



P. PYCH (Poznań)

## Theorems of the Romanovski type for the Denjoy–Perron integrals

1. Let  $D_{\langle a, b \rangle}^*$  be the class of all functions  $f$  integrable in the Denjoy–Perron sense on the interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$ . In this case the function  $f$  is said to be  $D^*$ -integrable on  $\langle a, b \rangle$ . Denote by  $\Phi(t, x, \xi)$  a function bounded in  $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$  and  $x \in \langle a', b' \rangle$  ( $a < a' \leq b' < b$ ) for every fixed  $\xi \in E$ , where  $E$  is a given set of numbers with an accumulation point  $\xi_0$ . Suppose that

$$(1) \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_0} \int_a^\beta \Phi(t, x, \xi) dt = 1$$

for each  $\alpha, \beta$  such that  $a \leq \alpha < x < \beta \leq b$ . Further restrictions on  $\Phi(t, x, \xi)$  will be specified below.

In the present paper we generalize Theorem 3 of [1] concerning the convergence of integrals

$$(2) \quad U(x, \xi; f) = (D^*) \int_a^b f(t) \Phi(t, x, \xi) dt.$$

Following Krein–Levin [2], we shall weaken slightly the hypotheses of this theorem.

Given any  $2\pi$ -periodic function  $f \in D_{\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle}^*$ , we consider also the operator

$$(3) \quad W(x, \xi; f) = (D^*) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) K(t-x, \xi) dt,$$

where the kernel  $K(t, \xi)$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic, even, bounded, non-negative and non-increasing in  $t$  on  $\langle 0, \pi \rangle$  for every  $\xi \in E$ , and such that

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_0} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K(t, \xi) dt = 1.$$

We complete Theorem 3.1 of [5] by establishing a similar fact for the Denjoy–Perron integrals.

2. We shall begin with some auxiliary results.

LEMMA 1. Let  $g(t)$  be a function of bounded variation in every interval  $\langle a + \eta, b \rangle$  ( $0 < \eta < b - a$ ) and such that  $\int_a^b \text{var } g(t) ds < \infty$ . Consider  $f \in D_{\langle a, b \rangle}^*$  for which

$$M = \sup_{0 < h \leq b - a} \left| \frac{1}{h} (D^*) \int_a^{a+h} f(t) dt \right| < \infty.$$

Then the function  $f(t)g(t)$  is  $D^*$ -integrable on  $\langle a, b \rangle$  and

$$\left| (D^*) \int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt \right| \leq M \int_a^b \{ \text{var } g(t) + |g(b)| \} ds.$$

The proof runs as in 2.1 of [5] (see also [4], p. 246). Clearly, the related estimate as in Remark of [5], p. 175, remains also true.

Given any  $f \in D_{\langle a, b \rangle}^*$ , we set

$$F(u) = (D^*) \int_a^u f(t) dt, \quad u \in \langle a, b \rangle.$$

Consider the function  $g(t)$  of bounded variation in  $\langle a, \beta \rangle \subset \langle a, b \rangle$ . By the Jordan decomposition,  $g(t) = g_1(t) - g_2(t)$ , where  $g_1(t)$ ,  $g_2(t)$  are non-negative and non-decreasing, either  $g_1(a) = 0$  or  $g_2(a) = 0$ , and  $\text{var } g(t) = \text{var } g_1(t) + \text{var } g_2(t)$ . In view of the second mean value theorem ([4], p. 246),

$$(D^*) \int_a^\beta f(t)g(t) dt = g_1(\beta) (D^*) \int_{\xi_1}^\beta f(t) dt - g_2(\beta) (D^*) \int_{\xi_2}^\beta f(t) dt,$$

where  $a < \xi_1, \xi_2 < \beta$ . Without loss of generality it can be assumed  $g_1(a) = 0$ ,  $\xi_1 \leq \xi_2$ . Hence,

$$\left| (D^*) \int_a^\beta f(t)g(t) dt \right| \leq g_1(\beta) |F(\xi_2) - F(\xi_1)| + |g(\beta)| |F(\beta) - F(\xi_2)|,$$

and

$$g_1(\beta) = \text{var } g_1(t) \leq \text{var } g(t).$$

Denote by  $\omega(F) = \omega(F; \langle a, \beta \rangle)$  the oscillation of  $F$  over the interval  $\langle a, \beta \rangle$ . Then, it is easy to see that inequality (1) of [1], given without proof, may be stated in a slightly more precise form:

$$(4) \quad \left| (D^*) \int_a^\beta f(t)g(t) dt \right| \leq \left\{ \text{var } g(t) + \sup_{a \leq t \leq \beta} |g(t)| \right\} \omega(F).$$

Now let  $g(t, x, \xi)$  be the function of bounded variation with respect to  $t$  in  $\langle a, b \rangle$  for every  $x \in \langle a', b' \rangle$  ( $a < a' \leq b' < b$ ),  $\xi \in E$ . Consider a fixed  $x_0 \in \langle a, b \rangle$ .

