



J. SZELMECZKA (Lublin)

On absolute convergence of multiple Fourier series of functions p -integrable with mixed powers

1. In this paper there is investigated absolute convergence of Fourier series of a function of several variables belonging to the space L^p with mixed powers. These spaces were considered e.g. by A. Benedek and R. Panzone [3], and by J. Albrycht [2].

Let $H = \{k_1, \dots, k_s\}$ be a system of s integers, where $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_s$, and $H \subset E = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let f be a function defined in R^n ; then the differences Δ^H and F^H are defined as follows. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$. If $H = \{k\}$, then we write

$$\Delta^H(f; x; h) = f(x_1, \dots, x_k + h_k, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n),$$

$$F^H(f; x; h) = f(x_1, \dots, x_k + h_k, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_k - h_k, \dots, x_n).$$

If $H = \{k_1, \dots, k_s\}$, $s > 1$, we define Δ^H and F^H by induction:

$$\Delta^H(f; x; h) = \Delta^{(k_s)} [\Delta^{H-(k_s)}(f; x; h)],$$

$$F^H(f; x; h) = F^{(k_s)} [F^{H-(k_s)}(f; x; h)].$$

The space L^p with mixed powers is defined in the following manner. Let $Q = (a, b) \times \dots \times (a, b) \subset R^n$, where (a, b) is an interval on the real line, and let $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, where $1 \leq p_i < \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. A measurable function $f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in Q belongs to $L^p = L^p(Q)$, if (see [2])

$$\|f\|_p = \left[\int_a^b \left(\dots \left[\int_a^b |f(x_1, \dots, x_n)|^{p_1} dx_1 \right]^{p_2/p_1} dx_2 \right]^{p_3/p_2} \dots \right]^{p_n/p_{n-1}} dx_n \Big]^{1/p_n} < \infty.$$

Let $f \in L^p(Q)$ be periodic with period $b - a$ in each variable. Then the integral modulus of continuity of f in $L^p(Q)$ corresponding to the set of indices H is defined as

$$\omega_p^H(f; h) = \sup_{|\delta_i| \leq h_i} \|\Delta^H(f; x; \delta)\|_p.$$

This modulus possesses the following properties [1]:

1° $\omega_p^H(\lambda f; h) = |\lambda| \omega_p^H(f; h).$

$$2^\circ \omega_p^H(f+g; h) \leq \omega_p^H(f; h) + \omega_p^H(g, h).$$

3° If $0 < h'_i < h''_i$ for $i \in H$, then

$$\omega_p^H(f; h') \leq \omega_p^H(f; h''),$$

where $h' = (h'_1, \dots, h'_n)$, $h'' = (h''_1, \dots, h''_n)$.

4° If m_1, \dots, m_n are non-negative integers and $H = \{k_1, \dots, k_s\}$, then

$$\omega_p^H(f; mh) \leq m_{k_1} \dots m_{k_s} \omega_p^H(f; h),$$

where $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$, $mh = (m_1 h_1, \dots, m_n h_n)$.

5° If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n > 0$ and $H = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s\}$, then

$$\omega_p^H(f; \lambda h) \leq (\lambda_{k_1} + 1) \dots (\lambda_{k_s} + 1) \omega_p^H(f; h).$$

Properties 1°–3° are obvious. 5° follows from 4° as in the case of functions of one variable. Property 4° is proved by means of the well-known Minkowski inequality and some properties of integrals of periodic functions. There will be applied also the Lipschitz class $\text{Lip}_p^H \alpha$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\alpha_i > 0$, consisting of $(b - a)$ -periodic functions $f \in L^p(Q)$ such that

$$\omega_p^H(f; h) = o(h_{k_1}^{\alpha_{k_1}}, \dots, h_{k_s}^{\alpha_{k_s}}) \quad \text{as } h_{k_1}, \dots, h_{k_s} \rightarrow 0.$$

If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$, $0 < \alpha_i < \beta_i$ for $i \in H$, then

$$\text{Lip}_p^H \beta \subset \text{Lip}_p^H \alpha.$$

2. Now we consider the coefficients $a_{m_1, \dots, m_n}^A(f)$ of multiple Fourier series of an integrable function f periodic with period 2 in each variable, taking $Q = (0, 2) \times \dots \times (0, 2) \subset E^n$. Let $A \subset E = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $A' = E \setminus A$, and let m_1, \dots, m_n be non-negative integers. Then we write [4]

$$a_{m_1 \dots m_n}^A(f) = \int_0^2 \dots \int_0^2 f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{i \in A} \cos m_i \pi x_i \cdot \prod_{j \in A'} \sin m_j x_j dx_1 \dots dx_n,$$

$$\varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f) = \sum_{A \subset E} |a_{m_1 \dots m_n}^A(f)|^\gamma$$

for $\gamma > 0$, where A runs over all subsets of the set E . If $g \in L^p(Q)$, $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, $1 < p_i \leq 2$, $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots \geq p_n$, $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$, where $1/p_i + 1/q_i = 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then the following Hausdorff-Young inequality holds:

$$\left[\sum_{m_n=0}^{\infty} \left(\dots \left[\sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(q_1)}(g) \right)^{q_2/q_1} \right]^{q_3/q_2} \dots \right)^{q_n/q_{n-1}} \right]^{1/q_n}$$

$$\leq \left[\int_0^2 \left(\dots \left[\int_0^2 \left(\int_0^2 |g(x_1, \dots, x_n)|^{p_1} dx_1 \right)^{p_2/p_1} dx_2 \right]^{p_3/p_2} \dots \right)^{p_n/p_{n-1}} dx_n \right]^{1/p_n}.$$

