

## Absolute Cesàro summability of infinite series

by S. M. MAZHAR (Aligarh, India)

**Abstract.** Let  $\sigma_n^a$  denote the  $n$ -th Cesàro mean of order  $a$ ,  $a > -1$  of a given series  $\sum a_n$ . The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $|C, a|_k$ ,  $k > 1$  if  $\sum n^{k-1} |\sigma_n^a - \sigma_{n-1}^a|^k < \infty$ . In this note the following theorem has been proved.

**THEOREM.** A series  $\sum a_n$  is summable  $|C, a+1|_k$ ,  $a > -1$ ,  $k > 1$  if and only if  $\sum b_n$  is summable  $|C, a|_k$ , where

$$b_n = \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} \frac{a_v}{v+1} (C, a).$$

It may be remarked that the above theorem is an analogue of a theorem of Hardy and Littlewood (Math. Z. 19 (1924), p. 67-96) for ordinary Cesàro summability and that of Chow (JLMS 14 (1939), p. 101-112) for summability  $|C, a|$ ,  $a > 0$ .

1. Let  $\sum a_n$  be a given infinite series with  $s_n$  as its  $n$ th partial sum and let  $\{\sigma_n^a\}$  and  $\{t_n^a\}$  denote the  $n$ th Cesàro means of order  $a$  ( $a > -1$ ) of the sequences  $\{s_n\}$  and  $\{na_n\}$  respectively. A series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be  $(C, a)$ -summable to  $s$  if  $\sigma_n^a \rightarrow s$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It is said to be  $|C, a|$ -summable, if  $\sum |\sigma_n^a - \sigma_{n-1}^a| < \infty$ , and  $|C, a|_k$ -summable,  $k \geq 1$ , if  $\sum n^{k-1} |\sigma_n^a - \sigma_{n-1}^a|^k < \infty$ . By virtue of the well-known identity  $t_n^a = n(\sigma_n^a - \sigma_{n-1}^a)$  the last condition can be written as  $\sum_1^{\infty} \frac{|t_n^a|^k}{n} < \infty$ .

2. The following theorem concerning absolute Cesàro summability of an infinite series was established by Chow [4]:

**THEOREM A.** In order that the series  $\sum a_n$  be  $|C, a+1|$ -summable ( $a \geq 0$ ) it is necessary and sufficient that the series  $\sum b_n$ , where

$$b_n = \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} \frac{a_v}{v+1} (C, a)$$

should be  $|C, a|$ -summable.

The above theorem is an analogue of a theorem of Hardy and Littlewood [6] for ordinary Cesàro summability. The object of this note is twofold: firstly, to prove that there exists an analogue of Theorem A for generalized absolute summability, namely  $|C, a|_k$ -summability; secondly, to extend the range of  $a$  from  $a \geq 0$  to  $a > -1$ .

Our theorem is as follows:

**THEOREM.** A series  $\sum a_n$  is  $|C, \alpha+1|_k$ -summable  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $k \geq 1$  if and only if  $\sum b_n$  is  $|C, \alpha|_k$ -summable, where

$$(2.1) \quad b_n = \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} \frac{a_v}{v+1} (C, \alpha).$$

**3.** The following lemmas will be required for the proof of our theorem.

**LEMMA 1.** A series  $\sum a_n$  is  $|C, \alpha+1|_k$ -summable,  $\alpha > -1$  if and only if  $\sum \frac{t_n^\alpha}{n}$  is  $|C, 1|_k$ -summable,  $k \geq 1$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\sum a_n$  is  $|C, \alpha+1|_k$ -summable,  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . Then it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n t_k^\alpha = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} t_k^{\alpha+1} + \frac{(\alpha+n+1)}{\alpha+1} t_n^{\alpha+1},$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=1}^n t_v^\alpha \right|^k &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{k+1}} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} t_v^{\alpha+1} \right|^k + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |t_n^{\alpha+1}|^k \quad (1) \\ &= O \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{v=1}^n |t_v^{\alpha+1}|^k \right) + O(1) \\ &= O \left( \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{|t_v^{\alpha+1}|^k}{v} \right) + O(1) = O(1), \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypothesis. Thus  $\sum \frac{t_n^\alpha}{n}$  is  $|C, 1|_k$ -summable. Similarly, we can prove the converse part.

**LEMMA 2** [4]. If  $\alpha > -1$  and  $a_n = (n+1)(b_n - b_{n+1})$ , then

$$\frac{t_n^{\alpha+1}}{n} = (\alpha+2) \frac{T_n^{\alpha+1}}{n} - (\alpha+1) \frac{T_{n+1}^\alpha}{n+1},$$

where  $T_n^\alpha$  is the  $n$ -th  $(C, \alpha)$  mean of  $\{nb_n\}$ .

