## VARIETIES OF IDEMPOTENT MEDIAL n-QUASIGROUPS

BY

## B. CSÁKÁNY AND L. MEGYESI (SZEGED)

Medial n-quasigroups were introduced and studied by Belousov [1], p. 46-52. Varieties of idempotent medial quasigroups (i.e., 2-quasigroups) were investigated by the authors [7]. In this article we prove that varieties of idempotent medial n-quasigroups (shortly, IM-n-quasigroups) are equivalent to varieties of affine modules over some rings. As a consequence we infer that equationally complete varieties of IM-n-quasigroups are equivalent to varieties of affine spaces over finite fields. In particular, for even n, equationally complete varieties of IM-n-quasigroups coincide with equationally complete varieties of idempotent medial quasigroups.

An *n*-quasigroup is an algebra with the *n*-ary basic operations  $f, f_1, ..., f_n$ , where  $f_i$  (i = 1, ..., n) denotes the *i*-th inverse operation for f; i.e., these operations satisfy the identities (1)

(1) 
$$f(x_1^{i-1}, f_i(x_1^n), x_{i+1}^n) = x_i,$$

XLII

(2) 
$$f_i(x_1^{i-1}, f(x_1^n), x_{i+1}^n) = x_i.$$

Remark that (1), (2) imply the identities

$$f_i(x_1^{i-1}, x_k, x_{i+1}^{k-1}, f_k(x_1^n), x_{k+1}^n) = x_i,$$

$$f_k(x_1^{i-1}, f_i(x_1^n), x_{i+1}^{k-1}, x_i, x_{k+1}^n) = x_k$$

for all i, k such that  $(1 \le) i < k (\le n)$ .

Medial and idempotent n-quasigroups are defined by the identities

(5) 
$$f(f(x_{11}^{1n}), f(x_{21}^{2n}), \ldots, f(x_{n1}^{nn})) = f(f(x_{11}^{n1}), f(x_{12}^{n2}), \ldots, f(x_{1n}^{nn}))$$
 and

$$(6) f(x,\ldots,x) = x,$$

respectively. Identity (5) is often referred to as the permutability of f with itself.

<sup>(1)</sup> Following Belousov, we denote the sequence  $a_i, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_i$  by  $a_i^j$ .

Let M be a (unitary right) module over the ring R. Every algebraic (in other terminology: polynomial) operation of M may be written in the form  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \varrho_{i}$  with  $\varrho_{i} \in R$ . Let  $A_{R}$  be the set of all algebraic operations which fulfil

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \varrho_i = 1.$$

The algebra  $(M; A_R)$  is called an affine module over R (see [9] and [6]). The class of all affine modules over a ring R is a variety denoted by  $\mathcal{A}(R)$ . Concerning affine modules, we need the following two facts:

LEMMA 1.  $(R^k; A_R)$  is a free algebra in  $\mathscr{A}(R)$  with the free generating set

$$\{(0,\ldots,0),(1,0,\ldots,0),(0,1,0,\ldots,0),\ldots,(0,\ldots,0,1)\}.$$
 (See, e.g., the proof of Theorem 2 in [6].)

LEMMA 2. Subvarieties of  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  are the same up to equivalence as the varieties  $\mathcal{A}(\bar{R})$ , where  $\bar{R}$  is any homomorphic image of R. (See the proof of Theorem 4 in [6].)

The equivalence of varieties, a notion going back to A. I. Mal'cev, may be characterized as follows:

LEMMA 3. Two varieties  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are equivalent if and only if for any  $\mathcal{F}$ -free F and  $\mathcal{G}$ -free G with the same free generating set there exist a 1-1 mapping  $\varphi$  of F onto G and a 1-1 mapping  $\zeta$  of the set of algebraic operations of F onto that of G such that for any m-ary algebraic operation f and elements  $a_1, \ldots, a_m$  of F the relation

$$(f(a_1,\ldots,a_m))\varphi = (f\zeta)(a_1\varphi,\ldots,a_m\varphi)$$
 holds (see [4]).

Following Kuroš, a variety is called *Abelian* if every pair of its (basic) operations is permutable (see [3], p. 127, and [8], p. 92).

LEMMA 4. Any variety of medial n-quasigroups is Abelian.

