## ON TOTALLY UMBILICAL SUBMANIFOLDS OF CONFORMALLY BIRECURRENT MANIFOLDS

BY

RYSZARD DESZCZ (WROCŁAW),
STANISŁAW EWERT-KRZEMIENIEWSKI (SZCZECIN)
AND JERZY POLICHT (SZCZECIN)

**0. Introduction.** Totally umbilical submanifolds of Riemannian manifolds with certain conditions imposed on the Weyl conformal curvature tensor of an ambient space were investigated by many authors (see [6], [9]-[11], [7], [1]). In this paper we give some results concerned with this subject. First we recall some theorems of Olszak. He has proved that any totally umbilical submanifold M of a conformally recurrent manifold N is also conformally recurrent ([9], Theorems 2 and 3). Moreover, for M we have (see [10])

$$(0.1) HC_{abcd} = 0,$$

where  $H = g_{rs} H^r H^s$ ,  $g_{rs}$  is the metric tensor of N,  $H^r$  is the mean curvature vector field of M, and  $C_{abcd}$  is the Weyl conformal curvature tensor of M. In consideration of the above result it is a natural question whether totally umbilical submanifolds of conformally birecurrent manifolds are also conformally birecurrent and satisfy relation (0.1). In the present paper we give some answer to this problem. Namely, we prove the following

THEOREM. Let M be an analytic, non-conformally flat, totally umbilical submanifold of a conformally birecurrent manifold N. The submanifold M is conformally birecurrent if and only if the relation H=0 holds on M and the tensor field  $H^r \tilde{R}_{rstu} H^u B^{st}_{ab}$  is proportional to the metric tensor of M.

1. Preliminaries. Let N be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with a not necessarily definite metric  $g_{rs}$ , covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods  $\{U; (x^r)\}$ . We denote by  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{st}^r$ ,  $\tilde{R}_{rst}^v$ ,  $\tilde{R}_{vt}^v$  and  $\tilde{R}$  the Christoffel symbols, the curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of N, respectively. The indices p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w run over the range  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . A tensor  $S_{u_1...u_l}^{t_1...t_k}$  is recurrent [12] (resp. birecurrent) if the identity

$$S_{u_1...u_l,w}^{t_1...t_k} S_{r_1...r_l}^{s_1...s_k} = S_{r_1...r_l,w}^{s_1...s_k} S_{u_1...u_l}^{t_1...t_k},$$

resp.

$$S_{u_1...u_l,vw}^{t_1...t_k} S_{r_1...r_l}^{s_1...s_k} = S_{r_1...r_l,vw}^{s_1...s_k} S_{u_1...u_l}^{t_1...t_k},$$

holds on N, where the comma denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to the metric of N.

A Riemannian manifold  $N (n \ge 4)$  is said to be conformally recurrent [2] (resp. conformally birecurrent [3]) if its Weyl conformal curvature tensor

(1.3) 
$$\tilde{C}_{rstu} = \tilde{R}_{rstu} - \frac{1}{n-2} (g_{st} \, \tilde{R}_{ru} - g_{su} \, \tilde{R}_{rt} + g_{ru} \, \tilde{R}_{st} - g_{rt} \, \tilde{R}_{su})$$

$$+ \frac{\tilde{R}}{(n-1)(n-2)} (g_{ru} \, g_{st} - g_{rt} \, g_{su})$$

is recurrent (resp. birecurrent), where  $\tilde{R}_{rstu} = g_{rv} \tilde{R}^{v}_{stu}$ .

Thus, by (1.1) (resp. (1.2)), if N is conformally recurrent (resp. conformally birecurrent) and at some point  $x \in N$  the tensor  $\tilde{C}_{rstu}$  is non-zero, then on some neighborhood U of x we have the relation

$$\tilde{C}_{\textit{estu},v} = b_v \, \tilde{C}_{\textit{estu},v}$$

for some vector field  $b_v$  on U (resp.

$$\tilde{C}_{rstu,vw} = a_{vw} \, \tilde{C}_{rstu}$$

for some tensor  $a_{vw}$  on U).

Let M be an m-dimensional manifold covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods  $\{V; (y^a)\}$ . Suppose that M is a submanifold of N and let  $x^r = x^r(y^a)$  be its local expression in N. Moreover, let the induced tensor

$$q_{ab} = q_{rs} B_{ab}^{rs}$$

be the metric tensor on the submanifold M, where

$$B_{ab}^{rs} = B_a^r B_b^s$$
,  $B_a^r = \partial_a x^r$ ,  $\partial_a = \partial/\partial y^a$ .

In the following we shall use the notation

$$B_{a_1...a_l}^{r_1...r_l} = B_{a_1}^{r_1} B_{a_2}^{r_2} ... B_{a_l}^{r_l}.$$

We denote by  $\Gamma^a_{bc}$ ,  $V_a$ ,  $K^a_{bcd}$ ,  $K_{ad}$  and K the Christoffel symbols, the operator of covariant differentiation, the curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of M with respect to  $g_{ab}$ . The indices a, b, c, d, e, f, h, i, j here and in the sequel run over the range  $\{1, 2, ..., m\}$ ,  $4 \le m < n$ .

The van der Waerden-Bortolotti covariant derivative of  $B_a^r$  is given by

$$(1.6) V_b B_a^{\ r} = \partial_b B_a^{\ r} + \tilde{\Gamma}_{st}^{\ r} B_{ba}^{st} - B_c^{\ r} \Gamma_{ba}^{c}.$$

The vector field H' defined by

$$H^r = \frac{1}{m} g^{ab} \, \nabla_b \, B_a^{\ r}$$

is called the mean curvature vector field of M. Using (1.6) and the equation

$$\Gamma_{bc}^{a} = (\partial_{c} B_{b}^{r} + \tilde{\Gamma}_{st}^{r} B_{cb}^{st}) B_{d}^{u} g^{da} g_{ru},$$

we obtain on M the relation

$$(1.7) g_{rs} H^r B_a^{\ s} = 0.$$

The Schouten curvature tensor  $H_{ab}^{r}$  of M is defined by

$$(1.8) H_{ab}{}^{r} = V_b B_a{}^{r}.$$

If the tensor  $H_{ab}$  satisfies the condition

$$H_{ab}{}^{r} = g_{ab} H^{r},$$

then M is called a totally umbilical submanifold of N (see [14]).