**LEMMA 3** ([1], [10]). Let

$$\delta_v = \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \frac{A_{n-v}^\alpha U_n}{A_n^\alpha}, \quad \alpha \geq 0,$$

where  $\sum |U_n| < \infty$ . Then  $\delta_n = O(1)$ ,  $\Delta^\alpha \delta_n = O(n^{-\alpha})$  and

$$\sum_1^{\infty} n^\alpha |\Delta^{\alpha+1} \delta_n| < \infty.$$

(1)  $O$  is a constant not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

LEMMA 4 [3]. Let  $k > 0$ ,  $\lambda_n$  be non-increasing and positive and  $\varepsilon_n = O(\lambda_n)$ . Then  $\Delta^k \varepsilon_n = O(n^{-k} \lambda_n)$  if and only if  $\Delta^k \left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{n}\right) = O(n^{-k-1} \lambda_n)$ .

LEMMA 5 [3]. If  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_n = O(1)$ , then

$$\Delta^\lambda \varepsilon_n = (n + \lambda) \Delta^\lambda \left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{n}\right) - \lambda \Delta^{\lambda-1} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{n}\right).$$

LEMMA 6. If  $\sum a_n$  is  $|C, a+1|_k$ -summable,  $a > -1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , then  $\sum \frac{a_n}{n+1}$  is  $(C, a)$ -summable.

For  $a \geq 0$  this is a special case of a more general result due to Mehdi [9]. However, he states and proves his result for integral values of  $a$  only. Since a proof of the above lemma for non-integral values of  $a$  is slightly more difficult, it seems desirable to include one here. A proof seems to be all the more appropriate in view of the fact that we take  $a > -1$  in place of  $a \geq 0$ .

It may be observed that for  $k = 1$ ,  $a \geq 0$  the following stronger result is known [7]

LEMMA 7. If  $a \geq 0$  and  $\sum a_n$  is  $|C, a+1|$ -summable, then  $\sum \frac{a_n}{n+1}$  is  $|C, a|$ -summable.

However, for  $k > 1$  it is not possible to obtain such a result for the  $|C, a+1|_k$ -summability. In other words, the statement "If  $\sum a_n$  is  $|C, a+1|_k$ -summable,  $a \geq 0$ ,  $k > 1$ , then  $\sum \frac{a_n}{n+1}$  is  $|C, a|$ -summable" is false, for we know [9] that a necessary condition for the series  $\sum a_n \varepsilon_n$  to be  $|C, a|$ -summable whenever  $\sum a_n$  is  $|C, a+1|_k$ -summable is  $\sum n^{k'-1} |\varepsilon_n|^{k'} < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$ . Thus in Lemma 6 the  $(C, a)$ -summability cannot be replaced by the  $|C, a|$ -summability.

Proof. We take  $a_0 = x_0 = 0$ . Let

$$x_n = \frac{1}{n^{1/k}} \frac{1}{A_n^{a+1}} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^a v a_v, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and

$$y_n = \frac{1}{A_n^a} \sum_{m=1}^n A_{n-m}^a \frac{a_m}{m+1}.$$

Expressing  $y_n$  in terms of  $x_n$  we have

$$y_n = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^n v^{1/k} A_v^{\alpha+1} x_v \sum_{m=v}^n \frac{A_{m-v}^{-\alpha-2} A_{n-m}^\alpha}{m(m+1)} = \sum_{v=1}^n C_{n,v} x_v,$$

where

$$C_{n,v} = \begin{cases} \frac{v^{1/k} A_v^{\alpha+1}}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{m=v}^n \frac{A_{m-v}^{-\alpha-2} A_{n-m}^\alpha}{m(m+1)}, & 1 \leq v \leq n, \\ 0 & v > n. \end{cases}$$

Now the sufficient conditions for the  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n$  to exist whenever  $\sum |x_n|^k < \infty$ ,  $1 < k < \infty$  are [9]:

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{n,v} \text{ exists for } v \geq 1,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} C_{n,v} U_n \text{ converges for all } U_n \text{ such that } \sum |U_n| < \infty, v \geq 1,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} C_{n,v} U_n \right|^{k'} < \infty \text{ whenever } \sum |U_n| < \infty.$$

