

**ON SOME SPACES OF LINEAR FUNCTIONALS  
ON THE ALGEBRAS  $A_p(G)$  FOR LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS**

BY

E. E. GRANIRER (VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA)

**Introduction.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group,  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $A_p(G)$  be the Banach algebra of functions  $f$  on  $G$  which can be represented as

$$f = \sum u_n * v_n^\vee,$$

where

$$u_n \in L^{p'}(G), \quad v_n \in L_p(G) \quad (1/p + 1/p' = 1) \quad \text{with} \quad \sum \|u_n\|_{p'} \|v_n\|_p < \infty,$$

with norm as the infimum of the last expression over all such representations of  $f$  (see the following notations). Let  $PM_p(G)$  be the dual Banach space of  $A_p$ .

We study in this paper containment and density properties of some Banach subalgebras (subspaces) of  $PM_p$ , some of which correspond, in case  $p = 2$  and  $G$  is abelian, to  $C_0(\hat{G})$ ,  $AP(\hat{G})$  [ $WAP(\hat{G})$ ]  $\{UC(\hat{G})\}$ ,  $C(\hat{G})$ ,  $L^\infty(\hat{G})$ , the usual algebras of continuous bounded functions on  $G$ : which tend to 0 at  $\infty$ , are [weakly] almost periodic, {uniformly continuous} or measurable (mod. a.e. equivalence). These algebras (subspaces) will be denoted for arbitrary  $G$  and  $1 < p < \infty$  by  $PF_p(G)$ ,  $AP_p(\hat{G})$ ,  $W_p(\hat{G})$ ,  $UC_p(\hat{G})$ ,  $C_p(\hat{G})$ ,  $PM_p(G)$ .

The results obtained in this paper improve results obtained by Dunkl and Ramirez [4]–[6] and by this author [9]–[11] and recent results of Ching Chou [3] (all obtained for  $p = 2$ ). We point out that  $C^*$  algebra method which worked in some cases for  $p = 2$  do not usually work for  $p \neq 2$  (see for example Theorems 16, 17).

A combination of results obtained in this paper yields the following

**THEOREM.** *For arbitrary  $G$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ :*

$$PF_p \subset AP_p + \mathcal{M}_p \subset W_p \cap UC_p \subset UC_p \subset C_p \subset PM_p$$

(see the following notations).

We are unable to prove that  $W_p \subset UC_p$  even for discrete nonamenable  $G$  and  $p = 2$ , even though  $W_p \subset UC_p$  holds for amenable  $G$ .

We improve hereby, in Theorems 16, 17, results of ours ([9]–[11]) and weaker versions of recent results of Ching Chou [3], Corollary 3.7 and Theorem 3.8 (obtained in [3] for  $p = 2$ , using somewhat difficult  $C^*$  algebra methods) namely:

**THEOREM 16.** *Let  $G$  be second countable,  $1 < p < \infty$ . If for some norm separable subspace  $X \subset PM_p$  and some open neighborhood  $V$  of the unit  $e$*

$$UC_p(\hat{G}) \subset \text{norm cl} \{W_p(\hat{G}) + X + L_V\}$$

*where  $L_V = \{T \in PM_p; \text{supp } T \subset G \sim V\}$ , then  $G$  is discrete<sup>(1)</sup>.*

*If  $G$  is discrete then moreover  $UC_p \subset AP_p$ .*

**THEOREM 17.** *Let  $G$  be second countable,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $K \subset A_p(G)$  convex,  $T_n \subset PM_p$  and*

$$A = \{w^* \text{cl } K\} \cap \{\psi \in PM_p^*; \psi(u \cdot \varphi) = u(e)\psi(\varphi) \text{ for } u \in A_p, \varphi \in PM_p \\ \text{and } \psi(T_n) = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 1\}.$$

*If  $A$  is norm separable or has  $w^*$  exposed points then  $G$  is discrete<sup>(1)</sup>.*

We would like to thank hereby Ching Chou for his kindness in sending us a preprint of his paper.

In Section 1 of this paper we define and show the existence of topological invariant means on  $PM_p$  improving thereby a result of Renaud [18] (for  $p = 2$ ). We show that the invariant mean is necessarily unique on  $W_p(\hat{G})$  and use this fact in the proof of Theorems 16, 17.

If  $G$  is abelian,  $PM_p(G)$  can be identified with an algebra of bounded measurable functions on  $\hat{G}$  (see for example [16], p. 148). Elements of  $L^\infty(\hat{G})$  which belong to  $PM_p$  are hard to characterize though. Diverse properties of elements of  $PM_p$ , for abelian  $G$ , are obtained in [14] and [20] and others.

For nonabelian  $G$ ,  $PM_p$  is identified with a nonabelian algebra of operators on  $L^p$  (which commute with convolution from the right). The situation is more complicated in this case and theorems, which are easy for the abelian case and  $p = 2$ , require a much more involved proof in the general case (see for example Theorem 13).

**Definitions and notations.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group with a fixed left Haar measure  $\lambda = dx$  and  $L^p(G)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , the usual function spaces with norm  $\|f\|_p = (\int |f|^p d\lambda)^{1/p}$  if  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\text{ess sup } |f| = \|f\|_\infty$ .

Let  $C_{00}(G)$ ,  $C_0(G)$ ,  $UC(G)$ ,  $C(G)$  denote the spaces of complex continuous functions on  $G$  with compact support, which tend to 0 at  $\infty$ , are two-sided uniformly continuous, or continuous functions resp. with  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  norm.  $AP(G)$  [ $WAP(G)$ ] will denote the [weakly] almost periodic bounded continuous functions on  $G$ .  $M(G) = C_0(G)^*$  denotes the bounded Borel complex measures on  $G$  with convolution as multiplication, see [15], vol. I,

<sup>(1)</sup> Both these results have been definitively improved in our paper [21], Theorems 27 and 28, pp. 172–173.

and with variation norm. If  $f, g$  are functions on  $G$  let  $f^\vee(x) = f(x^{-1})$ ,  $f^\sim(x) = \overline{f(x^{-1})}$ ,  $f * g(x) = \int g(y^{-1}x) f(y) dy$  whenever this makes sense.

If  $1 < p < \infty$  then  $A_p(G)$  will denote the set of functions on  $G$  which have a representation  $f = \sum_1^\infty v_n * u_n^\vee$ , an absolutely and uniformly convergent sum where  $u_n \in L^p$ ,  $v_n \in L^q$  (with  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ ),  $\sum \|u_n\|_p \|v_n\|_q < \infty$ , with the inf. over the last expression, over all representations of  $f$  as above, being the norm of  $f$  in  $A_p$  (denoted by  $\|f\|_{A_p}$ ). We refer the reader to [12], [13] for properties of the regular tauberian Banach algebras  $A_p$ .  $PM_p(G) = A_p^*$  will denote the Banach space dual of  $A_p$ . We note that  $M(G) \subset PM_p$  with  $\langle \mu, u \rangle = \int u d\mu$  for  $u$  in  $A_p$ .

Denote  $B_p^M = \{u \in C(G); uv \in A_p \text{ for all } v \in A_p\}$  with the norm  $\|u\|_M = \sup \{\|uv\|_{A_p}; v \in A_p, \|v\|_{A_p} = 1\}$ . Clearly, if  $u \in A_p$ , then  $\|u\|_M \leq \|u\|_{A_p}$ . Our  $B_p^M$  is different from  $B_p$  used in [13].