Let  $N_y$  (y, z = m+1, m+2, ..., n) be pairwise orthogonal unit vectors normal to M. Then we have the relations

$$(1.10) g_{rs} N_{v}^{r} N_{v}^{s} = e_{v}, g_{rs} N_{v}^{r} N_{z}^{s} = 0 (y \neq z), g_{rs} N_{v}^{r} B_{a}^{s} = 0$$

and

(1.11) 
$$g^{rs} = B_{ab}^{rs} g^{ab} + \sum_{y} e_{y} N_{y}^{r} N_{y}^{s},$$

where  $e_y$  is the indicator of the vector  $N_y$ .

For a totally umbilical submanifold M of N the Gauss and Codazzi equations can be written in the form (cf., e.g., [11])

$$K_{abcd} = \tilde{R}_{rstu} B_{abcd}^{rstu} + H(g_{ad} g_{bc} - g_{ac} g_{bd})$$

and

$$\tilde{R}_{rstu} B_{abc}^{rst} N_{v}^{u} = A_{av} g_{bc} - A_{bv} g_{ac},$$

where

$$H = g_{rs} H^r H^s$$
,  $A_{ay} = \partial_a H_y + \sum_z e_z L_{azy} H_z$ ,  $H_y = H^r N_y^s g_{rs}$ 

and

$$L_{azy} = N_y^s (V_a N_z^r) g_{rs}.$$

In the sequel we need the formulas (see [9] and [10])

(1.14) 
$$\tilde{R}_{rstu} B_{abc}^{rst} H^{u} = \frac{1}{2} (H_{a} g_{bc} - H_{b} g_{ac}),$$

$$(1.15) V_e K_{abcd} = \tilde{R}_{rstu,v} B_{abcde}^{rstuv} + H_{abcde},$$

(1.16) 
$$V_a H' = -H B_a' + \sum_z e_z A_{az} N_z',$$

where  $H_a = \nabla_a H$  and

$$\begin{split} H_{abcde} &= \frac{1}{2} \big[ H_a (g_{bc} \, g_{de} - g_{ce} \, g_{bd}) + H_b (g_{ad} \, g_{ce} - g_{ac} \, g_{de}) \\ &\quad + H_c (g_{ad} \, g_{be} - g_{ae} \, g_{bd}) + H_d (g_{ae} \, g_{bc} - g_{ac} \, g_{be}) \big] + H_e (g_{ad} \, g_{bc} - g_{ac} \, g_{bd}). \end{split}$$

Let  $T_{rstu}$  be a tensor field of type (0, 4) on a Riemannian manifold N. Then we can define on N some tensor field of type (0, 6) in the following manner:

$$\begin{split} Q\left(T\right)_{rstuvw} &= g_{rv} \; T_{wstu} - g_{rw} \; T_{vstu} - g_{sv} \; T_{wrtu} + g_{sw} \; T_{vrtu} \\ &+ g_{tv} \; T_{wurs} - g_{tw} \; T_{vurs} - g_{uv} \; T_{wtrs} + g_{uw} \; T_{vtrs}. \end{split}$$

In the following, if  $T_{s_1...s_k}^{r_1...r_l}$  is a tensor field on N, then we shall denote by  $T_{s_1...s_k,[v,w]}^{r_1...r_l}$  the difference

$$T_{s_1...s_k,vw}^{r_1...r_l} - T_{s_1...s_k,wv}^{r_1...r_l}$$
.

Throughout this paper all manifolds are assumed to be connected Hausdorff manifolds of class  $C^{\infty}$ . Whenever analyticity is supossed, it will concern all objects involved.

## 2. Preliminary results.

LEMMA 1. Let M be a totally umbilical submanifold of a manifold N. Then the Weyl conformal curvature tensor of M

(2.1) 
$$C_{abcd} = K_{abcd} - \frac{1}{m-2} (g_{ad} K_{bc} + g_{bc} K_{ad} - g_{ac} K_{bd} - g_{bd} K_{ac}) + \frac{K}{(m-1)(m-2)} (g_{ad} g_{bc} - g_{ac} g_{bd})$$

satisfies the relation

(2.2) 
$$C_{abcd} = \bar{C}_{abcd} - \frac{1}{m-2} (g_{ad} T_{bc} + g_{bc} T_{ad} - g_{ac} T_{bd} - g_{bd} T_{ac}) + \frac{P}{(m-1)(m-2)} (g_{ad} g_{bc} - g_{ac} g_{bd}),$$

where

$$\bar{C}_{abcd} = \tilde{C}_{rstu} B_{abcd}^{rstu},$$

(2.4) 
$$T_{bc} = K_{bc} - \frac{m-2}{n-2} \tilde{R}_{rs} B_{bc}^{rs}$$

and

(2.5) 
$$P = K + (m-1)(m-2)H - \frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{(n-1)(n-2)}\tilde{R}.$$

Proof. Adding to both sides of equation (1.12) the expression

$$-\frac{1}{m-2}(g_{ad}K_{bc}+g_{bc}K_{ad}-g_{ac}K_{bd}-g_{bd}K_{ac})+\frac{K}{(m-1)(m-2)}(g_{ad}g_{bc}-g_{ac}g_{bd})$$

and using (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{split} C_{abcd} &= \tilde{R}_{rstu} \, B_{abcd}^{rstu} - \frac{1}{m-2} (g_{ad} \, K_{bc} + g_{bc} \, K_{ad} - g_{ac} \, K_{bd} - g_{bd} \, K_{ac}) \\ &+ \bigg( H + \frac{K}{(m-1)(m-2)} \bigg) (g_{ad} \, g_{bc} - g_{ac} \, g_{bd}). \end{split}$$

But from this, by making use of (1.3), (2.3)–(2.5), it follows that relation (2.2) holds true on M. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 2. Let M be a totally umbilical submanifold of a manifold N. Let the condition

(2.6) 
$$\tilde{C}_{rstu,[v,w]} = c_{vw} \, \tilde{C}_{rstu} + \bar{A} Q \, (\tilde{C})_{rstuvw}$$

be satisfied on N, where  $c_{vw}$  is a tensor field and  $\overline{A}$  is a function on N. Then the relation

$$(2.7) C_{abcd,[e,f]} = c_{ef} C_{abcd} + (\bar{A} - H) Q(C)_{abcdef}$$

holds true on M, where

$$(2.8) c_{ef} = c_{vw} B_{ef}^{vw}.$$

Proof. By the Ricci identity, the tensor field  $\bar{C}_{abcd,[e,f]}$  satisfies the equation

$$\bar{C}_{abcd,[e,f]} = (-\bar{C}_{ibcd} \, K_{jaef} + \bar{C}_{iacd} \, K_{jbef} - \bar{C}_{idab} \, K_{jcef} + \bar{C}_{icab} \, K_{jdef}) g^{ij}.$$

