

MARIA JANKIEWICZ (Wrocław)

## THE DAM WITH CONTENT-DEPENDENT INPUT AND OUTPUT

**1. Introduction.** We consider the infinite dam in which the content process alternatively increases (input phase) or decreases (output phase) at random time-intervals. The input and output rates depend on the state of the process. Such a model is a generalization of the model considered by Gaver and Miller [2] (see also [6]) with alternatively linear changes of the content process.

We are interested in two problems. The first one is to investigate the effect of some random deformations of both phases on the content and on the wet period. Such investigations were performed by Kopociński ([4], [5]) for the dam with compound renewal (compound Poisson) input and content-dependent output. In Section 3, making analogous assumptions and using the same methods as in [4] and [5], we give theorems about the expected increase of the contents at the initial moments of both phases and about the expected value of the wet period.

The second problem regards the stationary behaviour of the content process. In Section 4.1 we deal with the existence of invariant probability measures for Markov chains imbedded at the initial moments of both phases. The investigations base on the paper [1] by Çinlar. In Section 4.2 we assume that the extended Markov process, induced by the content process, is stationary and we investigate relations between the stationary distributions of the process and the invariant measures of imbedded Markov chains. Moreover, assuming that the output phases are exponential, we give the forms of stationary distributions. Here we apply the paper [3].

### 2. The model.

**2.1. Content process.** Let  $(\Omega, \sigma, \Pr)$  be a probability space,  $\mathcal{R}_0 = [0, \infty)$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$  and let  $\mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B}_+$  stand for the  $\sigma$ -algebras of Borel subsets of these half-lines, respectively. Introduce the notation obligatory in the whole paper:  $\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , for the function equal to 1 on a space  $\mathcal{X}$ ;  $I_A$  for the

indicator of a set  $A$ ;  $f \in b\mathcal{F}$  for a real bounded  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable function and a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ ;  $\gamma^n$  for the  $n$ th iterate of an operator  $\gamma$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , where  $\gamma^0 f = f$  for  $f \in b\mathcal{F}$ ;  $E_A f(A) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) d\Pr\{A \leq u\}$  for a real function  $f$  and a random variable  $A$ ;  $Y$  for a stochastic process  $\{Y(t), t \geq 0\}$ ;  $Y = \{Y_n, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$  and  $Y_n = \{Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$  for a sequence of random variables  $Y_0, Y_1, \dots$

Suppose we are given the following:

(a) a random variable  $X_0^1: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_+$ ,

(b) sequences of moments  $\tau_{2m+a}$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \dots$ , ( $\tau_0 = 0$ ), such that the distances  $t_m^a = \tau_{2m+2-a} - \tau_{2m+1-a}$  are positive independent random variables with common distribution function  $H_a$  and finite mean  $1/\mu_a$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ ; the sequences  $t^a$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ , are also independent,

(c) real functions  $r_a$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ , positive, monotone in  $\mathcal{R}_+$  and such that  $r_1(0) = 0$ ,  $r_0(0) > 0$ ,  $\int_0^{\infty} (1/r_0(u)) du = \infty$ .

Define the content process  $X$  for the distances  $t^a$  and for the intensity functions  $r_a$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ . Assume that the content  $X(t)$  decreases with intensity  $r_1(X(t))$  or alternatively increases with intensity  $r_0(X(t))$  with regard to whether it is in the output or input phase. Hence during the output phase the content process is submitted to the function  $q_1 = q_1(c, t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $c > 0$ , fulfilling the differential equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{dq_1}{dt} = -r_1(q_1)$$

with initial value  $q_1(c, 0) = c$ ; during the input phase the content process is submitted to the function  $q_0 = q_0(c, t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $c \geq 0$ , fulfilling the differential equation

$$(2) \quad \frac{dq_0}{dt} = r_0(q_0)$$

with initial value  $q_0(c, 0) = c$ .

Let  $R_a$  denote the antiderivatives for  $1/r_a$  such that  $R_a(0) = 0$ , provided there exist  $R_a(0) < \infty$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ . Then the solutions of (1) and (2) can be presented as follows: if  $\int_0^c (1/r_1(u)) du < \infty$  then

$$(3) \quad q_1(c, t) = \begin{cases} R_1^{-1}(R_1(c) - t), & 0 \leq t \leq R_1(c), \\ 0, & t > R_1(c), \quad c > 0; \end{cases}$$

if  $\int_0^c (1/r_1(u)) du = \infty$  then

$$(4) \quad q_1(c, t) = R_1^{-1}(R_1(c) - t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad c > 0;$$

$$(5) \quad q_0(c, t) = R_0^{-1}(R_0(c) + t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad c \geq 0.$$

It follows from (1) that  $\int_0^c (1/r_1(u)) du$  is the time to emptiness of the content  $c > 0$  provided we are always in the output phase. If this time is finite then we have (3), otherwise we have (4). Similarly, from (2) we see that  $\int_0^c (1/r_0(u)) du$  is the time to obtain the content  $c > 0$  starting from zero provided we are always in the input phase. If  $r_0$  is positive, monotone in  $\mathcal{R}_+$  and  $r_0(0) > 0$  then this time is finite for each  $c$ ,  $0 \leq c < \infty$ . Hence in (c) we have assumed that the content never reaches infinity in finite time.

Define two chains  $X^a$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ , as follows: for each  $a$  let

$$(6) \quad X_0^0 = q_1(X_0^1, t_0^1), \quad X_{m+1}^a = q_{1-a}(q_a(X_m^a, t_m^a), t_{m+1}^{1-a}), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

Then we have

$$(7) \quad X(t) = q_a(X_m^a, t - \tau_{2m+1-a})$$

when  $\tau_{2m+1-a} \leq t < \tau_{2m+2-a}$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \dots$

From the definition (7) we see that  $X_m^a = X(\tau_{2m+1-a})$ , i.e. the chain  $X^1$  gives the contents at the initial moments of the output phases and the chain  $X^0$  gives the contents at the initial moments of the input phases. Simultaneously, because the distances  $t_m^a$  are independent for  $m = 0, 1, \dots$ , it follows from (6) that the chains  $X^a$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ , are Markov chains.