LEMMA 2. Suppose that for every  $c$  ( $a \leq c \leq b$ )

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_0} \int_a^c g(t, x, \xi) dt = 0$$

uniformly in  $x \in \langle a', b' \rangle$ . If there exist a constant  $L > 0$  such that

$$|g(t, x, \xi)| \leq L, \quad \text{var}_{a \leq t \leq b} g(t, x, \xi) \leq L$$

whenever  $x \in \langle a', b' \rangle$ ,  $\xi \in E$ , then

$$\lim_{(x, \xi) \rightarrow (x_0, \xi_0)} (D^*) \int_a^b f(t) g(t, x, \xi) dt = 0$$

for any  $f \in D_{\langle a, b \rangle}^*$ .

The idea of the proof, which is directly based on the fundamental Romanovski's lemma ([3], §2), is similar to that of Theorem 2 in [1]. We must only notice that inequality (4) leads to

$$\omega(F_{\xi}^x; \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle) \leq 2\omega(F; \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle),$$

where

$$F_{\xi}^x(u) = (D^*) \int_a^u f(t) g(t, x, \xi) dt.$$

Therefore, the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega(F_{\xi}^x; \langle \alpha_k, \beta_k \rangle)$$

converges uniformly in  $x \in \langle a', b' \rangle$  and  $\xi \in E$ .

3. Now, we shall present two theorems concerning the convergence of operators (2) and (3) at the points  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  for which

$$(5) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (D^*) \int_{x_0}^{x_0+h} f(t) dt = f(x_0).$$

THEOREM 1. Let the function  $\Phi(t, x_0, \xi)$ , introduced in par. 1, be of bounded variation in  $t$  outside every subinterval  $(x_0 - \varepsilon, x_0 + \varepsilon)$  of  $\langle a, b \rangle$ , and such that

$$(6) \quad \int_a^{x_0} \text{var}_{a \leq t \leq s} \Phi(t, x_0, \xi) ds + \int_{x_0}^b \text{var}_{x_0 \leq t \leq b} \Phi(t, x_0, \xi) ds \leq C(x_0),$$

where  $C(x_0)$  is a constant depending only on  $x_0$ . Then, for any  $f \in D_{\langle a, b \rangle}^*$ ,

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_0} U(x_0, \xi; f) = f(x_0).$$

**Proof.** Of course, it is enough to show that

$$I(x_0, \xi) = (D^*) \int_a^b \{f(t) - f(x_0)\} \Phi(t, x_0, \xi) dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \xi \rightarrow \xi_0.$$

In view of (5), given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left| \frac{1}{h} (D^*) \int_{x_0}^{x_0 \pm h} \{f(t) - f(x_0)\} dt \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Let us consider first the interval  $\langle x_0 + \delta, b \rangle$ . Since the function  $v(s) = \text{var}_{s \leq t \leq b} \Phi(t, x_0, \xi)$  is non-increasing, inequality (6) leads to

$$(7) \quad C(x_0) \geq \int_{x_0 + \delta}^{x_0 + \delta} v(s) ds \geq \frac{1}{2} \delta \cdot v(x_0 + \delta)$$

for  $t \in \langle x_0 + \delta, b \rangle$ . Consequently,

$$\text{var}_{x_0 + \delta \leq t \leq b} \Phi(t, x_0, \xi) \leq \frac{2}{\delta} C(x_0) \quad (\xi \in E).$$