Applying the equation (1.12) to the above identity we obtain

$$\begin{split} \bar{C}_{abcd,[e,f]} &= (-\bar{C}_{ibcd}\,\bar{R}_{jaef} + \bar{C}_{iacd}\,\bar{R}_{jbef} - \bar{C}_{idab}\,\bar{R}_{jcef} \\ &+ \bar{C}_{icab}\,\bar{R}_{jdef})\,g^{ij} - HQ\,(\bar{C})_{abcdef}, \end{split}$$

where  $\bar{R}_{jaef} = \tilde{R}_{rstu} B_{jaef}^{rstu}$ . From the last equality, using (1.11) and the definitions of the tensors  $\bar{C}_{abcd}$  and  $\bar{R}_{abcd}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{split} \bar{C}_{abcd,[e,f]} &= (-\tilde{C}_{pstu}\,\tilde{R}_{qrvw} + \tilde{C}_{prtu}\,\tilde{R}_{qsvw} - \tilde{C}_{purs}\,\tilde{R}_{qtvw} \\ &+ \tilde{C}_{ptrs}\,\tilde{R}_{quvw}) \big(g^{pq} - \sum_{\mathbf{v}} e_{\mathbf{v}}\,N_{\mathbf{v}}^{\,p}\,N_{\mathbf{v}}^{\,q}\big)\,B_{abcdef}^{rstuvw} - HQ\,(\bar{C})_{abcdef}\,. \end{split}$$

Now, the right-hand side of the above equation, by the Ricci identity, (2.6), (2.8) and (2.3), takes the form

$$(2.9) \quad \bar{C}_{abcd,[e,f]} = c_{ef} \, \bar{C}_{abcd} + (\bar{A} - H) \, Q(\bar{C})_{abcdef} - \sum_{y} e_{y} \, N_{y}^{p} \, N_{y}^{q} \, B_{abcdef}^{rstuvw}$$

$$\times (-\tilde{C}_{pstu} \, \tilde{R}_{qrvw} + \tilde{C}_{prtu} \, \tilde{R}_{qsvw} - \tilde{C}_{purs} \, \tilde{R}_{qtvw} + \tilde{C}_{prts} \, \tilde{R}_{quvw}).$$

The following equation is an immediate consequence of (1.3), (1.13) and (1.10):

$$\sum_{y} e_{y} N_{y}^{p} N_{y}^{q} B_{abcdef}^{rstuvw} \tilde{C}_{pstu} \tilde{R}_{qrvw} = g_{bc} g_{ae} L_{df} - g_{bd} g_{ae} L_{cf} - g_{bc} g_{af} L_{de} + g_{bd} g_{af} L_{ce},$$

where

$$L_{dc} = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} e_{\mathbf{y}} A_{c\mathbf{y}} \left( A_{d\mathbf{y}} - \frac{1}{n-2} \tilde{R}_{rs} N_{\mathbf{y}}^{r} B_{d}^{s} \right).$$

Substituting this into (2.9) we get easily

$$(2.10) \quad \bar{C}_{abcd,[e,f]} = c_{ef} \, \bar{C}_{abcd} + (\bar{A} - H) \, Q \, (\bar{C})_{abcdef}$$

$$+ (g_{bc} \, g_{de} - g_{bd} \, g_{ce}) \, L_{af} - (g_{bc} \, g_{df} - g_{bd} \, g_{cf}) \, L_{ae} - (g_{ca} \, g_{de} - g_{ad} \, g_{ce}) \, L_{bf}$$

$$+ (g_{ce} \, g_{df} - g_{da} \, g_{cf}) \, L_{be} + (g_{ad} \, g_{be} - g_{bd} \, g_{ae}) \, L_{cf} - (g_{ad} \, g_{bf} - g_{bd} \, g_{af}) \, L_{ce}$$

$$+ (g_{ac} \, g_{bf} - g_{bc} \, g_{af}) \, L_{de} - (g_{ac} \, g_{be} - g_{bc} \, g_{ae}) \, L_{df} \, .$$

From (2.2), by contraction with  $g^{bc}$ , we obtain

$$\bar{C}_{abcd}g^{bc}=T_{ad}+\frac{1}{m-2}(T-P)g_{ad}.$$

Now, contracting (2.10) with  $g^{bc}$  and using the above equality and (2.2), we find

$$(2.11) T_{ad,[e,f]} = c_{ef} T_{ad} + \frac{1}{m-2} (T-P) c_{ef} g_{ad}$$

$$+ (\bar{A} - H) (g_{ae} T_{fd} - g_{af} T_{ed} + g_{de} T_{fa} - g_{df} T_{ea})$$

$$+ (m-2) (g_{de} L_{af} - g_{df} L_{ae} + g_{ae} L_{df} - g_{af} L_{de}) + 2g_{ad} (L_{ef} - L_{fe}),$$

whence, by contraction with  $g^{ad}$ , we get

(2.12) 
$$-c_{ef}\left(\frac{2}{m-2}T - \frac{m}{(m-1)(m-2)}P\right) = 4(L_{ef} - L_{fe}).$$

Applying the relations (2.2) and (2.11) to (2.10), we obtain, after straightforward calculations,

$$\begin{split} C_{abcd,[e,f]} + & \left( 2 \frac{T - P}{(m-2)^2} c_{ef} + \frac{4}{m-2} (L_{ef} - L_{fe}) \right) (g_{ad} g_{bc} - g_{ac} g_{bd}) \\ & = c_{ef} C_{abcd} + (\bar{A} - H) Q(C)_{abcdef} - c_{ef} \frac{P}{(m-1)(m-2)} (g_{ad} g_{bc} - g_{ac} g_{bd}). \end{split}$$

But the last equation, together with (2.12), leads immediately to (2.7). Our lemma is thus proved.

Let M be a totally umbilical submanifold of a manifold N. Define on M the following tensor fields:

$$S_{abcdef} = \tilde{R}_{rstu,v} \, V_f(B_{abcde}^{rstuv}),$$

$$(2.14) D_{abcd} = \tilde{R}_{rstu,v} B_{abcd}^{rstv} H^{u}$$

and

$$(2.15) D_{ad} = g^{bc} D_{abcd}.$$

By virtue of (1.8), (1.9) and (2.14), we obtain from (2.13) the identity

$$(2.16) S_{abcdef} = g_{fa} D_{dcbe} + g_{fb} D_{cdae} + g_{fc} D_{bade} + g_{fd} D_{abce} + g_{ef} X_{abcd},$$

where 
$$X_{abcd} = \tilde{R}_{rstu,v} B_{abcd}^{rstu} H^v = D_{abcd} - D_{abdc}$$
.