The model described in this section is denoted by  $\{t^1, t^0, r_1, r_0\}$ .

**2.2. Wet period.** Consider the content process  $X$  in the model  $\{t^1, t^0, r_1, r_0\}$  and for each  $c > 0$  define the random variable  $T(c)$  by the formula

$$T(c) = \inf_{t > 0} \{t: X(t) = 0 \mid X(0) = c\}.$$

The random variable  $T(c)$  is called the *wet period* (the time to emptiness). In this section we give the probability distribution of  $T(c)$  and its expected value.

Assume that

$$(8) \quad \int_0^c (1/r_1(u)) du < \infty \quad \text{for some } c > 0.$$

Because  $r_1$  is positive monotone in  $\mathcal{R}_+$  then from (8) we have

$$\int_0^c (1/r_1(u)) du < \infty \quad \text{for each } c > 0.$$

For convenience we write sometimes  $R(c)$  instead of  $R_1(c)$ , i.e.  $R(c) = R_1(c)$ .

For the process  $T(c)$ ,  $c > 0$ , we have the relation (for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ )

$$(9) \quad T(c) = \begin{cases} R(c), & \text{if } t_0^1 > R(c), \\ t_0^1 + t_0^0 + T^*(q_0(q_1(c, t_0^1), t_0^0)), & \text{if } t_0^1 \leq R(c). \end{cases}$$

where  $T^*(c)$ ,  $c > 0$ , is the wet period process defined for the sequence  $t^* = t_1^1, t_1^0, t_2^1, t_2^0, \dots$  and for the functions  $r_a$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ .

Let

$$g(c, t) = I_{[0, t]}(R(c))(1 - H_1(R(c))),$$

$$A(c, t) = \{(v, u): 0 < v + u < t, 0 < v, 0 < u < R(c)\}, \quad t \geq 0, c > 0.$$

Define the operator  $\psi$  by the formula

$$(10) \quad \psi f(c, t) = \iint_{A(c, t)} f(q_0(q_1(c, u), v), t - v - u) dH_0(v) dH_1(u),$$

where  $f \in \mathbf{b}\mathcal{B}_+ \times \mathcal{B}_0$ .

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $F(c, t) = \Pr\{T(c) \leq t\}$ . Then  $F$  fulfils the equation

$$(11) \quad F = g + \psi F,$$

which has a unique solution of the form

$$(12) \quad F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi^n g.$$

Proof. The equation (11) follows easily from (9) and from the fact that the sequence  $t^*$  does not depend on  $t_0^1$  and  $t_0^0$ .

We show the existence and uniqueness of the solution of (11) in a standard way (see [1], Theorem (2.19) or Theorem (3.7)). From (10) it is easy to verify that

$$0 \leq g(c, t) \leq 1 - H_1(R(c)) \leq 1(c, t) - \psi 1(c, t).$$

The operator  $\psi$  is nonnegative, thus we have for each  $N$

$$0 \leq \sum_{n=0}^N \psi^n g \leq \sum_{n=0}^N \psi^n (1 - \psi 1) = 1 - \psi^{N+1} 1 \leq 1.$$

Hence the series in (12) is convergent and it is the solution of (11). For the uniqueness of the solution it is sufficient to show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n F = 0$ . Because

$0 \leq F \leq 1$  and  $\psi$  is nonnegative, we have

$$(13) \quad 0 \leq \psi^n F \leq \psi^n 1.$$

Using (10), it is not difficult to verify that

$$(14) \quad \psi^n 1(c, t) \leq \Pr\{t_0^1 + t_0^0 + \dots + t_{n-1}^1 + t_{n-1}^0 \leq t\}.$$

The right-hand side of (14) tends to zero when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by the assumption that  $t_m^a$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \dots$ , are positive, independent and identically distributed. Hence and from (13) and (14) we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n F = 0$ . ■

The random variable  $T(c)$  may be improper. In order to find the probability  $\Pr \{T(c) < \infty\}$  we introduce the random variables  $M(c)$  and  $S(c)$  by the formulas

$$M(c) = \min_{m \geq 0} \{m: X_m^0 = 0 \mid X(0) = c\}, \quad S(c) = \tau_{2M(c)}, \quad \text{for every } \omega \in \Omega.$$

The random variable  $M(c)$  is the index  $m$  of  $\tau_{2m+1}$  such that  $X(\tau_{2m+1}) = 0$  and the random variable  $S(c)$  is the initial moment of the output phase being last before  $T(c)$ . Because  $\tau_{2m+a}$  and  $t_m^a$  are finite for almost all  $\omega$ , one can verify that

$$(15) \quad \Pr \{T(c) < \infty\} = \Pr \{S(c) < \infty\} = \Pr \{M(c) < \infty\}.$$

Define the Markov chain  $Y^0$  by killing the Markov chain  $X^0$  at the  $M(c)$ -th step. The transition kernel  $P$  of  $Y^0$  is given as follows:

$$P(x, A) = \int_0^\infty dH_0(v) \int_0^{R(q_0(x,v))} dH_1(u) I_A(q_1(q_0(x, v), u)), \quad x \geq 0, A \in \mathcal{B}_0.$$

Denote by  $\varphi$  the operator on  $f \in b\mathcal{B}_0$  such that

$$\varphi f(x) = \int_{0^-}^\infty f(y) P(x, dy).$$

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $h \in b\mathcal{B}_0$  be the maximal solution of the equation

$$(16) \quad f = \varphi f, \quad 0 \leq f \leq 1.$$

Then we have

$$(17) \quad \Pr \{T(c) < \infty\} = 1 - h(c).$$

Proof. Let  $h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n 1$ . Because  $1 \geq \varphi 1 \geq \varphi^2 1 \geq \dots$ ,  $h$  is the solution of (16) and  $0 \leq h \leq 1$ . If  $f$  is any other solution of (16) then  $f = \varphi^n f \leq \varphi^n 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ; therefore  $f \leq h$ . For each  $n$  we have

$$\Pr \{M(c) \geq n\} = \varphi^n 1(c),$$

whence and from (15) we obtain (17). ■

Assume that  $T(c)$  is proper and there exists the expected value  $\Theta(c) = ET(c) < \infty$ . Introduce the function  $\bar{g}$  and the operator  $\bar{\psi}$  by the formulas

$$\bar{g}(c) = \int_0^{R(c)} (1 - H_1(u)) du + (1/\mu_0) H_1(R(c)), \quad c > 0,$$

$$\bar{\psi} f(c) = \int_0^{R(c)} dH_1(u) \int_0^\infty dH_0(v) f(q_0(q_1(c, u), v))$$

for a  $\mathcal{B}_+$ -measurable function  $f$ . In view of Proposition 1 we can formulate a similar one for the expected value  $\Theta(c)$ .

