

**A CRITICAL GROWTH RATE FOR HARMONIC AND
SUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS IN THE OPEN BALL IN \mathbf{R}^n**

BY

KRZYSZTOF SAMOTIJ (WROCLAW)

1. Introduction and main results. This paper presents certain technique of investigation of properties of harmonic or subharmonic functions h in the open unit ball in \mathbf{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) that have restricted growth in the sense that

$$\sup \{h(x) \mid |x| = r\} \leq Ck(r) \quad (C = \text{const}) \text{ for some fixed function } k.$$

We assume that k is defined in $[0, 1)$ and continuous, positive, nondecreasing and unbounded therein.

In the following $B_n = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid |x| < 1\}$ ($|x|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of x), n is always an integer greater than or equal to 2. P_n denotes the Poisson kernel:

$$P_n(x, y) = \frac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - y|^n}, \quad |x| < 1, |y| = 1.$$

Here are the main results of the paper.

THEOREM 1. Let $\int_0^1 \left(\frac{k(r)}{1-r}\right)^{1/n} dr = +\infty$ and let $M > 0$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $e \in \partial B_n$ be arbitrary. Then there exists a nonnegative, continuous function h in \bar{B}_n that is harmonic in B_n and satisfies the following conditions:

(a) $|h(x) - MP_n(x, e)| \leq \varepsilon$, whenever $|x| < 1 - \varepsilon$

and

(b) $h(x) - MP_n(x, e) \leq \varepsilon k(|x|)$, for every $x \in B_n$.

Since every nonpositive harmonic function h in B_n can be expressed in the form:

$$h(x) = \int_{\partial B_n} [-P_n(x, y)] \nu(dy), \quad x \in B_n,$$

where ν is some nonnegative Borel measure on ∂B_n , the following corollary easily follows.

COROLLARY. If $\int_0^1 \left(\frac{k(r)}{1-r}\right)^{1/n} dr = +\infty$ and u is a bounded from above harmonic function in B_n , then for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists a continuous function h in \bar{B}_n that is harmonic in B_n and satisfies the following conditions:

(a') $|h(x) + u(x)| \leq \varepsilon$, if $|x| < 1 - \varepsilon$,

and

(b') $h(x) + u(x) \leq \varepsilon \cdot k(|x|)$, whenever $x \in B_n$.

Theorem 2 says a little more than a converse to Theorem 1. Theorem 2' is a "subharmonic" version of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 2 (THEOREM 2'). If $\int_0^1 \left(\frac{k(r)}{1-r}\right)^{1/n} dr < +\infty$, then

(a) for every $C > 0$

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{e \in \partial B_n, C' > 0} \{h(0) - MP_n(0, e) \mid h \text{ (sub-)harmonic in } B_n, h(x) \leq C'k(|x|)$$

$$\text{and } h(x) - MP_n(x, e) \leq Ck(|x|) \text{ for every } x \in B_n\} = -\infty,$$

(b) for every $C > 0$ and $M > 0$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{e \in \partial B_n, C' > 0} \{h(0) - MP_n(0, e) \mid h \text{ (sub-)harmonic in } B_n, h(x) - MP_n(x, e) < \varepsilon$$

$$\text{if } |x| < 1 - \varepsilon, h(x) - MP_n(x, e) < Ck(|x|) \text{ and } h(x) < C'k(|x|) \text{ for all } x \in B_n\}$$

$$= -M.$$

From the celebrated Riesz's representation theorem for subharmonic functions it follows that for every function v ($v \not\equiv -\infty$) subharmonic in B_n :

$$\int_{B_n} (1 - |x|) \mu(dx) < \infty$$

(where $\mu = \Delta v$) iff v has a harmonic majorant. Theorem 3 says that if v is a subharmonic function in B_n , $v \not\equiv -\infty$ and

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\max(\{0\} \cup \{v(x) \mid |x| = r\})}{1-r}\right)^{1/n} dr < +\infty,$$

then

$$\int_D (1 - |x|) \mu(dx) < +\infty \quad (\mu = \Delta v)$$

for every region $D \subset B_n$ that touches ∂B_n nontangentially. Theorem 3 says also that the condition

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\max(\{0\} \cup \{v(x) \mid |x| = r\})}{1-r}\right)^{1/n} dr < +\infty$$

cannot be relaxed.

