

BOGDAN LIS (Poznań)

Particular spectral theory in finite-dimensional spaces

A formula is derived for the matrix-polynomial of lowest degree equivalent to a matrix function, for the class $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$ of functions holomorphic in an open set containing the spectrum of a given square matrix \mathcal{C} . Some applications of the formula are deduced. By a matrix function $f(\mathcal{C})$ we mean a matrix assigned to matrix \mathcal{C} by means of a function f from class $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$, considered as an operator. In the literature, within the framework of general spectral theory in finite-dimensional unitary spaces, a similar formula occurs; however, the polynomial appearing there is not of lowest degree for an arbitrary matrix $f(\mathcal{C})$.

Essential to the present work was a characteristic expansion of an arbitrary polynomial of the complex variable "z" in a neighbourhood of an arbitrary finite number of points. It will be our aim to find this expansion and to apply it appropriately.

LEMMA 1. *We now proceed to a generalization of the usual Vandermonde determinant.*

DEFINITION. We define a *generalized Vandermonde determinant of degree p* as a determinant of the form:

$$|K_{p_1}(z_1) \quad |K_{p_2}(z_2)| \quad \dots \quad |K_{p_s}(z_s)|,$$

where $K_{p_i}(z_i)$ denotes the group of p_i columns of the matrix of the form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} z_i & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \begin{pmatrix} p_i-1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} z_i^{p_i-1} & \begin{pmatrix} p_i-1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} z_i^{p_i-2} & \dots & \begin{pmatrix} p_i-1 \\ p_i-1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \begin{pmatrix} p-2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} z_i^{p-2} & \begin{pmatrix} p-2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} z_i^{p-3} & \dots & \begin{pmatrix} p-2 \\ p_i-1 \end{pmatrix} z_i^{p-p_i-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \begin{pmatrix} p-1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} z_i^{p-1} & \begin{pmatrix} p-1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} z_i^{p-2} & \dots & \begin{pmatrix} p-1 \\ p_i-1 \end{pmatrix} z_i^{p-p_i} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $p = \sum_{i=1}^s p_i$ and $p_i \in \mathcal{N}$.

For a determinant thus defined, the following formula holds :

$$|K_{p_1}(z_1)|K_{p_2}(z_2)|\dots|K_{p_s}(z_s)| = \prod_{\substack{j,i=1 \\ j>i}}^s (z_j - z_i)^{p_j p_i}.$$

Proof. The proof proceeds by complete induction with respect to the degree of the determinant.

For $p = 1$ the formula is obvious.

Let us assume it to be correct for $p - 1$, i. e.

$$|K_{p_1}(z_1)|K_{p_2}(z_2)|\dots|K_{p_{s-1}}(z_s)| = \prod_{\substack{j,i=1 \\ j>i}}^{s-1} (z_j - z_i)^{p_j p_i} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} (z_s - z_i)^{(p_{s-1})p_i}.$$

We now consider the generalized Vandermonde determinant of degree p and transform it in the following manner: starting with $l = p$ and ending with $l = 1$, and applying the formulas

$$\binom{l}{k} z_s^{l-k} - \binom{l-1}{k} z_s^{l-k-1} z_s = \binom{l-1}{k-1} z_s^{l-k} \quad \text{in } K_{p_s}(z_s),$$

$$\binom{l}{k} z_i^{l-k} - \binom{l-1}{k} z_i^{l-k-1} z_s = \binom{l-1}{k} (z_i - z_s) z_i^{l-k-1} + \binom{l-1}{k-1} z_i^{l-k} \quad \text{in } K_{p_i}(z_i)$$

for $i \neq s$, we multiply its $(l-1)$ -st row by “ $-z_s$ ” and we add it to the l -th row. Then in the s -th group of columns, in the first column with the exception of the first row in which 1 appears, we now have only zeros.

We apply the Laplace expansion with respect to the $(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} p_i + 1)$ -th column.