PROPOSITION 3. The function  $\Theta$  fulfils the equation

$$(18) \quad \Theta(c) = \bar{g}(c) + \bar{\psi} \Theta(c), \quad c > 0,$$

which gives the only expected value of  $T(c)$  and

$$(19) \quad \Theta(c) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{\psi}^n \bar{g}(c), \quad c > 0.$$

Proof. By the assumption  $\Theta(c) < \infty$  we have

$$\Theta(c) = \int_0^{\infty} \Pr \{T(c) > t\} dt.$$

From (11) the function  $\bar{F}(c, t) = \Pr \{T(c) > t\}$  fulfils the equation

$$(20) \quad \bar{F} = \bar{g} + \bar{\psi} \bar{F},$$

where

$$\bar{g}(c, t) = I_{(t, \infty)}(R(c))(1 - H_1(R(c))) + H_1(R(c)) - \psi 1(c, t)$$

and the operator  $\psi$  is defined by (10). Hence we have

$$0 \leq \bar{g}(c, t) \leq 1(c, t) - \psi 1(c, t).$$

The same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 1 allow to show that the equation (20) has a unique solution of the form  $\bar{F}(c, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{\psi}^n \bar{g}(c, t)$ .

Simultaneously, it is easy to verify that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \bar{g}(c, t) dt = \bar{g}(c),$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \bar{\psi}^n \bar{h}(c, t) dt = \bar{\psi}^n \bar{h}(c), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

for each  $\bar{h}$  such that  $\int_0^{\infty} \bar{h}(c, t) dt = \bar{h}(c)$ . Hence  $\Theta(c)$  fulfils the equation (18).

From Lebesgue's theorem we obtain

$$\Theta(c) = \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{\psi}^n \bar{g}(c, t) dt = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{\psi}^n \bar{g}(c), \quad c > 0.$$

Thus  $\Theta(c)$  is uniquely determined by the function  $\bar{g}$  and the operator  $\bar{\psi}$ .

### 3. Random deformations.

**3.1. Markov chains.** Consider random deformations of the distances between consecutive initial moments of the phases, separately for output and input.

The sequence of independent random variables  $\Delta$  is called (see [4]) *random deformation of the sequence of independent random variables  $U$*  if the pairs  $(U_n, \Delta_n)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  are independent,  $U_n + \Delta_n \geq 0$  and  $E(\Delta_n | U_n) = 0$ .

Let  $\Delta^a$  be the random deformation of  $t^a$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ . Because we consider

the deformation separately for each  $a$ , then, as the result of such a deformation, we obtain new models

$$\{\tilde{t}^1, t^0, r_1, r_0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{t^1, \tilde{t}^0, r_1, r_0\},$$

where

$$\tilde{t}_m^1 = t_m^1 + \Delta_m^1, \quad \tilde{t}_m^0 = t_m^0 + \Delta_m^0, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

The Markov chains defined analogously to (6) for these models are denoted by  $\tilde{X}^1, \tilde{X}^0$  and  $\tilde{X}^1, \tilde{X}^0$ , respectively. Thus we have the relations

$$(21) \quad \tilde{X}_0^1 = X_0^1, \quad \tilde{X}_{m+1}^1 = q_0(q_1(\tilde{X}_m^1, \tilde{t}_m^1), t_m^0),$$

$$(22) \quad \tilde{X}_0^0 = q_1(X_0^1, \tilde{t}_0^1), \quad \tilde{X}_{m+1}^0 = q_1(q_0(\tilde{X}_m^0, t_m^0), \tilde{t}_{m+1}^1),$$

$$(23) \quad \tilde{X}_0^1 = X_0^1, \quad \tilde{X}_{m+1}^1 = q_0(q_1(\tilde{X}_m^1, t_m^1), \tilde{t}_m^0),$$

$$(24) \quad \tilde{X}_0^0 = q_1(X_0^1, t_0^1), \quad \tilde{X}_{m+1}^0 = q_1(q_0(\tilde{X}_m^0, \tilde{t}_m^0), t_{m+1}^1), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

Now we prove that the deformation of output or input increases the content in expectation.

**THEOREM 1.** *If the function  $r_1$  is increasing concave and  $r_0$  is convex then the random deformation of the output phase increases the content in expectation, i.e.*

$$(25) \quad E(\tilde{X}_{m+1}^a | t_{m+1-a}^1, t_m^0) \geq X_{m+1}^a, \quad a = 1, 0, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

**THEOREM 2.** *If the function  $r_1$  is concave and  $r_0$  is increasing convex then the random deformation of the input phase increases the content in expectation, i.e.*

$$(26) \quad E(\tilde{X}_{m+1}^a | t_{m+1-a}^1, t_m^0) \geq X_{m+1}^a, \quad a = 1, 0, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

For the proofs of these theorems simple properties concerning the convexity (concavity) of the functions  $q_a$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ , with fixed  $c$  or  $t$  are useful. We formulate these properties in two lemmas. We write some dual results using square brackets.