Relation (1.14), by covariant differentiation and using (1.8), (1.9), (1.12), (1.13) and (1.16), yields

(2.17) 
$$D_{abcd} = \frac{1}{2} (g_{bc} \, V_d \, H_a - g_{ac} \, V_d \, H_b) - g_{da} \, H^r \, H^u \, B_{bc}^{st} \, \tilde{R}_{rstu} + H K_{abcd}$$

$$+ g_{bd} \, H^r \, H^u \, \tilde{R}_{rstu} \, B_{ac}^{st} - H^2 (g_{ad} \, g_{bc} - g_{ac} \, g_{bd})$$

$$- \sum_{v} e_v \, A_{dy} (g_{bc} \, A_{ay} - g_{ac} \, A_{by}).$$

If we put

$$A_{bc} = H^r H^u B_{bc}^{st} \tilde{R}_{rstu}$$

and  $E_{ad} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_d H_a - \sum_y e_y A_{dy} A_{ay}$ , then (2.17) takes the form

(2.19) 
$$D_{abcd} = g_{bc} E_{ad} - g_{ac} E_{bd} - g_{ad} A_{bc} + g_{bd} A_{ac} + HK_{abcd} - H^{2} (g_{ad} g_{bc} - g_{ac} g_{bd}).$$

LEMMA 3. Let M be a totally umbilical submanifold of a manifold N and let

$$(2.20) T_{abcdef} = S_{abcdef} - \frac{1}{m-2} (g_{ad} S_{bcef} - g_{ac} S_{bdef} + g_{bc} S_{adef} - g_{bd} S_{acef})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(m-1)(m-2)} S_{ef} (g_{ad} g_{bc} - g_{ac} g_{bd}).$$

If at some point  $x \in M$  we have H(x) = 0, then the tensor  $T_{abcdef}$  satisfies at x the equation

$$(2.21) \quad T_{abcdef} = g_{fa}(g_{ce} A_{db} - g_{de} A_{cb}) + g_{fb}(g_{de} A_{ca} - g_{ce} A_{da})$$

$$+ g_{fc}(g_{ae} A_{bd} - g_{be} A_{ad}) + g_{fd}(g_{be} A_{ac} - g_{ae} A_{bc})$$

$$+ \frac{2}{m-2} g_{ef}(g_{ad} A_{bc} + g_{bc} A_{ad} - g_{ac} A_{bd} - g_{bd} A_{ac})$$

$$- \frac{1}{m-2} \left\{ g_{bc} \left[ g_{fa} (A_{de} - Ag_{de}) + g_{fd} (A_{ae} - Ag_{ae}) + g_{ae} A_{fd} + g_{de} A_{fa} \right]$$

$$- g_{bd} \left[ g_{fa} (A_{ce} - Ag_{ce}) + g_{fc} (A_{ae} - Ag_{ae}) + g_{ae} A_{fc} + g_{ce} A_{fa} \right]$$

$$+ g_{ad} \left[ g_{fb} (A_{ce} - Ag_{ce}) + g_{fc} (A_{be} - Ag_{be}) + g_{be} A_{fc} + g_{ce} A_{fb} \right]$$

$$- g_{ac} \left[ g_{fb} (A_{de} - Ag_{de}) + g_{fd} (A_{be} - Ag_{be}) + g_{be} A_{fd} + g_{de} A_{fb} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{4}{(m-1)(m-2)} (A_{fe} - Ag_{fe}) (g_{ad} g_{bc} - g_{ac} g_{bd}),$$

where  $S_{adef} = g^{bc} S_{abcdef}$ ,  $S_{ef} = g^{ad} S_{adef}$  and  $A = g^{bc} A_{bc}$ . Proof. Since H(x) = 0, equation (2.19) yields

$$D_{abcd} = g_{bc} E_{ad} - g_{ac} E_{bd} - g_{ad} A_{bc} + g_{bd} A_{ac}.$$

Substituting this into (2.16) we get

(2.22) 
$$S_{abcdef} = g_{fa}(g_{ce} A_{db} - g_{de} A_{cb} + E_{de} g_{cb} - E_{ce} g_{bd})$$

$$+ g_{fb}(g_{de} A_{ca} - g_{ce} A_{da} + E_{ce} g_{da} - E_{de} g_{ca})$$

$$+ g_{fc}(g_{ae} A_{bd} - g_{be} A_{da} + E_{be} g_{da} - E_{ae} g_{bd})$$

$$+ g_{fd}(g_{be} A_{ac} - g_{ae} A_{bc} + E_{ae} g_{bc} - E_{be} g_{ac})$$

$$+ g_{ef}(g_{bd} A_{ac} - g_{ad} A_{bc} + g_{bc} E_{ad} - g_{ac} E_{bd})$$

$$- g_{bc} A_{ad} + g_{ac} A_{bd} - g_{bd} E_{ac} + g_{ad} E_{bc}).$$

Contracting the last equation with  $g^{bc}$ , we obtain

(2.23) 
$$S_{adef} = (m-2)(g_{af}E_{de} + g_{fd}E_{ae} + g_{ef}E_{ad}) + 2E_{ef}g_{ad}$$
$$-mA_{ad}g_{ef} + g_{fa}(A_{de} - Ag_{de}) + g_{fd}(A_{ae} - Ag_{ae})$$
$$+g_{ae}A_{fd} + g_{de}A_{fa} + (E-A)g_{ef}g_{ad},$$

which, by contraction with  $g^{ad}$ , gives

$$(2.24) S_{ef} = 4(m-1)E_{ef} + 2(m-1)(E-A)g_{ef} + 4(A_{fe} - Ag_{fe}),$$

where  $E = g^{bc} E_{bc}$ . Substituting now relations (2.22)–(2.24) into (2.20), we obtain (2.21), completing the proof.