Note the module action of  $B_p^M$  on  $PM_p$  and  $PM_p^*$  its Banach space dual:  $\langle u \cdot \varphi, v \rangle = \langle \varphi, uv \rangle$ ,  $\langle u \cdot \psi, \varphi \rangle = \langle \psi, u \cdot \varphi \rangle$  for  $u \in B_p^M$ ,  $v \in A_p$ ,  $\varphi \in PM_p$ ,  $\psi \in PM_p^*$ . We define then the operators  $t'_u: A_p \rightarrow A_p$ ,  $t_u = (t'_u)^*: PM_p \rightarrow PM_p$ ,  $T_u = t_u^*: PM_p^* \rightarrow PM_p^*$  by  $t'_u v = uv$ ,  $t_u \varphi = u \cdot \varphi$ ,  $T_u \psi = u \cdot \psi$ . Clearly,  $\|u \cdot \varphi\| \leq \|u\|_M \|\varphi\|$ .

If  $Y$  is a space of functionals on  $X$  then  $\sigma(X, Y)$  will denote the weakest topology on  $X$  which makes all  $y$  in  $Y$  continuous. If  $\tau$  is a topology on  $X$  and  $K \subset X$  then  $\tau\text{-cl} K$  is the  $\tau$ -closure of  $K$  in  $X$ .

**1. Invariant means on  $PM_p(G)$ .** In this section we show the existence of invariant means on  $PM_p(G)$  for any  $1 < p < \infty$ . The results improve results of Renaud [18] and of ours [9].

**Definition.** Denote

$$M_p = \{\psi \in PM_p^*(G); \|\psi\| = \psi(I) = 1\}$$

(the set of "means" on  $PM_p(G)$ ),

$$S_B^p = \{u \in B_p^M; \|u\|_M = u(e) = 1\}$$

and

$$S_A^p = \{u \in A_p; \|u\|_{A_p} = u(e) = 1\}.$$

Note that  $S_A^p \subset S_B^p$  since  $\|u\|_\infty \leq \|u\|_M \leq \|u\|_{A_p}$  for  $u \in A_p \subset B_p^M$ . If  $u \in B_p^M$ ,  $\psi \in PM_p^*$ ,  $\varphi \in PM_p$  let  $(u \cdot \psi)(\varphi) = \psi(u \cdot \varphi)$ .

**Remark.** If  $u \in B_p^M$  and  $\psi \in PM_p^*$  and  $\varphi \in PM_p$  then

$$\|u \cdot \varphi\| \leq \|u\|_M \|\varphi\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|u \cdot \psi\| \leq \|u\|_M \|\psi\|.$$

**PROPOSITION 1.**  $S_A^p$  and  $S_B^p$  are convex sets and abelian semigroups (under pointwise multiplication) and  $u \cdot M_p \subset M_p$  for all  $u \in S_B^p$ .

Proof. If  $u, v \in S_B^p$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , then

$$[xu + (1 - \alpha)v](e) = 1 \leq \|xu + (1 - \alpha)v\|_M \leq 1$$

since  $|u(x)| \leq \|u\|_M$  for all  $u \in B_p^M$  and  $x \in G$ . Similarly for  $S_A^p$ .

Let now  $u \in S_B^p$  and  $\psi \in M_p$ . Then

$$1 = \|\psi\| = \|u\|_M \|\psi\| \geq \|u \cdot \psi\| \geq (u \cdot \psi)(I) = \psi(u \cdot I) = \psi(u(e)I) = \psi(I) = \|\psi\|.$$

Thus  $\|u \cdot \psi\| = (u \cdot \psi)(I) = 1$ . The rest is immediate.

Remarks. 1. If  $Q: A_p \rightarrow PM_p^* = A_p^{**}$  is the canonical map then

$$Q[S_A^p] \subset M_p \quad \text{since} \quad (Qu)(I) = \langle I, u \rangle = u(e) = 1 = \|u\|_{A_p} = \|Qu\|.$$

2. Let  $V = V^{-1}$  be such that  $e \in V$  and  $\lambda(V) < \infty$ . Then

$$1_V * 1_{\tilde{V}}(x) = \int 1_V(x^{-1}y) 1_V(y) dy = \lambda(xV \cap V) \leq \lambda(V).$$

Define  $\varphi_V(x) = \lambda(V)^{-1} [1_V * 1_{\tilde{V}}(x)]$ . Then

$$0 \leq \varphi_V(x) \leq 1 = \varphi_V(e) \leq \|\varphi_V\|_{A_p} \leq \lambda(V)^{-1} \|1_V\|_p \|1_V\|_{p'} = \lambda(V)^{-1} \lambda(V) = 1.$$

Hence, for each such  $V$ ,  $\varphi_V \in S_A^p$ . (Thus  $M_p \neq \emptyset$ .) Furthermore

$$\{x; \varphi_V(x) \neq 0\} \subset \{x; xV \cap V \neq \emptyset\} \subset V^2.$$

3. One can easily show that  $S_B^p S_A^p \subset S_A^p$  and, for  $\psi \in M_p$ ,  $S_A^p \cdot \psi = \psi$  implies  $S_B^p \cdot \psi = \psi$ .

PROPOSITION 2. *There exists some  $\psi \in M_p$  such that  $u \cdot \psi = \psi$  for each  $u$  in  $S_B^p$ . (We write in this case  $S_B^p \cdot \psi = \psi$ .)*

Proof.  $M_p = \{\psi \in PM_p^*; \|\psi\| \leq 1 = \psi(I)\}$  is clearly a  $w^*$  compact convex set and  $S_M^p$  is a commutative semigroup (under pointwise multiplication) which acts as a semigroup of  $w^*$  continuous affine operators on  $PM_p^*$  by  $T_u(\psi) = u \cdot \psi$ . In fact,  $T_u(T_v \psi) = (uv) \cdot \psi = T_{uv}(\psi)$  where  $(uv)(x) = u(x)v(x)$  for all  $x$ , and if  $t_u: PM_p \rightarrow PM_p$  is defined by  $t_u \varphi = u \cdot \varphi$  then  $T_u = t_u^*$ ; hence the  $w^*$  continuity. By Proposition 1,  $T_u M_p \subset M_p$  if  $u \in S_B^p$ .

The Markov-Kakutani fixed point theorem ([7], p. 456) will imply now that there is some  $\psi_0 \in M_p^*$  such that  $T_u \psi_0 = u \cdot \psi_0 = \psi_0$  for all  $u$  in  $S_B^p$ .

Remark. Let  $K_a = w^*$  closure of  $\{aS_A^p\}$  for fixed  $a \in S_B^p$ , or any other  $w^*$  compact convex  $\{T_u; u \in S_B^p\}$ -invariant subset of  $M_p$ . Then there exists some  $\psi$  in  $K_a$  such that  $u \cdot \psi = \psi$  for all  $u$  in  $S_B^p$ .