**LEMMA 1.** (a) *If the function  $r_1$  is increasing [decreasing] then  $q_1$  for each  $c$  is convex with respect to  $t > 0$  [concave on the set  $\{t: q_1(c, t) > 0\}$ ].*

(b) *If the function  $r_0$  is increasing [decreasing] then  $q_0$  for each  $c$  is convex with respect to  $t$  on the set  $\{t: q_0(c, t) < \infty\}$  [concave for  $t \geq 0$ ].*

**LEMMA 2.** (a) *If the function  $r_1$  is convex [concave] then  $q_1$  for each  $t$  is concave with respect to  $c$  on the set  $\{c: q_1(c, t) > 0\}$  [convex for  $c > 0$ ].*

(b) *If the function  $r_0$  is convex [concave] then  $q_0$  for each  $t$  is convex with respect to  $c$  on the set  $\{c: q_0(c, t) < \infty\}$  [concave for  $c \geq 0$ ].*

Parts (a) of Lemmas 1 and 2 have been proved in [4], the proofs of parts (b) are analogous.

Using Lemmas 1 and 2 we can prove Theorems 1 and 2. We use mathematical induction and many times Jensen's inequality (J.i.).

**Proof of Theorem 1.** We prove the formula (25) for  $a = 1$ ; the proof for  $a = 0$  is similar. Using in sequence Lemma 2 (b) and J.i., Lemma 1(a) and J.i. and the monotonicity of  $q_0$  in  $c$ , Lemma 2(a) and J.i. and the monotonicity of  $q_0$  in  $c$ , the inductive assumption and the monotonicity of  $q_1$  and  $q_0$  in  $c$ , we have from (1)

$$\begin{aligned} E(\tilde{X}_{m+1}^1 | t_m^1, t_m^0) &= E(q_0(q_1(\tilde{X}_m^1, \tilde{t}_m^1), t_m^0) | t_m^1, t_m^0) \\ &\geq q_0(E(q_1(\tilde{X}_m^1, \tilde{t}_m^1) | t_m^1, t_m^0), t_m^0) \\ &\geq q_0(E(q_1(\tilde{X}_m^1, t_m^1) | t_m^1, t_m^0), t_m^0) \\ &\geq q_0(q_1(E(\tilde{X}_m^1 | t_{m-1}^1, t_{m-1}^0), t_m^1), t_m^0) \\ &\geq q_0(q_1(X_m^1, t_m^1), t_m^0) = X_{m+1}^1. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** We prove the formula (26) for  $a = 0$ ; the proof for  $a = 1$  is similar. Applying in turn Lemma 2(a) and J.i., Lemma 1(b) and J.i. and the monotonicity of  $q_1$  in  $c$ , Lemma 2(b) and J.i. and the monotonicity of  $q_1$  in  $c$ , lastly the inductive assumption and the monotonicity of  $q_0$  and  $q_1$  in  $c$ , we obtain in virtue of (4)

$$\begin{aligned} E(\tilde{X}_{m+1}^0 | t_{m+1}^1, t_m^0) &= E(q_1(q_0(\tilde{X}_m^0, \tilde{t}_m^0), t_{m+1}^1) | t_{m+1}^1, t_m^0) \\ &\geq q_1(E(q_0(\tilde{X}_m^0, \tilde{t}_m^0) | t_{m+1}^1, t_m^0), t_{m+1}^1) \\ &\geq q_1(E(q_0(\tilde{X}_m^0, t_m^0) | t_{m+1}^1, t_m^0), t_{m+1}^1) \\ &\geq q_1(q_0(E(\tilde{X}_m^0 | t_m^1, t_{m-1}^0), t_m^0), t_{m+1}^1) \\ &\geq q_1(q_0(X_m^0, t_m^0), t_{m+1}^1) = X_{m+1}^0. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorems 1 and 2 that the contemporary deformation of output and input increases the content if the function  $r_0$  is increasing convex and  $r_1$  is increasing concave.

The assumptions of convexity or concavity of the functions  $r_a$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ , in Theorems 1 and 2 are essential. In the following example we consider a function  $r_0$ , fulfilling the assumptions of Theorem 2 with the exception of convexity, and we give such an input deformation which decreases the content in expectation.

**Example.** Let us consider the model with deterministic distances  $t_m^1 = 1/2$ ,  $t_m^0 = 2$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \dots$  and with intensity functions of the form

$$r_1(t) = 2, \quad t > 0,$$

$$r_0(t) = \begin{cases} 1/4, & 0 \leq t < 1, \\ 1, & 1 \leq t. \end{cases}$$



Assuming  $X_0^1 = 5/3$  we obtain from (6)

$$X_m^1 = 5/3, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, \quad X_m^0 = 2/3, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

Let us consider further the input deformation  $\Delta^0$  with the probability distribution

$$\Pr \{\Delta_m^0 = -1\} = 1/2 = \Pr \{\Delta_m^0 = 1\}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

Applying (23) and (24) we calculate

$$\Pr \{\tilde{X}_1^1 = 11/12\} = \Pr \{\tilde{X}_1^1 = 17/12\} = \Pr \{\tilde{X}_1^0 = 0\} = \Pr \{\tilde{X}_1^0 = 5/12\} = 1/2,$$

$$\Pr \{\tilde{X}_2^1 = 1/4\} = \Pr \{\tilde{X}_2^1 = 2/3\} = \Pr \{\tilde{X}_2^1 = 3/4\} = \Pr \{\tilde{X}_2^1 = 7/6\} = 1/4,$$

$$\Pr \{\tilde{X}_2^0 = 0\} = 3/4, \quad \Pr \{\tilde{X}_2^0 = 1/6\} = 1/4,$$

$$\Pr \{\tilde{X}_3^1 = 5/12\} = \Pr \{\tilde{X}_3^1 = 11/12\} = 1/8,$$

$$\Pr \{\tilde{X}_3^1 = 1/4\} = \Pr \{\tilde{X}_3^1 = 3/4\} = 3/8,$$

$$\Pr \{\tilde{X}_m^0 = 0\} = 1, \quad m = 3, 4, \dots,$$

$$\Pr \{\tilde{X}_m^1 = 1/4\} = \Pr \{\tilde{X}_m^1 = 3/4\} = 1/2, \quad m = 4, 5, \dots$$

Hence it is easy to verify that  $E(\tilde{X}_m^1) < 5/3$  and  $E(\tilde{X}_m^0) < 2/3$  for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$

**3.2. Wet period.** Assume that the sequence  $t^1$  has a common exponential distribution, the random variable  $T(c)$  defined in Section 2.2 is proper and its mean  $\Theta(c)$  is finite.