THEOREM 3. (a) If $\int_0^1 \left(\frac{k(r)}{1-r}\right)^{1/n} dr < +\infty$ and $v \neq 0$ is a function subharmonic in B_n such that $v(x) \leq k(|x|)$ for every $x \in B_n$, then for every $\alpha > 0$ and $e \in \partial B_n$:

$$\int_{G(e,\alpha)} (1-|x|) \mu(dx) < +\infty,$$

where $G(e, \alpha) = \{|x| \in B_n \mid 1-|x| > \alpha\varphi(x, e)\}$ and $\mu = \Delta v$, $\varphi(x, e)$ defined in part 2.

(b) If $\int_0^1 \left(\frac{k(r)}{1-r}\right)^{1/n} dr = +\infty$, then for every $e \in B_n$ there exists a function subharmonic in B_n such that $v(x) \leq k(|x|)$ for every $x \in B_n$, and

$$\int_{(0,e)} (1-|x|) \mu(dx) = \infty,$$

where $\mu = \Delta v$ and $(0, e) = \{te \mid t \in (0, 1)\}$, and v is harmonic in $B_n \setminus (0, e)$. v can be chosen in such a manner that Δv is a discrete measure with all its atoms having masses of integer multiplicities of any prescribed positive number.

For $n = 2$ these theorems are closely related to the problem of description of closed ideals in topological algebras

$$A_\infty(k) = \{f \mid f \text{ holomorphic function in } \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}, \\ |f(z)| \leq C_f \exp(a_f k(|z|))\}$$

(for topology on $A_\infty(k)$ see [5] or [7]). To each theorem proved here there corresponds, via the correspondence $f \rightarrow \log |f|$, a theorem about $A_\infty(k)$.

Theorem 1 implies, by a standard argument, that if $\int_0^1 \sqrt{\frac{k(r)}{1-r}} dr = \infty$, then for every nonvanishing, bounded function $f \in A_\infty(k)$ there exists a sequence of polynomials $\{W_n\}$ such that $W_n f$ tends to $f_0 \equiv 1$ in $A_\infty(k)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, the convergence implied by Theorem 1 is stronger than that. This result is related to Apresjan's result from [1] saying that, under some regularity conditions imposed on k , the condition $\int_0^1 \sqrt{\frac{k(r)}{1-r}} dr = +\infty$ implies that every closed ideal in $A_\infty(k)$ is local.

Theorem 2' implies that if $\int_0^1 \sqrt{\frac{k(r)}{1-r}} dr < \infty$, then the closed ideal generated in $A_\infty(k)$ by the function $f(z) = \exp\left(\frac{z+1}{z-1}\right)$ is not equal to the whole of $A_\infty(k)$. This result was, under some regularity conditions (which we do not need in our proof), derived by Nikol'skiĭ in [7] from a Beurling's result ([2]). Our proof is much simpler than that in [7].

Theorem 3 implies a result due to Hayman and Korenblum ([3]), saying that the condition $\int_0^1 \sqrt{\frac{k(r)}{1-r}} dr < \infty$ implies that if $f \in A_\infty(k)$ and S is any Stolz angle in $\{z \mid |z| < 1\}$, then $\sum (1 - |z_j|) < \infty$, where the summation extends over zeros z_j of f lying in S ; and that if $\int_0^1 \sqrt{\frac{k(r)}{1-r}} dr = \infty$, then there is a function $f \in A_\infty(k)$ such that $\sum (1 - \rho_j) = \infty$, where ρ_j 's are positive zeros of f . It seems that our proof of this result is simpler than that of [3], since it does not use a pretty advanced conformal mapping technique employed in [3]. Proofs presented here are elementary and they use nothing but basic properties of Poisson kernel and of Green function of the ball. Most of them are listed in lemmas: 2, 3, 10 and 11. Lemma 6 that relates Poisson kernel to the function k is interesting by itself. The idea of this lemma was communicated to me by Professor B. Korenblum.

2. Notation and basic lemmas. The angle $\varphi(x, y)$ between two nonzero elements $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined, as usual, to be the unique number $\varphi(x, y)$ for which $0 \leq \varphi(x, y) \leq \pi$ and $\cos \varphi(x, y) = \frac{(x, y)}{|x||y|}$, where (x, y) is the inner product of x and y , and $|x| = \sqrt{(x, x)}$ is the Euclidean norm of x . σ_n denotes the normalized surface measure on ∂B_n , i.e. the unique rotation invariant Borel measure σ on ∂B_n such that $\sigma(\partial B_n) = 1$.