In fact, f is permutable with itself by (5). Further, the permutability of pairs  $(f, f_1)$ ,  $(f_1, f_2)$  can be established by making use of (1)-(5):

$$(\alpha) \qquad f(f_{1}(a_{11}^{n1}), \ldots, f_{1}(a_{1n}^{nn}))$$

$$\stackrel{(2)}{=} f_{1}(f(f(f_{1}(a_{11}^{n1}), \ldots, f_{1}(a_{1n}^{nn})), f(a_{21}^{2n}), \ldots, f(a_{n1}^{nn})), f(a_{21}^{2n}), \ldots, f(a_{n1}^{nn}))$$

$$\stackrel{(5)}{=} f_{1}(f(f(f_{1}(a_{11}^{n1}), a_{21}^{n1}), \ldots, f(f_{1}(a_{1n}^{nn}), a_{2n}^{nn})), f(a_{21}^{2n}), \ldots, f(a_{n1}^{nn}))$$

$$\stackrel{(1)}{=} f_{1}(f(a_{11}^{1n}), \ldots, f(a_{n1}^{nn})),$$

$$(\beta) \qquad f_{1}(f_{1}(a_{11}^{1n}), \ldots, f_{1}(a_{n1}^{nn}))$$

$$\stackrel{(2)}{=} f_1(f(f_1(a_{11}^{1n}), \ldots, f_1(a_{n1}^{nn})), f_1(a_{12}^{n2}), \ldots, f_1(a_{1n}^{nn})), f_1(a_{12}^{n2}), \ldots, f_1(a_{1n}^{nn}))$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{=} f_1\Big(f_1\Big(f(f_1(a_{11}^{1n}), a_{12}^{1n}), \ldots, f(f_1(a_{n1}^{nn}), a_{n2}^{nn})\Big), f_1(a_{12}^{n2}), \ldots, f_1(a_{1n}^{nn})\Big)$$

$$\stackrel{(1)}{=} f_1\Big(f_1(a_{11}^{n1}), \ldots, f_1(a_{1n}^{nn})\Big),$$

$$(\gamma)$$
  $f_1(f_2(a_{11}^{1n}),\ldots,f_2(a_{n1}^{nn}))$ 

$$\stackrel{\text{(4)}}{=} f_2\Big(f_1\Big(f_1(a_{12}^{n2}), f_1\Big(f_2(a_{11}^{1n}), \ldots, f_2(a_{n1}^{nn})\Big), f_1(a_{13}^{n3}), \ldots, f_1(a_{1n}^{nn})\Big), f_1(a_{12}^{n2}), \ldots, f_1(a_{1n}^{nn})\Big)$$

$$\stackrel{(\beta)}{=} f_2\Big(f_1\Big(f_1\Big(a_{12},f_2(a_{11}^{1n}),\ a_{13}^{1n}\Big),\ \ldots,f_1\Big(a_{n2},f_2(a_{n1}^{nn}),\ a_{n3}^{nn}\Big)\Big),f_1(a_{12}^{n2}),\ \ldots,f_1(a_{1n}^{nn})\Big)$$

$$\stackrel{(3)}{=} f_2(f_1(a_{11}^{n1}), \ldots, f_1(a_{1n}^{nn})).$$

Analogously we get the permutability of each other pair of operations. Let  $P_n$  denote the ring of all fractions of the form

$$\frac{g(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})}{x_1^{k_1}\ldots x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}(1-x_1-\ldots-x_{n-1})^l},$$

where g is a polynomial in variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$  with integer coefficients, and  $k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}, l$  are non-negative integers.

THEOREM 1. The variety of all IM-n-quasigroups is equivalent to  $\mathscr{A}(P_n)$ . Any variety of IM-n-quasigroups is equivalent to  $\mathscr{A}(\overline{P}_n)$  for some homomorphic image  $\overline{P}_n$  of  $P_n$ .

Proof. It is proved in [6] that if a variety is Abelian, Hamiltonian (i.e., in any algebra every subalgebra is a class of some congruence), idempotent (i.e., in any algebra every one-element set is a subalgebra), and regular (i.e., in any algebra two congruences coincide provided they have a class in common), then it is equivalent to the variety of all affine modules over some commutative ring. Next we show that any variety 2 of IM-n-quasigroups fulfils the four conditions listed before.

By Lemma 4, 2 is Abelian. In view of (2) we have

$$f_i(x) = f_i(x, ..., x, f(x, ..., x), x, ..., x) = x,$$

showing that 2 is idempotent. Further, for

$$t(x, y, z) = f_2(f_1(x, z, ..., z), y, z, ..., z),$$

the identity

$$t(x, x, z) = z$$

and the identical implication

$$(t(x,y,z)=z)\Rightarrow (x=y)$$

hold in 2. In accordance with the result of [5] this means that 2 is regular.

