

W. FISCHER* and U. SCHÖLER* (Bonn, Federal Republic of Germany)

A characterization of non-locally bounded Orlicz spaces by power series with finite domain of convergence

In this note it is shown that an Orlicz space $L_{\Phi}(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, where Φ is unbounded and satisfies the so-called condition (A_2) , is not locally bounded if and only if there exists a power series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n t^n, \quad x_n \in L_{\Phi}(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu),$$

which converges only for $t = 0$ and $t = 1$.

W. Żelazko [7] shows that in the complex F -space $\mathcal{S}[0, 1]$ of Lebesgue measurable functions on the unit interval $[0, 1]$, with identification of two functions equal almost everywhere and with topology of convergence in measure, there exists for every finite subset D of the complex plane \mathbb{C} a power series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n t^n, \quad x_n \in \mathcal{S}[0, 1], t \in \mathbb{C}$$

which converges in $\mathcal{S}[0, 1]$ exactly on $D \cup \{0\}$. (For a more special result see also [1].)

Żelazko asks for suitable characterizations of F -spaces, in which there are power series with domains of convergence different from discs. In this note we shall show that the non-locally bounded Orlicz spaces [4] $L_{\Phi}(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, where Φ is unbounded and satisfies condition (A_2) , are characterized by this property.

Let $\Phi(t)$ be a continuous, non-negative, non-decreasing function, defined for $t \geq 0$, vanishing only for $t = 0$. We shall assume, moreover, that Φ satisfies condition (A_2) , i.e. there is a positive constant k such that $\Phi(2t) \leq k\Phi(t)$.

* The authors were supported by the Sonderforschungsbereich 72 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

Let (X, \mathbf{A}, μ) be a totally σ -finite measure space (cf. [2]). By $L_\Phi(X, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$ we denote the real or complex linear space of all real resp. complex-valued, μ -measurable functions with $J_\Phi(x) := \int_X \Phi(|x|) d\mu < \infty$. As usual we identify functions which differ only on a set of measure zero.

$L_\Phi(X, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$ is called *Orlicz space*. It is an F -space with F -norm $\|x\|_\Phi := \inf\{\varepsilon > 0: J_\Phi(x/\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon\}$. The sets $N_\Phi(\varepsilon) := \{x \in L_\Phi(X, \mathbf{A}, \mu): J_\Phi(x) \leq \varepsilon\}$ form a basis of neighbourhoods for the topology (cf. [3], [4]).

As (X, \mathbf{A}, μ) is totally σ -finite, X may be expressed as $X = X_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$, where $X_0 \in \mathbf{A}$ is the non-atomic part of X and $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ the family of atoms (we do not consider the case when the number of atoms is finite). We set $A := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$.

Our main result is the following

THEOREM. *An Orlicz space $L_\Phi(X, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$, where Φ is unbounded and satisfies condition (Δ_2) , is not locally bounded if and only if there exists a power series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n t^n, \quad x_n \in L_\Phi(X, \mathbf{A}, \mu), \quad t \in \mathbf{R} \text{ resp. } \mathbf{C},$$

whose domain of convergence is the set $\{0, 1\}$.

Proof. Sufficiency of the condition follows from the existence of a p -homogeneous norm in a locally bounded F -space (cf. [1], [7]).

Now let $L_\Phi(X, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$ be not locally bounded. Then one of the subspaces

$$L_\Phi(A) := \{x \in L_\Phi(X, \mathbf{A}, \mu): \int_{X_0} \Phi(|x|) d\mu = 0\}$$

or

$$L_\Phi(X_0) := \{x \in L_\Phi(X, \mathbf{A}, \mu): \int_A \Phi(|x|) d\mu = 0\}$$

is not locally bounded. It suffices to construct a power series with the desired property in that subspace, which is not locally bounded. Therefore we may restrict ourselves without loss of generality to the cases where (X, \mathbf{A}, μ) is either a non-atomic or a purely atomic measure space.

Because we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|_\Phi = 0$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\Phi(x_n) = 0$ [3], it suffices to construct a power series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n t^n$ with $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \Phi\left(\left|\sum_{n=1}^m x_n\right|\right) d\mu = 0$ and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \Phi\left(\left|\sum_{n=1}^m x_n t^n\right|\right) d\mu = \infty \quad \text{for } t \notin \{0, 1\}.$$

A. Let (X, \mathbf{A}, μ) be non-atomic. From [5] we get that for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there is a positive real number s_n with

$$\frac{\Phi\left(\frac{1}{n^n} s_n\right)}{\Phi(s_n)} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Moreover, we can choose the sequence $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ in such a way that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n\Phi(s_n)} \leq \mu(X).$$

Therefore there exist pairwise disjoint sets $E_n \in \mathbf{A}$ with $\mu(E_n) = \frac{1}{n\Phi(s_n)}$.