LEMMA 4. Let M be a totally umbilical submanifold of a conformally birecurrent manifold N. If  $\tilde{C}_{rstu}(x) \neq 0$  at a certain point  $x \in M$ , then on some neighborhood  $V \subset M$  of x the relation

$$(2.25) V_f V_e C_{abcd} - a_{ef} C_{abcd} = T_{abcdef}$$

is satisfied, where

$$(2.26) a_{ef} = a_{vw} B_{ef}^{vw}.$$

Moreover, if M is also conformally birecurrent and  $C_{abcd}(x) \neq 0$ , then at x the equation

$$(2.27) P_{ij} T_{abcdef} = P_{ef} T_{abcdij}$$

is fulfilled, where  $P_{ef} = l_{ef} - a_{ef}$  and  $l_{ef}$  is the tensor of birecurrency of  $C_{abcd}$ . Proof. Since  $\tilde{C}_{rstu}(x) \neq 0$ , we have on some open neighborhood  $U \subset N$  of x the relation (1.5). Substitution (1.3) into (1.5) leads to

$$\begin{split} (2.28) \qquad \tilde{R}_{rstu,vw} - a_{vw} \, \tilde{R}_{rstu} &= P_{vw} (g_{ru} \, g_{st} - g_{rt} \, g_{su}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{n-2} (g_{ru} \, M_{vwst} - g_{rt} \, M_{vwsu} + g_{st} \, M_{vwru} - g_{su} \, M_{vwrt}), \end{split}$$

where

$$M_{vwst} = \tilde{R}_{st,vw} - a_{vw} \tilde{R}_{st}$$
 and  $P_{vw} = \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} (\tilde{R} a_{vw} - \tilde{R}_{,vw}).$ 

Equation (1.15), by covariant differentiation, yields

$$(2.29) V_f V_e K_{abcd} = (\tilde{R}_{rstu,vw}) B_{abcdef}^{rstuvw} + S_{abcdef} + H_{abcdef},$$

where  $H_{abcdef} = V_f H_{abcde}$ . Applying the relations (2.28), (2.26) and (1.12) in (2.29) we find on  $V = U \cap M$  (if  $V \neq \emptyset$ ) the equality

$$(2.30) \qquad \nabla_f \, \nabla_e \, K_{abcd} - a_{ef} \, K_{abcd} = \overline{P}_{ef} (g_{ad} \, g_{bc} - g_{ac} \, g_{bd}) + S_{abcdef}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n-2} (g_{ad} \, M_{efbc} - g_{ac} \, M_{efbd} + g_{bc} \, M_{efad} - g_{bd} \, M_{efac}) + H_{abcdef}$$

$$- Ha_{ef} (g_{ad} \, g_{bc} - g_{ac} \, g_{bd}),$$

where  $M_{efbc} = M_{vwrs} B_{efbc}^{vwrs}$  and  $\bar{P}_{ef} = P_{vw} B_{ef}^{vw}$ . Relations (2.29) and (1.12) lead to

$$\begin{split} V_f \, V_e \, K_{abcd} - a_{ef} \, K_{abcd} &= S_{abcdef} + H_{abcdef} - H a_{ef} \, (g_{ad} \, g_{bc} - g_{ac} \, g_{bd}) \\ &+ (\tilde{R}_{rstu,vw} - a_{vw} \, \tilde{R}_{rstu}) \, B_{abcdef}^{rstuvw} \, . \end{split}$$

Contracting the above equation with  $g^{bc}$  and making use of (1.11) we get

$$(2.31) \quad V_f V_e K_{ad} - a_{ef} K_{ad} = M_{efad} - \sum_{y} e_y N_y^s N_y^t (\tilde{R}_{rstu,vw} - a_{vw} \tilde{R}_{rstu}) B_{adef}^{ruvw} - (m-1) Ha_{ef} g_{ad} + S_{adef} + H_{adef},$$

where  $H_{adef} = g^{bc} H_{abcdef}$ . On the other hand, from (2.28), by transvection with  $\sum_{y} e_{y} N_{y}^{s} N_{y}^{t} B_{adef}^{ruvw}$  and using (1.10), it follows that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{y} e_{y} N_{y}^{s} N_{y}^{t} B_{adef}^{ruvw} (\tilde{R}_{rstu,vw} - a_{vw} \tilde{R}_{rstu}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-2} \left[ g_{ad} \left( \sum_{y} e_{y} N_{y}^{s} N_{y}^{t} \right) B_{ef}^{vw} M_{vwst} + (n-m) M_{efad} \right] + (n-m) \bar{P}_{ef} g_{ad}. \end{split}$$

Substituting the last equation into (2.31), we obtain

$$(2.32) \ V_f V_e K_{ad} - a_{ef} K_{ad} = \frac{m-2}{n-2} M_{efad} - \frac{1}{n-2} g_{ad} \left( \sum_y e_y N_y^s N_y^t \right) B_{ef}^{vw} M_{vwst}$$

$$- (n-m) \bar{P}_{ef} g_{ad} - (m-1) H a_{ef} g_{ad} + H_{adef} + S_{adef}.$$

Hence, by contraction with  $g^{ad}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} M_{vwru} \sum_{y} e_{y} N_{y}^{\gamma} N_{y}^{u} B_{ef}^{vw} &= \frac{1}{2(m-1)} \left[ m - 2 + \frac{m(n-m)}{n-1} \right] (\tilde{R}_{,vw} - \tilde{R} a_{vw}) B_{ef}^{vw} \\ &- \frac{n-2}{2(m-1)} (V_{f} V_{e} K - K a_{ef}) - \frac{1}{2} m(n-2) H a_{ef} + \frac{1}{2} (n-2)(m+2) V_{f} H_{e} \\ &+ \frac{n-2}{2(m-1)} S_{ef}. \end{split}$$

Substituting the last equation into (2.32), we get

$$\begin{split} M_{efad} &= \frac{n-2}{m-2} \bigg[ \nabla_f \nabla_e K_{ad} - a_{ef} K_{ad} - S_{adef} \\ &\qquad - \frac{1}{2(m-1)} g_{ad} (\nabla_f \nabla_e K - K a_{ef} - S_{ef}) \bigg] \\ &\qquad - \frac{n-2}{2} \big[ g_{ad} \, \bar{P}_{ef} + g_{ad} (\nabla_f H_e - H a_{ef}) \\ &\qquad + g_{ae} \, \nabla_f H_d + g_{de} \, \nabla_f H_a \big]. \end{split}$$

Finally, substituting this into (2.30), after straightforward calculations, we obtain (2.25).

Now we prove that relation (2.27) holds at x. Since M is conformally birecurrent and  $C_{abcd}(x) \neq 0$ , relation (2.25) takes at x the form

$$(2.33) P_{ef} C_{abcd} = T_{abcdef}.$$

Multiplying this by  $P_{ij}$  we obtain

$$P_{ij} P_{ef} C_{abcd} = P_{ij} T_{abcdef}$$

But from the last equation we get easily (2.27). Our lemma is thus proved.

