



T. W. KÖRNER (Trinity Hall, Cambridge)

Integration and pointwise convergence

1. Introduction. One method of constructing $L^1([0, 1])$ is to make use of Osgood's Theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let f_1, f_2, \dots be continuous functions from $[0, 1]$ to \mathbf{R} . Suppose*

- (i) *There exists an M such that $|f_i(x)| \leq M$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$, $i \geq 1$;*
- (ii) *$f_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$.*

Then $\int_0^1 f_n(x) dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In this paper we shall give a new proof of this result.

2. A proof of Osgood's Theorem. Osgood's Theorem is a simple consequence of the following theorem, well known in the theory of uniform algebras.

THEOREM 2. *Under the conditions of Theorem 1, given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ such that*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i \right\|_{C([0,1])} \leq \varepsilon.$$

That is to say that pointwise convergence implies uniform convergence for some sequence of convex combinations.

Proof of Theorem 1 using Theorem 2. Suppose $\int_0^1 f_n(x) dx \rightarrow 0$. Then we can find a $\delta > 0$ such that $\left| \int_0^1 f_n(x) dx \right| \geq \delta$ for infinitely many n . Thus either $\int_0^1 f_n(x) dx \geq \delta$ infinitely often or $\int_0^1 f_n(x) dx \leq -\delta$ infinitely often (or both events occur). Thus without loss of generality we may assume that there exist $n(j) \rightarrow \infty$ with $\int_0^1 f_{n(j)}(x) dx \geq \delta$.

But by Theorem 2 we can find $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ with $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j f_{n(j)} \right\| \leq \delta/2$

and so

$$\delta/2 \geq \int \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j f_{n(j)}(x) dx \geq \delta$$

which is absurd. Thus THEOREM 1 follows.

Unfortunately the standard proof of Theorem 2 uses Osgood's theorem followed by the theorem of Hahn and Banach. (For the proof and an extension by Björk and, independently, Kaufman to solve a long open problem in harmonic analysis, see [4], Chapter XIII.) The original proofs by Zalcwasser [5] and Gillespie and Hurwitz [3] do not use Osgood's theorem but rely on transfinite induction. (For further references for Theorem 2, see [1], p. 462. For further references for Theorem 1 together with another, very elegant, elementary proof, see [2].)

We give a new proof of Theorem 2 without using the axiom of choice.

3. A proof of Theorem 2. In this section f_1, f_2, \dots will be functions satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1. Let us establish some notation.

If $g_1, g_2, \dots \in C([0, 1])$, we write $\Sigma(g_1, g_2, \dots) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g_i : \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1, \lambda_j \geq 0 \right.$
 $\left. [1 \leq j \leq n], n \geq 1 \right\}$. We call a closed set $X \subseteq [0, 1]$ a $Q(\delta)$ set for some $1 > \delta > 0$ if, given $g_i \in \Sigma(f_i, f_{i+1}, \dots)$, we can find a $g \in \Sigma(g_1, g_2, \dots)$ with $\|g\|_{C(X)} \leq \delta$. We shall need the following result.

LEMMA 1. *If X_1 and X_2 are $Q(\delta)$ sets, then so is $X_1 \cup X_2$.*

Proof. Let $g_i \in \Sigma(f_i, f_{i+1}, \dots)$ be given [$i \geq 1$]. Then, since X_1 is $Q(\delta)$ and $g_{i+j-1} \in \Sigma(f_i, f_{i+1}, \dots)$, we can find $h_j \in \Sigma(g_j, g_{j+1}, \dots)$ such that $\|h_j\|_{C(X_1)} \leq \delta$ [$j \geq 1$]. But $h_j \in \Sigma(f_j, f_{j+1}, \dots)$ and X_2 is $Q(\delta)$, so we can find $h \in \Sigma(h_1, h_2, \dots)$ with $\|h\|_{C(X_2)} \leq \delta$.

Since $\|h_j\|_{C(X_1)} \leq \delta$ for all $j \geq 1$, it follows that $\|h\|_{C(X_1 \cup X_2)} \leq \delta$ and by construction $h \in \Sigma(g_1, g_2, \dots)$. The lemma is thus proved.

Using Lemma 1 we can prove

LEMMA 2. *Suppose $\delta > 0$ and X is a closed set which is not $Q(\delta)$. Then, given any $n(0) \geq 1$ and any $\delta > \delta' > 0$, we can find a closed set $X' \subseteq X$ and an $n \geq n(0)$ such that*

- (i) X' is not $Q(\delta')$,
- (ii) $|f_n(x)| \geq \delta'$ for all $x \in X'$.

This is the key result, for, once it is established, Theorem 2 follows easily.