Proof of (3.1). Since  $\sum_{m=v}^{\infty} |A_{m-v}^{-\alpha-2}| < \infty$ ,  $v \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha > -1$ , it follows that  $\sum_{m=v}^{\infty} \frac{A_{m-v}^{-\alpha-2}}{m(m+1)}$  is  $(C, \alpha)$ -summable,  $\alpha > -1$ , for every  $v \geq 1$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{n,v} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v^{1/k} A_v^{\alpha+1}}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{m=v}^n \frac{A_{m-v}^{-\alpha-2} A_{n-m}^\alpha}{m(m+1)} = v^{1/k} A_v^{\alpha+1} \sum_{m=v}^{\infty} \frac{A_{m-v}^{-\alpha-2}}{m(m+1)} \\ &= v^{1/k} A_v^{\alpha+1} A^{\alpha+1} \left( \frac{1}{v(v+1)} \right), \quad v \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (3.2).

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} |C_{n,v} U_n| &\leq \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \frac{v^{1/k} A_v^{\alpha+1}}{A_n^\alpha} |U_n| \sum_{m=v}^n \frac{|A_{m-v}^{-\alpha-2}| A_{n-m}^\alpha}{m(m+1)} \\ &\leq v^{1/k} A_v^{\alpha+1} \sum_{m=v}^{\infty} \frac{|A_{m-v}^{-\alpha-2}|}{m^2} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{A_{n-m}^\alpha |U_n|}{A_n^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

It can easily be seen that  $\frac{A_{n-m}^\alpha}{A_n^\alpha}$  is decreasing for  $-1 < \alpha < 0$ . Hence for  $\alpha > -1$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} |C_{n,v} U_n| &\leq C v^{1/k} A_v^{\alpha+1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2 A_m^\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |U_n| \quad (2) \\ &\leq C v^{1/k} A_v^{\alpha+1}, \quad v \geq 1, \alpha > -1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.2) is satisfied.

(2) When  $\alpha \geq 0$ , the factor  $1/A_m^\alpha$  is omitted.

Proof of (3.3). We have

$$\sum_{n=v}^{\infty} C_{n,v} U_n = \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \frac{v^{1/k} A_v^{a+1} U_n}{A_n^a} \sum_{m=v}^n \frac{A_{m-v}^{-a-2} A_{n-m}^a}{m(m+1)}.$$

Case (i). Let  $-1 < a < 0$ . Then by Abel's transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=v}^n \frac{A_{m-v}^{-a-2} A_{n-m}^a}{m(m+1)} &= \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} \sum_{r=v}^n A_{r-v}^{-a-2} A_{n-r}^a + \\ &+ \sum_{m=v}^n \Delta \left( \frac{1}{m(m+1)} \right) \sum_{r=v}^m A_{r-v}^{-a-2} A_{n-r}^a = I_1 + I_2, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} A_{n-v}^{-1}$$

and

$$I_2 \leq C \sum_{m=v}^n \frac{1}{m^3} \left| \sum_{r=v}^m A_{r-v}^{-a-2} A_{n-r}^a \right| \leq C \sum_{m=v}^n \frac{1}{m^3} A_{n-v}^a A_{m-v}^{-a-1}$$

(see [2]).

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} C_{n,v} U_n \right| &\leq C v^{1/k+a+1} \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \frac{|U_n|}{A_n^a} \frac{1}{n^2} A_{n-v}^{-1} + \\ &+ C v^{1/k+a+1} \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \frac{|U_n|}{A_n^a} \sum_{m=v}^n \frac{1}{m^3} A_{n-v}^a A_{m-v}^{-a-1} \\ &\leq C v^{1/k-1} |U_v| + C v^{1/k+a+1} \sum_{m=v}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^3} A_{m-v}^{-a-1} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{A_{n-v}^a |U_n|}{A_n^a} \\ &= O(v^{-1/k'} |U_v|) + O \left( v^{1/k+a+1} \sum_{m=v}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^3} A_{m-v}^{-a-1} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{A_{n-m}^a |U_n|}{A_n^a} \right) \\ &= O(v^{-1/k'} |U_v|) + O \left( v^{1/k+a+1} \sum_{m=v}^{\infty} \frac{A_{m-v}^{-a-1}}{m^3 A_m^a} \right) \\ &= O(v^{-1/k'} |U_v|) + O(v^{1/k-a-2}), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} C_{n,v} U_n \right|^{k'} = O \left( \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |U_v|^{k'} \right) + O \left( \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{-\alpha k' - k' - 1} \right) = O(1),$$

$$-1 < \alpha < 0.$$

Case (ii).  $\alpha = 0$ . It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{n=v}^{\infty} C_{n,v} U_n = O(v^{1/k-2})$$

and hence the result follows.