LEMMA 5 (cf. [6], Theorem 2). Let M be a totally umbilical submanifold of a conformally birecurrent manifold N. If  $\tilde{C}_{rstu}(x) = 0$  at a certain point x of M, then  $C_{abcd}(x) = 0$  at x.

LEMMA 6. Let M be a totally umbilical submanifold of a conformally birecurrent manifold N. Moreover, let M be also conformally birecurrent. If  $C_{abcd}(x) \neq 0$  and H(x) = 0 at some point  $x \in M$ , then the relation

$$(2.34) A_{ef} - \frac{A}{m} g_{ef} = 0$$

holds at x.

Proof. First of all we notice that in view of (2.21) the equations

$$T_{abcdef} = T_{abcdfe}$$
 and  $g^{ef} T_{abcdef} = 0$ 

hold at x. Therefore, from (2.33) we get the relations

$$P_i^i C_{abcd} = 0$$
 and  $(P_{ef} - P_{fe}) C_{abcd} = 0$ ,

where  $P_i^i = g^{ij} P_{ij}$ . Since  $C_{abcd}(x) \neq 0$ , the above equations give

$$(2.35) P^i_i(x) = 0$$

and

$$(2.36) (P_{ef} - P_{fe})(x) = 0.$$

Contracting now equality (2.27) with  $g^{ai}$  and  $g^{cj}$  and using (2.21), (2.35) and (2.36), we obtain

$$(2.37) 2P_{ef} A_{bd} - g_{de} Z_{fb} - g_{fb} Z_{ed} - g_{be} Z_{fd} - g_{fd} Z_{eb}$$

$$+ Z(g_{fb} g_{de} + g_{fd} g_{be}) + \frac{2}{m-2} g_{ef} (Z_{db} + Z_{bd} - Zg_{bd})$$

$$- \frac{1}{m-2} [(A_{de} - Ag_{de}) P_{fb} + (A_{be} - Ag_{be}) P_{df} + A_{fd} P_{eb} + A_{fb} P_{de}$$

$$- 2g_{bd} (Z_{fe} - AP_{fe}) + g_{bf} (Z_{de} - AP_{de}) + g_{fd} (Z_{be} - AP_{be})$$

$$-2g_{bd}Z_{ef} + g_{be}Z_{df} + g_{de}Z_{bf}] + \frac{4}{(m-1)(m-2)}(A_{fe} - Ag_{fe})P_{bd}$$

$$= \frac{m(m+1)(m-3)}{m-1}P_{ef}\left(A_{bd} - \frac{A}{m}g_{bd}\right),$$

where

(2.38) 
$$Z_{bd} = P^{i}_{b} A_{id}, \quad P^{i}_{b} = g^{ia} P_{ab}, \quad P^{ib} = g^{bd} P^{i}_{d}, \quad Z = g^{bd} Z_{bd} = P^{bc} A_{bc}.$$

Contracting (2.37) with  $g^{bf}$  and using (2.35), (2.36) and (2.38) we get

$$(2.39) (m-1)Zg_{ed} - Z_{de} - (m^2 - m - 1)Z_{ed} + (m-1)AP_{ed} = 0.$$

Alternating now the indices e and d in the last equation we obtain

$$(2.40) Z_{ed} = Z_{de}.$$

Thus relation (2.39) takes the form

(2.41) 
$$Z_{ed} = \frac{Z}{m} g_{ed} + \frac{A}{m} P_{ed}.$$

On the other hand, alternating (2.37) in pairs of indices (e, b) and (f, d) and making use of (2.40), we find

$$(2.42) P_{ef}\left(A_{bd} - \frac{A}{m}g_{bd}\right) = P_{bd}\left(A_{ef} - \frac{A}{m}g_{ef}\right).$$

Assume that the condition

$$\left(A_{bd} - \frac{A}{m}g_{bd}\right) \neq 0$$

holds at the point  $x \in M$ . Thus, by (2.42) and (2.43), we obtain at x

$$(2.44) P_{ef} = F\left(A_{ef} - \frac{A}{m}g_{ef}\right),$$

where F is a non-zero number. We prove now that at x the following relations hold:

$$(2.45) P^{ef} P_{ef} = FZ,$$

$$(2.46) P^{ef} Z_{ef} = \frac{1}{m} FAZ,$$

$$(2.47) P_k^i P_{if} = \frac{1}{m} F Z g_{kf}$$

and

(2.48) 
$$P_{k}^{i}Z_{if} = \frac{Z}{m}\left(P_{kf} + \frac{1}{m}AFg_{kf}\right).$$

Indeed, from (2.44), by transvection with  $P^{ef}$  and application of (2.38) and (2.35), we obtain (2.45). Transvecting (2.41) with  $P^{ed}$  and making use of (2.35) and (2.45) we get (2.46). Further, transvecting (2.44) and (2.41) with  $P^e_k$  and applying (2.38) and (2.41) we find (2.47) and (2.48). Now, the transvection of (2.37) with  $P^{ef}$  and the use of (2.38) and (2.44)–(2.48) give, after straightforward calculations,

$$(2.49) \alpha(m) Z P_{M} = 0,$$

where  $\alpha(m) = m^4 - 4m^3 - 3m^2 + 22m - 16$ . By (2.43) and (2.44) we have

$$(2.50) P_{\mathbf{M}}(x) \neq 0.$$

Thus equality (2.49) together with the last relation gives for  $m \ge 4$ 

$$(2.51) Z = 0.$$

By (2.41), (2.51) and (2.44), from (2.37) it follows that

(2.52) 
$$\beta(m) P_{ef} P_{bd} + 2(m-1)(P_{bf} P_{de} + P_{df} P_{be}) = 0,$$

where  $\beta(m) = m^4 - 4m^3 - m^2 + 12m - 8$ . Alternating in (2.52) the indices b and f we get  $P_{ef} P_{bd} = P_{eb} P_{fd}$ . Applying the last result in (2.52) we obtain  $P_{ef}(x) = 0$ . But this is a contradiction with (2.50). Thus, at x we have (2.34), which completes the proof.

## 3. Main results.

THEOREM 1. Let M be a totally umbilical submanifold of a manifold N. Moreover, let M be a conformally birecurrent manifold. If on N the condition (2.6) is satisfied, then the relation

$$(\bar{A} - H) C_{abcd} = 0$$

holds on M.