Let us consider the random deformation  $\Delta^0$  of  $t^0$ . Thus we have a new model  $\{t^1, \tilde{t}^0, r_1, r_0\}$ . We indicate by  $\sim$  the characteristics of this new model.

**THEOREM 3.** *If the function  $r_1$  is decreasing [increasing] and  $r_0$  is increasing [decreasing] then the random deformation of the input phase increases [decreases] the expected value of the wet period, i.e.*

$$(27) \quad \tilde{\Theta}(c) \geq [\leq] \Theta(c), \quad c > 0.$$

We precede the proof of Theorem 3 with the following lemma in which we give some properties of the function  $\Theta$ . Introduce the notation  $1/v = 1/\mu_0 + 1/\mu_1$ .

**LEMMA 3.** *If the function  $r_1$  is decreasing [increasing] and  $r_0$  is increasing [decreasing] then  $\Theta$  is convex [concave].*

**Proof.** We prove the version without brackets; the proof of the version in brackets is similar. First, using Proposition 3 we show that  $\Theta$  fulfils the integro-differential equation

$$(28) \quad \Theta'(c) = \frac{1}{r_1(c)} (1 + \mu_1/\mu_0 - \mu_1 \Theta(c) + \mu_1 E_{t_0^0} \Theta(q_0(c, t_0^0))), \quad c > 0.$$

Indeed, substituting  $H_1(u) = 1 - \exp(-\mu_1 u)$ ,  $u \geq 0$ , in (18) we obtain

(29)

$$\Theta(c) = (1 - \exp(-\mu_1 R(c)))/v + \int_0^{R(c)} E_{t_0^0} \Theta(q_0(q_1(c, u), t_0^0)) \mu_1 \exp(-\mu_1 u) du.$$

From (1) and (2) we obtain

$$\partial q_a / \partial c = r_a(q_a) / r_a(c), \quad a = 1, 0.$$

Using this and (29) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta'(c) = & \frac{1}{r_1(c)} (\mu_1 \exp(-\mu_1 R(c)) / v + E_{t_0^0} \Theta(q_0(0, t_0^0)) \mu_1 \exp(-\mu_1 R(c)) \\ & - \int_0^{R(c)} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} E_{t_0^0} \Theta(q_0(q_1(c, u), t_0^0)) \mu_1 \exp(-\mu_1 u) du). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts and using again (29) we obtain (28).

Now let  $D = \Theta'(c+b) - \Theta'(c)$  for  $c > 0$  and  $b > 0$ . Define the random variables  $T(c, d)$ ,  $c > 0$ ,  $d \geq 0$ ,  $c > d$  as follows:

$$T(c, d) = \inf_{t > 0} \{t: X(t) \leq d \mid X(0) = c\}$$

and let  $\Theta(c, d) = ET(c, d)$ . Evidently  $T(c, 0) = T(c)$ . Because the distances  $t_m^1$  are exponential, we have

$$T(c+d) \stackrel{\text{distr}}{=} T(c+d, c) + T(c).$$

Hence, from (28) and  $r_1(c+b) \leq r_1(c)$  we obtain

$$(30) \quad D \geq \frac{\mu_1}{r_1(c)} E_{t_0^0} (\Theta(q_0(c+b, t_0^0), c+b) - \Theta(q_0(c, t_0^0), c)).$$

Let  $r_1^b(t) = r_1(t+b)$  for  $t > 0$  and  $r_1^b(0) = 0$  and let  $r_0^b(t) = r_0(t+b)$  for  $t \geq 0$ ,  $b > 0$ . Further let  $T^b(c)$ ,  $c > 0$ , be the wet period process in the model  $\{t^1, t^0, r_1^b, r_0^b\}$ . We also indicate by  $b$  the other characteristics of this model. From the obvious equalities

$$\int_0^c (1/r_a^b(u)) du = \int_0^{c+b} (1/r_a(u)) du - \int_0^b (1/r_a(u)) du, \quad a = 1, 0,$$

and from (3) and (5) we have

$$q_a^b(c, t) = q_a(c+b, t) - b, \quad a = 1, 0.$$

Hence for arbitrary  $c > 0$ ,  $b > 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$  we obtain

$$(31) \quad T^b(q_0^b(c, t), c) = T(q_0(c+b, t), c+b), \quad \text{for each } \omega \in \Omega.$$

If  $r_1$  is decreasing and  $r_0$  is increasing then from (1) and (2) we have the inequalities

$$q_a^b(c, t) \geq q_a(c, t), \quad a = 1, 0.$$

Thus for arbitrary  $c > 0$ ,  $b > 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$(32) \quad T^b(q_0^b(c, t), c) \geq T(q_0(c, t), c) \quad \text{for each } \omega \in \Omega.$$

Taking the expectations in (31) and (32) and substituting into (30) we see that  $D \geq 0$  for every  $c > 0$  and  $b > 0$ . ■

Proof of Theorem 3. We prove the version in brackets; the proof of the version without brackets is similar. Using Lemma 3, Lemma 1(b) and Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\tilde{r}_0^0} \tilde{\Theta}(q_0(q_1(c, u), \tilde{t}_0^0)) &= E_{\tilde{r}_0^0} E(\tilde{\Theta}(q_0(q_1(c, u), \tilde{t}_0^0)) | \tilde{t}_0^0) \\ &\leq E_{\tilde{r}_0^0} \tilde{\Theta}(q_0(q_1(c, u), t_0^0)) \quad \text{for } c > 0, u > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now from Proposition 3 we obtain (see also (29))

$$\begin{aligned} (33) \quad \tilde{\Theta}(c) &= (1 - \exp(-\mu_1 R(c))) / v + \int_0^{R(c)} E_{\tilde{r}_0^0} \tilde{\Theta}(q_0(q_1(c, u), \tilde{t}_0^0)) \mu_1 \exp(-\mu_1 u) du \\ &\leq (1 - \exp(-\mu_1 R(c))) / v + \\ &\quad + \int_0^{R(c)} E_{\tilde{r}_0^0} \tilde{\Theta}(q_0(q_1(c, u), t_0^0)) \mu_1 \exp(-\mu_1 u) du = \Theta(c). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality in (33) follows from Proposition 3, i.e.  $\Theta(c)$  is uniquely determined by the function  $\bar{g}$  and the operator  $\bar{\psi}$ .