The family  $\Phi(t, x_0, \xi)$  is uniformly bounded in  $t \in \langle x_0 + \delta, b \rangle$  and  $\xi$  near to  $\xi_0$ . To establish this, suppose that  $\limsup_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_0} |\Phi(b, x_0, \xi)| = \infty$ . Then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(b, x_0, n_k) = \pm \infty$  for a certain sequence  $n_k$ . For example, when the above limit is equal to  $+\infty$  we should have, by (7),

$$C(x_0) \geq \frac{1}{2} \delta \{ \Phi(b, x_0, n_k) - \Phi(t, x_0, n_k) \}.$$

Hence,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t, x_0, n_k) = \infty$  uniformly in  $t \in \langle x_0 + \delta, b \rangle$ . Then, for any  $N > 0$ , there would be an integer  $K(N)$ , that  $\Phi(t, x_0, n_k) \geq N$  for  $k > K(N)$ ,  $t \in \langle x_0 + \delta, b \rangle$ . Consequently

$$\int_{x_0 + \delta}^b \Phi(t, x_0, n_k) dt \geq (b - x_0 - \delta) \cdot N \quad \text{for } k > K(N),$$

and

$$\limsup_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_0} (D^*) \int_{x_0 + \delta}^b \Phi(t, x_0, \xi) dt = \infty.$$

But this clearly contradicts (1). Thus,  $\Phi(b, x_0, \xi)$  remains bounded in a neighbourhood of  $\xi_0$ . By (7),

$$|\Phi(t, x_0, \xi) - \Phi(b, x_0, \xi)| \leq \frac{2}{\delta} C(x_0) \quad \text{when } t \in \langle x_0 + \delta, b \rangle,$$

and the above statement follows.

By symmetry,  $\text{var} \Phi(t, x_0, \xi)$  and  $\Phi(t, x_0, \xi)$  are uniformly bounded in  $t \in \langle a, x_0 - \delta \rangle$  and  $\xi$  near to  $\xi_0$ .

Let us pass on now to the integral  $I(x_0, \xi)$ . Write

$$I(x_0, \xi) = (D^*) \left( \int_a^{x_0+\delta} + \int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0+\delta} + \int_{x_0+\delta}^b \right) \{f(t) - f(x_0)\} \Phi(t, x_0, \xi) dt = I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

Applying Lemma 2 to the interval  $\langle x_0 + \delta, b \rangle$  with  $x = x_0$ , we get  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_0} I_3 = 0$ . Analogously,  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_0} I_1 = 0$ . Finally, by Lemma 1,

$$|I_2| \leq \varepsilon \{C(x_0) + \delta \cdot |\Phi(x_0 - \delta, x_0, \xi)| + \delta \cdot |\Phi(x_0 + \delta, x_0, \xi)|\}.$$

Hence  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_0} I_2 = 0$ , and this completes the proof.

Remark. If  $x_0$  is an end-point of  $\langle a, b \rangle$ , it is sufficient to restrict the assumptions of Theorem 1 to the corresponding intervals on one side of  $x_0$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that the kernel  $K(t, \xi)$  satisfies the conditions listed in par. 1, and that*

$$(8) \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_0} K(t, \xi) = 0 \quad \text{if } 0 < t \leq \pi.$$

Then

$$\lim W(x, \xi; f) = f(x_0)$$

as  $(x, \xi) \rightarrow (x_0, \xi_0)$  on any plane set  $Z$  in which the function  $\lambda(x, \xi) = (x - x_0)K(0, \xi)$  is bounded.

Proof. Write

$$\begin{aligned} & (D^*) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \{f(t) - f(x_0)\} K(t - x, \xi) dt \\ &= (D^*) \left( \int_{-\pi}^{x_0-\delta} + \int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0+\delta} + \int_{x_0+\delta}^{\pi} \right) \{f(t) - f(x_0)\} K(t - x, \xi) dt = J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned}$$

We may clearly assume that  $-\pi < x_0 \leq 0$  and  $0 < \delta < \pi + x_0$ ,  $0 < x_0 - x < \frac{1}{2}\delta$ .

Applying Lemma 1 and arguing as in [5], p. 176, we obtain  $\lim J_2 = 0$  as  $(x, \xi) \rightarrow (x_0, \xi_0)$  on  $Z$ .