This inequality is obtained applying the Riesz-Thorin theorem in $L^p(Q)$ in a similar manner as in [3]. There will be investigated convergence of the series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} (m_1+1)^{\beta_1} (m_2+1)^{\beta_2} \dots (m_n+1)^{\beta_n} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}(f),$$

where $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \geq 0$, $1 \leq \gamma < 2$, and

$$\varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f) = \sum_{A \subset E} |a_{m_1 \dots m_n}^A(f)|^\gamma.$$

If series (1) is convergent for $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_n = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$, then the Fourier series of the function f , i.e. the series

$$(2) \quad f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=0} \lambda_{m_1 \dots m_n} \sum_{A \subset E} a_{m_1 \dots m_n}^A(f) \prod_{i \in A} \cos m_i \pi x_i \prod_{j \in A^c} \sin m_j \pi x_j,$$

where $\lambda_{m_1 \dots m_n} = 2^{-\mu(\bar{H})}$, $H = \{i: m_i > 0\}$, and $\mu(\bar{H})$ is the number of elements of the set \bar{H} , is absolutely convergent. Let us write (as in [4])

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i, r_i} &= \{(m_1, \dots, m_n): 2^{r_i-1} \leq m_i < 2^{r_i}\} \quad \text{for } r_i \geq 1, \\ A_{i, 0} &= \{(m_1, \dots, m_n): m_i = 0\}, \\ A_{r_1 \dots r_n} &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_{i, r_i} \quad \text{for } r_i \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_n=0 \\ (m_1, \dots, m_n) \neq 0}}^{\infty} (m_1+1)^{\beta_1} \dots (m_n+1)^{\beta_n} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^\gamma(f) \\ &= \sum_{0 \neq H \subset E} \sum_{r_{k_s}=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{r_{k_1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(m_1 \dots m_n) \in A_{r_1 \dots r_n}} (m_1+1)^{\beta_1} \dots (m_n+1)^{\beta_n} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f), \end{aligned}$$

where $H = \{k_1, \dots, k_s\} = \{i: r_i > 0\}$.

It is easily seen that for two different systems (r_1, \dots, r_n) (i.e. system possessing different integers at one place at least), the corresponding sets A_{r_1, \dots, r_n} are disjoint and the set

$$\bigcup_{r_1, \dots, r_n=0}^{\infty} A_{r_1 \dots r_n}$$

is identical with the set of all systems (m_1, \dots, m_n) of non-negative integers. In the following, the symbol $\sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in A_{r_1 \dots r_n}}$ means summation over all systems $(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in A_{r_1 \dots r_n}$.

3. The following lemma will be needed:

LEMMA 1. *If $q_i \geq \gamma$, $\gamma \geq 1$, $\beta_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then*

$$\sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathcal{A}_{r_1 \dots r_n}} (m_1 + 1)^{\beta_1} \dots (m_n + 1)^{\beta_n} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f) \leq 2^{c_1(r)} \left\{ \sum_{m_n=2^{r_n-1}}^{2^{r_n-1}} \left[\dots \left(\sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(a_1)}(f) \right)^{q_2/q_1} \dots \right]^{q_n/q_{n-1}} \right\}^{\gamma/q_n},$$

$$c_1(r) = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k r_k + \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} (r_s - 1) \frac{q_n - 1}{q_s} + (r_n - 1) \frac{q_n - \gamma}{q_n} + 2^n - 1.$$

In the proof of this lemma we shall apply the following inequalities:

(a) *Hölder inequality with mixed powers for series.*

If

$$a_{m_1 \dots m_n} \geq 0, \quad b_{m_1 \dots m_n} \geq 0, \quad p = (p_1, \dots, p_n),$$

$$q = (q_1, \dots, q_n), \quad 1/p_i + 1/q_i = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then

$$\sum_{m_n=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} |a_{m_1 \dots m_n} \cdot b_{m_1 \dots m_n}| \leq \|a_{m_1 \dots m_n}\|_p \cdot \|b_{m_1 \dots m_n}\|_q.$$

Hölder inequality with mixed powers for integrals.

If $f \in L^p$, $g \in L^q$, $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$,

$$1/p_i + 1/q_i = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then

$$\|f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot g(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_1 \leq \|f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_p \cdot \|g(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_q.$$

(b) If $q > \gamma > 0$, $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N > 0$ (Lemma 1 in [4]), then

$$2^{-N+1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N a_i^q \right)^{\gamma/q} \leq \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^q \leq 2^{N-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N a_i^q \right)^{\gamma/q},$$

(c) $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m^\gamma \leq \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m \right)^\gamma$ for $\gamma \geq 1$, $c_m \geq 0$.

