/n\} |a^{1/n}| / |1 - \varepsilon_k a^{1/n}| \neq 0.$$

Since the function $\alpha(x) = \arg P_k(x) - \arg P_k(x-1)$ is continuous for $x \geq n$, we obtain in both cases that there exists $\alpha \neq 0$ such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(x) = \alpha$.

It follows that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |B_k(x)| = \infty$.

We set $y_k(x) = y_k^*(x) + iy_k^{**}(x)$. By (11) we have for $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$(37) \quad (\Delta^m y_k^*(x-m) + i \Delta^m y_k^{**}(x-m)) (\cos(B_k + \lambda_{km}) - i \sin(B_k + \lambda_{km})) T(x) \rightarrow 1,$$

$$(37) \quad (\Delta^m y_k^*(x-m) \cos(B_k + \lambda_{km}) + \Delta^m y_k^{**}(x-m) \sin(B_k + \lambda_{km})) T(x) - 1 \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(38) \quad (-\Delta^m y_k^*(x-m) \sin(B_k + \lambda_{km}) + \Delta^m y_k^{**}(x-m) \cos(B_k + \lambda_{km})) T(x) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $T(x) = |a_0^{(n-1-2m)/2n}(x) / P_k(x)|$. Multiplying relation (37) by $\cos(B_k + \lambda_{km})$ and (38) by $-\sin(B_k + \lambda_{km})$ and adding we obtain that $\Delta^m y_k^*(x-m) T(x) - \cos(B_k(x) + \lambda_{km}) \rightarrow 0$. Multiplying (37) by $\sin(B_k + \lambda_{km})$ and (38) by $\cos(B_k + \lambda_{km})$ and adding we obtain that $\Delta^m y_k^{**}(x-m) T(x) - \sin(B_k(x) + \lambda_{km}) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.