Thus, $K_{p_s}(z_s)$ goes over into $K_{p_{s-1}}(z_s)$. Similarly, each of the $s-1$ groups of columns of the new determinant is equal to $K_{p_i}(z_i)$; after transforming it in the following manner: starting from the first column and ending by the last but one, we take out the common factor $(z_i - z_s)$, and we add the column as a whole, with opposite sign, to next column. In turn, we take out the common factor $(z_i - z_s)$ from the last column. Thus, the factor $(z_i - z_s)^{p_i}$ is taken out from the group as a whole.

Hence, with regard to the equality:

$$(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} p_i + 2} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} (z_i - z_s)^{p_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} (z_s - z_i)^{p_i}$$

and the induction hypothesis, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & |K_{p_1}(z_1)|K_{p_2}(z_2)|\dots|K_{p_s}(z_s)| \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} (z_s - z_i)^{p_i} \prod_{\substack{j,i=1 \\ j>i}}^{s-1} (z_j - z_i)^{p_j p_i} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} (z_s - z_i)^{(p_{s-1})p_i} = \prod_{\substack{j,i=1 \\ j>i}}^s (z_j - z_i)^{p_j p_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

In the sequel, \mathcal{Z} will mean the open complex plane. Let $z_i \in \mathcal{Z}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ and $z_i \neq z_j$ for $i \neq j$. We define polynomials of the variable $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ by means of the formulas:

$$\mu(z) = \prod_{j=1}^s (z - z_j)^{p_j}, \quad \nu_{i,k}(z) = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^s (z - z_j)^{p_j} (z - z_i)^k,$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, p_i - 1$.

We denote by $A_\nu(z)$ the determinant whose $(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} p_j + k + 1)$ -st column is given by the vector

$$[\nu_{i,k}(z), (\nu_{i,k}(z))^{(1)}, \dots, (\nu_{i,k}(z))^{(p-1)}]^{tr}.$$

Then there holds the following

LEMMA 2. For each $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ one has $A_\nu(z) \neq 0$.

Proof. Assume $z \neq z_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$. Then, taking out the common factor $\mu(z)$ from each row of the determinant $A_\nu(z)$ and applying the Leibniz formula, its $(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} p_j + k + 1)$ -st column becomes:

$$\left[(z - z_i)^{k-p_i}, ((z - z_i)^{k-p_i})^{(1)} + \frac{\mu^{(1)}(z)}{\mu(z)} (z - z_i)^{k-p_i}, \dots, ((z - z_i)^{k-p_i})^{(p-1)} + \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{l} \frac{\mu^{(l)}(z)}{\mu(z)} ((z - z_i)^{k-p_i})^{(p-1-l)} \right]^{tr}.$$

Omitting in each row the linear combinations of preceding rows, it takes the form

$$[(z - z_i)^{k-p_i}, ((z - z_i)^{k-p_i})^{(1)}, \dots, ((z - z_i)^{k-p_i})^{(p-1)}]^{tr}.$$

Taking out the common factor $(-1)^{l-1}(l-1)$ from the l -th row, the column under consideration becomes

$$\left[\binom{p_i-k-1}{0} (z - z_i)^{k-p_i}, \binom{p_i-k}{1} (z - z_i)^{k-p_i-1}, \dots, \binom{p_i-k+p-2}{p-1} (z - z_i)^{k-p_i-p+1} \right]^{tr}.$$

Let $B_\nu(z)$ denote the determinant constructed by means of groups of columns of the form

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} \binom{0}{0} (z - z_i)^{-1} & \binom{1}{0} (z - z_i)^{-2} & \dots & \binom{p_i-1}{0} (z - z_i)^{-p_i} \\ \binom{1}{1} (z - z_i)^{-2} & \binom{2}{1} (z - z_i)^{-3} & \dots & \binom{p_i}{1} (z - z_i)^{-p_i-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \binom{p_i-1}{p_i-1} (z - z_i)^{-p_i} & \binom{p_i}{p_i-1} (z - z_i)^{-p_i-1} & \dots & \binom{2p_i-2}{p_i-1} (z - z_i)^{-2p_i+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \binom{p-1}{p-1} (z - z_i)^{-p} & \binom{p}{p-1} (z - z_i)^{-p-1} & \dots & \binom{p+p_i-2}{p-1} (z - z_i)^{-p-p_i+1} \end{array} \right];$$

then

$$A_\nu(z) = \mu(z)^p \cdot (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)} (p-1)! (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^s p_i(p_i-1)} B_\nu(z).$$

