



Z. STYPIŃSKI (Poznań)

Steklov means in Orlicz spaces

The aim of this paper is to generalize some results of F. I. Haršiladze concerning spaces L^p of functions (see [4]) replacing spaces L^p by Orlicz spaces $L^{*\varphi}$.

1. We shall introduce convenient notations and give some general remarks. Let $\varphi(u)$ be an even, continuous, convex non-negative function vanishing only at 0, $\varphi(u)/u \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0$, $\varphi(u)/u \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$ and let $\varphi^*(v)$ be the function complementary to $\varphi(u)$ in the sense of Young. We denote by $L_{0,2\pi}^{*\varphi}$ the Orlicz space ([3]) of 2π -periodic measurable functions such that

$$(1) \quad \|f\|_{\varphi} = \sup \left| \int_0^{2\pi} f(x)g(x)dx \right| < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all non-negative periodic measurable functions $g(x)$ such that $\int_0^{2\pi} \varphi^*[g(x)]dx \leq 1$.

In the sequel we shall assume that the space $L_{(0,2\pi)}^{*\varphi}$ is reflexive. W. Orlicz has shown that in order that the space $L^{*\varphi}$ be reflexive it is necessary and sufficient that the functions $\varphi(u)$ and $\varphi^*(v)$ satisfy the Δ_2 -condition for small u ([3]). We denote by $\omega_k(f, t)_{\varphi}$ the modulus of smoothness of order $k \geq 1$ of the function $f(x)$ in the space $L^{*\varphi}$:

$$(2) \quad \omega_k(f, t) = \sup_{|h| \leq t} \|\Delta_h^k f\|_{\varphi} = \sup_{|h| \leq t} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} f(x+ih) \right\|_{\varphi},$$

and by $E_n(f)_{\varphi}$ the best approximation of the function $f(x)$ by trigonometric polynomials of degree $\leq n$ in the $L^{*\varphi}$ sense:

$$(3) \quad E_n(f)_{\varphi} = \inf \|f(x) - T_n(x)\|_{\varphi},$$

where the infimum is taken over all trigonometric polynomials of degree $\leq n$. Denote by $f_h^k(x)$ the Steklov means of order k ,

$$(4) \quad f_h^k(x) = \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \binom{k}{i} f(x+it) dt, \quad f_h(x) = \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h f(x+t) dt.$$

Thus

$$f_h^k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \binom{k}{i} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h f(x+it) dt = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \binom{k}{i} f_{ih}(x),$$

and for $f(x) \in L^{*\varphi}$ we have

$$(5) \quad \|f_h^k(x) - f(x)\|_\varphi \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k}{i} \|f_{ih}(x) - f(x)\|_\varphi.$$

2. LEMMA 1. *Let $f(x, y) \geq 0$ and let $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f(x, y)\|_\varphi dx < \infty$ for almost every x , where $\|f(x, y)\|_\varphi$ is the Orlicz norm of $f(x, y)$ as a function of the variable y , x being fixed. Then*

$$(6) \quad \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dy \right\|_\varphi \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f(x, y)\|_\varphi dx.$$

Proof. Let E denote an arbitrary set. We set $g(y) = \int_a^b f(x, y) dx$. Then, applying Fubini Theorem and Hölder inequality in $L_{(0,2\pi)}^{*\varphi}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(y)\|_\varphi &= \sup_{\|g\|_\varphi^* \leq 1} \left| \int_E \int_a^b f(x, y) g(y) dx dy \right| \leq \sup_{\|g\|_\varphi^* \leq 1} \left| \int_a^b \left\{ \int_E f(x, y) g(y) dy \right\} dx \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|g\|_\varphi^* \leq 1} \left| \int_a^b \|f(x, y)\|_\varphi \|g\|_\varphi^* dx \right| \leq \int_a^b \|f(x, y)\|_\varphi dx. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. *If $f \in L_{(0,2\pi)}^{*\varphi}$ and $f^{(r)} \in L_{(0,2\pi)}^{*\varphi}$, then*

$$(7) \quad \|\Delta^{(r+s)}(f)\|_\varphi \leq h^r \|\Delta^s(f^{(r)})\|_\varphi,$$

where r and s are positive integers.

