



H. RATAJSKI (Poznań)

## Some remarks on the Dini-Lipschitz test

1. Let  $\varrho(x)$  be a positive, Lebesgue-integrable function in  $\langle a, b \rangle$ , and let  $L^p_\varrho \langle a, b \rangle$  be the class of all functions Lebesgue-integrable with  $p$ -th power with respect to the weight-function  $\varrho(x)$  over  $\langle a, b \rangle$ . We shall consider a systems of polynomials  $\{p_n(x)\}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , orthonormal with the weight-function  $\varrho(x)$  in  $\langle a, b \rangle$ , i.e.

$$\int_a^b p_n(x)p_k(x)\varrho(x)dx = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \neq k, \\ 0 & \text{if } n = k, \end{cases}$$

where  $p_n(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  having positive coefficient by  $x^n$ .

Alexits gives in [1], p. 31, the following test for convergence of the Fourier expansion of a given function with respect to the system of polynomials  $\{p_n(x)\}$ :

If a function  $f \in L^2_\varrho \langle a, b \rangle$  satisfies at a point  $\xi \in (a, b)$  the Dini-Lipschitz condition

$$|f(\xi + h) - f(\xi)| \leq \frac{K}{|\log |h||^\alpha} \quad (K = \text{const}, \alpha > 1)$$

for sufficiently small  $|h|$  and if the weight-function  $\varrho(x)$  and the polynomials  $p_n(x)$  are uniformly bounded in a neighbourhood of the point  $\xi$ , then the Fourier series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n p_n(x),$$

where

$$c_n = \int_a^b f(t)p_n(t)\varrho(t)dt,$$

is convergent at  $x = \xi$  to the value  $f(\xi)$ .

If the above assumptions are satisfied in an interval  $\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \subset (a, b)$  uniformly, then the convergence of series (1) is uniform in each subinterval  $\langle a_2, b_2 \rangle \subset (a_1, b_1)$ .

This theorem is an analogue of the well-known Dini-Lipschitz test for convergence of trigonometric Fourier series.

The subject of this paper is to extend the above theorem to the case when  $f(x)$  is Lebesgue-integrable over subintervals and  $f(x)$  together with  $\varrho(x)$ ,  $p_n(x)$  is subject to further restrictions specified below.

In the sequel we shall denote by  $S_n(x)$  the  $n$ -th partial sum of the Fourier series (1) of a function  $f(x)$  with respect to the system  $\{p_n(x)\}$ . Then

$$S_n(x) = \int_a^b f(t) K_n(t, x) \varrho(t) dt,$$

where the function

$$K_n(t, x) = \sum_{i=0}^n p_i(t) p_i(x)$$

is called the *kernel* of the above integral.

The following Christoffel-Darboux formula holds in case of the system of polynomials under consideration:

$$(2) \quad K_n(t, x) = \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \frac{p_n(x) p_{n+1}(t) - p_n(t) p_{n+1}(x)}{t - x},$$

where  $a_n$  and  $a_{n+1}$  are the positive coefficients by the highest power in polynomials  $p_n(x)$  and  $p_{n+1}(x)$ , respectively. Moreover, it is known ([1], p. 33) that  $a_n/a_{n+1} \leq \max(|a|, |b|)$ .

We may limit ourselves without loss of generality to the interval  $\langle -1, 1 \rangle$  in place of  $\langle a, b \rangle$ , because the general case of  $\langle a, b \rangle$  may be reduced to the case  $\langle -1, 1 \rangle$  substituting  $t = -1 + 2(x-a)/(b-a)$ .

**2.** Now, we formulate a new test of Dini-Lipschitz type.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\{p_n(x)\}$  be a system of polynomials orthonormal in  $\langle -1, 1 \rangle$  with a weight-function  $\varrho(x)$ , mentioned above, satisfying the following conditions: there exist constants  $c_1 > 0$ ,  $c_2 \geq 0$ ,  $c_3 > 0$ ,  $c_4 \geq 0$ ,  $c_2 + c_4 < 1$  such that*

$$(3) \quad 0 < \varrho(x) \leq \frac{c_1}{(1-x^2)^{c_2}}, \quad |p_n(x)| \leq \frac{c_3}{(1-x^2)^{c_4}},$$

where  $x \in (-1, 1)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Let a function  $f(x)$  be Lebesgue-integrable over every interval  $\langle a, b \rangle \subset (-1, 1)$  and

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{|f(t)| \varrho(t)}{(1-t^2)^{c_4}} dt < \infty.$$

Suppose further that, for a fixed  $\xi \in (-1, 1)$ ,

$$(4) \quad f(\xi \pm h) - f(\xi) = O(|\log_1 h \cdot \log_2 h \dots \log_{m-1} h|^{-1} |\log_m h|^{-\alpha})$$

as  $h \rightarrow 0+$ , where  $a > 1$ ,  $m \geq 1$  and

$$\log_m h = \underbrace{\log \log \dots \log h}_m$$

Then the Fourier series (1) of  $f$  is convergent at  $x = \xi$  to the value  $f(\xi)$ .

The above assumptions are satisfied, e.g. by the normalized Jacobi polynomials  $\{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\}$  with the weight-function  $\varrho(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$ , where  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $\beta > -1$  (see [2], p. 89, footnote (2)).

The proof of the Theorem will be based on the following two auxiliary results.

LEMMA 1. Suppose that condition (3) holds and that  $\langle a, b \rangle \subset (-1, 1)$ . Then there is a positive constant  $C$  such that for each  $n > k \geq 0$ ,

$$\left| \int_a^\beta p_n(x) p_k(x) \varrho(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{C}{n-k}$$

uniformly in  $\alpha, \beta$ , provided  $a \leq \alpha < \beta \leq b$ .

