

DON A. MATTSON (Hartford, Conn.)

Proximity mappings and induced homomorphisms

Let (X, δ) and (Y, σ) be proximity spaces, with proximity relations δ and σ for X and Y , respectively. By $P^*(X)$ we denote the algebra of bounded, real-valued δ -mappings on X , where the proximity relation on the real numbers is that induced by the usual metric. In part 1 of this paper we consider the duality between δ -mappings t of X into Y and the corresponding induced homomorphisms t' of $P^*(Y)$ into $P^*(X)$.

In [6] Smirnov showed that every proximity space (X, δ) is a dense subspace of a compact Hausdorff space δX , where $A\delta B$ in X if and only if the closures of A and B in δX meet. Other proofs of this result have been given by Leader [5] and Császár and Mrówka [1]. In part 2 we obtain δX as an upper semi-continuous decomposition of βX , the Stone-Cech compactification of X . From this results follow concerning the structure of maximal ideals in $P^*(X)$.

1. Induced homomorphisms of $P^*(X)$. We say that a proximity space (X, δ) is P^* -embedded in a proximity space (X_1, δ_1) if (X, δ) is a subspace of (X_1, δ_1) and if every function f in $P^*(X)$ has an extension f^* in $P^*(X_1)$.

If $A\bar{\delta}B$, where $\bar{\delta}$ denotes the negation of δ , there exists f in $P^*(X)$ such that $f[A] = 0$ and $f[B] = 1$. (See [2].)

THEOREM 1. *Let t be a δ -mapping of (X, δ) into (Y, σ) and let t' be the induced homomorphism $t'(f) = f \circ t$ from $P^*(Y)$ into $P^*(X)$.*

(A) *t' is an isomorphism if and only if $t[X]$ is dense in Y .*

(B) *t' is onto if and only if t is an equimorphism and $t[X]$ is P^* -embedded in Y .*

Proof. (A) The mapping t' is an isomorphism if and only if $t'(f) = \mathbf{0}$ implies $f = \mathbf{0}$. The latter condition holds if and only if $t[X]$ is dense in Y , since if a point y is remote from $t[X]$, then $\{y\}$ and $t[X]$ can be functionally separated by a member of $P^*(Y)$.

(B) For each $f \in P^*(X)$, suppose there exists $g \in P^*(Y)$ such that $t'(g) = f$. If $t(x_1) = t(x_2)$, then $(t'g)(x_1) = (t'g)(x_2)$ for all $g \in P^*(Y)$, which

implies $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$, for all $f \in P^*(X)$. Then $\{x_1\} \delta \{x_2\}$, so that $x_1 = x_2$. Thus t^{-1} is a well-defined mapping of $t[X]$ onto X .

Now let $C \sigma D$ in $t[X]$, and let $A = t^{-1}[C]$ and $B = t^{-1}[D]$. If $A \bar{\delta} B$, there exists $f \in P^*(X)$ such that $f[A]$ is remote from $f[B]$. But $t'(g) = g \circ t = f$, for some $g \in P^*(Y)$. Then $f[A] = g \circ t(t^{-1}[C]) = g[C]$ is remote from $f[B] = g \circ t(t^{-1}[D]) = g[D]$, which is a contradiction. Thus $A \delta B$, and t^{-1} is δ -mapping, so that t is an equimorphism.

Let $g_1 \in P^*(t[X])$. Then $g_1 \circ t \in P^*(X)$, and there exists $g \in P^*(Y)$ such that $t'(g) = g \circ t = g_1 \circ t$. Evidently g is an extension of g_1 to Y , and $t[X]$ is P^* -embedded in Y .

Conversely, let $f \in P^*(X)$. Since t^{-1} is a δ -mapping, $f \circ t^{-1} \in P^*(t[X])$. Then there exists $g \in P^*(Y)$ satisfying $g|t[X] = f \circ t^{-1}$. Thus $t'(g) = g \circ t = f \circ t^{-1} \circ t = f$, and t' is onto. This completes the proof.

It is easily verified that t is a δ -mapping of (X, δ) into (Y, σ) if and only if $t'[P^*(Y)] \subseteq P^*(X)$. From Theorem 1 it follows that if t is a homeomorphism of (X, δ) into (Y, σ) , then t is an equimorphism and $t[X]$ is P^* -embedded in Y if and only if $t'[P^*(Y)] = P^*(X)$.

Because two spaces X and Y may be homeomorphic but not equimorphic under a mapping t , we may have $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$ isomorphic by t' without $t'[P^*(Y)] = P^*(X)$.

We next consider when a homomorphism of $P^*(Y)$ into $P^*(X)$ is induced by a δ -mapping of (X, δ) into (Y, σ) .