PROPOSITION 3. *Let  $\psi \in M_p$  be such that  $S_B^p \cdot \psi = \psi$ . If  $u \in B_p^M$  is such that  $u = 1$  [ $u = 0$ ] on some neighborhood  $V$  of  $e$  then  $u \cdot \psi = \psi$  [ $u \cdot \psi = 0$ ].*

Proof. Assume that  $u = 1$  on  $V$ . Let  $U$  be open such that  $U = U^{-1}$  and  $U^2 \subset V$ . The function  $\varphi = \varphi_U = \lambda(U)^{-1} 1_U * 1_{\tilde{U}}$  of Remark 2 above satisfies  $\varphi \in A_p$ ,  $\varphi(e) = 1 = \|\varphi\|_{A_p}$  so  $\varphi \in S_B^p$  and  $\varphi = 0$  off  $U^2$ . Hence  $u(x)\varphi(x) = \varphi(x)$  for all  $x$ . Thus  $u \cdot \psi = u \cdot (\varphi \cdot \psi) = (u\varphi) \cdot \psi = \varphi \cdot \psi = \psi$ , which proves the first part. Assume now that  $u \in B_p^M$  and  $u = 0$  on  $V$ . Then  $1 - u \in B_p^M$  and  $1 - u = 1$  on  $V$ . Hence  $\psi = (1 - u) \cdot \psi = \psi - u \cdot \psi$ , i.e.  $u \cdot \psi = 0$ .

**Remark.** This proposition expresses the fact that any  $S_B^p$ -invariant  $\psi$  in  $M_p$  has "support" included in every neighborhood of  $e$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Let  $\psi \in M_p$  be such that  $S_B^p \psi = \psi$ . Then for each  $u \in B_p^M$ ,  $u \cdot \psi = u(e)\psi$ .*

**Proof.** Assume at first that  $v \in A_p(G)$  is such that  $v(e) = 0$ . The set  $\{e\}$  is a set of spectral synthesis for the algebra  $A_p(G)$  (see [13], p. 91, Theorem B, with  $H = \{e\}$ ). Hence there exists a sequence  $v_n \in A_p$  such that  $v_n = 0$  on some neighborhood  $V_n$  of  $e$ ,  $v_n$  has compact support and  $\|v_n - v\|_{A_p} \rightarrow 0$ . By Proposition 3,  $v_n \cdot \psi = 0$ . But  $\|v \cdot \psi\| = \|(v_n - v) \cdot \psi\| \leq \|v_n - v\|_{A_p} \|\psi\| \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $v \cdot \psi = 0$  for any  $v$  in  $A_p$  such that  $v(e) = 0$ .

Let now  $u \in A_p$  be such that  $u(e) = 1$ . Choose  $v \in A_p$  such that  $v = 1$  on some neighborhood  $V$  of  $e$ . Then  $(u - v) \cdot \psi = 0$  by the above. Thus  $u \cdot \psi = v \cdot \psi = \psi = u(e)\psi$  if  $u(e) = 1$  (hence clearly for any  $u \in A_p$ ).

Let  $u \in B_p^M$  be arbitrary. Choose  $v \in A_p$  such that  $v(e) = 1$ . Then  $uv \in A_p$  and  $(uv)(e) = u(e)$ . Hence  $u \cdot \psi = u \cdot (v \cdot \psi) = (uv) \cdot \psi = (uv)(e)\psi = u(e)\psi$ , which finishes this proof.

**Definition.** Let  $TIM_p(\hat{G}) = \{\psi \in M_p; u \cdot \psi = u(e)\psi \text{ for all } u \in B_p^M(G)\}$  be the set of topological invariant means on  $PM_p(G)$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *For all  $G$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $TIM_p(\hat{G}) \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover, for any convex  $w^*$  compact  $\{T_u; u \in S_B^p\}$ -invariant subset  $K$  of  $M_p$ ,  $K \cap TIM_p(\hat{G}) \neq \emptyset$ .*

**Proof.** Use the remark after Proposition 2 and Proposition 4.

**Remark.** That  $TIM_2(\hat{G}) \neq \emptyset$  is a result of Renaud [18], p. 287, for an easier proof see [9], p. 376.

We note that the proof for  $p \neq 2$  is necessarily more complicated since the  $C^*$  algebra techniques are not available anymore. We note that we even needed the fact that single point sets are sets of spectral synthesis in order to prove that  $TIM_p(\hat{G}) \neq \emptyset$ , even though this is not needed in the proof for  $p = 2$  given in [9], p. 376 (it is used though in [18], p. 287).

## 2. $p$ -weakly almost periodic and $p$ -uniformly continuous functionals on $\hat{G}$ .

**Definition.** We denote by  $W_p(\hat{G})$  [ $AP_p(\hat{G})$ ] the linear space of all  $\varphi \in PM_p$  for which the operator  $u \rightarrow u \cdot \varphi$  from  $A_p(G)$  to  $PM_p(G)$  is weakly compact [compact].

**Remarks.** If  $\varphi \in W_p(\hat{G})$  [ $AP_p(\hat{G})$ ] and  $u \in B_p^M$  then  $u \cdot \varphi \in W_p(\hat{G})$  [ $AP_p(\hat{G})$ ] as readily checked. Propositions 6, 7 below improve results of Dunkl–Ramirez [6], p. 505.

**PROPOSITION 6.**  $W_p(\hat{G})$  and  $AP_p(\hat{G})$  are norm closed  $B_p^M(G)$ -submodules of  $PM_p(G)$  and  $I \in AP_p(\hat{G}) \subset W_p(\hat{G})$ .

**Proof.** It is routine to check that both are linear spaces. Assume that  $\varphi_n \in W_p(\hat{G})$  [ $AP_p(\hat{G})$ ] and  $\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{PM_p} \rightarrow 0$  for some  $\varphi \in PM_p$ . Then  $\sup \{\|v \cdot (\varphi_n - \varphi)\|, \|v\|_{A_p} \leq 1\} \rightarrow 0$  thus  $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$  in the operator norm (from  $A_p$

to  $PM_p$ ). However uniform limits of weakly compact [compact] operators are weakly compact [compact] [7], p. 483.

Note that  $u \cdot I = u(e)I$  thus  $u \rightarrow u \cdot I$  has one-dimensional range. The rest is immediate.

Remark.  $AP_2(\hat{G})$  [ $W_2(\hat{G})$ ] coincides in the abelian case with the [weakly] almost periodic continuous functions on  $\hat{G}$  as shown by Dunkl and Ramirez [6], p. 503.

Remarks.  $M(G)$  can be considered as a subspace of  $PM_p(G) = A_p(G)^*$  by

$$\langle \mu, g \rangle = \int g d\mu, \quad \text{for } g \in A_p.$$

Then

$$|\langle \mu, g \rangle| \leq \|g\|_\infty |\mu|(G) \leq \|g\|_{A_p} \|\mu\|_{M(G)}.$$

Hence  $\|\mu\|_{PM_p} \leq \|\mu\|_{M(G)}$ . We also note the module action of  $A_p(G)$  on  $M(G)$  (in fact of  $B_p^M(G)$ ): If  $u \in B_p^M$ ,  $v \in A_p(G)$  and  $\mu \in M(G)$  then  $\langle v, u \cdot \mu \rangle = \langle uv, \mu \rangle = \int v(u d\mu)$ . Thus  $u \cdot \mu \in M(G)$  is just the measure  $u d\mu$ .

PROPOSITION 7.  $M(G) \subset W_p(\hat{G})$ , hence  $\| \cdot \|_{PM_p}$ -closure of  $[M(G)] \subset W_p(\hat{G})$ .

Proof. We follow basically the proof of Dunkl–Ramirez [6], p. 505, given there for the case  $p = 2$ . It is enough to show that for any probability measure  $\mu \in M(G)$  one has  $\mu \in W_p(\hat{G})$ . Define the map  $S: H = L^2(G, d\mu) \rightarrow PM_p(G)$  by: For  $v \in A_p$  and  $f \in H$ ,  $\langle v, Sf \rangle = \int vf d\mu$ . Then

$$|\langle v, Sf \rangle| \leq \|v\|_H \|f\|_H \leq \|v\|_\infty \|f\|_H \leq \|v\|_{A_p} \|f\|_H$$

since  $\mu \geq 0$  and  $\mu(G) = 1$ . Thus  $Sf$  is a continuous linear functional on  $A_p$  with  $\|Sf\|_{PM_p} \leq \|f\|_H$ .  $S$  is a continuous linear operator and hence by [7], p. 422, is weakly continuous.