#### 4. Stationary behaviour.

**4.1. Invariant measures.** In this section we consider the model  $\{r^1, r^0, r_1, r_0\}$  and we give a sufficient condition for the existence of invariant probability measures  $N_a^+$  of the Markov chains  $X^a$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ . The considerations are based on the paper [1].

Let  $\sigma_0$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $X_0^1$ . Denote by  $P_x$  the conditional probability  $\Pr\{\cdot | \sigma_0\}$  evaluated on  $\{X_0^1 = x\}$  and by  $E_x$  the corresponding expectation.

Let  $Q^a$  be the operators defined by the transition probabilities for the Markov chains  $X^a$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ . Thus for  $f \in b\mathcal{B}_0$  we have from (6)

$$(34) \quad Q^a f(x) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(q_{1-a}(q_a(x, u), v)) dH_a(u) dH_{1-a}(v), \quad a = 1, 0.$$

Let  $\beta_0 = \inf_{x \geq 0} r_0(x)$  and  $\beta_1 = \sup_{x \geq 0} r_1(x)$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *If the function  $r_1$  is continuous non-decreasing in  $\mathcal{R}_+$  and  $r_0$  is continuous non-increasing in  $\mathcal{R}_+$  and if  $\beta_0/\mu_0 < \beta_1/\mu_1$  then there exist invariant measures  $N_a^+$  such that  $N_a^+(R_0) = 1$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ .*

**Proof.** We prove the existence of the invariant measure  $N_0^+$ . Then the existence of  $N_1^+$  follows from (6).

Choose  $c_0$  such that  $\beta_0 < c_0 < \beta_1 \mu_0/\mu_1$  and define  $\mathcal{D}_0 = \{x: r_0(x) < c_0\}$ . Because  $r_0$  is positive continuous non-increasing, the set  $\mathcal{D}_0$  is non-empty and it is of the form  $(d_0, \infty)$ . In addition, if  $x \in \mathcal{D}_0$  then from (2) we have

$$(35) \quad q_0(x, t) < x + c_0 t, \quad t > 0.$$

Let us choose  $c_1$  such that  $c_0 \mu_1/\mu_0 < c_1 < \beta_1$  and define  $\mathcal{D}_1 = \{x: r_1(x) > c_1\}$ . The set  $\mathcal{D}_1$  is also non-empty and it is of the form  $(d_1, \infty)$ . In addition, if  $q_1(x, t) \in \mathcal{D}_1$  then from (1) we have

$$(36) \quad q_1(x, t) < x - c_1 t, \quad t > 0.$$

Consider the set  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_0 \cap \mathcal{D}_1$ . If  $d = \max(d_0, d_1)$  then  $\mathcal{D} = (d, \infty)$ . Let us define the chain  $S$  by the formula

$$S_0 = X_0^0, \quad S_{m+1} = S_m + c_0 t_m^0 - c_1 t_{m+1}^1, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

Let also

$$U = \min_{m \geq 0} \{m: S_m \notin \mathcal{D}\}, \quad V = \min_{m \geq 0} \{m: X_m^0 \notin \mathcal{D}\}.$$

It follows from (35) and (36) that for fixed  $\omega \in \Omega$  we have: if  $X_0^0, X_1^0, \dots, X_m^0 > d$  then  $X_1^1, X_2^1, \dots, X_{m+1}^1 > d$  and

$$X_m^0 < X_{m-1}^0 + c_0 t_{m-1}^0 - c_1 t_m^1.$$

Hence, if  $X_0^0, X_1^0, \dots, X_m^0 > d$  then  $S_m > d$  and we obtain

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} \{V > m\} &= \{X_0^0 > d, X_1^0 > d, \dots, X_m^0 > d\} \subset \{S_0 > d, S_1 > d, \dots, S_m > d\} \\ &= \{U > m\}. \end{aligned}$$

Because the random variables  $t_m^a$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \dots$  are independent then the chain  $S$  forms a random walk. The constants  $c_a$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ , have been chosen such that

$$E_x \{S_{m+1} - S_m\} = c_0/\mu_0 - c_1/\mu_1 < 0.$$

Therefore the random walk  $S$  drifts to  $-\infty$  and for each  $x \geq 0$  we have  $E_x(U) < \infty$ .

Define the operator  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}f(x) = Q^0(I_{\mathcal{D}}f)(x)$  for each  $f \in b\mathcal{B}_0$ . From (37) we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}^n 1(x) = E_x(V) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_x \{V > n\} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_x \{U > n\} = E_x(U).$$

Thus we have  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}^n 1(x) < \infty$  for each  $x \geq 0$ . Now the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem (4.4) in [1] allow to prove the existence and finiteness of  $N_0^+$ .

**4.2. Relations.** Assume that the functions  $r_a$  are continuous in  $\mathcal{R}_+$  and that the Markov chains  $X^a$  have the unique invariant probability measures  $N_a^+$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ . Now we investigate the relations between the stationary distributions of the content process and the invariant measures  $N_a^+$ . If the distances  $t_m^1$  or  $t_m^0$  are exponential then these relations turn out to be simple. Moreover, if  $t_m^1$  are exponential, we find forms of both stationary distributions and invariant measures.