Case (iii).  $\alpha > 0$ . In this case

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} C_{n,v} U_n &= v^{1/k} A_v^{\alpha+1} \sum_{m=v}^{\infty} \frac{A_{m-v}^{-\alpha-2}}{m(m+1)} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{A_{n-m}^{\alpha} U_n}{A_n^{\alpha}} \\ &= v^{1/k} A_v^{\alpha+1} \sum_{m=v}^{\infty} \frac{A_{m-v}^{-\alpha-2} \delta_m}{m(m+1)} = v^{1/k} A_v^{\alpha+1} \Delta^{\alpha+1} \left( \frac{\delta_v}{v(v+1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemmas 3 and 4 we deduce that

$$\Delta^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\delta_n}{n} \right) = O(n^{-\alpha-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\delta_n}{n(n+1)} \right) = O(n^{-\alpha-2}).$$

From Lemma 5 we have

$$\Delta^{\alpha+1} \left( \frac{\delta_n}{n(n+1)} \right) = O(n^{-2} |\Delta^{\alpha+1} \delta_n|) + O(n^{-\alpha-3})$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} C_{n,v} U_n \right|^{k'} &\leq C \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{(\alpha+2)k'-1} \left| \Delta^{\alpha+1} \frac{\delta_v}{v(v+1)} \right|^{k'} \\ &\leq C \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{\alpha k'-1} |\Delta^{\alpha+1} \delta_v|^{k'} + C \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{-k'-1} \\ &= O \left\{ \left( \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{\alpha-1/k'} |\Delta^{\alpha+1} \delta_v|^{k'} \right) \right\} + O(1) = O(1), \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of Lemma 3.

This completes the proof of Lemma 7.

LEMMA 8. If  $\sum a_n$  is  $|C, 1|_k$ -summable and

$$d_n = \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} \frac{a_v}{(v+1+\alpha)}, \quad \alpha > -1, k \geq 1,$$

then  $\sum d_n$  is  $|C, 0|_k$ -summable.

For  $k = 1$  we get a result of Chow [4].

Proof. By virtue of the hypothesis and Lemma 6,  $\sum \frac{a_n}{n+1+\alpha}$  is convergent. Thus  $d_n$  exists for every  $n$ . Also  $\sum a_n$  is  $|C, 1|_k$ -summable

if and only if  $\sum \frac{|t_n^1|^k}{n} < \infty$ . Using the identity

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n} \{(n+1)t_n^1 - nt_{n-1}^1\},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_n &= \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} \frac{a_v}{v+1+a} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{v=n}^m \frac{1}{v(v+1+a)} [v(t_v^1 - t_{v-1}^1) + t_v^1] \\ &= \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} \frac{t_v^1}{(v+1+a)(v+2+a)} + \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} \frac{t_v^1}{v(v+1+a)} - \frac{t_{n-1}^1}{n+1+a}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^{\infty} n^{k-1} |d_n|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \left( \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} \frac{|t_v^1|}{v^2} \right)^k + O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|t_{n-1}^1|^k}{n} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} \frac{|t_v^1|^k}{v^2} \left( \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{v^2} \right)^{k-1} + O(1) \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} \frac{|t_v^1|^k}{v^2} + O(1) \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{|t_v^1|^k}{v} + O(1) = O(1), \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypothesis. Thus  $\sum d_n$  is  $|C, 0|_k$ -summable.

**4. Proof of the theorem.** The method of proof is similar to that of Chow.

**Sufficiency.** Since  $\sum b_n$  is  $|C, \alpha|_k$ -summable, it follows from a known consistency theorem ([5]) that it is also  $|C, \alpha+1|_k$ -summable. Using condition (2.1) we deduce that  $a_n = (n+1)(b_n - b_{n+1})$  and then, applying Lemma 2, we have

$$\frac{t_n^{\alpha+1}}{n} = (\alpha+2) \frac{T_n^{\alpha+1}}{n} - (\alpha+1) \frac{T_{n+1}^{\alpha}}{n+1}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum \frac{|t_n^{\alpha+1}|^k}{n} = O\left(\sum_1^{\infty} \frac{|T_n^{\alpha+1}|^k}{n}\right) + O\left(\sum_1^{\infty} \frac{|T_{n+1}^{\alpha}|^k}{n+1}\right) = O(1).$$

Thus  $\sum a_n$  is  $|C, \alpha+1|_k$ -summable.