We transform the determinant $B_\nu(z)$ as follows: we begin by the last but one and we end on the first column of each group of columns, multiplying a given column by $-(z-z_i)^{-1}$ and adding to the next column. Proceeding similarly, stopping the procedure successively at the second, third, ... and finally the last but first column of a given group of columns, we finally obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \binom{0}{0} (z-z_i)^{-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \binom{1}{1} (z-z_i)^{-2} & \binom{1}{0} (z-z_i)^{-3} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \binom{p_i-1}{p_i-1} (z-z_i)^{-p_i} & \binom{p_i-1}{p_i-2} (z-z_i)^{-p_i-1} \dots \binom{p_i-1}{0} (z-z_i)^{-2p_i+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \binom{p-1}{p-1} (z-z_i)^{-p} & \binom{p-1}{p-2} (z-z_i)^{-p-1} \dots \binom{p-1}{p-p_i} (z-z_i)^{-p-p_i+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Taking out the common factor $(z-z_i)^{-p_i^2}$, we obtain the group of columns $K_{p_i}((z-z_i)^{-1})$. With regard to Lemma 1, we obtain

$$B_\nu(z) = \prod_{i=1}^s (z-z_i)^{-p_i} \cdot \prod_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ j>i}}^s (z-z_j)^{-p_j p_i} (z-z_i)^{-p_j p_i} (z_i-z_j)^{p_j p_i}.$$

Thus, after insertion into $A_\nu(z)$ we have

$$A_\nu(z) = (p-1)! \prod_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ j>i}}^s (z_j-z_i)^{p_j p_i}.$$

Since $A_\nu(z)$ is continuous, $A_\nu(z) = \text{const} \neq 0$.

LEMMA 3. For any polynomial w_n ($n = 0, 1, \dots$), there always exists exactly one solution of the set of linear equations of the form

$$(1) \quad w_n(z) \equiv \sum_{h=0}^l \mu^h(z) \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,\dots,s \\ k=0,1,\dots,p_i-1}} X_{i,k}^{h,n} v_{i,k}(z),$$

where l is chosen in such a manner that $n < p(l+1)$, $p = \sum_{i=1}^s p_i$, where

$$(2) \quad X_{i,k}^{0,n} = \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ \frac{w_n(z)}{v_{i,0}(z)} \right\}^{(k)}(z_i).$$

Note. By $\{\cdot\}^{(k)}(z_i)$ we understand the derivative of order k calculated at the point z_i .

Proof. We begin by proving that the set of solutions of (1) is not empty.

From Euclids' algorithm, we have

$$(3) \quad w_n(z) \equiv \sum_{h=0}^l \mu^{(h)}(z) \vartheta_{h,n}(z),$$

where $\vartheta_{h,n}$ is a polynomial, and degree $\vartheta_{h,n} < p$. Moreover, degree $\vartheta_{l,n} = n - lp < p$, i. e. $n < p(l + 1)$.

We now compare (3) and (1). This system is satisfied if, for $h = 0, 1, \dots, l$,

$$\vartheta_{h,n}(z) = \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,\dots,s \\ k=0,1,\dots,p_i-1}} X_{i,k}^{h,n} v_{i,k}(z).$$

Keeping h fixed, this identity is fulfilled if

$$\{\vartheta_{h,n}(z)\}^{(j)} = \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,\dots,s \\ k=0,1,\dots,p_i-1}} X_{i,k}^{h,n} \{v_{i,k}(z)\}^{(j)} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1.$$

Since $A_\nu(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}$, it is always possible to choose constants $X_{i,k}^{h,n}$ in a manner to fulfil the latter condition.

We now proceed to show by complete induction with respect to k at fixed i that the first p unknowns are of the form (2). For $k = 0$, we have by (1)

$$w_n(z_i) = X_{i,0}^{0,n} v_{i,0}(z_i), \quad \text{i. e.} \quad X_{i,0}^{0,n} = \frac{1}{0!} \left\{ \frac{w_n(z)}{v_{i,0}(z)} \right\}^{(0)}(z_i).$$

Now we show that if the thesis true for $q_i - 1$, then it is also true for q_i , where $q_i < p_i$.