Let now  $\{f_n\} \subset A_p(G)$ ,  $\|f_n\|_{A_p} \leq 1$ . Then  $\|f_n\|_H \leq 1$  and since the unit ball of  $H$  is weakly sequentially compact there is a subsequence  $f_{n_k} \rightarrow h$ , weakly, for some  $h \in H$ . But then  $Sf_{n_k} \rightarrow Sh$  in the weak topology of  $PM_p$ . To finish the proof of the theorem we will show that for  $f \in A_p(G)$ ,  $Sf = f \cdot \mu$  (module action of  $A_p$  on  $PM_p$ ). In fact, if  $v \in A_p$  then  $\langle v, Sf \rangle = \int v(f d\mu) = \langle v, f \cdot \mu \rangle$  by the remark preceding this proposition. We have shown that  $\{f \cdot \mu, \|f\|_{A_p} \leq 1\}$  is a relatively weakly sequentially (hence relatively weakly) compact subset of  $PM_p$  and thus  $M(G) \subset W_p(\hat{G})$ . The fact that  $W_p(\hat{G})$  is closed implies the rest.

PROPOSITION 8.  $l^1(G) \subset AP_p(\hat{G})$ .

Proof. Clearly,  $l^1(G) \subset M(G)$  and if  $\mu \in l^1(G)$  then

$$\|\mu\|_{M(G)} = \sum_{x \in G} |\mu(x)| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mu\|_{PM_p} \leq \|\mu\|_{M(G)}$$

by the above. If  $u \in A_p$  then

$$u \cdot \mu = u d\mu \in l^1(G) \quad \text{and} \quad \|u \cdot \mu\|_{PM_p} \leq \|u\|_{A_p} \|\mu\|_{PM_p}$$

by the definition of the module action. Thus the norm of the operator  $u \rightarrow u \cdot \mu$  is dominated by  $\|\mu\|_{PM_p}$ .

Any  $\mu \in l^1(G)$  is an  $\|\cdot\|_{M(G)}$  norm (and a fortiori  $\|\cdot\|_{PM_p}$ ) limit of finite linear combinations  $\sum_1^n \alpha_i \delta_{x_i}$  of point masses at  $x_i$ . Since norm limits of compact operators are compact, it is enough to prove that  $\delta_a$  is a compact operator for all  $a \in G$ . Now  $\{u \cdot \delta_a; \|u\|_{A_p} \leq 1\} \subset \{\alpha \delta_a; |\alpha| \leq 1\}$  and the last is a 1-dimensional bounded closed (hence compact) set. This finishes the proof.

**Remark.** It is proved in Dukl-Ramirez [5], p. 529, that if  $G$  is a compact group such that  $\{\alpha \in \hat{G}; \dim \alpha = l\}$  is a finite set for each  $l = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  (such as  $SU(2)$ ) then  $PF_2(G) = PM_2$ -norm closure of  $\{L^1(G)\} \subset AP_2(\hat{G})$ . This is in marked contrast with the abelian case where  $PF_2(G) = C_0(\hat{G})$  and  $C_0(\hat{G}) \cap AP_2(\hat{G}) = \{0\}$ .

**PROPOSITION 9.** *There exists a unique  $\psi \in W_p(\hat{G})^*$  such that  $\psi(I) = 1$  and  $\psi(u \cdot \varphi) = u(e)\psi(\varphi)$  for all  $u \in B_p^M$ .*

**Proof.** Any  $\psi_0 \in TIM_p(\hat{G})$  restricted to  $W_p(\hat{G})$  satisfies this condition. Moreover  $\|\psi_0\| = 1$ . Keep now  $\psi_0 \in TIM_p(\hat{G}) = [A_p(G)]^{**}$  fixed and let  $u_\alpha \in A_p$ ,  $\|u_\alpha\|_{A_p} \leq 1$  be such that for all  $\varphi \in PM_p$ ,  $\varphi(u_\alpha) \rightarrow \psi_0(\varphi)$ . Then  $u_\alpha(e) = I(u_\alpha) \rightarrow \psi_0(I) = 1$ .

Let  $\psi_1 \in W_p(\hat{G})^*$  be such that  $\psi_1(I) = 1$  and  $\psi_1(u \cdot \varphi) = u(e)\psi_1(\varphi)$  for  $u \in B_p^M$ . Let  $c = \psi_0(\varphi_0)$  where  $\varphi_0 \in W_p(\hat{G})$ . Then for each  $u \in A_p$  one has

$$(t_{u_\alpha} \varphi_0)(u) = (t_u \varphi_0)(u_\alpha) \rightarrow \psi_0(t_u \varphi_0) = u(e)\psi_0(\varphi_0) = u(e)c = (cI)(u).$$

Thus  $t_{u_\alpha} \varphi_0 \rightarrow cI$  in the  $w^*$  topology of  $PM_p$ . Since  $\varphi_0 \in W_p(\hat{G})$  there is a subnet  $t_{u_{\alpha_\nu}} \varphi_0 \rightarrow \varphi \in PM_p$  weakly (and a fortiori  $w^*$ ) in  $PM_p$ . Thus  $\varphi = cI$  and in particular we have  $\psi_1(t_{u_{\alpha_\nu}} \varphi_0) \rightarrow \psi_1(cI) = c = \psi_0(\varphi_0)$ . However  $\psi_1(t_{u_{\alpha_\nu}} \varphi_0) = u_{\alpha_\nu}(e)\psi_1(\varphi_0) \rightarrow \psi_1(\varphi_0)$ . Thus  $\psi_1(\varphi_0) = \psi_0(\varphi_0)$  and since  $\varphi_0$  is arbitrary,  $\psi_1$  coincides with the restriction of the fixed  $\psi_0 \in TIM_p(\hat{G})$  to  $W_p(\hat{G})$  which finishes this proof.

**PROPOSITION 10.** *If  $\psi_0 \in W_p(\hat{G})^*$  is the unique invariant mean of Proposition 9 then  $\psi_0(\mu) = \mu\{e\}$  for each  $\mu \in M(G)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $0 \leq \mu \in M(G)$ . Choose, by the regularity of  $\mu$ , open sets with compact closure  $V_n = V_n^{-1} \subset G$  such that  $V_{n+1}^2 \subset V_n$  and  $\mu(V_n) \rightarrow \mu\{e\}$ . Let  $v_n = \varphi_{V_n}$  be as in the Remarks before Proposition 2. Then  $v_n(x) \rightarrow 1_V(x)$  for all  $x$ , where  $V = \bigcap V_n$ . But  $1_V = 1_e$  a.e.  $\mu$ . Thus  $v_n \rightarrow 1_e$  a.e.  $\mu$ ; hence, for all  $v \in A_p$ ,  $vv_n \rightarrow v(e)1_e$  a.e.  $\mu$ . Let  $v \in A_p$ . Then

$$\langle v_n \cdot v, v \rangle - \langle \mu\{e\} \delta_e, v \rangle = \int (vv_n - v(e)1_e) d\mu \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus  $v_n \cdot \mu \rightarrow \mu \{e\} \delta_e$  in  $w^* = \sigma(PM_p, A_p)$ . But  $M(G) \subset W_p(\hat{G})$ . Hence  $\{v \cdot \mu; \|v\|_{A_p} \leq 1\}$  is weakly relatively compact and it follows routinely that  $v_n \cdot \mu \rightarrow \mu \{e\} \delta_e$  weakly  $= \sigma(PM_p, PM_p^*)$ . Thus

$$\psi_0(\mu) = \psi_0(v_n \cdot \mu) \rightarrow \psi_0(\mu \{e\} \delta_e) = \mu \{e\} \psi(I) = \mu \{e\}.$$