For $x \neq y$ the function:

$$g_n(x, y) = \begin{cases} \log \frac{|x-y'| |y|}{|x-y|} & \text{if } y \neq 0, n = 2, \\ \log(1/|x|) & \text{if } y = 0, n = 2, \\ |x-y|^{2-n} - \{|y| |x-y'\}|^{2-n} & \text{if } y \neq 0, n \geq 3, \\ |x|^{2-n} - 1 & \text{if } y = 0, n \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

where $y' = y/|y|^2$, is the Green function of B_n .

For k introduced in the introduction we set

$$J_n(k) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{k(r)}{1-r} \right)^{1/n} dr.$$

We list below a few elementary lemmas that we will need in the paper. These lemmas are trivial; therefore we omit the proofs.

LEMMA 1. *There are positive constants a_n and b_n depending only on n such that for every nonnegative measurable function g defined in $[0, \pi/2]$ and for every $e \in \partial B_n$ we have:*

$$a_n \int_0^{\pi/2} g(\varphi) \varphi^{n-2} d\varphi \leq \int_{\{y \in \partial B_n \mid \varphi(y, e) \leq \pi/2\}} g(\varphi(y, e)) \sigma_n(dy) \leq b_n \int_0^{\pi/2} g(\varphi) \varphi^{n-2} d\varphi.$$

Notice that integrals in the above lemma may have infinite values.

LEMMA 2. Let $e \in \partial B_n$ and $0 < 1 - |x| \leq \frac{\varphi(x, e)}{2\pi}$. Then

$$2^{-n-1} P_n(x, e) \leq (1 - |x|) [\varphi(x, e)]^{-n} \leq 2^n P_n(x, e).$$

LEMMA 3. Let $e \in \partial B_n$ and $0 < 1 - |x| \leq \varphi(x, e)/2\pi$. Then

$$\int_{\{y \in \partial B_n \mid \varphi(y, e) \leq \varphi(x, e)\}} P_n(x, y) \sigma_n(dy) \geq c_n,$$

where c_n is some positive constant depending only on n .

LEMMA 4. For every $\alpha \leq 1/2\pi$ there exists a number $d_\alpha > 0$ such that for any $e \in \partial B_n$:

$$|x - y| \geq d_\alpha |x - e|,$$

whenever $1 - |y| \geq \alpha \varphi(y, e)$ and $0 < 1 - |x| \leq \alpha \varphi(x, e)/2$.

In our considerations a function that we denote by ϱ_M is crucial. It is defined as follows. Let for every number $M \geq k(0)\pi^n$:

$$\varphi_M(\varrho) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{M(1-\varrho)}{k(\varrho)} \right]^{1/n} & \text{if } 0 \leq \varrho < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \varrho = 1. \end{cases}$$

φ_M is a "1-1", continuous mapping from $[0, 1]$ onto $\left[0, \left[\frac{M}{k(0)} \right]^{1/n} \right]$. Let $\varrho_M = \varphi_M^{-1}$. Notice that

$$(*) \quad \varphi^n k(\varrho_M(\varphi)) = M(1 - \varrho_M(\varphi)) \quad \text{for } \varphi \in (0, \pi].$$

3. Proofs of Theorems 1, 2 and 2'. We start with two lemmas that relate the integral $J_n(k)$ to a behavior of $k \circ \varrho_M \circ \varphi(\cdot, e)$.

LEMMA 5. If $J_n(k) < \infty$, then for any $M \geq k(0)\pi^n$

$$\lim_{\varphi \rightarrow 0^+} (1 - \varrho_M(\varphi))^{n-1} k(\varrho_M(\varphi)) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $M \geq k(0)\pi^n$. From the fact that $k(r)$ tends to ∞ as $r \rightarrow 1^-$ and from (*) it follows that $\varrho_M(\varphi) \rightarrow 1^-$ as $\varphi \rightarrow 0^+$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \underline{\lim}_{\varphi \rightarrow 0^+} (1 - \varrho_M(\varphi))^{n-1} k(\varrho_M(\varphi)) \leq \overline{\lim}_{\varphi \rightarrow 0^+} (1 - \varrho_M(\varphi))^{n-1} k(\varrho_M(\varphi)) \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_{\varrho \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - \varrho)^{n-1} k(\varrho). \end{aligned}$$