We note that the system (1) can be rewritten as:

$$w_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{q_i} X_{i,k}^{0,n} v_{i,k}(z) + (z - z_i)^{q_i+1} \vartheta(z),$$

where $\vartheta(z)$ is a polynomial.

Consequently,

$$X_{i,q_i}^{0,n} \{v_{i,q_i}(z)\}^{q_i}(z_i) = \{w_n(z)\}^{(q_i)}(z_i) - \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{q_i-1} X_{i,k}^{0,n} v_{i,k}(z) \right\}^{(q_i)}(z_i).$$

With regard to the relation

$$(4) \quad v_{i,k}(z) = v_{i,0}(z) \cdot (z - z_i)^k,$$

we have, on the one hand,

$$\{v_{i,k}(z)\}^{(q_i)}(z_i) = q! v_{i,0}(z_i) \neq 0$$

and, on the other (by the Leibniz formula, dropping zero terms)

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{q_i-1} X_{i,k}^{0,n} v_{i,k}(z) \right\}^{(q_i)}(z_i) = \sum_{l=0}^{q_i-1} \binom{q_i}{l} \{v_{i,0}(z)\}^{(q_i-l)}(z_i) \cdot X_{i,l}^{0,n} \cdot l!.$$

Applying now the induction hypothesis and then the Leibniz formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q_i! v_{i,0}(z_i) X_{i,q_i}^{0,n} &= \{w_n(z)\}^{(q_i)}(z_i) - \sum_{l=1}^{q_i-1} \binom{q_i}{l} \{v_{i,0}(z)\}^{(q_i-l)}(z_i) \cdot \left\{ \frac{w_n(z)}{v_{i,0}(z)} \right\}^{(l)}(z_i) \\ &= v_{i,0}(z_i) \left\{ \frac{w_n(z)}{v_{i,0}(z)} \right\}^{(q_i)}(z_i). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.

At the same time, this proves the uniqueness of the existence of the first p solutions. That of the remaining ones can be proved similarly. Q.E.D.

It should be noted that, with regard to the preceding lemma, the set of polynomials $v_{i,k}(z)$ is linearly independent.

Now let $\mu(z)$ stand for the minimal zeroing polynomial of a square matrix \mathcal{C} of degree n , and $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ for its spectrum. By the Cayley–Hamilton theorem, the inequality: degree $\mu \leq n$ holds (cf. [2], p. 270). Hence, Lemma 3 leads to the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. *If f denotes an arbitrary polynomial, considered as an operator acting on the matrix \mathcal{C} , then*

$$(5) \quad f(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,\dots,s \\ k=0,1,\dots,p_i-1}} \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{v_{i,0}(z)} \right\}^{(k)}(z_i) v_{i,k}(\mathcal{C}),$$

where $z_i \in \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ and, if there exist p matrices $\mathcal{C}_{i,k}$ such that there holds

$$(6) \quad f(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,\dots,s \\ k=0,1,\dots,p_i-1}} \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{v_{i,0}(z)} \right\}^{(k)}(z_i) \mathcal{C}_{i,k}$$

for an arbitrary polynomial f , then $\mathcal{C}_{i,k} = v_{i,k}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof. Equation (5) results from (1) on omitting terms equal to the matrix \mathcal{C} . Now, with regard to (4), one has:

$$\frac{1}{l!} \left\{ \frac{v_{i,k}(z)}{v_{j,0}(z)} \right\}^{(l)}(z_j) = \delta_{i,j} \delta_{k,l}$$

whence, on putting $f = v_{i,k}$ in (6), we have $v_{i,k}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}_{i,k}$. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 4. If \mathcal{C} is a non-singular matrix, then

$$\mathcal{C}^{-1} = \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,\dots,s \\ k=0,1,\dots,p_i-1}} \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ \frac{z^{-1}}{v_{i,0}(z)} \right\}^{(k)} (z_i) v_{i,k}(\mathcal{C}), \quad \text{where } z_i \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}).$$