Proof of Lemma 1. We apply in series (1), Hölder inequality (a) with

$$a_{m_1 \dots m_n} = (m_1 + 1)^{\beta_1} (m_2 + 1)^{\beta_2} \dots (m_n + 1)^{\beta_n},$$

$$b_{m_1 \dots m_n} = \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f),$$

and $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$, $l = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$, $1/k_i + 1/l_i = 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m_1 \dots m_n \in A_{r_1 \dots r_n}} (m_1 + 1)^{\beta_1} (m_2 + 1)^{\beta_2} \dots (m_n + 1)^{\beta_n} \cdot \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f) \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{m_n=2^{r_n-1}}^{2^{r_n-1}} \left[\dots \left(\sum_{m_2=2^{r_2-1}}^{2^{r_2-1}} \left[\sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} (m_1 + 1)^{k_1 \beta_1} (m_2 + 1)^{k_1 \beta_2} \times \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \left. \left. \times \dots \times (m_n + 1)^{k_1 \beta_n} \right]^{k_2/k_1} \right]^{k_3/k_2} \dots \right]^{k_n/k_{n-1}} \right)^{1/k_n} \times \\ & \times \left[\sum_{m_n=2^{r_n-1}}^{2^{r_n-1}} \left(\dots \left[\sum_{m_2=2^{r_2-1}}^{2^{r_2-1}} \left(\sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} |\varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f)|^{l_1} \right)^{l_2/l_1} \right]^{l_3/l_2} \dots \right)^{l_n/l_{n-1}} \right]^{1/l_n} = A \cdot B. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate each of the factors A , B , separately.

In order to estimate B , we apply the right-hand side inequality (b) with $N = 2^n$, $a_i = a_{m_1 \dots m_n}^A$, $q = l_1$, $l_1 \geq \gamma$, to $\varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}(f)$ and next we make use of inequality (c) with

$$c_m = \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(l_1)}(f)$$

thus obtaining

$$\sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} |\varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f)|^{l_1} \leq 2^{(2^n-1)l_1} \left(\sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} |\varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(l_1)}(f)| \right)^\gamma \quad \text{for } l_1 \geq \gamma \geq 1.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m_2=2^{r_2-1}}^{2^{r_2-1}} \left[\sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} |\varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f)|^{l_1} \right]^{l_2/l_1} \\ & \leq \sum_{m_2=2^{r_2-1}}^{2^{r_2-1}} \left[2^{(2^n-1)l_1} \left(\sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} |\varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(l_1)}(f)| \right)^\gamma \right]^{l_2/l_1} \\ & = 2^{(2^n-1)l_2} \sum_{m_2=2^{r_2-1}}^{2^{r_2-1}} \left[\sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} |\varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(l_1)}(f)|^{l_2/l_1} \right]^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

By (c) with

$$c_m = \sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} |\varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(l_1)}(f)|^{l_2/l_1}$$

we have

$$\sum_{m_2=2^{r_2-1}}^{2^{r_2-1}} \left[\sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} |\varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f)|^{l_1} \right]^{l_2/l_1} \\ \leq 2^{(2^n-1)l_2} \left[\sum_{m_2=2^{r_2-1}}^{2^{r_2-1}} \left(\sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} |\varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(l_1)}(f)| \right)^{l_2/l_1} \right]^\gamma.$$

Applying inequality (c) with respective c_m successively, we get

$$B = \left[\sum_{m_n=2^{r_n-1}}^{2^{r_n-1}} \left(\dots \left[\sum_{m_2=2^{r_2-1}}^{2^{r_2-1}} \left(\sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} |\varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f)|^{l_2/l_1} \right)^{l_3/l_2} \dots \right]^{l_n/l_{n-1}} \right]^{1/l_n} \\ \leq 2^{2^n-1} \left\{ \sum_{m_n=2^{r_n-1}}^{2^{r_n-1}} \left(\dots \left[\sum_{m_2=2^{r_2-1}}^{2^{r_2-1}} \left(\sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} |\varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(l_1)}(f)| \right)^{l_2/l_1} \right)^{l_3/l_2} \dots \right)^{\gamma l_n/l_{n-1}} \right\}^{1/l_n}.$$

We put $l_i = q_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and $\gamma l_n = q_n$. But $l_i > 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $l_i \geq \gamma$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, hence $q_i \geq \gamma$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and $q_n > \gamma$, $\gamma \geq 1$. Thus

$$B = \left[\sum_{m_n=2^{r_n-1}}^{2^{r_n-1}} \left(\dots \left[\sum_{m_2=2^{r_2-1}}^{2^{r_2-1}} \left(\sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} |\varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f)|^{l_2/l_1} \right)^{l_3/l_2} \dots \right]^{l_n/l_{n-1}} \right]^{1/l_n} \\ \leq 2^{2^n-1} \left\{ \sum_{m_n=2^{r_n-1}}^{2^{r_n-1}} \left(\dots \left[\sum_{m_2=2^{r_2-1}}^{2^{r_2-1}} \left(\sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} |\varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(q_1)}(f)| \right)^{q_2/q_1} \right)^{q_3/q_2} \dots \right)^{q_n/q_{n-1}} \right\}^{\gamma/q_n}.$$