The last limit is equal to 0 whenever $J_n(k) < +\infty$ since

$$0 \leq [(1 - \varrho)^{n-1} k(\varrho)]^{1/n} = \frac{n-1}{n} \int_{\varrho}^1 (1-r)^{-1/n} dr k(\varrho)^{1/n} \leq \frac{n-1}{n} \int_{\varrho}^1 \left(\frac{k(r)}{1-r} \right)^{1/n} dr.$$

LEMMA 6. For any $M \geq k(0)\pi^n$, and any $e \in \partial B_n$:

$$\int k(\varrho_M(\varphi(y, e))) \sigma_n(dy) \leq 2b_n M^{(n-1)/n} J_n(k).$$

Moreover, if $k(r) \leq C(1-r)^{1-n}$ for some $C > 0$ and all $r \in [0, 1)$, and

$$\int_{B_n} k(\varrho_M(\varphi(y, e))) \sigma_n(dy) < \infty \quad \text{for some } M,$$

then

$$J_n(k) < \infty.$$

Proof. The first part of the lemma is trivial if $J_n(k) = \infty$. Assume then that $J_n(k) < \infty$. Then for any $M \geq k(0)\pi^n$ and any $e \in \partial B_n$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int k(\varrho_M(\varphi(y, e))) \sigma_n(dy) &\leq 2b_n \int_0^{\pi/2} k(\varrho_M(\varphi)) \varphi^{n-2} d\varphi \\ &= 2b_n \int_0^{\pi/2} M(1-\varrho_M(\varphi)) d(-1/\varphi) = 2b_n \left\{ -M \frac{1-\varrho_M(\varphi)}{\varphi} \Big|_0^{\pi/2} + M \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{\varphi} d(\varrho_M(\varphi)) \right\} \\ &= 2b_n \left\{ -M^{(n-1)/n} (1-\varrho_M(\varphi))^{n-1} k(\varrho_M(\varphi))^{1/n} \Big|_0^{\pi/2} + M^{(n-1)/n} \int_{\varrho_M(\pi/2)}^1 \left(\frac{k(r)}{1-r} \right)^{1/n} dr \right\} \\ &\leq 2b_n M^{(n-1)/n} J_n(k). \end{aligned}$$

The inequalities follow from Lemma 1, (*), and Lemma 5, respectively.

To prove the second part of the lemma assume that $k(r) \leq C(1-r)^{1-n}$ for some $C > 0$ and

$$\int_{\partial B_n} k(\varrho_M(\varphi(y, e))) \sigma_n(dy) < \infty \quad \text{for some } M \geq k(0)\pi^n, e \in \partial B_n.$$

By Lemma 1 the latter implies that

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} k(\varrho_M(\varphi)) \varphi^{n-2} d\varphi < \infty.$$

Now, let $\delta \in (0, \pi/2)$ be arbitrary. A similar calculation as in the first part of the proof yields:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\delta}^{\pi/2} k(\varrho_M(\varphi)) \varphi^{n-2} d\varphi \\ &= -M^{(n-1)/n} \left[(1-\varrho_M(\varphi))^{n-1} k(\varrho_M(\varphi)) \right]^{1/n} \Big|_{\delta}^{\pi/2} + M^{(n-1)/n} \int_{\varrho_M(\pi/2)}^{\varrho_M(\delta)} \left(\frac{k(r)}{1-r} \right)^{1/n} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (*)

$$\int_{\varrho_M(\pi/2)}^{\varrho_M(\delta)} \left(\frac{k(r)}{1-r}\right)^{1/n} dr \leq M^{(1-n)/n} \int_0^{\pi/2} k(\varrho_M(\varphi)) \varphi^{n-2} d\varphi + 2C^{1/n}.$$

Since $\varrho_M(\delta) \rightarrow 1^-$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$, letting $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ in the above inequality we obtain

$$\int_{\varrho_M(\pi/2)}^1 \left(\frac{k(r)}{1-r}\right)^{1/n} dr < \infty.$$

And since $(k(r)/(1-r))^{1/n}$ is an increasing function of r :

$$J_n(k) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{k(r)}{1-r}\right)^{1/n} dr < \infty.$$

The next lemma shows how the condition $k(r) \leq C(1-r)^{1-n}$ can be detoured.