**Remark.** If  $G$  is not discrete then  $\psi_0(\mu) = \mu \{e\} = 0$  for any  $\mu = f dx$  ( $f \in L^1(G)$ ). If  $PF_p(G)$  denotes the norm closure of  $L^1(G)$  in  $W_p(\hat{G})$  it follows that  $\psi_0(\varphi) = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in PF_p$ . If  $G$  is abelian nondiscrete and  $p = 2$  then  $PF_p(G) = C_0(\hat{G})$  and any invariant mean  $\psi_0$  on  $L^\infty(\hat{G})$  will satisfy  $\psi_0(C_0(\hat{G})) = 0$  since  $\hat{G}$  is not compact. We can state the

**COROLLARY 11.**  $\psi_0[PF_p] = 0$  whenever  $G$  is not discrete.

**Definition.** Denote

$$C_p(\hat{G}) = \{\varphi \in PM_p; \varphi \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \varphi \in PF_p \text{ if } \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in PF_p\},$$

$$UC_p(\hat{G}) = \overline{\{A_p(G) \cdot PM_p(G)\}},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_p(\hat{G}) = \overline{M(G)}, \quad \mathcal{M}_p^d(\hat{G}) = \overline{I^1(G)} \quad \text{and} \quad PF_p(G) = \overline{L^1(G)}$$

where bar means here closure in  $\|\cdot\|_{PM_p}$ -norm.

**Remarks.** (a)  $\{A_p \cdot PM_p\} = \{u \cdot \varphi; u \in A_p, \varphi \in PM_p\}$  is a linear space as known and readily shown (see for example [9], p. 373).

(b) As known and readily seen  $UC_p$  coincides with the  $PM_p$  norm closure of the set of  $T \in PM_p$  with compact support (for definition of support see [12], p. 101 and 117).

(c) If  $p = 2$  and  $G$  is abelian then  $C_2(\hat{G})$ ,  $[UC_2(\hat{G}) = UC(\hat{G})]$ ,  $\{PF_2(G) = C_0(\hat{G})\}$  is the space of bounded continuous [uniformly continuous] [continuous vanishing at  $\infty$ ] functions on  $\hat{G}$ .  $\mathcal{M}_2(\hat{G})$  is just the sup norm closure of  $B_2(\hat{G}) = B(\hat{G})$ , a subalgebra of  $W_2(\hat{G})$ .

**PROPOSITION 12.**  $UC_p(\hat{G})$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_p(\hat{G})$  and  $\mathcal{M}_p^d(\hat{G})$  are closed subalgebras and  $B_p^M$ -submodules of  $PM_p$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_p(\hat{G}) \subset UC_p(\hat{G}) \cap W_p(\hat{G})$  and  $\mathcal{M}_p^d(\hat{G}) \subset UC_p(\hat{G}) \cap AP_p(\hat{G})$ .

**Proof.** We show at first that  $UC_p$  is an algebra. Let at first  $f_1, f_2 \in C_{00}(G)$  have compact supports  $A_1, A_2$  resp. Then  $f_1 * f_2(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin A_1 A_2$ . Let  $v \in A_p \cap C_{00}(G)$  have support disjoint from  $A_1 A_2$ . Then

$$\langle f_1 * f_2, v \rangle = \int (f_1 * f_2) v d\lambda = 0 \quad (\lambda \text{ is left Haar measure}).$$

By [12], Corollary on p. 120 and p. 101 we have  $\text{supp}(f_1 * f_2) \subset \overline{A_1 A_2}$  where  $\text{supp}(f_1 * f_2)$  denotes here the support of  $f_1 * f_2$  as an element of  $PM_p$ . Let now  $S, T \in PM_p$  have compact supports  $A, B$  and  $A_1, B_1$  be open sets with compact closures such that  $A \subset A_1, B \subset B_1$ . Let  $s_\alpha, t_\beta$  be nets in  $C_{00}$ , with  $\text{supp } s_\alpha \subset A_1, \text{supp } t_\beta \subset B_1$ , such that  $\|s_\alpha\|_p \leq \|S\|_p$  and  $\|t_\beta\|_p \leq \|T\|_p$  and, for all  $f \in L^p$ ,  $\|(s_\alpha - S)f\|_p \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|(t_\beta - T)f\|_p \rightarrow 0$ . Such nets can be



found by [12], p. 117, Proposition 9. An immediate consequence of the Corollary on p. 120 and of the remarks on p. 101 both of [12] implies that if  $W, W_\alpha \in PM_p$ ,  $\text{supp } W_\alpha \subset E$  ( $E$  closed) and  $W_\alpha \rightarrow W$  in  $\sigma(PM_p, A_p)$  then  $\text{supp } W \subset E$ . But  $\langle k * u, v \rangle = \langle k, v * u^\vee \rangle$  if  $k \in L^1$ ,  $v \in L^{p'}$ ,  $u \in L^p$  ([13], p. 153). Hence for fixed  $\alpha$ ,  $s_\alpha * t_\beta \rightarrow s_\alpha T$   $\sigma(PM_p, A_p)$  and strongly on  $L^p$ . Thus  $\text{supp } s_\alpha T \subset A_1 B_1$ . If we let now  $\alpha$  vary then we get that  $\text{supp } ST \subset A_1 B_1$  which readily implies that  $UC_p$  is an algebra. Any  $T \in UC_p$  is a norm limit of elements  $u \cdot S$  where  $u \in A_p \cap C_{00}$  and  $S \in PM_p$ . If  $v \in B_p^M$  then  $v \cdot T$  is again a norm limit of such elements. If  $\mu \in M(G)$  then  $v \cdot \mu$  is just  $vd\mu$  for  $v \in B_p^M$ .

As for the inclusion we note that if  $\mu_K$  denotes the restriction of the measure  $\mu$  to the compact  $K \subset G$  then for suitable  $K_n$  we have  $\|\mu_{K_n} - \mu\|_{PM_p} \leq \|\mu_{K_n} - \mu\|_{M(G)} \rightarrow 0$  and clearly  $\mu_{K_n} \in UC_p(\hat{G})$ . Propositions 6 and 7 imply  $\mathcal{M}_p(\hat{G}) \subset W_p(\hat{G})$  while Proposition 8 implies that  $\mathcal{M}_p^d(\hat{G}) \subset AP_p(\hat{G})$ . Since  $M(G)$  and  $l^1(G)$  are  $B_p^M$ -submodules so will  $\mathcal{M}_p(\hat{G})$  and  $\mathcal{M}_p^d(\hat{G})$ .

**Remark.** It is not known for nonamenable  $G$ , whether  $W_p(\hat{G}) \subset UC_p(\hat{G})$  (even for  $p = 2$ ). For amenable  $G$  this inclusion holds true (Proposition 14).