In order to prove that 2 is Hamiltonian consider a  $K \in 2$ . From the description of medial n-quasigroups by Belousov in [1] it follows that there exists an Abelian group (K; +) having pairwise permutable auto-

morphisms  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  whose sum is the identical map such that

(7) 
$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_i$$

for any  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in K$ . Consequently,

(8) 
$$f_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{k \neq i} x_k (-a_k a_i^{-1}) + x_i a_i^{-1}$$

for i = 1, ..., n. Now, (7) and (8) imply

(9) 
$$f(f_1(x_1, x_2, x_4, ..., x_{2n-2}), f_2(x_{2n-2}, x_3, x_2, ..., x_{2n-4}),$$
  
 $f_3(x_{2n-4}, x_{2n-2}, x_5, x_2, ..., x_{2n-6}), ..., f_n(x_2, x_4, ..., x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}))$   
 $= x_1 - x_2 + x_3 - x_4 + ... + x_{2n-1}.$ 

Thus, any subalgebra L of K is closed with respect to (2n-1)-ary alternating sums in the group (K; +), whence L is a coset relative to the subgroup

$${}^{\prime}L_0(\subseteq K) = \{l-l_0 \mid l \in L\}$$

with fixed  $l_0 \in L$ .

It remains to check that the congruence of (K; +), determined by  $L_0$ , is also a congruence of the *n*-quasigroup K. First we show that each of the automorphisms  $a_i$ ,  $a_i^{-1}$  (i = 1, ..., n) maps  $L_0$  into itself. Indeed,

$$(10) (l-l_0)a_1 = la_1 - l_0a_1 + f(l_0, \ldots, l_0) - l_0 = f(l, l_0, \ldots, l_0) - l_0 \in L,$$

$$(11) (l-l_0)a_1^{-1} = la_1^{-1} - l_0a_1^{-1} + f_1(l_0, \ldots, l_0) - l_0 = f_1(l, l_0, \ldots, l_0) - l_0 \in L,$$

and analogously for i > 1. Now, if

$$a_{i} = l_{i} - l_{0} + b_{i}$$
  $(a_{i}, b_{i} \in K; l_{i} \in L; i = 1, ..., n),$ 

then (10) gives

$$f(a_1^n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (l_i - l) a_i + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i a_i \in L_0 + f(b_1^n).$$

In a similar way from (11) we obtain

$$f_1(a_1^n) \in L_0 + f_1(b_1^n)$$
.

Thus, 2 is equivalent to  $\mathscr{A}(R)$  for some commutative ring R. We can apply Lemma 3 to 2 and  $\mathscr{A}(R)$ . Let  $R_n$  be free in  $\mathscr{A}(R)$  with the free generating set

$$e_0 = (0, ..., 0), e_1 = (1, 0, ..., 0), ..., e_n = (0, ..., 0, 1)$$

and let  $Q_n$  be a free IM-n-quasigroup with the same free generating set.

Using the representation of  $R_n$  in Lemma 1 we get

$$f\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_i,$$

where  $a_i \in R$  and

$$(12) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1.$$

If

$$f_j\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\beta_{ji}$$
 for  $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ ,

then

$$e_{j} = e_{j}\varphi = (f(e_{0}, ..., e_{0}, f_{j}(e_{0}, ..., e_{0}, e_{j}, e_{0}, ..., e_{0}), e_{0}, ..., e_{0}))\varphi$$

$$= e_{0}\alpha_{i} + ... + (e_{0}\beta_{j1} + ... + e_{j}\beta_{jj} + ... + e_{0}\beta_{jn})\alpha_{j} + ... + e_{0}\alpha_{n}$$

$$= (0, ..., 0, \beta_{ji}\alpha_{j}, 0, ..., 0),$$

whence

(13) 
$$\beta_{ij}a_{j}=1 \quad (j=1,...,n).$$

By a similar computation we get

(14) 
$$\beta_{jk}a_j + a_k = 0 \quad (j = 1, ..., n; k \neq j),$$

(15) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \beta_{jk} = 1 \quad (j = 1, ..., n).$$