Now we define for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &:= 0, \\ y_n &:= s_n \chi_{E_n}, \\ x_n &:= y_n - y_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and consider the power series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n t^n.$$

We have $J_{\Phi}\left(\sum_{n=1}^m x_n\right) = J_{\Phi}(y_m) = 1/m$, and so series (1) converges for $t = 1$

For every $t \notin \{0, 1\}$ there exists an $N \in \mathbf{N}$, such that for all $n \geq N$ $\frac{1}{n^n} \leq |(1-t)t^n|$. Then we get for all $m > N$

$$J_{\Phi}\left(\sum_{n=N}^m x_n t^n\right) \geq J_{\Phi}\left(\sum_{n=N}^{m-1} \frac{1}{n^n} y_n\right) \geq \sum_{n=N}^{m-1} \frac{\Phi\left(\frac{1}{n^n} s_n\right)}{n\Phi(s_n)} \geq \sum_{n=N}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2n},$$

which implies that series (1) diverges for $t \notin \{0, 1\}$.

B. Now let (X, \mathbf{A}, μ) be purely atomic. We first prove the following assertion for non-locally bounded spaces $L_{\Phi}(X, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$:

(L) For every neighbourhood $N_{\Phi}(\varepsilon)$ there is a neighbourhood $N_{\Phi}(\delta)$, such that for every real $\lambda_0 > 0$ and any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists a function $x := (\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \in N_{\Phi}(\varepsilon)$ with

$$x \in S(n) := \{y = (\eta_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \in L_{\Phi}(X, \mathbf{A}, \mu) : \eta_i = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

and $\lambda_0 x \notin N_{\Phi}(\delta)$.

Proof. As $L_\phi(X, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$ is not locally bounded, there is a $\delta_1 > 0$ and for every $\lambda > 0$ a function $x \in N_\phi(\varepsilon)$ with $\lambda x \notin N_\phi(\delta_1)$. Set $\delta := \frac{1}{2}\delta_1$ and take any $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $\lambda_0 > 0$. We can choose M so large that

$$(2) \quad \Phi(M)\mu(a_i) > \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

and $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_0$ so small that

$$(3) \quad \Phi(M \cdot \lambda_1) \leq \frac{\delta}{n \cdot \mu(a_i)} \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Then for all $x = (\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \in N_\phi(\varepsilon)$ we have $|\xi_i| \leq M$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

By assumption that $L_\phi(X, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$ is not locally bounded, there is an $x \in N_\phi(\varepsilon)$ with $\lambda_1 x \notin N_\phi(\delta_1)$. From (2) and (3) we get $\lambda_1 x \cdot \chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n \{a_i\}} \in N_\phi(\delta)$

and therefore $\lambda_1 x \cdot \chi_{X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{a_i\}} \notin N_\phi(\delta)$. It is obvious that $x \chi_{X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{a_i\}}$ satisfies the assertion.

Now we construct the power series. For every $\varepsilon = 1/n$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we choose $\delta(n) > 0$ so that (L) holds for $\delta_0 := 2\delta(n)$, and take $t(n) \in \mathbf{N}$ so large that $t(n) \cdot \delta(n) \geq 1$. Furthermore we set $r_0 := 0$, $r_m := \sum_{n=1}^m t(n)$ for

all $m \in \mathbf{N}$, and $\lambda(i) := \frac{1}{r_m^{r_m}}$ for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$ with $r_{m-1} < i \leq r_m$.

By induction we now define for all $i \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ functions $x_i, y_i \in L_\phi(X, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$ and natural numbers n_i . Set $n_0 := 0$, $x_0, y_0 = 0$. We assume that x_i, y_i, n_i have been defined already for all $0 \leq i < i_0$. There is an $m \in \mathbf{N}$ with $r_{m-1} < i_0 \leq r_m$. From (L) and the definition of $\delta(m)$ we get that there is a function

$$x_{i_0} \in N_\phi\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \cap S(n_{i_0-1})$$

with the property $\lambda(i_0)x_{i_0} \notin N_\phi(2\delta(m))$.

We choose n_{i_0} so large that for

$$(4) \quad y_{i_0} := x_{i_0} \chi_{\bigcup_{j=n_{i_0-1}+1}^{n_{i_0}} \{a_j\}}$$

we have $\lambda(i_0)y_{i_0} \notin N_\phi(\delta(m))$. (4) implies that every two functions $y_i(t)$ and $y_j(t)$, $i \neq j$, have disjoint support. Obviously we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\phi(y_n) = 0$.