This Lemma is proved in [2], pp. 91-92.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\{\Phi_n(t)\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions uniformly bounded in a finite interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$ . Suppose that for every  $c \in \langle a, b \rangle$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^c \Phi_n(t) dt = 0.$$

Then given any  $f$  Lebesgue-integrable over  $\langle a, b \rangle$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f(t) \Phi_n(t) dt = 0.$$

(see [3], pp. 240-42).

3. In order to prove our Theorem we first observe that, by (2), (3) and (4),

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0+} \int_{\xi-\eta}^{\xi+\eta} \{f(t) - f(\xi)\} K_n(t, \xi) \varrho(t) dt = 0$$

uniformly in  $n$ . Hence, for any positive  $\varepsilon$  there is a positive  $\delta$  ( $-1 + \delta < \xi < 1 - \delta$ ) such that

$$(5) \quad \left| \int_{\xi-\delta}^{\xi+\delta} \{f(t) - f(\xi)\} K_n(t, \xi) \varrho(t) dt \right| < \varepsilon \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(\xi) - f(\xi) &= \left( \int_{-1}^{\xi-\delta} + \int_{\xi-\delta}^{\xi+\delta} + \int_{\xi+\delta}^1 \right) \{f(t) - f(\xi)\} K_n(t, \xi) \varrho(t) dt \\ &= A_n + B_n + C_n. \end{aligned}$$

The function  $\{f(t) - f(\xi)\} / (1 - t^2)^{e_4}$  is Lebesgue-integrable with the weight-function  $\varrho(t)$  over  $\langle -1, 1 \rangle$ ; whence

$$(6) \quad \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0+} \int_{-1}^{-1+\eta} \frac{|f(t) - f(\xi)|}{(1 - t^2)^{e_4}} \varrho(t) dt = 0.$$

In view of (2),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{-1}^{-1+\eta} \{f(t) - f(\xi)\} K_n(t, \xi) \varrho(t) dt \right| \\ & \leq \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \int_{-1}^{-1+\eta} \frac{|f(t) - f(\xi)|}{|t - \xi|} |p_{n+1}(t)| |p_n(\xi)| \varrho(t) dt + \\ & \quad + \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \int_{-1}^{-1+\eta} \frac{|f(t) - f(\xi)|}{|t - \xi|} |p_n(t)| |p_{n+1}(\xi)| \varrho(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (3) and (6), there is a positive  $\delta_1$  ( $-1 + \delta_1 < \xi - \delta$ ) such that

$$(7) \quad \left| \int_{-1}^{-1+\delta_1} \{f(t) - f(\xi)\} K_n(t, \xi) \varrho(t) dt \right| < \varepsilon \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

It is easily seen that the functions

$$\Phi_n(t) = K_n(t, \xi) \varrho(t) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 2 in  $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle -1 + \delta_1, \xi - \delta \rangle$ . Indeed, formula (2) and estimates (3) lead to

$$|\Phi_n(t)| \leq \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \cdot \frac{|p_n(\xi)| |p_{n+1}(t)| + |p_n(t)| |p_{n+1}(\xi)|}{|t - \xi|} \varrho(t) \leq M$$

for every  $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), with a certain constant  $M$ . Taking  $c \in \langle a, b \rangle$  and applying (2), we write

$$\int_a^c \Phi_n(t) \bar{a} t = \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} p_{n+1}(\xi) \int_a^c \frac{p_n(t)}{\xi - t} \varrho(t) dt - \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} p_n(\xi) \int_a^c \frac{p_{n+1}(t)}{\xi - t} \varrho(t) dt.$$

By the second mean-value theorem,

$$\int_a^c \frac{p_n(t)}{\xi - t} \varrho(t) dt = \frac{1}{\xi - c} \int_{u_n}^c p_n(t) \varrho(t) dt \quad (a \leq u_n \leq c).$$

Then, Lemma 1 gives

$$\int_a^c \frac{p_n(t)}{\xi - t} \varrho(t) dt = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and, consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^c \Phi_n(t) dt = 0.$$

The function  $f(t) - f(\xi)$  is Lebesgue-integrable over  $\langle -1 + \delta_1, \xi - \delta \rangle$ . Hence, by Lemma 2,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1+\delta_1}^{\xi-\delta} \{f(t) - f(\xi)\} K_n(t, \xi) \varrho(t) dt = 0.$$

Applying (7), we conclude that

$$|A_n| < 2\varepsilon$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . Analogously,  $|C_n| < 2\varepsilon$ . Estimate (5) shows that  $|B_n| < \varepsilon$  for all  $n$ . Thus

$$|S_n(\xi) - f(\xi)| < 5\varepsilon,$$

provided  $n$  is large enough, and the Theorem is proved completely.

It can easily be observed that if condition (4) of the Theorem holds uniformly with respect to  $\xi$  in the whole interval  $\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \subset (-1, 1)$ , then the convergence of the series (1) at  $x = \xi$  to  $f(\xi)$  is uniform in every closed subinterval of the interval  $(a_1, b_1)$ .

#### References

- [1] G. Alexits, *Konvergenzprobleme der Orthogonalreihen*, Berlin 1960.
- [2] G. Freud, *Über die Konvergenz orthogonaler Polynomreihen*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungaricae 3 (1952), pp. 89-98.
- [3] И. П. Натансон, *Теория функций вещественной переменной*, Москва-Ленинград 1950.