Now, we estimate A . Since $1/k_i + 1/l_i = 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $l_i = q_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, $\gamma l_n = q_n$, we get $k_i = q_i/(q_i - 1)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and $k_n = q_n/(q_n - \gamma)$. Hence

$$A = \left[\sum_{m_n=2^{r_n-1}}^{2^{r_n-1}} \left(\dots \left[\sum_{m_2=2^{r_2-1}}^{2^{r_2-1}} \left(\sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} (m_1+1)^{k_1 \beta_1} (m_2+1)^{k_1 \beta_2} \times \dots \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. \dots \times (m_n+1)^{k_1 \beta_n} \right)^{k_2/k_1} \right]^{k_3/k_2} \dots \right)^{k_n/k_{n-1}} \right]^{1/k_n} \\ \leq 2^{\beta_1 r_1 + \beta_2 r_2 + \dots + \beta_n r_n + (r_1-1)(q_1-1)/q_1 + (r_2-1) \frac{(q_2-1)}{q_2} + \dots + (r_{n-1}-1)(q_{n-1}-1)/q_{n-1}} \\ \times 2^{(r_n-1)(q_n-\gamma)/q_n} = 2^{e_2(r)},$$

where

$$e_2(r) = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k r_k + \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} (r_s - 1) \frac{q_s - 1}{q_s} + (r_n - 1) \frac{q_n - \gamma}{q_n}.$$

From these estimations of B and A follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m_1 \dots m_n \in A_{r_1 \dots r_n}} (m_1 + 1)^{\beta_1} (m_2 + 1)^{\beta_2} \dots (m_n + 1)^{\beta_n} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f) \\ & \leq 2^{c_2(r) + 2^n - 1} \left\{ \sum_{m_n = 2^{r_n - 1}}^{2^{r_n - 1}} \left(\dots \left[\sum_{m_2 = 2^{r_2 - 1}}^{2^{r_2 - 1}} \left(\sum_{m_1 = 2^{r_1 - 1}}^{2^{r_1 - 1}} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(a_1)}(f) \right)^{a_2/a_1} \right]^{a_3/a_2} \dots \right)^{a_n/a_{n-1}} \right\}^{\gamma/a_n} \\ & = 2^{c_1(r)} \left\{ \sum_{m_n = 2^{r_n - 1}}^{2^{r_n - 1}} \left[\dots \left(\sum_{m_1 = 2^{r_1 - 1}}^{2^{r_1 - 1}} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(a_1)}(f) \right)^{a_2/a_1} \dots \right]^{a_n/a_{n-1}} \right\}^{\gamma/a_n}. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1. *If $f \in L^p$, $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \geq 0$ and $\gamma \geq 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{m_1 \dots m_n = 0 \\ (m_1, \dots, m_n) \neq 0}}^{\infty} (m_1 + 1)^{\beta_1} (m_2 + 1)^{\beta_2} \dots (m_n + 1)^{\beta_n} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f) \\ & \leq \sum_{0 \neq H \subset E} \sum_{r_{k_s} = 0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{r_{k_2} = 1}^{\infty} \sum_{r_{k_1} = 1}^{\infty} 2^{c(r)} [\omega_p^H(f; 2^{-r})]^\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where $H = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s\}$, $2^{-r} = (2^{-r_1}, \dots, 2^{-r_n})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} c_r &= c_1(r) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma \mu(H) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k r_k + \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} (r_s - 1) \frac{q_s - 1}{q_s} + (r_n - 1) \frac{q_n - \gamma}{q_n} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \mu(H) + 2^n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By inequality (5.3) in [4],