For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and all i with $r_{n-1} + 1 \leq i \leq r_n$ we have

$$\lambda(i)y_i = \frac{1}{r_n^{r_n}} y_i \notin N_\phi(\delta(n)).$$

This and the construction of the numbers $t(n)$ now implies

$$J_\Phi\left(\sum_{i=r_{n-1}+1}^{r_n} \lambda(i) y_i\right) = \sum_{i=r_{n-1}+1}^{r_n} J_\Phi\left(\frac{1}{r_n^{r_n}} y_i\right) \geq t(n) \cdot \delta(n) \geq 1.$$

We set $z_i := y_i - y_{i-1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the power series $\sum_{i=1}^\infty z_i t^i$.

Clearly $J_\Phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r_n} z_i\right) = J_\Phi(y_n)$, and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\Phi(y_n) = 0$ implies that the power series converges for $t = 1$.

For every $t \notin \{0, 1\}$ there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $i \geq N$ we have $\frac{1}{i^i} \leq |(1-t)t^i|$. Then we get for every $r_{n-1} \geq N$

$$\begin{aligned} J_\Phi\left(\left|\sum_{i=r_{n-1}+1}^{r_n+1} z_i t^i\right|\right) &\geq J_\Phi\left(\left|\sum_{i=r_{n-1}+1}^{r_n} (1-t)t^i y_i\right|\right) \geq \sum_{i=r_{n-1}+1}^{r_n} J_\Phi\left(\left|\frac{1}{i^i} y_i\right|\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=r_{n-1}+1}^{r_n} J\left(\left|\frac{1}{r_n^{r_n}} y_i\right|\right) \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the series $\sum_{i=1}^\infty z_i t^i$ diverges for $t \notin \{0, 1\}$.

Remark. If the function Φ is bounded, the assertion of the theorem still remains true under certain conditions, e.g. when

(a) (X, \mathbf{A}, μ) is purely atomic (i.e. $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty a_i$) and $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(a_i) = k > 0$,

or

(b) (X, \mathbf{A}, μ) is not purely atomic.

In case (a) $L_\Phi(X, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$ satisfies condition (L) for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, and so part B of the theorem's proof may be used without change. In the other case we may apply Żelazko's result [7] to the subspace $L_\Phi(X_0, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$, where X_0 is the non-atomic part of X .

However, for bounded Φ the theorem is not true in general. Let (X, \mathbf{A}, μ) be purely atomic with $\mu(X) < \infty$. Then the Orlicz space $L_\Phi(X, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$ is the space s of all sequences, which is locally convex and not locally bounded.

Looking at the construction of the power series one easily sees that we may apply Lemma 1 of [7] to get the same result for non-locally bounded Orlicz spaces, which Żelazko has proved for the space $S[0, 1]$.

COROLLARY 1. *A complex Orlicz space $L_\Phi(X, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$, where Φ is unbounded and satisfies condition (Δ_2) , is not locally bounded if and only if for every finite subset $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ there exists a power series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n t^n$, which converges if $t \in D \cup \{0\}$ and diverges if $t \notin D \cup \{0\}$.*

As in a locally pseudoconvex space convergence of a power series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n t^n$ for $t_0 > 0$ implies convergence for $|t| < t_0$, we also get for an unbounded function Φ a result analogous to Theorems III.3.6 and III.3.7 in [6].

COROLLARY 2. *An Orlicz space $L_{\Phi}(X, \mathbf{A}, \mu)$, where Φ is unbounded and satisfies condition (Δ_2) , is locally bounded provided it is locally pseudoconvex.*

References

- [1] L. Arnold, *Über die Konvergenz einer zufälligen Potenzreihe*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 222 (1966), p. 79–112.
 - [2] P. R. Halmos, *Measure theory*, Van Nostrand, London–New York 1950.
 - [3] J. Musielak and W. Orlicz, *On modular spaces*, Studia Math. 18 (1959), p. 49–65.
 - [4] W. Orlicz, *On spaces of Φ -integrable functions*, Proc. Intern. Symp. on Linear Spaces, Hebrew Univ. of Jerusalem, 1960, p. 357–365.
 - [5] S. Rolewicz, *Some remarks on the spaces $N(L)$ and $N(l)$* , Studia Math. 18 (1959), p. 1–9.
 - [6] — *Metric linear spaces*, Monografie Matematyczne, Tom 56, Warszawa 1972.
 - [7] W. Żelazko, *A power series with a finite domain of convergence*, Comm. Math. 15 (1971), p. 115–117.
-