In order to obtain the relations we use the paper [3] which deals with extended piecewise Markov processes. An attentive look at the definition of such processes given in [3] allows to find that the content process  $X$  defined by (7) is an extended piecewise Markov process with regenerative moments  $\tau_{2m+a}$ . On the interval  $[\tau_{2m+a}, \tau_{2m+a+1})$  ( $m, a$  fixed) it is a Markov process with transition probabilities  $P_a$  as follows:

$$(38) \quad P_a(t, x, A) = I_A(q_{1-a}(x, t)), \quad t, x \geq 0, A \in \mathcal{B}_0.$$

Thus we have

**PROPOSITION 4.** *The content process  $X$  defined by (7) is an extended piecewise Markov process on  $(\Omega, \sigma, \text{Pr})$  valued in  $(\mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{B}_0)$  whose trajectories are continuous.*

The process  $X$  is a simple example of an extended piecewise Markov process; the distributions of the distances  $t_m^a$  between regenerative moments depend only on  $a$  and not on the state  $X(\tau_{2m+a})$ . Also the transition probabilities  $P_a$  are simple and do not depend on  $X(\tau_{2m+a})$ . Further at the moments  $\tau_{2m+a}$  there are no jumps of  $X$  and there are no contractions of the output phase.

The continuity of the trajectories of  $X$  follows from the continuity of  $q_a$  with respect to  $t$  and from the lack of jumps at the regenerative moments.

Let  $\mathcal{B}_1$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all subsets of the set  $\{0, 1\}$  and let  $\bar{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}_0 \times \mathcal{R}_+ \times \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}_0 \times \mathcal{B}_+ \times \mathcal{B}_1$ . Introduce the Markov process  $\bar{X}$  by the formula

$$\bar{X}(t) = [X(t), Z(t), \alpha(t)], \quad t \geq 0,$$

valued in  $(\bar{\mathcal{B}}, \bar{\mathcal{B}})$ , where  $X$  is the content process,  $Z(t) = \tau_{2m+a+1} - t$ ,  $\alpha(t) = a$  when  $t \in [\tau_{2m+a}, \tau_{2m+a+1})$ ,  $a = 0, 1$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \dots$ . The component  $Z(t)$  is called *residual-time process* and the component  $\alpha(t)$  is called *alternating process*. Since both the transition probabilities  $P_a$  and the distributions  $H_a$  do not depend on the state  $X(\tau_{2m+a})$ , the extended Markov process  $\bar{X}$  is here simplified.

It is known that the transition probabilities  $\bar{P}$  of the Markov process  $\bar{X}$  induce the semi-group of contraction operators  $\{\bar{P}_t, t \geq 0\}$ . Assume that  $\bar{X}$  is stationary. Then its stationary probability distribution  $\bar{N}$  is an invariant measure for  $\{\bar{P}_t, t \geq 0\}$ . We assume that  $\bar{N}$  is unique.

Introduce the notation:  $\mathcal{A}(P_a)$  for the infinitesimal operator induced by the transition probabilities  $P_a$ ,

$$N_a(A) = \bar{N}(A \times \mathcal{R}_+ \times \{a\}),$$

$$N_a(x) = N_a([0, x]), \quad N_a^+(x) = N_a^+([0, x]), \quad a = 1, 0.$$

Assume that there exist the derivatives

$$n_a(x) = \frac{d}{dx} N_a(x) \quad \text{and} \quad n_a^+(x) = \frac{d}{dx} N_a^+(x), \quad x > 0,$$

and that  $N_0^+(0) > 0$ .

LEMMA 4. *The marginal measures  $N_a$  and the invariant probability measures  $N_a^+$  fulfil the relations*

$$(39) \quad N_{1-a}(A) = v \int_{0-}^{\infty} N_a^+(du) \int_0^{\infty} P_{1-a}(t, u, A) (1 - H_a(t)) dt,$$

$$(40) \quad \mathcal{A}(P_a) N_a(A) = v(N_a^+(A) - N_{1-a}^+(A)),$$

$$(41) \quad r_{1-a}(x) n_a(x) = v(N_0^+(x) - N_1^+(x)), \quad x > 0, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}_0, \quad a = 1, 0.$$

Proof. In virtue of Theorems 2 and 3 in [3] it suffices to verify that for each function  $f$  on  $\mathcal{R}_0$  real continuous vanishing at infinity and for  $a = 1, 0$

$$(42) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \geq 0} \left| \int_{0-}^{\infty} f(u) P_a(t, x, du) - f(x) \right| = 0.$$

By (38) we have

$$(43) \quad \int_{0-}^{\infty} f(u) P_a(t, x, du) = f(q_{1-a}(x, t)).$$

Using (1) and (2) we obtain

$$q_a(x, t) = x + (-1)^a \int_0^t r_a(q_a(x, u)) du.$$

Hence  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} q_a(x, t) = x$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(q_a(x, t)) = f(x)$  uniformly on  $\mathcal{R}_0$ . Thus by (43) we have (42).

Now using Theorem 2 (b) from [3] we obtain (39) and using Theorem 3 from [3] we obtain (40).

Since the transition probabilities  $P_a$  are simple, it is easy to compute

$$\mathcal{A}(P_a)N_a((x, \infty)) = (-1)^a r_{1-a}(x) \frac{d}{dx} N_a((x, \infty)).$$

Hence, substituting this into (40) for  $A = (x, \infty)$  and passing to the  $N_a(x)$ , we obtain (41).

**THEOREM 5.** *The marginal measures  $N_a$  and the invariant probability measures  $N_a^+$  fulfil the relations*

$$(44) \quad N_1(x) = v \int_{0^-}^x N_0^+(du) \int_0^{R_0(x)-R_0(u)} (1-H_0(t)) dt, \quad x \geq 0,$$

$$(45) \quad N_0(x) = v \int_0^\infty N_1^+(du) \int_x^\infty (1-H_1(t)) dt, \quad x \geq 0,$$

$$(46) \quad n_1(x) = v \int_x^\infty (1-H_1(R_1(u)-R_1(x)))/r_0(x) dN_1^+(u), \quad x > 0,$$

$$(47) \quad n_0(x) = v \int_{0^-}^\infty (1-H_0(R_0(x)-R_0(u)))/r_1(x) dN_0^+(u), \quad x > 0,$$

where  $\kappa = \max(0, R_1(u) - R_1(x))$ .