If  $p = 2$  then  $\mathcal{M}_2(\hat{G})$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra (since  $\mu^* \in M(G)$  if  $\mu \in M(G)$ ) which coincides with the  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -closure of  $B(\hat{G})$ , in case  $G$  is abelian.

The following improves our result in [10], p. 64.

**THEOREM 13.**  $UC_p(\hat{G}) \subset C_p(\hat{G})$  for any locally compact group  $G$ ,  $C_p(\hat{G})$  is a closed subalgebra and  $A_p(G)$  a submodule of  $PM_p$ .

**Proof.** Let  $T \in UC_p$ ,  $\phi \in PF_p$ . One has to show that  $T\phi$  and  $\phi T$  both belong to  $PF_p$ . Now  $A_p \cap C_{00}$  is norm dense in  $A_p$  and  $A_p \cdot PM_p$  is norm dense in  $UC_p$ . There is hence a sequence  $u_n \in A_p \cap C_{00}$  with  $\|u_n \cdot T - T\|_{PM_p} \rightarrow 0$ . But  $\text{supp}(u_n \cdot T) \subset (\text{supp } u_n) \cap \text{supp } T$  (see [12], p. 101 or p. 117, also Corollary on p. 120 of [12]).

We can hence assume that  $\text{supp } T$  is compact. Let  $V$  be a neighborhood of  $e$  with compact closure and let  $\Omega = V(\text{supp } T)$ . Then, by [12], Proposition 9, p. 117, there is a net  $w_\alpha \in C_{00}(G)$  with  $\text{supp } w_\alpha \subset \Omega$  such that for each  $f$  in  $L^p$   $\|w_\alpha * f - Tf\|_p \rightarrow 0$  (ultra weak  $= \sigma(PM_p, A_p)$  by [12], p. 116; compare with [1], p. 91).

For  $h \in L^1(G)$  let  $\varrho(h): L^p \rightarrow L^p$  be defined by

$$(\varrho h)(f) = h * f.$$

Fix  $g \in C_{00}$ . We show that  $\varrho(w_\alpha * g)$  and  $\varrho(g * w_\alpha)$  are  $PM_p$ -norm Cauchy sequences. In fact if  $K = \text{supp } g$ , for each  $v \in A_p$  with  $\|v\|_{A_p} \leq 1$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \varrho[(w_\alpha - w_\beta) * g], v \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\Omega K} [(w_\alpha - w_\beta) * g](x) v(x) dx \right| \leq \|(w_\alpha - w_\beta) * g\|_p \|v\|_\infty C \\ &\leq C \|(w_\alpha - w_\beta) * g\|_p \end{aligned}$$

(where  $C = \lambda(\Omega \cdot K)^{1/p'}$  and  $\lambda$  is Haar measure) by the Hölder inequality. But

$\|(w_\alpha - w_\beta) * g\|_p \rightarrow 0$  with  $\alpha, \beta$ , since  $g \in L_p$  and the above estimate is independent of  $v \in A_p$  with  $\|v\|_{A_p} \leq 1$ . Thus  $\varrho(w_\alpha * g)$  is a  $PM_p$  norm Cauchy net, and there is some  $T_1 \in PM_p$  such that  $\varrho(w_\alpha * g) \rightarrow T_1$  in  $PM_p$  norm. However  $\varrho(w_\alpha * g) \rightarrow (T)(\varrho g)$  strongly on  $L^p$ . Thus  $T_1 = (T)(\varrho g)$  and  $\varrho(w_\alpha * g) \rightarrow (T)(\varrho g)$  in  $PM_p$  norm. But  $\varrho(w_\alpha * g) \in \varrho(C_{00}) \subset PF_p$ . Thus  $T[\varrho(C_{00})] \subset PF_p$ . But  $\varrho(C_{00})$  is dense in  $PF_p$ . Thus  $T(PF_p) \subset PF_p$ .

We still have to show that  $[\varrho(C_{00})]T \subset PF_p$ .

As well known, if  $v, w, g \in C_{00}$  and  $h^\sim(x) = \overline{h(x^{-1})}$ ,  $h^* = \frac{1}{\Delta} h^\sim$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v, g * w \rangle &= \left\langle \frac{1}{\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{g} \right)^\sim * v, w \right\rangle = \left\langle v^* * \left[ \frac{1}{\Delta} (\bar{g})^\sim \right]^*, w \right\rangle = \langle v^* * \bar{g}, w \rangle \\ &= \langle v^*, w * g^\sim \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if  $v \in A_p \cap C_{00}$  then for the net  $w_\alpha$  and  $g_0$  chosen above we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle v, g_0 * (w_\alpha - w_\beta) \rangle| &= |\langle v^*, (w_\alpha - w_\beta) * g_0^\sim \rangle| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega K^{-1}} ((w_\alpha - w_\beta) * g_0^\sim)(x) \frac{1}{\Delta(x)} \overline{v(x^{-1})} dx \right| \leq \|(w_\alpha - w_\beta) * g^\sim\|_p \|v\|_\infty \cdot C \end{aligned}$$

where  $C = \|\Delta^{-1} \chi_{\Omega K^{-1}}\|_p$  does not depend on  $v \in C_{00}$ . But  $\|v\|_\infty \leq \|v\|_{A_p}$  and  $\|(w_\alpha - w_\beta) * g^\sim\|_p \rightarrow 0$  with  $\alpha, \beta$ , since  $g^\sim \in C_{00} \subset L^p$ . This shows that  $\varrho(g * w_\alpha)$  is a  $PM_p$ -norm Cauchy sequence in  $\varrho[C_{00}]$  which readily implies that  $[PF_p]T \subset PF_p$ . Note that  $A_p \cdot C_p \subset UC_p \subset C_p$  by the first part.

COROLLARY. For arbitrary  $G$

$$PF_p \subset \mathcal{M}_p(\hat{G}) \subset W_p(\hat{G}) \cap UC_p(\hat{G}) \subset UC_p(\hat{G}) \subset C_p(\hat{G}) \subset PM_p$$

and  $\mathcal{M}_p^d(\hat{G}) \subset AP_p(\hat{G})$ :

The following improves our result in [9], p. 374:

PROPOSITION 14. Let  $G$  be an amenable locally compact group. Then

$$W_p(\hat{G}) \subset UC_p(\hat{G}) = \text{norm cl}[A_p(G) \cdot PM_p(G)] = A_p(G) \cdot PM_p(G).$$

Proof. As is well known  $A_p(G)$  has an approximate identity  $e_\alpha$  with  $\|e_\alpha\| \leq 1$ . Let  $\varphi \in W_p(\hat{G})$ . Then  $e_\alpha \cdot \varphi \rightarrow \varphi$  in the  $w^*$  topology of  $PM_p(G)$ . But by the definition of  $W_p(\hat{G})$  there exists a subnet  $e_{\alpha_\beta}$  such that  $e_{\alpha_\beta} \cdot \varphi \rightarrow \varphi'$  weakly (i.e. in  $\sigma(PM_p, PM_p^*)$ ).

Thus  $\varphi = \varphi'$  and since the weak and norm closures of  $A_p \cdot PM_p$  are the same, one has  $W_p(\hat{G}) \subset UC_p(\hat{G})$ .

As for the equality  $UC_p(\hat{G}) = A_p(G) \cdot PM_p(G)$  we note the following:  $A_p(G)$  is a Banach algebra with a bounded left approximate unit and  $PM_p(G)$  is a (left) Banach  $A_p(G)$ -module as in [15], p. 263, (32.14). By Cohen's factorization theorem ([15], (32.22), p. 268)  $A_p(G) \cdot PM_p(G)$  is norm closed which finishes this proof.