The ring R contains all elements of the form

(16) 
$$g(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1})\beta_{11}^{k_1} \ldots \beta_{nn}^{k_n},$$

where g is a polynomial with integer coefficients and  $k_j$   $(j=1,\ldots,n)$  are non-negative integers. On the other hand, all elements of R can be represented in form (16). Indeed, take an arbitrary  $\varrho \in R$  and consider the 1-1 mapping  $\zeta$  (of Lemma 3) between algebraic operations of  $Q_2$  and  $R_2$ . For a suitable binary p we have  $p\zeta = x\varrho + y(1-\varrho)$ . Let

$$p(x,y) = f(p_1(x,y), ..., p_n(x,y)), \quad p_i\zeta = x\varrho_i + y(1-\varrho_i) \quad (i=1,...,n)$$
 and suppose that

 $\varrho_i = g_i(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}) \beta_{11}^{k_{i_1}} \ldots \beta_{n_n}^{k_{i_n}}.$ 

Then, applying (12) and (13), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \varrho &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} g_{i}(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}) \beta_{11}^{k_{i_{1}}} \ldots \beta_{nn}^{k_{i_{n}}} \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} g_{i}(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}) a_{1}^{\mu_{1}} \ldots (1 - a_{1} - \ldots - a_{n-1})^{\mu_{n}} \right) \beta_{11}^{r_{1}} \ldots \beta_{nn}^{r_{n}}, \\ & \text{where } \mu_{s} = (\max_{j} k_{j_{s}}) - k_{i_{s}}, \ v_{r} = \max_{j} k_{j_{r}} (s, r = 1, \ldots, n), \end{split}$$

and the outer parentheses enclose a polynomial of  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$  with integer coefficients. The case of  $p(x, y) = f_k(p_1(x, y), \ldots, p_n(x, y))$  can be considered analogously, by using (14). Thus the mapping

$$\frac{g(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})}{x^{k_1}\ldots x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}(1-x_1-\ldots-x_{n-1})^l}\to g(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{n-1})\beta_{11}^{k_1}\ldots\beta_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}\beta_{nn}^l$$

turns out to be onto; it is also homomorphic as we can easily verify by making use of (12)-(15). The second part of the theorem is proved.

In order to prove the first part, we show that  $\mathscr{A}(P_n)$  is also equivalent to some variety of IM-n-quasigroups. For this aim it is enough to find, in any affine module over  $P_n$ , n-ary algebraic operations  $f, f_1, \ldots, f_n$  satisfying identities (1)-(6) and such that all operations of  $P_n$  are algebraic over the system  $\{f, f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ . The desired operations are the following:

$$f(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = t_1 x_1 + \ldots + t_{n-1} x_{n-1} + t_n (1 - x_1 - \ldots - x_{n-1}),$$

$$f_1(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = t_1 \frac{1}{x_1} + t_2 \left( -\frac{x_2}{x_1} \right) + \ldots + t_{n-1} \left( -\frac{x_{n-1}}{x_1} \right) + \cdots + t_n \frac{x_1 + \ldots + x_{n-1} - 1}{x_1}, \ldots,$$

$$f_n(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = t_1 \frac{1}{1 - x_1 - \ldots - x_{n-1}} + t_2 \frac{-x_2}{1 - x_1 - \ldots - x_{n-1}} + \cdots + t_{n-1} \frac{-x_{n-1}}{1 - x_1 - \ldots - x_{n-1}} + t_n \frac{-x_1}{1 - x_1 - \ldots - x_{n-1}}.$$

Indeed, identities (1)-(6) may be verified by computation. Further, any binary operation of an affine module over  $P_n$  is algebraic over  $\{f, f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ . This is clear for the operations

$$t_1x_i + t_2(1-x_i), \quad t_1\frac{1}{x_i} + t_2\left(1-\frac{1}{x_i}\right),$$

$$t_1\frac{1}{1-x_1-\ldots-x_{n-1}} + t_2\left(1-\frac{1}{1-x_1-\ldots-x_{n-2}}\right).$$