THEOREM 2. *Let ψ be a homomorphism of $P^*(Y)$ into $P^*(X)$ with $\psi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$. If Y is compact, there exists a unique δ -mapping t of X into Y such that $t' = \psi$.*

Proof. For each $x \in X$, let λ_x be the homomorphism of $P^*(Y)$ into the real numbers defined by $\lambda_x(g) = (\psi g)(x)$. Since $\lambda_x(\mathbf{1}) = 1$, λ_x is not the zero homomorphism, and since $P^*(Y)$ contains the constant functions, λ_x is onto. Now $P^*(Y) = C(Y)$ since Y is compact, and the kernel of λ_x is a fixed real maximal ideal of $C(Y)$. (See [3].) Thus there is a unique point $y \in Y$ such that $(\psi g)(x) = g(y)$, for all $g \in C(Y)$.

Define $t(x) = y$ for each $x \in X$. Then t satisfies $t'(g) = \psi(g)$, for every $g \in C(Y)$. Let $A \delta B$ in X . If $t[A] \bar{\sigma} t[B]$ in Y , there exists $g \in C(Y)$ which completely separates $t[A]$ and $t[B]$. Then $t'(g) = \psi(g) \in P^*(X)$, which is a contradiction. Hence t is a δ -mapping. The uniqueness of t follows immediately, and the proof is complete.

Theorem 2 fails if Y is not compact. Let δX be the Smirnov compactification of a non-compact proximity space (X, δ) . Then there is an isomorphism ψ of $P^*(X)$ onto $P^*(\delta X)$, but by Theorem 1 ψ cannot be induced by an equimorphism of δX into X .

We now have the following corollary which concerns the extension of δ -mappings on X to δX :

COROLLARY 3. *Let (X, δ) be a dense subspace of (X_1, δ_1) . Then X is P^* -embedded in X_1 if and only if every δ -mapping t from X into a compact proximity space (Y, σ) has an extension to a proximity mapping of X_1 into Y .*

Proof. For necessity, let $g \in C(Y)$. Then $g \circ t \in P^*(X)$, and $g \circ t$ has an extension $(g \circ t)_1$ in $P^*(X_1)$. The mapping $\psi(g) = (g \circ t)_1$ is a homomorphism of $C(Y)$ into $P^*(X_1)$, and $\psi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$. By Theorem 2 there exists a δ -mapping t_1 of X_1 into Y such that $t'_1 = \psi$. For $x \in X$, then $g(t_1(x)) = (\psi g)(x) = (g \circ t)_1(x) = g(t(x))$, for all $g \in C(Y)$. Hence $t(x) = t_1(x)$, and t_1 is an extension of t .

Sufficiency is trivial. This completes the proof.

If Y is compact and ψ is a homomorphism of $P^*(Y)$ onto $P^*(X)$ with $\psi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$, then X is equimorphic to a P^* -embedded subspace of Y . Now Theorem 1 and Corollary 3 yield the following algebraic characterization of the Smirnov compactification of (X, δ) .

THEOREM 4. *A compact Hausdorff space Y is the Smirnov compactification of (X, δ) if and only if there exists an isomorphism ψ from $C(Y)$ onto $P^*(X)$ with $\psi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$.*

2. The Smirnov compactification. We next obtain the Smirnov compactification [6] of (X, δ) as an upper semi-continuous decomposition of βX , the Stone-Cech compactification of X .

THEOREM 5. *A proximity space (X, δ) is equimorphic to a dense subspace (X_1, δ_1) of a compact Hausdorff space δX . Every δ -mapping of X_1 into a compact proximity space (Y, σ) has an extension mapping δX into Y . Moreover, δX is an upper semi-continuous decomposition of βX .*

Proof. If $f \in P^*(X)$, then $f[X]$ is bounded and $\text{Cl}f[X]$ is compact. Let f^* denote the extension of f to βX . For points x and y of βX , define $x \rho y$ if and only if x and y are not distinguished by f^* , for all $f \in P^*(X)$. Denote the equivalence class of x with respect to ρ by $[x]$. Let δX be the quotient space of βX with respect to ρ and the projection π of βX onto the decomposition. Since $P^*(X)$ distinguishes points of X , the restriction π_0 of π to \underline{X} is injective. Let $X_1 = \pi_0[X]$.

Each f^* , where $f \in P^*(X)$, induces a continuous mapping f_1 of δX into R by $f_1([x]) = f^*(y)$, where $y \in [x]$. If $[x] \neq [y]$, there exists $f \in P^*(X)$ such that $f^*(x) \neq f^*(y)$, so that $f_1([x]) \neq f_1([y])$. Since f_1 is continuous, it follows that δX is a compact Hausdorff space, and X_1 is dense in δX .

Let δ_1 be the proximity relation on X_1 induced by the unique proximity relation on δX compatible with the topology on δX . If $A \delta B$ in X , there exists $f \in P^*(X)$ such that $f[A]$ is remote from $f[B]$. Set $\pi_0[A] = A_1$

and $\pi_0[B] = B_1$. Since $f_1 \circ \pi = f^*$, the closures of A_1 and B_1 in δX do not meet, so that $A_1 \bar{\delta}_1 B_1$. Thus π_0^{-1} is a δ -mapping.

