

S. CZERWIK (Katowice)

## On a differential equation with deviating argument

1. In the present paper we are concerned with the differential-functional equation of the form

$$(1) \quad \frac{d\varphi}{dx} = h(x, \varphi(x), \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)], u),$$

where  $\varphi$  is an unknown function and  $h, f, i = 1, \dots, n$  are known functions and  $u$  is a real parameter.

We shall prove, under suitable assumptions, that equation (1) possesses exactly one solution defined in the interval  $\langle 0, \infty \rangle$  and fulfilling the initial condition

$$(2) \quad \varphi(0) = \xi$$

and this solution depends continuously on  $\xi$  and  $u$ . It is some generalization of results obtained in [1], [3], [5], [6].

For the equation

$$(3) \quad \frac{d\varphi}{dx} = h(x, \varphi(x), \varphi[f(x)], u)$$

the corresponding problem has been investigated by T. Dłotko [4]. For the equation

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dx} = h\left(x, \varphi(x), \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)], \frac{d\varphi}{dx}, \frac{d\varphi}{dx} [g_1(x)], \dots, \frac{d\varphi}{dx} [g_m(x)]\right)$$

for  $x \in \langle 0, a \rangle$ ,  $a < \infty$  (under different assumptions) some theorems (Theorems 5 and 7) have been obtained in [7].

2. We assume the hypotheses:

HYPOTHESIS 1.

(i) Let  $(B, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space. The function  $h: I \times B^{n+1} \times R \rightarrow B$ ,  $I = \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ ,  $R = (-\infty, \infty)$  is continuous in  $I \times B^{n+1} \times R$ .

(ii) *There exist real functions  $L_0, \dots, L_n$ , continuous in  $I$ ,  $L_i(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \in I$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , such that for every  $(r_0, \dots, r_n), (z_0, \dots, z_n) \in B^{n+1}$ ,  $x \in I$ ,  $u \in R$  we have*

$$(4) \quad \|h(x, r_0, \dots, r_n, u) - h(x, z_0, \dots, z_n, u)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^n L_i(x) \|r_i - z_i\|.$$

(iii) *The real functions  $f_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , where  $f_0(x) = x$ , are continuous in  $I$  and  $f_i(I) \subset I$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

(iv) *There exist constants  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $K$ ,  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $(y_0, \dots, y_n) \in B^{n+1}$  such that for every fixed  $u \in R$ , we have*

$$(5) \quad \int_0^x \|h(s, y_0, \dots, y_n, u)\| ds \leq K \exp(\alpha L(x)), \quad x \in I,$$

where

$$(6) \quad L(x) = \int_0^x \sum_{i=0}^n L_i(s) ds, \quad x \in I.$$

Moreover,

$$(7) \quad \sum_{i=0}^n L_i(x) \exp(\alpha L[f_i(x)]) \leq \alpha \theta \exp(\alpha L(x)) \sum_{i=0}^n L_i(x), \quad x \in I.$$

**HYPOTHESIS 2.** *There exist constant  $M$  and functions  $N: I \rightarrow I$ ,  $\omega: I \rightarrow I$  such that  $\omega(u) \rightarrow 0$  as  $u \rightarrow 0+$  and*

$$\exp(-\alpha L(x)) \int_0^x N(s) ds \leq M, \quad x \in I.$$

For every  $(z_0, \dots, z_n) \in B^{n+1}$ ,  $x \in I$ ,  $u_1, u_2 \in R$  we have

$$\|h(x, z_0, \dots, z_n, u_1) - h(x, z_0, \dots, z_n, u_2)\| \leq N(x) \omega(|u_1 - u_2|).$$

**Remark.** Condition (7) is fulfilled, for example, if  $f_i(x) \leq x$  or  $f_i(x) \geq x$  and

$$L[f_i(x)] - L(x) \leq c < \alpha^{-1} \ln \alpha, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

**3.** Now we shall prove

**THEOREM 1.** *If Hypothesis 1 holds, then for every  $u \in R$  there exists exactly one function  $\varphi$  satisfying equation (1) and fulfilling the initial condition (2), where  $\xi \in B$ , and such that*

$$\|\varphi(x)\| = O(\exp[\alpha L(x)]).$$

*It is the limit of successive approximations.*

Proof. We define  $G$  as the space of these functions which are defined and continuous in  $I$  and  $\varphi(x) \in B$  for  $x \in I$  and

$$(8) \quad \|\varphi(x)\| = O(\exp[\alpha L(x)]), \quad x \in I.$$

For  $\varphi \in G$  we define the norm (cf. also [1])

$$|\varphi| = \sup_{x \in I} (\|\varphi(x)\| \exp(-\alpha L(x))).$$

We can easily verify that  $G$  is a Banach space. Equation (1) with condition (2) is equivalent with the equation

$$(9) \quad \varphi(x) = \xi + \int_0^x h(s, \varphi(s), \varphi[f_1(s)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(s)], u) ds.$$

We define the transform

$$(10) \quad \Phi(x) = \xi + \int_0^x h(s, \varphi(s), \dots, \varphi[f_n(s)], u) ds.$$