Proof of Theorem 2 using Lemma 2. Suppose Theorem 2 is false. Then there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $[0, 1]$ is not a $Q(\delta)$ set. Write $X_0 = [0, 1]$ and choose $\delta = \delta_0 > \delta_1 > \delta_2 > \dots$ such that $\delta_j > \delta/2$ (for example $\delta_j = (1 + 2^{-j})\delta/2$). Then by repeated use of Lemma 2 we can find closed sets $X_0 \supseteq X_1 \supseteq X_2 \supseteq \dots$ and integers $1 \leq n(1) < n(2) < \dots$

such that

- (i) X_m is not $Q(\delta_m)$,
- (ii) $|f_{n(m)}(x)| \geq \delta_m$ whenever $x \in X_m$ for all $m \geq 1$.

Since $[0, 1]$ is sequentially compact, we know that $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} X_m \neq \emptyset$.

Choose $x \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} X_m$. Then $|f_{n(m)}(x)| \geq \delta_m \geq \delta/2$ for all m and so $f_i(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. The lemma follows by reductio ad absurdum.

Proof of Lemma 2. Suppose the result is false. Since X is not $Q(\delta)$, we can find $g_i \in \Sigma(f_i, f_{i+1}, \dots)$ such that $g \in \Sigma(g_1, g_2, \dots)$ implies $\|g\|_{C(X)} \geq \delta$. Set $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma(g_{n(0)}, g_{n(0)+1}, \dots)$ and write $h_1 = g_{n_0}$.

Let $X_1 = \{x \in X : |h_1(x)| \geq \delta'\}$. We know that $h_1 = \sum_{i=n(0)}^m \lambda_i f_i$ for some $\sum_{i=n(0)}^m \lambda_i = 1$, $\lambda_j \geq 0$ [$n(0) \leq j \leq m$] and so $X_1 \subset \bigcup_{i=n(0)}^m Y_i$, where $Y_j = \{x \in X : |f_j(x)| \geq \delta'\}$ [$n(0) \leq j \leq m$]. By hypothesis Y_j is a $Q(\delta')$ set and so $\bigcup_{i=n(0)}^m Y_i$ is. Thus X_1 is a $Q(\delta')$ set and we can find $h_2 \in \Sigma_0$ such that $\|h_2\|_{C(X_1)} \leq \delta'$.

Set $X_2 = \{x \in X : |h_2(x)| \geq \delta\}$. By the same arguments X_2 is a $Q(\delta')$ set and so, by Lemma 1, $X_1 \cup X_2$ is. Thus we can find an $h_3 \in \Sigma_0$ such that $\|h_3\|_{C(X_1 \cup X_2)} \leq \delta'$.

Proceeding inductively, we obtain $X_1, X_2, \dots \in Q(\delta')$ sets and $h_1, h_2, \dots \in \Sigma_0$ such that

- (i) $X_k = \{x \in X : |h_k(x)| \geq \delta'\}$,
- (ii) $|h_k(x)| \leq \delta'$ for all $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} X_i$.

It follows at once that

- (iii) $|h_k(x)| \leq \delta'$ for all $x \notin X_k \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} X_i$.

But by our hypothesis

- (iv) $|h_k(x)| \leq M$ for all x and so, in particular, for all $x \in X_k \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} X_i$.

Thus, setting $h = m^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^m h_k$, we have

- (v) $\|h\|_{C(X)} \leq \frac{(m-1)\delta' + M}{m} < \delta$ provided only that $m \geq M(\delta - \delta')^{-1}$.

Since $h \in \Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma(g_1, g_2, \dots)$, this gives the desired contradiction.

4. Generalizations. Recall that a set A in a topological vector space Z is said to be *bounded* if, given U a neighbourhood of 0 in Z , we can find $\lambda > 0$ with $\lambda U \supseteq A$. If X is a topological space and Y a topological vector space, we shall write $C(X, Y)$ for the space of continuous functions from X to Y . We topologize $C(X, Y)$ by giving each $f_0 \in C(X, Y)$ a neigh-

neighbourhood basis $\{f: f(x) - f_0(x) \in U \text{ for all } x \in X\}$: U a neighbourhood of $\mathbf{0}$ in Y and call this topology the *uniform topology*. If, as will usually be the case in practice, X is compact, or if X is sequentially compact and Y metrizable, then $C(X, Y)$ is a topological vector space. If $A \subset X, f \in C(X, Y)$ we write $f(A) = \{f(a): a \in A\}$.

Our proof of Theorem 2 carries over, practically word for word, to give a proof of Theorem 2'.

THEOREM 2'. *Let X be a sequentially compact topological space. Let Y be a locally convex topological vector space. Let $f_1, f_2, \dots \in C(X, Y)$.*

Suppose

(i) $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(X)$ is bounded,

(ii) $f_n(x) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$.