Proof. If $r = 1$ and $s = 0$, by (6) we have

$$\|\Delta f\|_\varphi = \|f(x+h) - f(x)\|_\varphi = \left\| \int_x^{x+h} f'(t) dt \right\|_\varphi \leq h \|f'\|_\varphi.$$

Thus

$$\|\Delta^{(r+s)}(f)\|_\varphi \leq h \|\Delta^{(r+s-1)}(f)\|_\varphi \leq \dots \leq h^r \|\Delta^s(f^{(r)})\|_\varphi.$$

LEMMA 3. *Let the function $\varphi(u)$ generating the reflexive space $L_{(0,2\pi)}^{*\varphi}$ be such that $\varphi(u^{1/\alpha})$ is convex for some α , $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, and let*

$$E_n(f)_\varphi = O(n^{-\beta}) \quad \text{for } \beta > 0,$$

then

$$\|f_h^k(x) - f(x)\|_\varphi = O(h^{\beta+1/\alpha}) \quad \text{for } k > \beta.$$

Proof. It is known (see [2]) that if $\varphi(u)$ satisfies all the assumptions of this Lemma, then

$$\omega_k\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_\varphi \leq \frac{A_{\varphi,k}}{n^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^n v^{ak-1} E_{v-1}^\alpha(f)_\varphi \right\}^{1/\alpha}.$$

Thus, if $E_n(f)_\varphi = O(n^{-\beta})$,

$$(8) \quad \omega_k(f, t)_\varphi = \begin{cases} O(t^\beta) & \text{when } \beta < k, \\ O\left(t^k \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{1/\alpha}\right) & \text{when } \beta = k, \\ O(t^k) & \text{when } \beta > k. \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{2t} \int_{-t}^t \Delta_u^k f(x) dn = \frac{1}{2t} \int_{-t}^t \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} f(x+iu) du = (-1)^{k+1} \{f_t^k(x) - f(x)\}$$

and

$$(9) \quad f_h^k(x) - f(x) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2h} \int_{-h}^h \Delta_t^k f(x) dt.$$

Finally, by (6) we have

$$\|f_h^k(x) - f(x)\|_\varphi \leq \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h \|\Delta_t^k f(x)\|_\varphi dt = O(h^\beta).$$

LEMMA 4. Under the assumptions of Lemma 3, if $E_n(f)_\varphi = O(n^{-k})$, where k is an odd number, then

$$\|f_h^k(x) - f(x)\|_\varphi = O(h^k).$$

Proof. It follows from the equality (8) that if k is an odd number and $E_n(f)_\varphi = O(n^{-k})$, then

$$\omega_{k+1}(f, t)_\varphi = O(t^k).$$

On the other hand (see [4], p. 61),

$$\sum_{v=0}^k (-1)^{k-v} \binom{k}{v} \{f(x+vt) - f(x-vt)\} = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \Delta_t^{k+1} f(x-kt+st).$$

Then, in virtue of formula (9), we have

$$f_h^k(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{2h} \int_0^h [\Delta_t^k f(x) + \Delta_t^k f(x)] dt = \frac{1}{2h} \int_0^h \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \Delta_t^{k+1} f(x-kt+st) dt.$$

Since

$$\|\Delta_t^{k+1}f(x-kt+st)\|_\varphi \leq \omega_{k+1}(f, t)_\varphi,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_h^k(x) - f(x)\|_\varphi &= \frac{1}{2h} \left\| \int_0^h \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \Delta_t^{k+1}f(x-kt+st) dt \right\|_\varphi \\ &\leq \frac{k}{2h} \int_0^h \omega_{k+1}(f, t)_\varphi dt = O(h^k). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5. Under the assumptions of Lemma 3, if