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(a_1)}(f) & \leq 2^{-a_1 \mu(H)/2} \sum_{A \subset E} |a_{m_1 \dots m_n}^A [F^H(f)]|^{a_1} \\ & = 2^{-a_1 \mu(H)/2} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(a_1)} [F^H(f)]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 1 and the Hausdorff-Young inequality we thus obtain for $g = F^H(f)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_n = 0 \\ (m_1, \dots, m_n) \neq 0}}^{\infty} (m_1 + 1)^{\beta_1} (m_2 + 1)^{\beta_2} \dots (m_n + 1)^{\beta_n} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f) \\ & = \sum_{0 \neq H \subset E} \sum_{r_{k_s} = 1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{r_{k_2} = 1}^{\infty} \sum_{r_{k_1} = 1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1 \dots m_n \in A_{r_1 \dots r_n}} (m_1 + 1)^{\beta_1} (m_2 + 1)^{\beta_2} \times \\ & \quad \times \dots \times (m_n + 1)^{\beta_n} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f) \\ & \leq \sum_{0 \neq H \subset E} \sum_{r_{k_s} = 1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{r_{k_2} = 1}^{\infty} \sum_{r_{k_1} = 1}^{\infty} 2^{c_1(r)} \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \left\{ \sum_{m_n=2^{r_2-1}}^{2^{r_{n-1}}} \left(\dots \left[\sum_{m_2=2^{r_2-1}}^{2^{r_2-1}} \left(\sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(a_1)}(f) \right)^{a_2/a_1} \right]^{a_3/a_2} \dots \right)^{a_n/a_{n-1}} \right\}^{\gamma/a_n} \\
 & \leq \sum_{0 \neq H \subset E} \sum_{r_{k_s}=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{r_{k_2}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r_{k_1}=1}^{\infty} 2^{c_1(r) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \mu(H)} \times \\
 & \quad \times \left\{ \sum_{m_n=2^{r_{n-1}}}^{2^{r_{n-1}}} \left[\dots \left(\sum_{m_1=2^{r_1-1}}^{2^{r_1-1}} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(a_1)} [F^H(f)] \right)^{a_2/a_1} \dots \right]^{a_n/a_{n-1}} \right\}^{\gamma/a_n} \\
 & \leq \sum_{0 \neq H \subset E} \sum_{r_{k_s}=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{r_{k_1}=1}^{\infty} 2^{c(r)} \left\{ \sum_{m_n=0}^{\infty} \left[\dots \left(\sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(a_1)} [F^H(f)] \right)^{a_2/a_1} \dots \right]^{a_n/a_{n-1}} \right\}^{\gamma/a_n} \\
 & \leq \sum_{0 \neq H \subset E} \sum_{r_{k_s}=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{r_{k_1}=1}^{\infty} 2^{c(r)} \left\{ \int_0^2 \left[\dots \left(\int_0^2 |F^H(f)|^{p_1} dx_1 \right)^{p_2/p_1} \dots \right]^{p_n/p_{n-1}} dx_n \right\}^{\gamma/p_n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By inequality (3.1) in [4],

$$\|F^H(f)\|_p \leq \omega_p^H(f; 2h_1, \dots, 2h_n)$$

for $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, $h_i = 2^{r_i-1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|F^H(f)\|_p &= \left\{ \int_0^2 \left(\dots \left[\int_0^2 \left(\int_0^2 |F^H(f)|^{p_1} dx_1 \right)^{p_2/p_1} dx_2 \right]^{p_3/p_2} \dots \right)^{p_n/p_{n-1}} dx_n \right\}^{1/p_n} \\
 &\leq \omega_p^H(f; 2^{-r_1}, 2^{-r_2}, \dots, 2^{-r_n}) = \omega_p^H(f; 2^{-r})
 \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\substack{m_1 \dots m_n=0 \\ (m_1, \dots, m_n) \neq 0}}^{\infty} (m_1+1)^{\beta_1} (m_2+1)^{\beta_2} \dots (m_n+1)^{\beta_n} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f) \\
 & \leq \sum_{0 \neq H \subset E} \sum_{r_{k_s}=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{r_{k_2}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r_{k_1}=1}^{\infty} 2^{c(r)} [\omega_p^H(f; 2^{-r})]^\gamma.
 \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2. *If $f \in L^p$, $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \geq 0$, $f \in \text{Lip}_p^H \alpha$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\alpha_i > 0$ for $i \in H$, $H = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s\}$, then series (1) is convergent for*

$$\gamma \alpha_i > \beta_i + 1/p_i, \quad i \in H, \quad i < n,$$

$$\gamma \alpha_n > \beta_n + 1 - \gamma(1 - 1/p_n).$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m_n=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} (m_1+1)^{\beta_1} (m_2+1)^{\beta_2} \dots (m_n+1)^{\beta_n} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f) \\ &= \sum_{0 \neq H \subset E} \sum_{r_{k_s}=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{r_{k_2}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r_{k_1}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1 \dots m_n \in \mathcal{A}_{r_1 \dots r_n}} (m_1+1)^{\beta_1} (m_2+1)^{\beta_2} \times \dots \\ & \quad \dots \times (m_n+1)^{\beta_n} \varrho_{m_1 \dots m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f) \\ & \leq \sum_{0 \neq H \subset E} \sum_{r_{k_s}=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{r_{k_1}=1}^{\infty} 2^{c(r)} [\omega_p^H(2^{-r_1}, \dots, 2^{-r_n})]^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

But $f \in \text{Lip}_p^H a$, i.e.

$$\omega_p^H(h_1, \dots, h_n) \leq K_H h_1^{\alpha_1} h_2^{\alpha_2} \dots h_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

Hence

$$\omega_p^H(2^{-r_1}, \dots, 2^{-r_n}) \leq K_H 2^{-\alpha_{k_1} r_{k_1}} \dots 2^{-\alpha_{k_s} r_{k_s}} = K_H 2^{-\sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_{k_j} r_{k_j}}$$

for $H = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s\}$, where $h_i = 2^{-r_i}$, $i \in H$.