Proof. Assume that at some point  $x \in M$  we have

$$(3.2) C_{abcd}(x) \neq 0.$$

Since M is conformally birecurrent, (2.7) yields

$$(3.3) V_{ef} C_{abcd} = (\bar{A} - H) Q(C)_{abcdef},$$

where  $V_{ef} = l_{ef} - l_{fe} - c_{ef}$ ,  $l_{ef}$  is the tensor of birecurrency of  $C_{abcd}$ , and  $c_{ef}$  is given by (2.8). From (3.3) it follows immediately that

$$V_{ef} C_{abcd} + V_{ab} C_{cdef} + V_{cd} C_{efab} = 0.$$

But the last equation gives ([13], pp. 154-155)

$$V_{ef} C_{abcd} = 0.$$

Thus (3.3) can be reduced to

$$(\bar{A} - H) Q(C)_{abcdef} = 0.$$

Hence by contraction with  $g^{af}$  we obtain (3.1). Our theorem is thus proved. Theorem 1 implies

COROLLARY 1. Let M be a totally umbilical submanifold of a conformally birecurrent manifold N. If M is also conformally birecurrent, then relation (0.1) holds on M.

As is known [9], every totally umbilical submanifold of a conformally recurrent manifold is also conformally recurrent. Using this fact and Corollary 1 we obtain

COROLLARY 2 (cf. [10]). Let M be a totally umbilical submanifold of a conformally recurrent manifold N. Then on M relation (0.1) is satisfied.

THEOREM 2. Let M be a totally umbilical submanifold of a conformally birecurrent manifold N. Let the conditions  $\tilde{C}_{rstu}(x) \neq 0$ , H(x) = 0 and (2.34) hold at  $x \in M$ . Then at x the equation

$$(3.4) V_f V_e C_{abcd} = a_{ef} C_{abcd}$$

is satisfied, where  $a_{ef}$  is defined by (2.26).

Proof. Applying the relation (2.34) in (2.21), we obtain

$$T_{abcdef}(x) = 0.$$

But the last equation together with (2.25) gives (3.4), which completes the proof.

The next result follows immediately from Theorem 2 and Lemma 5.

THEOREM 3. Let M be a totally umbilical submanifold of a conformally birecurrent manifold N. If the relations

$$(3.5) H=0$$

and (2.34) hold on M, then M is conformally birecurrent.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 6, Corollary 1 and Theorem 3, we obtain

THEOREM 4. Let M be an analytic, non-conformally flat, totally umbilical submanifold of a conformally birecurrent manifold N. Then M is conformally birecurrent if and only if relations (3.5) and (2.34) hold on M.

4. Examples. In this section we give examples of conformally birecurrent totally umbilical submanifolds of a conformally birecurrent manifold satis-

fying (0.1) or (2.34) and (3.5). We define the metric  $\bar{g}_{ab}$  in  $R^q$   $(q \ge 4)$  by the formula

(4.1) 
$$\bar{g}_{ab} = \begin{cases} -2 & \text{if } a = b = 1, \\ \exp(F_a) & \text{if } a+b = q+1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where

(4.2) 
$$F_a(x^1, ..., x^q) = F_{n+1-a}(x^1, ..., x^q) = \begin{cases} G(x^2) + B(x^2) & \text{if } a = 2, \\ G(x^2) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(4.3) 
$$G(x^2) = klx^2, \quad B(x^2) = -\frac{(kl)^2 + 1}{kl}x^2,$$

k and l are constants such that

(4.4) 
$$k \in (0, 1)$$
 and  $l^2 = -\frac{2}{k(k-1)}$ 

and  $a, b, c, d, e \in \{1, ..., q\}$ . The reciprocal  $\bar{g}^{ab}$  of  $\bar{g}_{ab}$  is clearly of the form

(4.5) 
$$\bar{g}^{ab} = \begin{cases} 2\exp(-2F_1) & \text{if } a = b = q, \\ \exp(-F_a) & \text{if } a+b = q+1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The only components of the Christoffel symbols  $\bar{\Gamma}^a_{bc}$ , the curvature tensor

$$\bar{R}_{abcd} = \bar{g}_{ae} \, \bar{R}^e_{bcd} = \bar{g}_{ae} (\partial_d \, \bar{\Gamma}^e_{bc} - \partial_c \, \bar{\Gamma}^e_{bd} + \bar{\Gamma}^f_{bc} \, \bar{\Gamma}^e_{fd} - \bar{\Gamma}^f_{bd} \, \bar{\Gamma}^e_{fc}), \qquad \partial_d = \partial/\partial x^d,$$

the Ricci tensor  $\bar{R}_{ad} = \bar{g}^{bc} \bar{R}_{badc}$ , the Weyl conformal curvature tensor  $\bar{C}_{abcd}$  and its covariant derivative  $\bar{V}_e \bar{C}_{abcd}$  not identically equal to zero are those related to (see [4])

(4.6) 
$$\bar{\Gamma}_{12}^{1} = \bar{\Gamma}_{2\lambda}^{\lambda} = \bar{\Gamma}_{2q}^{q} = \frac{1}{2}kl, \quad \bar{\Gamma}_{22}^{2} = -\frac{1}{kl},$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{1,q}^{q-1} = -\frac{1}{2}kl\exp(F_{1} - F_{2}), \quad \bar{\Gamma}_{12}^{q} = kl\exp(-F_{1}), \quad \bar{\Gamma}_{\lambda,q+1-\lambda}^{q-1},$$

(4.7) 
$$\bar{R}_{1212} = -\frac{1}{2}(kl)^2, \quad \bar{R}_{122q} = -\frac{1}{4}[(kl)^2 + 2] \exp G,$$

$$\bar{R}_{2\lambda 2,q+1-\lambda}=-\bar{R}_{122q},$$

(4.8) 
$$\bar{R}_{22} = -\frac{q-2}{4}[(kl)^2 + 2],$$

(4.9) 
$$\bar{C}_{1212} = 1, \quad \bar{V}_2 \bar{C}_{1212} = -\frac{(kl)^2 - 2}{kl},$$

where  $\bar{V}_a$  denotes the covariant derivative with respect to  $\bar{g}_{ab}$ .

In formulas (4.6) and (4.7) we adopt the convention that the Greek index  $\lambda$  ranges over the set  $\{3, ..., n-2\}$  (empty for q=4) and that repeated indices are not be summed over. It is easy to verify that the relation  $\bar{V}_e \bar{C}_{abcd} = \varphi_e \bar{C}_{abcd}$  holds on  $R^q$ , where  $\varphi_e = \bar{V}_e \varphi$ ,  $\varphi = -3G - 2B$ . Thus  $R^q$  with the metric given by (4.1)-(4.4) is conformally recurrent (cf. [4], Theorem 1).