Proof. Let $\mu^*(z) = \prod_{i=1}^s (z - z_i^{-1})^{p_i}$. Then, by the equality

$$\mu(\mathcal{C}) = (-1)^p \mathcal{C}^p \prod_{i=1}^s z_i^{p_i} \cdot \mu^*(\mathcal{C}^{-1}),$$

$\mu^*(z)$ is the minimal polynomial zeroing the matrix \mathcal{C}^{-1} . We introduce the following definition:

$$v_{i,k}^*(z) = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^s (z - z_j^{-1})^{p_j} \cdot (z - z_i^{-1})^k.$$

Note that $z^p v_{i,k}^*(z^{-1})$ is a polynomial in the variable z . Thus, by Theorem 1, the matrix $\mathcal{C}^p v_{i,k}^*(\mathcal{C}^{-1})$ is a linear combination of matrices $v_{i,k}(\mathcal{C})$. Also, by Theorem 1, one has the equality

$$(\mathcal{C}^{-1})^{p+1} = \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,\dots,s \\ k=0,1,\dots,p_i-1}} \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ \frac{z^{p+1}}{v_{i,0}^*(z)} \right\}^{(k)} (z_i^{-1}) v_{i,k}^*(\mathcal{C}^{-1}).$$

Consequently, \mathcal{C}^{-1} is a linear combination of matrices $v_{i,k}(\mathcal{C})$. Hence, if $\vartheta(z)$ is a polynomial corresponding to this linear combination, then the function $f(z) = z(z^{-1} - \vartheta(z))$ is a polynomial with the property $f(\mathcal{C}) = \vartheta$. As a consequence, $z^{-1} - \vartheta(z) = \mu(z) \chi(z) z^{-1}$, where $\chi(z)$ is a polynomial, and $\{z^{-1} - \vartheta(z)\}^{(k)}(z_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, p_i - 1$, $z_i \in \sigma(\mathcal{C})$. Further steps of the proof are identical with those of (2) in Lemma 3. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 5. For any $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{C})'$, the following formula holds:

$$(7) \quad (\lambda I - \mathcal{C})^{-1} = \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,\dots,s \\ k=0,1,\dots,p_i-1}} \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda - z)^{-1}}{v_{i,0}(z)} \right\}^{(k)} (z_i) v_{i,k}(\mathcal{C}), \quad \text{where } z_i \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}).$$

Proof. Note that $\mu^*(z) = \prod_{i=1}^s (z - z_i + \lambda)^{p_i}$ is the minimal polynomial zeroing the matrix $\mathcal{C} - \lambda I$. We use the definition

$$v_{i,k}^*(z) = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^s (z - z_j + \lambda)^{p_j} \cdot (z - z_i + \lambda)^k.$$

We now have, by Lemma 4,

$$(\mathcal{C} - \lambda I)^{-1} = \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,\dots,s \\ k=0,1,\dots,p_i-1}} \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ \frac{z^{-1}}{v_{i,0}^*(z)} \right\}^{(k)} (z_i - \lambda) v_{i,k}^*(\mathcal{C} - \lambda I).$$

Since $v_{i,k}^*(z) = v_{i,k}(z + \lambda)$ and $\{(\cdot)(z)\}^{(k)}(z_i - \lambda) = \{(\cdot)(z - \lambda)\}^{(k)}(z_i)$, we obtain formula (7) which is equivalent to the thesis of this lemma. Q.E.D.

Let $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$ denote the class of functions holomorphic in an open set containing $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$. If $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$, we define:

$$(8) \quad f(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\lambda)}{\lambda I - \mathcal{C}} d\lambda,$$

where Γ is a contour consisting of a finite number of curves Γ_j , enclosing $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ and satisfying the assumptions needed for the Cauchy formula. This definition is of use in the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$, then the matrix $f(\mathcal{C})$ is uniquely determined by the formula:*

$$(9) \quad f(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{\substack{j=1,2,\dots,s \\ k=0,1,\dots,p_j-1}} \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{v_{j,0}(z)} \right\}^{(k)}(z_j) v_{j,k}(\mathcal{C}), \quad \text{where } z_j \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}).$$