Let $H = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s\} = H_1$ if $k_s < n$, then $H' = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{n-s}\} = H'_1$ and $l_{n-s} = n$. Now, let $H = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s\} = H_2$ if $k_s = n$, then $H' = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{n-s}\} = H'_2$ and $l_{n-s} < n$.

We denote $c(r) = c_H(r)$ for $H = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_{H_1}(r) &= \sum_{j=1}^s \left[\beta_{k_j} r_{k_j} + (r_{k_j} - 1) \frac{q_{k_j} - 1}{q_{k_j}} \right] - \sum_{j=1}^{n-s-1} \frac{q_{l_j} - 1}{q_{l_j}} - \frac{q_n - \gamma}{q_n} - \frac{\gamma \cdot s}{2} + 2^n - 1, \\ c_{H_2}(r) &= \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} \left[\beta_{k_j} r_{k_j} + (r_{k_j} - 1) \frac{q_{k_j} - 1}{q_{k_j}} \right] + \beta_n r_n + (r_n - 1) \frac{q_n - \gamma}{q_n} - \\ & \quad - \sum_{j=1}^{n-s} \frac{q_{l_j} - 1}{q_{l_j}} - \frac{\gamma \cdot s}{2} + 2^n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $H = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s\} = H_1$, i.e. $k_s < n$. Then the series

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r_{k_1}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r_{k_2}=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{r_{k_s}=1}^{\infty} 2^{c_{H_1}(r)} [\omega_p^H(f; 2^{-r})]^\gamma \\ & \leq c \left\{ \sum_{r_{k_1}=1}^{\infty} 2^{(\beta_{k_1} + (q_{k_1} - 1)/q_{k_1} - \gamma \alpha_{k_1}) r_{k_1}} \cdot \sum_{r_{k_2}=1}^{\infty} 2^{(\beta_{k_2} + (q_{k_2} - 1)/q_{k_2} - \gamma \alpha_{k_2}) r_{k_2}} \times \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \dots \times \sum_{r_{k_s}=1}^{\infty} 2^{(\beta_{k_s} + (q_{k_s} - 1)/q_{k_s} - \gamma \alpha_{k_s}) r_{k_s}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c = K_H^\gamma 2^{\left(-\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \frac{q_s-1}{q_s} - \frac{q_n-\gamma}{q_n} - \frac{\gamma \cdot s}{2} + 2^{n-1}\right)}$$

This series is convergent if $\beta_{k_j} + (q_{k_j}-1)/q_{k_j} - \gamma\alpha_{k_j} < 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$, i.e.

$$\gamma\alpha_i > \beta_i + 1/p_i \quad \text{for } i \in H \text{ and } i < n.$$

Now, let $H = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s\} = H_2$, i.e. $k_s = n$. Then the series

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r_{k_1}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r_{k_2}=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{r_{k_s}=1}^{\infty} 2^{cH_2(r)} [\omega_p^H(f; 2^{-r})]^\gamma \\ & \leq c \left\{ \sum_{r_{k_1}=1}^{\infty} 2^{(\beta_{k_1} + (q_{k_1}-1)/q_{k_1} - \gamma\alpha_{k_1})r_{k_1}} \times \sum_{r_{k_2}=1}^{\infty} 2^{(\beta_{k_2} + (q_{k_2}-1)/q_{k_2} - \gamma\alpha_{k_2})r_{k_2}} \dots \right. \\ & \quad \left. \dots \sum_{r_{k_s}=1}^{\infty} 2^{(\beta_{k_s} + (q_{k_s}-1)/q_{k_s} - \gamma\alpha_{k_s})r_{k_s}} \right\} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

if

$$\beta_{k_j} + \frac{q_{k_j}-1}{q_{k_j}} - \gamma\alpha_{k_j} < 0,$$

i.e. $\gamma\alpha_{k_j} > \beta_{k_j} + 1/q_{k_j}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, s-1$, and

$$\gamma\alpha_{k_s} > \beta_{k_s} + \frac{q_{k_s}-\gamma}{q_{k_s}} = \beta_{k_s} + 1 - \gamma \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_{k_s}}\right).$$

Since $k_s = n$, series (1) is convergent if

$$\gamma\alpha_i > \beta_i + \frac{1}{p_i}, \quad i \in H, \quad i < n,$$

and

$$\gamma\alpha_n > \beta_n + 1 - \gamma(1 - 1/p_n).$$

If $\gamma = 1$ and $\beta_i = 0$ for $i \in H$, then we get the condition $\alpha_i > 1/p_i$ as sufficient in order that series (2) be absolutely convergent.

In case $p_1 = \dots = p_n = p$, the condition $\alpha_i > 1/p_i$ is equivalent to condition (6.7) in [4], where

$$\alpha_i > \frac{p(\beta_i + 1 - \gamma) + \gamma}{\gamma p}.$$

If $\beta_i = 0$, $\gamma = 1$ and $p_i = p$, then $\alpha_i > 1/p$.