*Proof.* Substituting (38) into (39) for  $A = [0, x]$  we get (44) and (45). Further by (6) we have

$$N_1^+(x) = \Pr \{q_0(X_m^0, t_m^0) \leq x\} = \int_{0^-}^x H_0(R_0(x)-R_0(u)) dN_0^+(u),$$

$$N_0^+(x) = \Pr \{q_1(X_m^1, t_m^1) \leq x\} = \int_0^\infty (1-H_1(R_1(u)-R_1(x))) dN_1^+(u).$$

Substituting these relations into (41) we get (46) and (47). ■

Assuming that  $t_m^1$  or  $t_m^0$  are exponential, we obtain simple relations between  $N_0$  and  $N_0^+$  or between  $N_1$  and  $N_1^+$ , respectively.

**THEOREM 6.** *For fixed  $a = 1, 0$ , if  $H_a(u) = 1 - \exp(-\mu_a u)$ ,  $u \geq 0$ , then*

$$(48) \quad N_{1-a}(x) = (v/\mu_a) N_{1-a}^+(x), \quad x \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* For exponentially distributed  $t_m^a$  we can transform (39) to the form

$$(49) \quad \begin{aligned} N_{1-a}(A) &= v \int_0^\infty \exp(-\mu_a t) dt \int_{0^-}^\infty N_a^+(du) P_{1-a}(t, u, A) \\ &= v \mathcal{R}_{\mu_a}(P_{1-a}) N_a^+(A), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(P_a)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , denotes the resolvent induced by  $P_a$ . It is known from

the theory of semi-groups of contraction operators that  $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(P_a) = (\lambda I - \mathcal{A}(P_a))^{-1}$ , where  $I$  is the identity operator. Thus we have

$$(50) \quad \mu_a \mathcal{R}_{\mu_a}(P_{1-a}) N_a^+(A) - \mathcal{A}(P_{1-a}) \mathcal{R}_{\mu_a}(P_{1-a}) N_a^+(A) = N_a^+(A).$$

From (50), (49) and (40) we get (48). ■

Relations (46)–(48) allow to find the forms of the distributions  $N_a^+$  and  $N_a$ . For  $t_m^1$  exponential we obtain the results formulated in Theorem 7.

Let us define the non-negative kernel  $K$  and its iterates

$$K(x, y) = \mu_1 (1 - H_0(R_0(x) - R_0(y))) / r_1(x),$$

$$K^{*(n+1)}(x, y) = \int_y^x K^{*n}(x, u) K(u, y) du = \int_y^x K(x, u) K^{*n}(u, y) du,$$

$$0 \leq y < x < \infty, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \text{where } K^{*1} = K.$$

Using the obvious bound  $K(x, y) \leq \mu_1 / r_1(x)$ , it is easy to verify that

$$(51) \quad K^{*(n+1)}(x, y) \leq (\mu_1^{n+1} / (r_1(x) n!)) (R_1(x) - R_1(y))^n$$

$$0 \leq y < x < \infty, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus the kernel  $K^*(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K^{*n}(x, y)$  is well defined and satisfies

$$K^*(x, y) \leq \mu_1 \exp(\mu_1 R_1(x) - R_1(y)) / r_1(x), \quad 0 \leq y < x < \infty.$$

Moreover we introduce the notation

$$k = 1 / (1 + \int_0^{\infty} K^*(u, 0) du),$$

$$K_0(x, y) = \mu_0 (1 - H_0(R_0(x) - R_0(y))) / r_0(x).$$

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $H_1(u) = 1 - \exp(-\mu_1 u)$ ,  $u \geq 0$ , and if  $\int_0^{\infty} K^*(u, 0) du < \infty$  then the distributions  $N_a$ ,  $N_a^+$ ,  $a = 1, 0$ , have the form*

$$(52) \quad N_0^+(x) = k (1 + \int_0^x K^*(u, 0) du),$$

$$(53) \quad N_0(x) = (v / \mu_1) N_0^+(x),$$

$$(54) \quad N_1^+(x) = k (H_0(R_0(x)) + \int_0^x H_0(R_0(x) - R_0(u)) K^*(u, 0) du),$$

$$(55) \quad N_1(x) = (vk / \mu_0) (\int_0^x K_0(u, 0) du + \int_0^x \int_0^y K_0(y, u) K^*(u, 0) du dy),$$

$x \geq 0$ .



Proof. Substituting (48) with  $a = 1$  into (47) we have the equation

$$(56) \quad n_0^+(x) = K(x, 0) N_0^+(\{0\}) + \int_0^x K(x, u) n_0^+(u) du, \quad x > 0.$$

Hence, iterating (56)  $n-1$  times we obtain

$$(57) \quad n_0^+(x) = N_0^+(\{0\}) \sum_{j=1}^n K^{*j}(x, 0) + \int_0^x K^{*n}(x, u) n_0^+(u) du, \quad x > 0.$$

Using (51) and the bounded convergence, letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (57) we establish that the unique solution of (56) is  $n_0^+(x) = N_0^+(\{0\}) K^*(x, 0)$ . It is a density iff  $\int_0^\infty K^*(u, 0) du < \infty$ . Thus we have (52) and by (48) we have also (53). The formula (54) follows easily from (52) and (6). The distribution  $N_1$  is obtained substituting (52) and (54) into (40) with  $a = 1$ . In addition we can verify that  $N_1(\infty) + N_0(\infty) = 1$ .

#### References

- [1] E. Çinlar, *On dams with continuous semi-Markovian inputs*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 35 (1971), p. 434-448.
- [2] D. P. Gaver and R. G. Miller, Jr., *Limiting distributions for some storage problems*, in: *Studies in applied probability and management science* (edited by Arrow, Karlin and Scarf), Stanford University Press, 1962, p. 100-126.
- [3] Maria Jankiewicz, *Extended piecewise Markov processes in continuous time*, Zastos. Mat. 16 (1978), p. 175-195.
- [4] B. Kopociński, *Elementary properties of dam processes*, Systems Sci. 8 (1982), p. 45-52.
- [5] —, *Some properties of the emptiness time of a dam*, Probab. Math. Statist. 4 (1983), p. 117-121.
- [6] R. G. Miller, Jr., *Continuous time stochastic storage processes with random linear inputs and outputs*, J. Math. Mech. 12 (1963), p. 275-291.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE  
UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW  
50-384 WROCLAW

Received on 1984.09.28

---