Proof. By Lemma 5, the right-hand term of equation (8) is an integral of a matrix polynomial. We note that, with regard to the uniform convergence of the integrals, the following equality holds:

$$\frac{1!}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda - z)^{-1} f(\lambda)}{v_{j,0}(z)} \right\}^{(k)}(z_j) d\lambda = \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{v_{j,0}(z)} \right\}^{(k)}(z_j),$$

its right-hand term being independent of the contour Γ . Hence, on placing the sum before the integration sign, we obtain (9). Uniqueness of this representation follows from the linear independence of the system of matrices $v_{j,k}(\mathcal{C})$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, p_j - 1$, immediately.

THEOREM 3. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$, then equation (9) holds, if and only if, there exists a polynomial $g(z)$ such that*

$$(10) \quad f(\mathcal{C}) = g(\mathcal{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad f^{(k)}(z_i) = g^{(k)}(z_i) \\ \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, p_i - 1, z_i \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}).$$

If, moreover, degree of g is $\leq p$, then the polynomial g is determined uniquely.

Proof. Sufficiency of condition (10) follows from Theorem 2. In order to prove necessity of (10), it suffices to take

$$g(z) = \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,\dots,s \\ k=0,1,\dots,p_i-1}} \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{v_{i,0}(z)} \right\}^{(k)}(z_i) v_{i,k}(z).$$

Then, $f(\mathcal{C}) = g(\mathcal{C})$, and formula (9) holds. Since the representation is unique, we get

$$\left\{ \frac{f(z)}{\nu_{i,0}(z)} \right\}^{(k)}(z_i) = \left\{ \frac{g(z)}{\nu_{i,0}(z)} \right\}^{(k)}(z_i) \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, p_i - 1, z_i \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}).$$

From the Leibniz formula we obtain after a rearrangement

$$\sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{l} \{\nu_{i,0}(z)^{-1}\}^{(k-l)}(z_i) \{f(z) - g(z)\}^{(l)}(z_i) = 0$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, p_i - 1, z_i \in \sigma(\mathcal{C})$. Since for fixed i the determinant of this system is $\nu_{i,0}(z_i)^{-p_i} \neq 0$, relations (10) are necessary. Q.E.D.

We now proceed to formulate theorems concerning the minimal zeroing polynomial.

THEOREM 4. Let $\mu(z) = \prod_{i=1}^s (z - z_i)^{p_i}$ be the minimal polynomial zeroing the matrix \mathcal{C} and let $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$. If f possesses the following properties:

1° Let Q_l be the set of those i -s corresponding to $z_i \in \sigma(\mathcal{C})$, for which the function f takes the same value (denoted by u_l) and r is the number of all distinct numbers u_l ,

2° the point $z_i \in \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ is an r_i -fold point of the function f , then the minimal polynomial zeroing the matrix $f(\mathcal{C})$ is of the form:

$$\mu^f(z) = \prod_{l=1}^r (z - u_l)_{q_l}, \quad \text{where } q_l = \min \{q \in \mathcal{N} : r_i q \geq p_i, i \in Q_l\}.$$

Proof. The function f can be dealt with as a polynomial. Since, in neighbourhood of an r_i -fold point z_i , the function f can be represented in the form: $f(z) = u_l + (z - z_i)^{r_i} g_i(z)$, where $g_i(z_i) \neq 0$, and $r_i q_i \geq p_i > k$, by applying equation (9) to the polynomial $\prod_{m=1}^r [f(z) - u_m]^{q_m}$ it is seen that the coefficient by the matrix $\nu_{i,k}(\mathcal{C})$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{k!} \left\{ (z - z_i)^{r_i q_l} g_i(z)^{q_l} \nu_{i,0}(z)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq l}}^r [f(z) - u_m]^{q_m} \right\}^{(k)}(z_i) = 0.$$

$$\text{Hence, } \prod_{m=1}^r [f(\mathcal{C}) - u_m I]^{q_m} = \mathcal{O}.$$

If $\mu^f(z)$ denotes the minimal polynomial zeroing the matrix $f(\mathcal{C})$, then $\mu^f(z) | \prod_{m=1}^r (z - u_m)^{q_m}$. Since the matrix $f(\mathcal{C})$ is not zero for the polynomial

$\prod_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq l}}^r (z - u_m)^{q_m} (z - u_l)^{q_l - 1}$, because for $h = r_i(q_l - 1) \leq (p_i - 1)$ the coefficient by the matrix $v_{i,h}(\mathcal{C})$ is

$$g_i(z_i)^{q_l - 1} v_{i,0}(z_i)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq l}}^r (u - u_m)^{q_m} \neq 0,$$

we have $\mu^f(z) = \prod_{m=1}^r (z - u_m)^{q_m}$. Q.E.D.