$$E_n(f)_\varphi = O(n^{-\beta}), \quad k < \beta < k+1,$$

k is an odd number, then

$$\|f_h^k(x) - f(x)\|_\varphi = O(h^\beta).$$

Proof. First, we observe that $\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{\alpha k-1} E_v^\alpha(f)_\varphi$ is convergent, and by [2], Theorem 2, the function $f(x)$ has an absolutely continuous derivative of order $(r-1)$ such that $f^{(r)} \in L^{*\varphi}$ and the following estimates are true:

$$\omega_k\left(f^{(r)}, \frac{1}{n}\right)_\varphi \leq B_{\varphi, r} \left\{ \frac{1}{n^k} \left[\sum_{v=1}^n v^{\alpha(r+k)-1} E_{v-1}^\alpha(f)_\varphi \right]^{1/\alpha} + \left[\sum_{v=n+1}^{\infty} v^{\alpha r-1} E_v^\alpha(f)_\varphi \right]^{1/\alpha} \right\}.$$

Thus, if $E_n(f)_\varphi = O(n^{-\beta})$, we have

$$(10) \quad \omega_k(f^{(r)}, t)_\varphi = \begin{cases} O(t^{\beta-r}) & \text{when } \beta-r < k, \\ O\left(t^k \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{1/\alpha}\right) & \text{when } \beta-r = k, \\ O(t^k) & \text{when } \beta-r > k. \end{cases}$$

From (7) we have

$$\omega_{k+1}(f, t)_\varphi \leq t^k \omega_1(f^{(k)}, t)_\varphi.$$

Hence and from (10),

$$\omega_{k+1}(f, t)_\varphi = O(t^\beta).$$

Consequently,

$$\|f_h^k(x) - f(x)\|_\varphi \leq \frac{k}{2h} \int_0^h \omega_{k+1}(f, t)_\varphi dt = O(h^\beta).$$

LEMMA 6. Let

$$\|f_h^k - f\|_\varphi = O(h^\beta), \quad \beta > 0.$$

Then

$$E_n(f)_\varphi = O(n^{-\beta}).$$

Proof. First we show that

$$\int_0^\pi t^{\beta+1} |k'_n(t)| dt = O(n^{-\beta}).$$

Indeed, as is well known (see e.g.[5]) there exists a sequence $\{k_n(t)\}$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) of trigonometric polynomials of degree $\leq n$ such that

$$(\alpha) \quad \int_{-\pi}^\pi k_n(t) dt = 1,$$

$$(\beta) \quad \int_{-\pi}^\pi |k_n(t)| dt \leq C_1,$$

$$(\gamma) \quad \int_{-\pi}^\pi |t|^k |k_n(t)| dt \leq C_2(n+1)^{-k}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Denoting $k_n(t) = b_p(\sin \frac{1}{2}pt / \sin \frac{1}{2}t)^{2k_0}$ where $2k_0 \geq \beta + 4$ and p is a natural number, and applying Bernstein's inequality for $k_n(t)$:

$$|k'_n(t)| \leq n|k_n(t)|,$$

we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\pi t^{\beta+1+1/\alpha} |k'_n(t)| dt &\leq n \int_0^\pi t^{\beta+1} |k_n(t)| dt + n \int_0^\pi t^{\beta+2} |k_n(t)| dt \\ &= O(n^{-\beta}) + O(n^{-\beta-1}) = O(n^{-\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we construct trigonometric polynomials

$$T_n(x) = (-1)^{k+1} \int_{-\pi}^\pi k_n(t) \sum_{v=1}^k (-1)^{k-v} \binom{k}{v} f(x+vt) dt.$$

In account of (a) we have

$$T_n(x) - f(x) = (-1)^{k+1} \int_0^\pi k_n(t) \{ \Delta_t^k f(x) + \Delta_{-t}^k f(x) \} dt.$$

Differentiating by parts and applying (9) we have

$$|T_n(x) - f(x)| \leq O(1) \int_0^\pi t |f(x) - f_t^k(x)| |k'_n(t)| dt,$$

and finally

$$\|T_n(x) - f(x)\|_\varphi \leq O(1) \int_0^\pi t^{1+\beta} |k'_n(t)| dt = O(n^{-\beta}).$$

From Lemmas 3, 4, 5, 6 we obtain

THEOREM 1. *Let $\beta > 0$. Then the conditions*

$$E_n(f)_\varphi = O(n^{-\beta}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_h^k - f\|_\varphi = O(h^\beta)$$

are equivalent for $\beta < k$ when k is an even number, and for $\beta < k+1$ when k is an odd number.