Then, given U a neighbourhood of $\mathbf{0}$ in Y , we can find $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m \geq \mathbf{0}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i(x) \in U \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

That the condition X sequentially compact cannot be dropped is readily seen from the following standard counter example:

LEMMA 3. *Consider $f_i: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ given by*

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(k) &= \mathbf{0} && \text{for } |k| \leq i, \\ f_i(k) &= 1 && \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Then $f_1, f_2, \dots \in C(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{R})$, $|f_i(k)| \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, $i \geq 1$, and $f_i(k) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. However, if $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \geq \mathbf{0}$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i(n+2) = 1 + \mathbf{0}$.

The problem of when an analogue of Theorem 2 holds for continuous linear maps $I: C(X, Y) \rightarrow Z$, where X is a sequentially compact topological space and Y and Z are topological vector spaces appears to be much more difficult. It is easy to construct examples in which any 2 of X, Y, Z are kept fixed and by changing the 3-rd we can make the obvious analogue of Osgood's Theorem true or false.

Even in the case where we wish to find conditions on Z which will make the theorem true for all X and all (locally convex) Y , I have not been able to make much progress.

Consider the following possible properties of Z .

PROPERTY A. *Let Z be a topological vector space. We say that Z has property A if, given $u_1, u_2, \dots \in Z$ such that $\{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$ is bounded and*

$u_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, we can find $n(1) < n(2) < \dots$ and neighbourhood W of 0 such that, whenever $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1$, we have $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j u_{n(j)} \notin W$.

PROPERTY B. Let Z be a topological vector space. We say that Z has property B if we can find a bounded set T and vectors $u_1, u_2, \dots \in Z$ such that $u_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, yet whenever $|\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_m| \leq 1$ we have $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j u_j \in T$.

PROPERTY C. Let Z be a topological vector space. We say that Z has property C if we can find a bounded set T and vectors $u_1, u_2, \dots \in Z$ such that $u_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ yet given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|, \dots, |\lambda_n| \leq \delta(\varepsilon), \quad \sum_{i=1}^m |\lambda_i| \leq 1 \quad \text{imply} \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i u_i \in \varepsilon T.$$

A locally convex topological vector space has property A if and only if it is a Schur space (i.e., its convergent sequences are the same as its weakly convergent sequences). Examples of spaces with property A thus include all locally convex Hausdorff topological vector spaces with their weak topology (and so $\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{C}^n, l^p(\mathbf{Z})$ under their usual norms) and $D([0, 1]^n)$ the space of smooth functions on $[0, 1]^n$. A locally convex topological vector space has property B if and only if it contains an (isomorphic) copy of c_0 . Examples are $C^n([0, 1])$, $D(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $l^\infty(\mathbf{Z})$. Among spaces with property C but not property B are $l^p(\mathbf{Z})$ [$1 < p < \infty$], $M([0, 1])$, $L^q([0, 1])$ and $L^q(\mathbf{R})$ [$1 \leq q < \infty$].

LEMMA 4. (I) Let X be a sequentially compact topological space Y a locally convex topological vector space and Z a topological vector space with property A. Let $I: C(X, Y) \rightarrow Z$ be a continuous linear map and let $f_1, f_2, \dots \in C(X, Y)$. Suppose

- (i) $\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty f_j(X)$ is bounded,
- (ii) $f_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$.

Then $I f_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(II) Let X be a normal topological space containing distinct points $x(0), x(1), x(2), \dots$ such that $x(0)$ has a countable base of neighbourhoods and $x(j) \rightarrow x(0)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Let Y and Z be locally convex Hausdorff topological vector spaces and let Z have property B. Then there exists a continuous linear map $I: C(X, Y) \rightarrow Z$ and functions $f_1, f_2, \dots \in C(X, Y)$ such that

- (i) $\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty f_j(X)$ is bounded,
- (ii) $f_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$ yet $I f_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(III) Let Y and Z be locally convex Hausdorff topological vector spaces (and let Z have property C. Then there exists a closed subspace H of $C([0, 1], Y$

and a continuous linear map $I: H \rightarrow Z$ together with functions $f_1, f_2, \dots \in H$ such that

(i) $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i([0, 1])$ is bounded,

(ii) $f_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$ yet $I f_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The proof of Lemma 4 (i) is an easy adaptation of our proof of Theorem 1. Note that we can obtain the usual theory of vector valued integrals directly from this result. The proofs of Lemma 4 (ii) and (iii) are more complicated but in view of the unsatisfactory nature of the results themselves we shall not give the proofs here.

I should like to thank Dr. Garling for much useful advice.

References

- [1] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators* (Part I), Interscience, New York 1967.
- [2] W. F. Eberlein, *Notes on integration I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 10 (1957), p. 357-360.
- [3] D. C. Gillespie and W. A. Hurwitz, *On sequences of continuous functions having continuous limits*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 32 (1930), p. 527-543.
- [4] L. A. Lindahl and F. Poulsen (Editors), *Thin sets in harmonic analysis*, Marcel Dekker, New York 1971.
- [5] Z. Zalcwasser, *Sur une propriété du champ des fonctions continues*, Studia Math. 2 (1930), p. 63-67.