Now let $A \delta B$ in X , and suppose that $A_1 \bar{\delta}_1 B_1$. Then $\text{Cl}_{\delta X} A_1 \cap \text{Cl}_{\delta X} B_1 = \emptyset$. Since $\pi[\text{Cl}_{\beta X} A] = \text{Cl}_{\delta X} A_1$ and $\pi[\text{Cl}_{\beta X} B] = \text{Cl}_{\delta X} B_1$, $a \in \text{Cl}_{\beta X} A$ implies $a \notin [b]$, for each $b \in \text{Cl}_{\beta X} B$. Thus a and b are separated by some f^* , where $f \in P^*(X)$, so that in βX there are disjoint neighborhoods for a and b whose intersections with X are remote. Since $\text{Cl}_{\beta X} B$ is compact, there are open sets O_a and V_a containing a and $\text{Cl}_{\beta X} B$, respectively, where $(O_a \cap X) \bar{\delta} (V_a \cap X)$. Now $\text{Cl}_{\beta X} A$ can be covered with a finite collection of sets O_1, \dots, O_n constructed in this manner, where $\text{Cl}_{\beta X} B$ is contained in the intersection of the corresponding $V_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n (O_i \cap X)$ and $B \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n (V_i \cap X)$. But this requires that $(O_i \cap X) \bar{\delta} (V_i \cap X)$, for some i , which is a contradiction. Thus $A_1 \delta_1 B_1$ in X_1 , and π_0 is an equimorphism.

Let $g_1 \in P^*(X_1)$. Then $g = g_1 \circ \pi_0 \in P^*(X)$, and g has an extension g^* in $C(\beta X)$. Define $g_1^*([x]) = g^*(y)$, for $y \in [x]$. Then g_1^* is a continuous extension of g_1 to δX . By Corollary 3, δX has the desired extension property.

Finally, since π is a closed mapping, δX is an upper semi-continuous decomposition of βX , and the proof is complete.

Since $\pi^{-1}([x])$ is compact for each $[x] \in \delta X$, many of the properties of βX are inherited by δX . (See [4].)

The homomorphism π'_0 of $C(\delta X)$ onto $P^*(X)$ is an isomorphism, since X_1 is dense and P^* -embedded in δX . The maximal ideals of $C(\delta X)$ are fixed and have the form $M_{[x]}^* = \{f_1 | f_1 \in C(\delta X) \text{ and } f_1([x]) = 0\}$. (See [3].) Let $f_1 \in M_{[x]}^*$. Then $\pi'_0(f_1) = f_1 \circ \pi_0 \in P^*(X)$, and $f_1 \circ \pi$ is the extension of $f_1 \circ \pi_0$ to βX . If $y \in [x]$, clearly $f_1 \circ \pi \in M^{*y}$, where $M^{*y} = \{f | f \in C^*(X) \text{ and } f^*(y) = 0\}$. Thus $\pi'_0[M_{[x]}^*] \subseteq M^{*y}$. It now follows that all maximal ideals of $P^*(X)$ have the form $M^{*y} \cap P^*(X)$, where $y \in \beta X$. Let $M^{*y} \cap P^*(X) = P^{*y}$.

THEOREM 6. *If A and B are subsets of X , then $A \delta B$ if and only if there exist $a \in \text{Cl}_{\beta X} A$ and $b \in \text{Cl}_{\beta X} B$, where $P^{*a} = P^{*b}$.*

Proof. If $A \delta B$ in X , then there exists $[x] \in \text{Cl}_{\delta X}(\pi A) \cap \text{Cl}_{\delta X}(\pi B)$. Thus there exist $a \in \text{Cl}_{\beta X} A$ and $b \in \text{Cl}_{\beta X} B$, where $a, b \in [x]$. Then $\pi'_0[M_{[x]}^*] = P^{*a} = P^{*b}$.

Conversely, if $P^{*a} = P^{*b}$, then a and b are in $[x]$, for some $[x] \in \delta X$. Thus $[x] \in \pi[\text{Cl}_{\beta X} A] \cap \pi[\text{Cl}_{\beta X} B]$, so that $\text{Cl}_{\delta X}(\pi A)$ meets $\text{Cl}_{\delta X}(\pi B)$. Since π_0^{-1} is a δ -mapping, $A \delta B$, and the proof is complete.

It follows that βX and δX are homeomorphic under π if and only if $P^{*x} \neq P^{*y}$ whenever $x \neq y$ in βX . Thus the ideals P^{*x} are distinct for each $x \in \beta X$ if and only if $P^*(X) = C^*(X)$.

References

- [1] A. Császár and S. Mrówka, *Sur la compactification des espaces de proximité*, Fund. Math. 46 (1958), pp. 195-207.
 - [2] V. A. Efremovich, *The geometry of proximity*, Mat. Sb. (N. S.) 31 (73) (1952), pp. 189-200.
 - [3] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Princeton, New Jersey 1960.
 - [4] J. Kelley, *General topology*, Princeton, New Jersey 1955.
 - [5] S. Leader, *On clusters in proximity spaces*, Fund. Math. 47 (1959), pp. 205-213.
 - [6] Yu. M. Smirnov, *On proximity spaces*, Mat. Sb. (N. S.) 31 (73) (1952), pp. 543-574.
-