Let  $p, g \in P_n$  and suppose that  $t_1p + t_2(1-p)$  and  $t_1q + t_2(1-q)$  are algebraic over  $f, f_1, \ldots, f_n$  in some affine module over  $P_n$ . Then

$$t_1(p+q)+t_2(1-(p+q))=f_2(f_1(t_2,t_1p+t_2(1-p),t_2,...,t_2),$$
  
$$f(t_2,t_1q+t_2(1-q),t_2,...,t_2), t_2,...,t_2),$$

$$t_1(-p)+t_2(1+p)$$

$$=f_1(f(z,f_2(t_1p+t_2(1-p), t_2, ..., t_2), t_2, ..., t_2), t_2, ..., t_2),$$

$$t_1(pq)+t_2(1-pq)=(t_1p+t_2(1-p))q+t_2(1-q).$$

Since  $P_n$  is generated by

$$\left\{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, \frac{1}{x_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{x_{n-1}}, \frac{1}{1-x_1-\ldots-x_{n-1}}\right\}$$

the operation  $t_1r + t_2(1-r)$  is algebraic over  $\{f, f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$  for any  $r \in P_n$ . Finally, if all operations of arity less than l are algebraic over  $\{f, f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ , then this is valid also for the l-ary operations, since for arbitrary  $r_1, \ldots, r_l \in P_n$  we have

$$t_1r_1 + \ldots + t_lr_l = f\left(t_1\frac{r_1}{x_1} + \ldots + t_{l-2}\frac{r_{l-2}}{x_1} + t_{l-1}\frac{r_{l-1} + r_l + x_1 - 1}{x_1}, t_{l-1}\frac{x_2 - r_l}{x_2} + t_l\frac{r_l}{x_2}, t_{l-1}, \ldots, t_{l-1}\right).$$

Now consider the variety  $\mathcal{Q}_0$  of all IM-n-quasigroups. By the second part of our theorem there exists a homomorphic image  $R_0$  of  $P_n$  such that  $\mathcal{Q}_0$  is equivalent to  $\mathscr{A}(R_0)$ . However, in view of the equivalence of  $\mathscr{A}(P_n)$  to some variety of IM-n-quasigroups,  $P_n$  is also a homomorphic image of  $R_0$  by Lemma 2. For the ideals of  $P_n$  the ascending chain condition holds, whence  $R_0 \cong P_n$ , which completes the proof.

THEOREM 2. Equationally complete varieties of IM-n-quasigroups are the same up to equivalence as varieties of affine spaces over finite fields, except for GF(2) in the case of even n.

Proof. By Lemma 2, any equationally complete variety of IM-n-quasigroups is equivalent to the variety of all affine modules over some simple homomorphic image, i.e., over some factor-field of  $P_n$ . We show that every factor-field of  $P_n$  is finite. It is known ([2], p. 68) that the polynomial ring  $Z[x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1}]$  has only finite factor-fields.  $Z[x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1}]$  is free with the free generating set  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1}\}$  in the variety of all commutative rings with 1. Hence any factor-field of  $P_n$  is finite.

Conversely, let GF(q) be a finite field whose multiplicative group is generated by  $a_1 \in GF(q)$ . Further, let  $a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}$  be non-zero elements of GF(q) with sum different from 1. Such elements do not exist only in the case where q=2 and n is even. The mapping

$$\frac{g(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})}{x_1^{k_1} \ldots x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} (1 - x_1 - \ldots - x_{n-1})^l} \to 
\to g(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}) a_1^{-k_1} \ldots a_{n-1}^{-k_{n-1}} (1 - a_1 - \ldots - a_n)^l$$

is a homomorphism of  $P_n$  onto GF(q). This proves the theorem.

COROLLARY 1. There exist countably many varieties (as well as equationally complete varieties) of IM-n-quasigroups for arbitrary  $n \ge 2$ .

COROLLARY 2. The set of all equationally complete varieties of IM-n-quasigroups is uniquely determined up to equivalence by the parity of n.

## REFERENCES

- [1] В. Д. Белоусов, п-арные квазигруппы, Кишинев 1972.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, Eléments de mathématique XXX, Algèbre commutative, Paris 1964.
- [3] P. M. Cohn, Universal algebra, New York Evanston London 1965.
- [4] Б. Чакань (В. Свакапу), Об эквивалентности некоторых классов алгебраических систем, Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum 23 (1962), р. 46-57.
- [5] B. Csákány, Characterizations of regular varieties, ibidem 31 (1970), p. 187-189.
- [6] Varieties of affine modules, ibidem 37 (1975), p. 3-10.
- [7] and L. Megyesi, Varieties of idempotent medial quasigroups, ibidem 37 (1975), p. 17-23.
- [8] А. Г. Курош, Общая алеебра (лекции 1969-70 учебного года), Москва 1970.
- [9] F. Ostermann und J. Schmidt, Der baryzentrische Kalkül als axiomatische Grundlage der affinen Geometrie, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 224 (1966), p. 44-57.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 7. 12. 1977