Necessity. Using the well-known identities ([7]; [8])

$$\begin{aligned}t_n^\alpha &= n(\sigma_n^\alpha - \sigma_{n-1}^\alpha), \\t_n^{\alpha+1} &= (\alpha+1)(\sigma_n^\alpha - \sigma_n^{\alpha+1}),\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{\alpha T_{n+1}^{\alpha-1}}{n+1} = \frac{n+\alpha+1}{n+1} T_{n+1}^\alpha - T_n^\alpha, \quad \alpha > -1, \alpha \neq 0.$$

By virtue of Lemma 6,  $\sum \frac{a_n}{n+1}$  is  $(C, \alpha)$ -summable. Hence  $b_n$  defined by (2.1) exist for all  $n$  and  $a_n = (n+1)(b_n - b_{n+1})$ . Using Lemma 2 with  $(\alpha-1)$  in place of  $\alpha$ , we have

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{t_n^\alpha}{n} = (\alpha+1) \frac{T_n^\alpha}{n} - \alpha \frac{T_{n+1}^{\alpha-1}}{n+1}, \quad \alpha > -1, \alpha \neq 0.$$

Combining (4.1) and (4.2) we get

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{t_n^\alpha}{n} = (n+\alpha+1) \left( \frac{T_n^\alpha}{n} - \frac{T_{n+1}^\alpha}{n+1} \right) \quad \text{for } \alpha > -1 \text{ } ^{(3)}.$$

Let

$$\gamma_n = \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} \frac{t_v^\alpha}{v(v+1+\alpha)}.$$

From Lemma 1,  $\sum_1^{\infty} \frac{t_n^\alpha}{n}$  is  $|C, 1|_k$ -summable and applying Lemma 6 we observe that  $\sum_1^{\infty} \frac{t_n^\alpha}{n(n+1+\alpha)}$  is convergent. Thus  $\gamma_n$  exist for all  $n$  and

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{t_n^\alpha}{n} = (n+1+\alpha)(\gamma_n - \gamma_{n+1}).$$

Comparing this result with (4.3) we infer that

$$\gamma_n = \frac{T_n^\alpha}{n} + d,$$

where  $d$  is some constant.

Now  $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$ . By hypothesis  $\{b_n\}$  is  $(C, \alpha)$ -summable,  $\alpha > -1$ , to zero and therefore, by virtue of the consistency theorem it is also  $(C, \alpha+1)$ -summable to zero. Using the following identity

$$\frac{T_n^\alpha}{n} = -\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+n} \frac{1}{A_{n-1}^\alpha} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} A_{n-1-v}^\alpha b_v + \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=0}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} b_v,$$

<sup>(3)</sup> It can easily be verified that this identity is also true for  $\alpha = 0$ .

it follows immediately that  $\frac{T_n^a}{n} \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $d = 0$  so that  $\gamma_n = \frac{T_n^a}{n}$ .

Since by Lemma 1,  $\sum_1^{\infty} \frac{t_n^a}{n}$  is  $|C, 1|_k$ -summable we conclude in view of

Lemma 8 that  $\sum_1^{\infty} \gamma_n$  is  $|C, 0|_k$ -summable, that is to say  $\sum_1^{\infty} n^{-1} |T_n^a|^k < \infty$ .

Thus  $\sum_1^{\infty} b_n$  is  $|C, a|_k$ -summable,  $a > -1$ .

This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### References

- [1] L. S. Bosanquet, *Note on Bohr-Hardy theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. 17 (1942), p. 166-173.
- [2] — *Note on convergence and summability factors III*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 50 (1948), p. 482-496.
- [3] L. S. Bosanquet and H. C. Chow, *Some remarks on convergence and summability factors*, J. London Math. Soc. 32 (1957), p. 73-82.
- [4] H. C. Chow, *On the absolute summability (C) of power series*, ibidem 14 (1939), p. 101-112.
- [5] T. M. Flett, *On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 7 (1957), p. 113-141.
- [6] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Solution of the Cesàro summability problem for power series and Fourier series*, Math. Z. 19 (1924), p. 67-96.
- [7] E. G. Kogbetliantz, *Sur les séries absolument sommables par la méthode des moyennes arithmétiques*, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 49 (1925), p. 234-256.
- [8] — *Sommation des séries et intégrales divergentes par les moyennes arithmétiques et typiques*, Mémor. Sci. Math. 51 (1931), Paris.
- [9] M. R. Mehdi, Ph. D. Thesis (London), 1959.
- [10] A. Peyerimhoff, *Über summierbarkeitsfaktoren und verwandte Fragen bei Cesàro-verfahren I*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) 8 (1955), p. 139-156.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING  
ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY  
Aligarh, India

Reçu par la Rédaction le 31. 3. 1972