The last theorem leads to the following corollaries:

COROLLARY 1. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$, then $\text{degree } \mu^f = \text{degree } \mu$, if and only if,*

1. *the function f is one-to-one on $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$,*
2. *for each $z_i \in \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ for which $p_i \geq 2$, $f'(z_i) \neq 0$.*

COROLLARY 2. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$, then $\text{degree } \mu^f \leq \text{degree } \mu$.*

Moreover, the following theorem holds:

THEOREM 5 *If $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$, there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{F}(f(\mathcal{C}))$ of the property $(g \circ f)(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$ if and only if*

$$\text{degree } \mu^f = \text{degree } \mu.$$

Proof. Since, by Corollary 2, we have

$$\text{degree } \mu = \text{degree } \mu^{g \circ f} \leq \text{degree } \mu^f \leq \text{degree } \mu,$$

it results that the condition $\text{degree } \mu^f = \text{degree } \mu$ is necessary. Inversely, from Corollary 1 and Theorem 3 follows that the function f can be considered as a polynomial with the property $f'(z_i) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$. Then from the fact that zeros of a holomorphic are isolated, inverse function exists in some neighbourhood of $\sigma(f(\mathcal{C}))$. Q.E.D.

Assume r, q_i, u_i, Q_i as having the same meaning as in Theorem 4.

THEOREM 6. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$ and if $|\mathcal{C} - zI| = (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^s (z - z_i)^{a_i}$ denotes the characteristic polynomial of matrix \mathcal{C} , then the characteristic polynomial of the matrix $f(\mathcal{C})$ is of the form*

$$|f(\mathcal{C}) - zI| = (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^r (z - u_i)^{\beta_i}, \quad \text{where } \beta_i = \sum_{i \in Q_l} a_i \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i = n.$$

Proof. The function f can be considered as a polynomial. Applying equation (9) to the function $[f(z) - u_i]^{q_i}$ and making use of the relations

$$\sum_{i \in Q_l} \frac{1}{k!} \{v_{i,0}(z)^{-1} [f(z) - u_i]^{q_i}\}^{(k)}(z_i) v_{i,k}(\mathcal{C}) = 0, \\ k=0, 1, \dots, p_i - 1$$

$v_{i,k}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{A} \cdot \prod_{j \in Q_l} (\mathcal{C} - z_j I)^{p_j}$ for $i \notin Q_l$, where \mathcal{A} is a matrix, we obtain

$$[f(\mathcal{C}) - u_l I]^{q_l} = \mathcal{B} \cdot \prod_{i \in Q_l} (\mathcal{C} - z_i I)^{p_i},$$

where \mathcal{B} is a matrix. Hence any vector which zeroes the matrix $(\mathcal{C} - z_i I)^{p_i}$, where $i \in Q_l$, also zeroes the matrix $[f(\mathcal{C}) - u_l I]^{q_l}$. By a theorem of [2] (p. H, p. 273), the multiplicity of the eigenvalue u_l which is β_l , fulfils the inequality $\beta_l \geq \sum_{i \in Q_l} a_i$. Since, moreover, the inequality $n = \sum_{l=1}^r \beta_l \geq \sum_{l=1}^r \sum_{i \in Q_l} a_i = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i = n$ holds, we obtain $\beta_l = \sum_{i \in Q_l} a_i$, proving the theorem.

References

- [1] Nelson Dunford and Jacob T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, Part I (1958).
- [2] L. S. Pontriagin, *Równania różniczkowe zwyczajne*, PWN, Warszawa 1964 (in Polish).