4. We shall still investigate absolute convergence of Fourier series in exponential form, i.e. with respect to the system of functions $e^{\pi i(m_1x_1 + \dots + m_nx_n)}$.

The Fourier series of an integrable, 2-periodic in each variable function f with respect to this system is given by

$$(3) \quad f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim \sum_{m_n=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} a_{m_1 \dots m_n}(f) e^{\pi i(m_1 x_1 + \dots + m_n x_n)},$$

where

$$(4) \quad a_{m_1 \dots m_n}(f) = \int_0^2 \dots \int_0^2 f(x_1, \dots, x_n) e^{-\pi i(m_1 x_1 + \dots + m_n x_n)} dx_1 \dots dx_n.$$

The above system is evidently orthonormal in

$$Q = (0, 2) \times \dots \times (0, 2) \quad (n\text{-times}).$$

There will be investigated convergence of the series

$$(5) \quad \sum_{m_n=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} (|m_1|+1)^{\beta_1} \dots (|m_n|+1)^{\beta_n} |a_{m_1 \dots m_n}(f)|^\gamma, \quad \gamma \geq 1.$$

This series will be shown to be majorized by series (1). First, we prove two lemmas.

LEMMA 2. Let

$$a_{m_1 \dots m_n}^A(f) = \int_0^2 \dots \int_0^2 f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{i \in A} \cos m_i \pi x_i \prod_{j \notin A} \sin m_j \pi x_j dx_1 \dots dx_n.$$

Then

$$a_{m_1 \dots m_n}(f) = \sum_{A \subset E} \varepsilon_A a_{|m_1|, \dots, |m_n|}^A(f),$$

where

$$|\varepsilon_A| = 1, \quad E = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Proof (by induction). If $n = 1$, $E = \{1\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_m(f) &= \int_0^2 f(x) e^{-im\pi x} dx \\ &= \int_0^2 f(x) \cos m\pi x dx - i \int_0^2 f(x) \sin m\pi x dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have for $m \geq 0$,

$$a_m(f) = a_m^{(1)}(f) - i a_m^{(2)}(f)$$

and for $m < 0$,

$$a_m(f) = a_{-m}^{(1)}(f) + i a_{-m}^{(2)}(f).$$

Thus, we have in each case

$$a_m(f) = \sum_{A \subset E} \varepsilon_A a_{|m_1|, \dots, |m_n|}^A(f),$$

where

$$\varepsilon_1 = 1, \quad \varepsilon_\emptyset = -i \quad \text{if } m \geq 0, \quad \varepsilon_\emptyset = i \quad \text{if } m < 0.$$

Now, let us suppose the lemma to be true for n ; then we prove it to be true for $n+1$.

Let $E = \{1, \dots, n, n+1\}$; then denoting

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = g_{x_{n+1}}(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

we get

$$a_{m_1, \dots, m_n, m_{n+1}}(f) = \int_0^2 a_{m_1, \dots, m_n}(g_{x_{n+1}}) e^{-im_{n+1}x_{n+1}} dx_{n+1}.$$

Let $h(x_{n+1}) = a_{m_1, \dots, m_n}(g_{x_{n+1}})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{m_1, \dots, m_n, m_{n+1}}(f) &= \int_0^2 h(x_{n+1}) e^{-im_{n+1}x_{n+1}} dx_{n+1} \\ &= a_{m_{n+1}}(h) = a_{|m_{n+1}|}^{(n+1)}(h) \mp i a_{|m_{n+1}|}^\emptyset(h), \end{aligned}$$

where we take the sign $-$ if $m_{n+1} \geq 0$, and the sign $+$ if $m_{n+1} < 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{m_{n+1}}(h) &= \int_0^2 h(x_{n+1}) \cos m_{n+1} \pi x_{n+1} dx_{n+1} - \\ &\quad - i \int_0^2 h(x_{n+1}) \sin m_{n+1} \pi x_{n+1} dx_{n+1} \\ &= \int_0^2 a_{m_1, \dots, m_n}(g_{x_{n+1}}) \cos m_{n+1} \pi x_{n+1} dx_{n+1} - \\ &\quad - i \int_0^2 a_{m_1, \dots, m_n}(g_{x_{n+1}}) \sin m_{n+1} \pi x_{n+1} dx_{n+1} \\ &= \int_0^2 \sum_{A \subset E_1} \varepsilon_A a_{|m_1|, \dots, |m_n|}^A(g_{x_{n+1}}) \cos m_{n+1} \pi x_{n+1} dx_{n+1} - \\ &\quad - i \int_0^2 \sum_{A \subset E_1} \varepsilon_A a_{|m_1|, \dots, |m_n|}^A(g_{x_{n+1}}) \sin m_{n+1} \pi x_{n+1} dx_{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $E_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Let us take $\varepsilon'_A = \varepsilon_A$ if $|m_{n+1}| \in A$, $\varepsilon'_A = \mp i\varepsilon_A$ if $|m_{n+1}| \notin A$ (depending on the sign of m_{n+1}). Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{m_1, \dots, m_n, m_{n+1}}(f) &= a_{m_{n+1}}(h) \\ &= \sum_{A \subset E_1} \varepsilon_A \int_0^2 \left\{ \int_0^2 \dots \int_0^2 f(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \prod_{i \in A} \cos m_i \pi x_i \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \prod_{j \notin A} \sin m_j \pi x_j dx_1 \dots dx_n \right\} \cos m_{n+1} \pi x_{n+1} dx_{n+1} - \\ &\quad - i \sum_{A \subset E_1} \varepsilon_A \int_0^2 \left\{ \int_0^2 \dots \int_0^2 f(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \prod_{i \in A} \cos m_i \pi x_i \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \prod_{j \notin A} \sin m_j \pi x_j dx_1 \dots dx_n \right\} \sin m_{n+1} \pi x_{n+1} dx_{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{A \subset E} \varepsilon'_A \underbrace{\int_0^2 \dots \int_0^2}_{(n+1)\text{-times}} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \times \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i \in A} \cos m_i \pi x_i \cdot \prod_{j \notin A} \sin m_j \pi x_j dx_1 \dots dx_n dx_{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{A \subset E} \varepsilon'_A a_{m_1, \dots, m_n, m_{n+1}}^A(f) = \sum_{A \subset E} \varepsilon_A a_{|m_1|, \dots, |m_n|, |m_{n+1}|}^A(f). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY. From Lemma 2 follows immediately that