Now we define in  $R^n$  the metric  $g_{rs}$  by

(4.10) 
$$g_{rs} = \begin{cases} \bar{g}_{ab} & \text{if } r = a \text{ and } s = b, \\ \sigma_{a\beta}^{\sharp} & \text{if } r = \alpha \text{ and } s = \beta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where  $\bar{g}_{ab}$  is given by (4.1)-(4.4),

(4.11) 
$$\sigma(x^1, ..., x^q) = \exp(-lx^2),$$

(4.12) 
$$\dot{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$r, s, t, u, v, w \in \{1, ..., n\}, a, b, c, d, e, f \in \{1, ..., q\}$$

and

$$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \{q+1, \ldots, n\}, \quad q \geqslant 4, n-q \geqslant 3.$$

For simplicity, we denote by N the space  $R^n$  with the above defined metric. We prove that N is a conformally recurrent manifold. By (4.10), the Christoffel symbols  $\Gamma_{st}^r$  of N satisfy the relations

(4.13) 
$$\Gamma_{st}^{r} = \begin{cases} \bar{\Gamma}_{bc}^{a} & \text{if } r = a, \ s = b, \ t = c, \\ -\frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{g}^{2,q-1} \sigma_{2} & \text{if } r = q-1, \ s = \alpha, \ t = \beta, \\ (1/2\sigma) \sigma_{2} \delta_{\beta}^{a} & \text{if } r = \alpha, \ s = 2, \ t = \beta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\sigma_2 = \partial_2 \sigma$ . The only components of the curvature tensor  $R_{rstu}$ , the Ricci tensor  $R_{ru}$ , the Weyl conformal curvature tensor  $C_{rstu}$  and its covariant derivative  $V_v C_{rstu}$  which are not identically equal to zero are those related to

(4.14) 
$$R_{1212} = \bar{R}_{1212}, \qquad R_{122q} = \bar{R}_{122q}, \qquad R_{2\lambda 2,q+1-\lambda} = -R_{122q},$$

$$R_{\alpha 22\beta} = -\frac{kl^2 - 2}{4k} g_{\alpha\beta} \sigma,$$

(4.15) 
$$R_{22} = \bar{R}_{22} - \frac{n-q}{4} \frac{kl^2 - 2}{k},$$

$$(4.16) C_{1212} = 1$$

and

(4.17) 
$$V_2 C_{1212} = \frac{2(2k-1)}{k(k-1)l}.$$

It is easy to verify that the equation  $\nabla_{v} C_{rstu} = \psi_{v} C_{rstu}$  holds on N, where

$$\psi_{v} = V_{v} \psi, \quad \psi = \frac{2(2k-1)}{k(k-1)l} x^{2}.$$

Thus N is a conformally recurrent manifold.

The submanifold  $V_q$  of N defined by

$$x^1 = y^1, \ldots, x^q = y^q, x^{q+1} = C_{q+1}, \ldots, x^n = C_n$$

is a totally geodesic submanifold of N and the submanifold  $V_{n-q}$  of N defined by

$$x^1 = C_1, \ldots, x^q = C_q, x^{q+1} = u^1, \ldots, x^n = u^{n-q}$$

is a totally umbilical submanifold of N ([8], Theorem 1), where  $C_1, \ldots, C_n$  are constants. The submanifold  $V_q$   $(q \ge 4)$  with the induced metric  $\bar{g}_{ab}$  from the metric  $g_{rs}$  is conformally recurrent ([5], Theorem 1). Since  $V_q$  is a totally geodesic submanifold of N, the equalities (3.5) and (2.34) hold on  $V_q$ . Thus we have

THEOREM 5. There exist conformally recurrent totally umbilical submanifolds of a conformally recurrent manifold satisfying (3.5) and (2.34).

From the above theorem we obtain

COROLLARY 3. There exist conformally birecurrent totally umbilical submanifolds of a conformally birecurrent manifold satisfying (3.5) and (2.34).

The submanifold  $V_{n-q}$  with the induced metric  $\sigma(C_1, \ldots, C_q) \overset{*}{g}_{\alpha\beta}$  is a flat manifold. It is clear that on  $V_{n-q}$  relation (0.1) is satisfied. Thus we have

THEOREM 6. There exist conformally recurrent totally umbilical submanifolds of a conformally recurrent manifold satisfying (0.1).

From this theorem it follows that

COROLLARY 4. There exist conformally birecurrent totally umbilical submanifolds of a conformally birecurrent manifold satisfying (0.1).

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adamów and R. Deszcz, On totally umbilical submanifolds of some class Riemannian manifolds, Demonstratio Math. 16 (1983), pp. 39-59.
- [2] T. Adati and T. Miyazawa, On a Riemannian space with recurrent conformal curvature, Tensor (N. S.) 18 (1967), pp. 348-354.

- [3] C. D. Collinson and F. Söler, Second order conformally recurrent and birecurrent plane waves, ibidem 27 (1973), pp. 37-40.
- [4] A. Derdziński, Conformally recurrent indefinite metrics on tori, ibidem 34 (1980), pp. 260-262.
- [5] R. Deszcz, On semi-decomposable conformally recurrent and conformally birecurrent Riemannian space, Prace Nauk. Inst. Mat. Politech. Wrocław. 16 (1976), pp. 27-33.
- [6] A. Gebarowski, On totally umbilical submanifolds, Demonstratio Math. 6 (1973), pp. 641-646.
- [7] W. Grycak, On totally umbilical surfaces in some Riemannian manifolds, ibidem 11 (1978), pp. 385-394.
- [8] Г. И. Кручкович, Об одном классе римановых пространств. Труды Сем. Вект. Тензор. Анал. 11 (1961), pp. 103–128.
- . [9] Z. Olszak, On totally umbilical surfaces immersed in Riemannian conformally recurrent and conformally symmetric spaces, Demonstratio Math. 8 (1975), pp. 303-311.
- [10] On totally umbilical surfaces in some Riemannian spaces, Colloq. Math. 37 (1977), pp. 105-111.
- [11] Remarks on manifolds admitting totally umbilical hypersurfaces, Demonstratio Math. 11 (1978), pp. 695-702.
- [12] W. Roter, On conformally recurrent Ricci-recurrent manifolds, Colloq. Math. 46 (1982), pp. 45-57.
- [13] H. S. Ruse, A. G. Walker and T. J. Willmore, *Harmonic Spaces*, Edizioni Cremonese, Roma 1961.
- [14] J. A. Schouten, Ricci Calculus, Berlin 1954.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AGRICULTURAL ACADEMY WROCŁAW

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY
SZCZECIN

Reçu par la Rédaction le 16.11.1984