We shall prove that (10) maps  $G$  into itself.  $\Phi$  is evidently continuous in  $I$  and  $\Phi(x) \in B$  for  $x \in I$ . Now, by (4) and (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(x)\| &\leq \xi + \int_0^x \|h(s, \varphi(s), \dots, \varphi[f_n(s)], u) - h(s, y_0, \dots, y_n, u)\| ds + \\ &\quad + \int_0^x \|h(s, y_0, \dots, y_n, u)\| ds \\ &\leq \|\xi\| + \int_0^x \left( \sum_{i=0}^n L_i(s) \|\varphi[f_i(s)] - y_i\| \right) ds + J, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J = \int_0^x \|h(s, y_0, \dots, y_n, u)\| ds.$$

Next

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\Phi(x)\| &\leq \|\xi\| + \max(|\varphi - y_0|, \dots, |\varphi - y_n|) \int_0^x \left( \sum_{i=0}^n L_i(s) \exp(L[f_i(s)]) \right) ds + J. \end{aligned}$$

From (7) and (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x \left( \sum_{i=0}^n L_i(s) \exp(\alpha L[f_i(s)]) \right) ds &\leq \theta \int_0^x \alpha \left( \sum_{i=0}^n L_i(s) \right) \exp(\alpha L(s)) ds \\ &= \theta \exp(\alpha L(x)) \leq \theta \exp(\alpha L(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by (11) we have

$$\|\Phi(x)\| \leq \|\xi\| + \theta \max(|\varphi - y_0|, \dots, |\varphi - y_n|) \exp(\alpha L(x)) + J,$$

whence

$$\|\Phi(x)\| \exp(-\alpha L(x)) \leq \text{const} \quad \text{for } x \geq 0.$$

Thus  $\Phi \in G$ . Now we shall prove that (10) is a contraction map. Actually,

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad \|\Phi(x) - \Psi(x)\| & \leq \int_0^x \|\tilde{h}(s, \varphi(s), \dots, \varphi[f_n(s)], u) - \tilde{h}(s, \psi(s), \dots, \psi[f_n(s)], u)\| ds \\ & \leq \int_0^x \left( \sum_{i=0}^n L_i(s) \|\varphi[f_i(s)] - \psi[f_i(s)]\| \right) ds \\ & \leq |\varphi - \psi| \int_0^x \left( \sum_{i=0}^n L_i(s) \exp(\alpha L[f_i(s)]) \right) ds \\ & \leq \theta |\varphi - \psi| \int_0^x \alpha \left( \sum_{i=0}^n L_i(s) \right) \exp[\alpha L(s)] ds \\ & = \theta |\varphi - \psi| [\exp(\alpha L(x)) - 1] \leq \theta |\varphi - \psi| \exp(\alpha L(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$(13) \quad |\Phi - \Psi| \leq \theta |\varphi - \psi|.$$

On account of the Banach theorem for every fixed  $u \in B$  there exists a unique fixed point of transform (10), i.e., unique function  $\varphi \in G$  satisfying equation (1) and condition (2) and it is given as the limit of successive approximations. This completes the proof.

Next, we shall prove the following

**THEOREM 2.** *If Hypotheses 1 and 2 are fulfilled, then solution of equation (1) depends continuously on  $\xi$  and  $u$ .*

**Proof.** We assume  $\alpha(\xi, u) = \theta < 1$  and

$$F = F(x, \varphi, \xi, u) = \xi + \int_0^x h(s, \varphi(s), \dots, \varphi[f_n(s)], u) ds.$$

From (12) and (13) we have

$$|F(x, \varphi, \xi, u) - F(x, \psi, \xi, u)| \leq \theta |\varphi - \psi|.$$

The proof that  $F$  is continuous with respect to  $\xi$  and  $u$  (on account of Hypothesis 2) does not differ from that given for Theorem 3 in [4] and is therefore omitted. Consequently Theorem 2 follows from [2] (Theorem 7), which completes the proof.

The author wishes to express his appreciation to the referee for his valuable suggestions concerning the material in this paper.

## References

- [1] A. Bielecki, *Une remarque sur la méthode de Banach–Cacciopoli–Tikhonov dans la théorie des équations différentielles ordinaires*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys., Vol. IV, No. 5 (1956), p. 261–265.
  - [2] — *Równania różniczkowe zwyczajne i pewne ich uogólnienia*, Warszawa 1961.
  - [3] C. Coduneanu, *Sur l'existence et le comportement des solutions d'une classe d'équations différentielles*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Phys. R.P.R. 2 (50) Nr. 4 (1958), p. 397–400.
  - [4] T. Dłotko, *O pewnym zastosowaniu twierdzenia Banacha o punkcie stałym*, Zesz. Naukowe Wyższej Szkoły Pedag. w Katowicach, Nr. 5 (1966), p. 83–88.
  - [5] — et M. Kuczma, *Sur une équation différentielle-fonctionnelle*, Colloq. Math. 12 (1964), p. 107–114.
  - [6] S. Doss and S. K. Nasr, *On the functional equation  $dy/dx = f(x, y(x), y(x+h))$ ,  $h > 0$* , Amer. J. Math. 4 (1953), p. 713–716.
  - [7] M. Kwapisz, *On certain differential equations with deviated argument*, Comm. Math. 12 (1968), p. 23–29.
-