$$(6) \quad |a_{m_1, \dots, m_n}(f)| \leq \sum_{A \subset E} |a_{|m_1|, \dots, |m_n|}^A(f)| = \varrho_{|m_1|, \dots, |m_n|}(f).$$

LEMMA 3. If $\gamma \geq 1$, then

$$|a_{m_1, \dots, m_n}(f)|^\gamma \leq 2^{\gamma N} \varrho_{|m_1|, \dots, |m_n|}^{(\gamma)}(f),$$

where

$$\varrho_{m_1, \dots, m_n}^{(\gamma)}(f) = \sum_{A \subset E} |a_{m_1, \dots, m_n}^A(f)|^\gamma$$

and N denotes the number of subsets A of the set E .

Proof. By Lemma 2 and inequality (6), we have for $\gamma \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{m_1, \dots, m_n}(f)|^\gamma &\leq [\varrho_{|m_1|, \dots, |m_n|}(f)]^\gamma = \left(\sum_{A \subset E} |a_{|m_1|, \dots, |m_n|}^A(f)| \right)^\gamma \\ &\leq 2^{\gamma N} \sum_{A \subset E} |a_{|m_1|, \dots, |m_n|}^A(f)|^\gamma = 2^{\gamma N} \varrho_{|m_1|, \dots, |m_n|}^{(\gamma)}(f). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3. If $f \in L^p$, $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$, $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n \geq 0$ and $\gamma \geq 1$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_n=0 \\ (m_1, \dots, m_n) \neq 0}}^{\infty} (|m_1|+1)^{\beta_1} (|m_2|+1)^{\beta_2} \dots (|m_n|+1)^{\beta_n} |a_{m_1 \dots m_n}(f)|^\gamma \\ \leq 2^n \sum_{0 \neq H \subset E} \sum_{r_{k_s}=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{r_{k_2}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r_{k_1}=1}^{\infty} 2^{c(r)} [\omega_p^H(f; 2^{-r})]^\gamma,$$

where

$$H = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s\}, \quad 2^{-r} = (2^{-r_1}, \dots, 2^{-r_n}), \\ c(r) = c_1(r) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma \mu(H) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k r_k + \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} (r_s - 1) \frac{q_s - 1}{q_s} + (r_n - 1) \frac{q_n - \gamma}{q_n} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \mu(H) + 2^n - 1.$$

Proof. Theorem 3 follows evidently from Lemma 3 and Theorem 1.

THEOREM 4 If $f \in L^p$, $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \geq 0$, $\gamma \geq 1$, $f \in \text{Lip}_p^H \alpha$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\alpha_i > 0$ for $i \in H$, $H = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s\}$, then series (5) is convergent for

$$\gamma \alpha_i > \beta_i + 1/p_i, \quad i \in H, \quad i < n, \\ \gamma \alpha_n > \beta_n + 1 - \gamma(1 - 1/p_n).$$

Proof. Similarly as Theorem 3, also Theorem 4 follows evidently from Lemma 3 and Theorem 2.

References

- [1] Н. И. Ахиезер, *Лекции по теории аппроксимации*, Москва-Ленинград 1947.
- [2] J. Albrycht, *Teoria przestrzeni L^p z mieszaną normą dla skończonej addytywnych funkcji zbiorów*, Dissertation, Poznań 1963.
- [3] A. Benedek and R. Panzone, *The spaces L^p with mixed norms*, Duke Math. J. 28 (1961), p. 301-324.
- [4] J. Musielak, *On absolute convergence of multiple Fourier series*, Ann. Polon. Math. 5 (1958), p. 107-120.