**Remark.** If  $G$  is the discrete free group on 2 generators then  $A_2(G) \cdot PM_2(G)$  is not closed. This is due to A. Figà-Talamanca (see [10], p. 69). Question: Is  $W_p(\hat{G}) \subset UC_p(\hat{G})$  for arbitrary  $G$ ? (P 1311)

**COROLLARY.** Let  $G$  be an amenable locally compact group. Then for all  $1 < p < \infty$

$$PF_p(G) \subset AP_p(\hat{G}) + PF_p(G) \subset W_p(\hat{G}) \subset UC_p(\hat{G}) \subset C_p(\hat{G}) \subset PM_p(\hat{G}).$$

As remarked before  $PF_2(G) \subset AP_2(\hat{G})$  if  $G = SU(2)$  in marked difference from the abelian case.

**PROPOSITION 15.** (a) Let  $G$  be discrete. Then

$$PF_p(G) = \mathcal{M}_p^d(\hat{G}) = UC_p(\hat{G}) = C_p(\hat{G}) \subset AP_p(\hat{G}) \subset W_p(\hat{G}).$$

(b) If  $G$  is discrete and amenable then the inclusions in (a) become equalities. Hence all the above spaces are algebras in this case.

(c) If  $G$  is compact then  $UC_p = C_p = PM_p$ .

**Proof.** (a)  $\delta_e \in PF_p$  hence  $PF_p = \mathcal{M}_p^d(\hat{G})$  has identity. The definition of  $C_p(\hat{G})$  together with Theorem 13 imply now that  $PF_p = UC_p = C_p$ . Proposition 8 yields that  $\mathcal{M}_p^d(\hat{G}) \subset AP_p(\hat{G}) \subset W_p(\hat{G})$ .

(b) Proposition 14 shows that  $W_p(\hat{G}) \subset UC_p(\hat{G})$  which together with (a) finishes the proof.

(c)  $1 \in A_p(G)$  in this case and  $PM_p = 1 \cdot PM_p \subset UC_p \subset C_p$  by Theorem 13.

**Remarks.** If  $V \subset G$  is open, let  $L_V$  be the  $w^*$  closed space of all  $\varphi \in PM_p$  such that  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset G \sim V$  (the complement of  $V$  in  $G$ ) (see [12], p. 119).

We improve now our Theorem 4 (a) in [10], p. 66, for the case that  $G$  is second countable and a result of C. Chou [3] (see remarks after Theorem 17):

**THEOREM 16.** Let  $G$  be second countable. If for some norm separable subspace  $X \subset PM_p(G)$  and some open neighborhood of the unit  $V$

$$(*) \quad UC_p(\hat{G}) \subset \text{norm cl} \{W_p(\hat{G}) + X + L_V\}$$

then  $G$  is discrete. If  $G$  is discrete then  $UC_p(G) \subset AP_p(G)$  even, by Proposition 15.

**Proof.** We can and shall assume that  $\bar{V}$  is compact. Let  $v \in S_{\mathcal{A}}^p$  be such that  $\text{supp } v = \text{cl} \{x; v(x) \neq 0\} \subset V$ . If  $\varphi \in L_V$  then  $\text{supp } v \cdot \varphi \subset \text{supp } v \cap \text{supp } \varphi = \emptyset$  ([12], p. 118). Thus we have  $v \cdot \varphi = 0$  by [12], p. 101. Thus if  $v^\perp = \{\varphi \in PM_p; v \cdot \varphi = 0\}$  then  $L_V \subset v^\perp$ . Hence (\*) implies that

$$UC_p \subset \text{norm cl} \{W_p(\hat{G}) + X + v^\perp\}.$$

$L^p(G)$  is separable, hence so is  $A_p(G)$ . Let  $\{u_n\}$  be dense in  $S_{\mathcal{A}}^p$  and denote

$K = vS_A^p \subset S_A^p$ . Any  $\varphi \in v^\perp$  will satisfy  $\varphi(K) = 0$ . Hence any  $\psi$  in  $w^* \text{cl } K$  will satisfy  $\psi(\varphi) = 0$  for all  $\varphi$  in  $v^\perp$  ( $K$  is identified with its canonical image in  $PM_p^*$ ).

Fix now some  $\psi_0 \in \{w^* \text{cl } K\} \cap TIM_p(\hat{G})$  (see the remark after Proposition 2) and let  $\{\varphi_n\}$  be norm dense in  $X$ . Let  $\psi_0(\varphi_n) = \alpha_n$  and consider the  $w^*$  compact convex set

$$A = \{w^* \text{cl } K\} \cap \{\psi \in PM_p^*; (t'_{u_n} - I)^{**} \psi = 0, \psi(\varphi_n) = \alpha_n, n \geq 1\}$$

where  $I': A_p \rightarrow A_p$  is the identity and  $t'_a(u) = au$  for  $a, u$  in  $A_p$ . Since  $(t'_{u_n})^* = t_{u_n}$ , it follows that any  $\psi$  in  $A$  satisfies  $u \cdot \psi = \psi$  for all  $u \in S_A^p$ . If  $w \in S_B^p$  and  $u \in S_A^p$  then  $w \cdot \psi = (wu) \cdot \psi = \psi$ . Thus  $A \subset TIM_p(\hat{G})$  by Proposition 4. Clearly  $\psi_0 \in A$ . We claim that  $A = \{\psi_0\}$ . In fact let  $\psi_1 \in A$ . Then  $\psi_1 = \psi_0$  on  $X$  and  $\psi_1(v^\perp) = 0$  since  $\psi_1 \in w^* \text{cl } K$ . By Proposition 9  $\psi_1 = \psi_0$  on  $W_p(\hat{G})$ . Our assumption implies now that  $\psi_1 = \psi_0$  on  $UC_p(G)$ . If  $\varphi \in PM_p$ , choose  $u \in S_A^p$ . Then  $\psi_1(\varphi) = \psi_1(u \cdot \varphi) = \psi_0(u \cdot \varphi) = \psi_0(\varphi)$  since  $u \cdot \varphi \in UC_p$ . Thus  $\psi_1 = \psi_0$ .

We apply now Corollary 1.3 on p. 21 of [8] and get that there exists a sequence  $v_n \in K \subset S_A^p$  such that for all  $\varphi \in PM_p$ ,  $\varphi(v_n) \rightarrow \psi_0(\varphi)$ . Thus  $v_n$  is a weak Cauchy sequence in

$$A_E^p(G) = \{u \in A_p(G); \text{supp } u \subset E\} \quad \text{with} \quad E = \bar{V}.$$

But by Lemma 18 it follows that  $A_E^p(G)$  is weakly sequentially complete for any compact  $E \subset G$ .

There is hence some  $v_0 \in A_p(G)$  such that  $\varphi(v_0) = \psi_0(\varphi)$  for all  $\varphi \in PM_p$ . Thus  $\varphi(wv_0) = \varphi(v_0)$  for all  $\varphi$  in  $PM_p$  and all  $w$  in  $S_B^p$ , hence  $wv_0 = v_0$ . By choosing  $w \in S_A^p$  with support in a small neighborhood  $U$  of  $e$  we get that  $v_0(x) = 0$  if  $x \neq e$ . However  $v_0(e) = I(v_0) = \psi_0(I) = 1$ . Since  $v_0 \in A_p$  is continuous,  $G$  is discrete.