THEOREM 2. *If*

$$\|f_h^k - f\|_\varphi = \begin{cases} o(h^k) & \text{for } k \text{ even,} \\ o(h^{k+1}) & \text{for } k \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$$

then $f(x) = c$ almost everywhere.

Proof of this Theorem is completely analogous to that given in [4]

THEOREM 3. (a) *Let k be an even number. Then*

$$\|f_h^k - f\|_\varphi = O(h^k)$$

if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(i) $f(x) = g(x)$ a.e., where $g(x)$ is a function with absolutely continuous derivative of order $(k-2)$ and $g^{(k-1)} \in L_{(0,2\pi)}^{*\varphi}$,

(ii) $\omega_1(g^{(k-1)}, h)_\varphi = O(h)$.

(b) *Let k be an odd number. Then*

$$\|f_h^k - f\|_\varphi = O(h^{k+1})$$

if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(i') $f(x) = g(x)$ a.e., where $g(x)$ has an absolutely continuous derivative of order $(k-1)$ such that $g^{(k)} \in L_{(0,2\pi)}^{*\varphi}$,

(ii') $\omega_1(g^{(k)}, h)_\varphi = O(h)$.

Proof. (a) The sufficiency of these conditions follows immediately from some obvious properties of the modulus of smoothness and from (9).

Now, we shall prove the necessity of these conditions. Because $\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{\alpha r-1} E_v^\alpha(f)_\varphi$ is convergent for $r = k-1$ we see that $f(x)$ has an absolutely continuous derivative of order $(k-2)$ such that $f^{(k-1)} \in L^{*\varphi}$. In account of (10) we observe that

$$\omega_2(f^{(k-1)}, h)_\varphi = O(h).$$

Next, we put

$$S_h^k(x) = \frac{[f_h^k(x) - f(x)]}{h^k}, \quad \sigma_n(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{l}{n+1}\right) A_l(x),$$

$$A_m(x) = a_m \cos mx + b_m \sin mx,$$

where a_m, b_m are the Fourier coefficients of $f(x)$, $\sigma_n(v)$ are Fejér means of order $\leq n$ for $f(x)$,

$$\sigma_n(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) k_n(t-x) dt$$

(see [1], p. 146). In account of (6)

$$\|\sigma_n\|_{\varphi} \leq \|f\|_{\varphi}, \quad f \in L_{(0,2\pi)}^{*\varphi}.$$

Arguing as in [4] we observe that Fejér means of the series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^k A_m(x)$ are bounded in $L_{(0,2\pi)}^{*\varphi}$, and k -times differentiating the Fourier series of $f(x)$ we obtain a k -times uniformly convergent series. If $g(x)$ is the sum of the Fourier series of $f(x)$, then from [1], p. 88,

$$\|g^{(k-1)}(x+h) - g^{(k-1)}(x)\|_{\varphi} = O(h).$$

Proof of (b) is analogous.

References

- [1] Н. К. Бари (N. K. Bari), *Тригонометрические ряды*, Москва 1961.
- [2] V. Kokilashvili, *On approximations of periodic functions in Orlicz spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 14 (2) (1966), pp. 77-80.
- [3] М. А. Красносельский, У. В. Рутцкий, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, Groningen 1961.
- [4] Ф. И. Харшиладзе (F. I. Haršiladze), *Приближение функций средними Стеклова*, Труды Тбилисского Математического Института, 31 (1966), pp. 55-70.
- [5] С. В. Стечкин (S. V. Stečkin), *О порядке наилучших приближений непрерывных функций*, Изв. Акад. Наук СССР 15, (1951), pp. 219-242.