By the hypotheses,

$$K(t - x, \xi) \leq K(\frac{1}{2}\delta, \xi) \quad \text{when } t \in \langle -\pi, x_0 - \delta \rangle$$

and

$$\text{var}_{-\pi \leq t \leq x_0 - \delta} K(t - x, \xi) = K(x_0 - x - \delta, \xi) \leq K(\frac{1}{2}\delta, \xi);$$

moreover, for any  $\eta \in \langle -\pi, x_0 - \delta \rangle$ ,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\eta} K(t - x, \xi) dt \leq 2\pi \cdot K(x_0 - \delta - x, \xi) \leq 2\pi \cdot K(\frac{1}{2}\delta, \xi).$$

It follows at once from (8) that

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_0} \int_{-\pi}^{\eta} K(t-x, \xi) dt = 0$$

uniformly in  $x$  ( $|x-x_0| < \frac{1}{2}\delta$ ), and that the function  $K(t-x, \xi)$  and its variation in  $t$  in the interval  $\langle -\pi, x_0-\delta \rangle$  are bounded uniformly in  $x \in (x_0 - \frac{1}{2}\delta, x_0 + \frac{1}{2}\delta)$  and  $\xi$  near to  $\xi_0$ . Hence, by Lemma 2,  $\lim J_1 = 0$  as  $(x, \xi) \rightarrow (x_0, \xi_0)$ . Analogously  $\lim J_3 = 0$ . Thus the proof is finished.

4. As an application of our main results, let us consider the Jackson integral of  $2\pi$ -periodic function  $f \in D_{\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle}^*$ , i.e.

$$U_n(x; f) = (D^*) \int_0^{\pi} f_x(t) \Phi_n(t, 0) dt,$$

where

$$f_x(t) = \frac{f(x+t) + f(x-t)}{2}, \quad \Phi_n(t, x) = \frac{3}{\pi n(2n^2+1)} \left\{ \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2} n(t-x)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(t-x)} \right\}^4.$$

The kernel  $\Phi_n(t) = \Phi_n(t, 0)$  is non-increasing in  $t \in \langle 0, \pi/n \rangle$ , and

$$\text{var}_{s \leq t \leq \pi} \Phi_n(t) = \int_s^{\pi} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \Phi_n(t) \right| dt \leq \pi^3 n^{-2} s^{-3} \quad (0 < s \leq \pi).$$

Therefore,  $\Phi_n(t)$  is of bounded variation with respect to  $t$  in every interval  $\langle \varepsilon, \pi \rangle$  ( $0 < \varepsilon < \pi$ ), and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\pi} \text{var}_{s \leq t \leq \pi} \Phi_n(t) ds &= \int_0^{\pi/n} \text{var}_{s \leq t \leq \pi/n} \Phi_n(t) ds + \frac{\pi}{n} \cdot \text{var}_{\pi/n \leq t \leq \pi} \Phi_n(t) + \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \text{var}_{s \leq t \leq \pi} \Phi_n(t) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^{\pi/n} \{\Phi_n(s) - \Phi_n(\pi/n)\} ds + \pi + \frac{\pi^3}{n^2} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} s^{-3} ds \leq \frac{3}{2}(1 + \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in view of Theorem 1, the relation

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (D^*) \int_0^h \{f(x+t) - 2f(x) + f(x-t)\} dt = 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (D^*) \int_0^h f_x(t) dt = f_x(0)$$

implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_n(x; f) = f(x).$$

Now, let us observe that a biharmonic function  $W(x, r; f)$  in the unit disc  $|r \cdot e^{ix}| < 1$  may be represented in the form

$$W(x, r; f) = (D^*) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) K(t-x, r) dt \quad (f \in D_{\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle}^*),$$

where

$$K(t, r) = \frac{(1-r^2)^2(1-r \cos t)}{2\pi(1+r^2-2r \cos t)^2}.$$

Applying Theorem 2 we obtain

$$\lim W(x, r; f) = f(x_0)$$

as  $(x, r)$  tends to  $(x_0, 1)$  in such a manner so that  $|x-x_0|/(1-r) \leq C$  ( $C = \text{const}$ ).

Finally, we note that (7.9), (ii) of [6], p. 101, extended to  $f \in D_{\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle}^*$ , is also a special case of Theorem 2.

#### References

- [1] А. Г. Джваршейшвили, *О представлении функций, интегрируемой в смысле Денжуа-Перрона, сингулярными интегралами*, Сообщения Акад. Наук Груз. ССР 11, 8 (1950), p. 473-478.
- [2] С. Г. Крейн и Б. Я. Левин, *О сходимости сингулярных интегралов*, Доклады Акад. Наук СССР 60 (1948), p. 13-16.
- [3] P. Romanovski, *Essai d'une exposition de l'intégrale de Denjoy sans nombres transfinis*, Fund. Math. 19 (1932), p. 38-44.
- [4] S. Saks, *Theory of the integral*, New York 1937.
- [5] R. Taberski, *Singular integrals depending on two parameters*, Prace Mat. 7 (1962), p. 173-179.
- [6] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, I, Cambridge 1959.