The alert reader will have noticed that the above proof will yield a proof for the following

**THEOREM 17.** *Let  $G$  be second countable,  $K \subset A_p$  convex,  $T_n \in PM_p$  and*

$$A = \{w^* \text{cl } K\} \cap \{\psi \in TIM(\hat{G}); \psi(T_n) = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 1\}.$$

*If  $A \neq \emptyset$  is norm separable or if  $A$  has  $w^*$  exposed points then  $G$  is discrete.*

**Proof.** By Lemma 3 on p. 23 of [8] we can assume, by possibly adding a countable set to the  $T_n$ 's, that  $A$  has  $w^*$  exposed points and by Corollary 1.3, p. 21, of [8] any such  $\psi_0$  is necessarily a weak\* sequential limit of elements  $v_n$  of  $K$ . If  $v_0 \in S_A^p$  has compact support then

$$\varphi(v_0 v_n) = (v_0 \cdot \varphi) v_n \rightarrow \psi_0(v_0 \cdot \varphi) = \psi_0(\varphi) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \text{ in } PM_p.$$

Thus  $v_0 v_n \rightarrow \psi_0$  in the  $w^*$  topology of  $PM_p^*$  and  $v_0 v_n \in A_E^p$  with compact  $E = \text{supp } v_0$ . The rest is shown as in Theorem 16.

Remarks. Theorem 17 is an improvement of a weaker version of Theorem 3.8 of [3]. Chou displays there, for any given  $A \neq \emptyset$  as above, a linear isometry  $A$  of  $(l^\infty)^*$  into  $PM_2(G)^* = VN(G)^*$  such that  $A(\beta N \sim N) \subset A$ , whenever  $G$  is nondiscrete (and  $p = 2$ ). It follows by Chou's result that for such  $A$  even  $\text{card } A \geq 2^c$ , while we are only able to show here that  $A$  is not separable. Our result is however true for all  $1 < p < \infty$  and the heavy  $C^*$ -algebra machinery developed by Chou in Chapter II and used in Chapter III of [3], to prove his result, is not available in our case anymore. A better result than our Theorem 16 is obtained by Chou in [3], Corollary 3.7 again for the case  $p = 2$  and arbitrary  $G$ , using  $C^*$ -algebra machinery (see footnote on p. 120).

To complete the proof of Theorems 16, 17 we bring here a lemma, whose proof improves a proof due to M. Cowling. The proof is very gratefully acknowledged.

LEMMA 18. *For any compact  $E \in G$ ,  $A_E^p(G) = \{v \in A_p(G); \text{supp } v \subset E\}$  is weakly sequentially complete.*

Proof. If  $G$  is discrete,  $E$  is finite and  $A_E^p$  is finite dimensional. We can hence assume that  $G$  is not discrete.

Assume at first that  $G$  is second countable. Given  $f \in L^p$ ,  $g \in L^{p'}$ . Let

$$P(f \otimes g) = g * f^\vee = \int f(y) g(xy) dy \quad \text{where} \quad f^\vee(y) = f(y^{-1})$$

(see [12], p. 97). Consider  $f \otimes g$  as a function on  $G \times G$ . For  $f \in C(G)$  let  $(Mf)(x, y) = f(yx^{-1})$ . Then  $P[(f \otimes g)Mh] = hP(f \otimes g)$  (see [2], p. 276) and  $A_p(G) = P(L^p \otimes_\gamma L^{p'})$ .

Let  $U$  and  $V$  be open subsets of  $G$  with compact closure such that  $\lambda(U)^{-1} P(\chi_U \otimes \chi_V) = 1$  on  $E$  where  $\lambda$  denotes Haar measure. For any  $h \in A_E^p$ ,

$$\lambda(U)^{-1} P((Mh) \chi_U \otimes \chi_V) = h.$$

We have thus the following isomorphism of  $A_E^p$  into  $L^p \otimes L^{p'}(G \times G)_{U \times V}$ :

$$h \rightarrow [Mh(\chi_U \otimes \chi_V)] \in L^p \otimes_\gamma L^{p'}$$

(see [12], p. 98) and

$$A \|h\|_{A_p} \leq \|Mh(\chi_U \otimes \chi_V)\|_{L^p \otimes_\gamma L^{p'}} \leq B \|h\|_{A_p} \quad \text{for some } A, B > 0.$$

This is the case since  $P$  is a contraction by [12], p. 98.

However  $L^p \otimes L^{p'}$  is weakly sequentially complete, by Theorem 1 of [17]. Therefore, so is every closed subspace. This implies that  $A_E^p$  is weakly sequentially complete.

Routine arguments reduce the general case to the second countable case (see [12], p. 106).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Cowling, *An application of Littlewood–Paley theory in harmonic analysis*, Mathematische Annalen 241 (1979), p. 83–96.

- [2] — *Some applications of Grothendieck's theory of topological tensor products in harmonic analysis*, *ibidem* 232 (1978), p. 273–285.
- [3] Ching Chou, *Topological invariant means on the von Neumann algebra  $VN(G)$* , *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 273 (1982), p. 207–229.
- [4] C. F. Dunkl and D. E. Ramirez,  *$C^*$ -algebras generated by Fourier–Stieltjes transforms*, *ibidem* 164 (1972), p. 435–441.
- [5] — — *Existence and nonuniqueness of invariant means*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 32 (1972), p. 525–530.
- [6] — — *Weakly almost periodic functionals on the Fourier algebra*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 185 (1973), p. 501–514.
- [7] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators, I*, Interscience, N. Y. 1958.
- [8] E. E. Granirer, *Exposed points of convex sets and weak sequential convergence*, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society* 123 (1972).
- [9] — *Weakly almost periodic and uniformly continuous functionals on the Fourier algebra of any locally compact group*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 189 (1974), p. 371–382.
- [10] — *Density theorems for some linear subspaces and some  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $VN(G)$* , *Symposia of Mathematics, Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica*, vol. XXII, 1977, p. 61–70.
- [11] — *Properties of the set of topological invariant means on P. Eymard's  $W^*$  algebra  $VN(G)$* , *Indagationes Mathematicae* 36 (1974), p. 116–121.
- [12] C. Herz, *Harmonic synthesis for subgroups*, *Annales de l'Institut Fourier (Grenoble)* 23 (1973), p. 91–123.
- [13] — *Une généralisation de la notion de transformé de Fourier–Stieltjes*, *ibidem* 24 (1974), p. 145–157.
- [14] L. Hörmander, *Estimates for translation invariant operators...*, *Annals of Mathematics* 104 (1960), p. 93–140.
- [15] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Vols. I and II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1963 and 1970.
- [16] R. Larsen, *The multiplier problem*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 105, Springer-Verlag.
- [17] F. Lust, *Produits tensoriels projectifs d'espaces de Banach faiblement séquentiellement complets*, *Colloquium Mathematicum* 36 (1976), p. 255–267.
- [18] P. F. Renaud, *Invariant means on a class of von Neumann algebras*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 170 (1972), p. 285–291.
- [19] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, Mc Graw-Hill, 1973.
- [20] M. Zafran, *The spectra of multiplier transformations on the  $L^p$  spaces*, *Annals of Mathematics* (to appear).
- [21] E. E. Granirer, *Geometric and topological properties of certain  $w^*$  compact convex subsets of double duals of Banach spaces which arise from the study of invariant means*, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics* 30 (1986), p. 148–174.

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
VANCOUVER, CANADA

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 05. 11. 1981*