LEMMA 7. *If for every $r \in (0, 1)$ there is $r' \in (r, 1)$ such that $k(r') = (1-r')^{1-n}$, then*

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\min\{k(r), (1-r)^{1-n}\}}{1-r}\right)^{1/n} dr = \infty.$$

Proof. Let $0 = r_0 < r_1 < r_2 < \dots < 1$ be such that $k(r_j) = (1-r_j)^{1-n}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\lim_j r_j = 1$. Let us define a function $p: [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by the following formula:

$$p(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r \in [0, r_1), \\ 1/(1-r_j) & \text{if } r \in [r_j, r_{j+1}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{\min\{k(r), (1-r)^{1-n}\}}{1-r}\right)^{1/n} = \min\left\{\left(\frac{k(r)}{1-r}\right)^{1/n}, \frac{1}{1-r}\right\} \geq p(r) \geq 0,$$

since $(k(r)/(1-r))^{1/n}$ and $1/(1-r)$ are both increasing functions of r .

Therefore

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\min\{k(r), (1-r)^{1-n}\}}{1-r}\right)^{1/n} dr \geq \int_0^1 p(r) dr = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1-r_{j+1}}{1-r_j}\right).$$

But the last series is divergent, since

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1-r_{j+1}}{1-r_j}\right) = \lim_j \left(\frac{1-r_j}{1-r_1}\right) = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. We will first prove the following:

CLAIM. *If $J_n(k) = \infty$ and $M \geq (2\pi)^n$, then for each $e \in \partial B_n$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$*

there exists a function h that is defined and continuous in \bar{B}_n and harmonic in B_n , such that

$$(a') |h(x) - MP_n(x, e)| \leq \varepsilon \text{ whenever } |x| \leq 1 - \varepsilon$$

and

$$(b') h(x) - MP_n(x, e) \leq (2^{2n+1} + 1)k(|x|) \text{ for each } x \in B_n.$$

It is easy to see that the above claim implies Theorem 1.

Proof of the Claim. By Lemma 7, we may and do assume that $k(r) \leq (1-r)^{1-n}$. Let M, ε, e be as in the formulation of the Claim. Observe that, by (*), the conditions: $M \geq (2\pi)^n$ and $k(r) \leq (1-r)^{1-n}$ imply that $1 - \varrho_M(\varphi) \leq \varphi/2\pi$ for each $\varphi \in [0, \pi]$. Let $\delta > 0$ be such a number that for each $x \in B_n$ such that $|x| \leq 1 - \varepsilon$ and each $y \in \partial B_n$ such that $\varphi(y, e) < \delta$ we have

$$|P_n(x, y) - P_n(x, e)| < \varepsilon/2M.$$

Let $\eta > 0$ be such a number that

$$\eta P_n(x, e) < \varepsilon/2, \quad \text{whenever } |x| \leq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Let us construct a sequence $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ inductively putting first $\varphi_0 = \pi$, $\varphi_1 = \delta$, and if $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{j-1}$ are determined, let φ_j be such a number that $0 < \varphi_j \leq \varphi_{j-1}/2$ and $|P_n(x, e) - P_n(x, y)| \leq k(0)/M$ for each $y \in \partial B_n$ and $x \in B_n$ such that $\varphi(y, e) \leq \varphi_j$ and $\varphi(x, e) \geq \varphi_{j-1}$. Let f be a continuous nonnegative function defined in $[0, \pi]$, such that

- (i) $f(\varphi) = 0$ if $\varphi \geq \delta$,
- (ii) $\int_{\partial B_n} f(\varphi(y, e)) \sigma_n(dy) = M - \eta$,
- (iii) $\int_{\varphi_j \leq \varphi(y, e) \leq \varphi_{j-1}} f(\varphi(y, e)) \sigma_n(dy) \leq \eta/2^{j+2}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$,
- (iv) $f(\varphi) \leq \frac{\eta}{M \cdot 2^{2n+1}} k(\varrho_M(\varphi))$ for each $\varphi \in (0, \pi]$.

Existence of such an f follows from nonintegrability of $k(\varrho_M(\varphi(\cdot, e)))$ around e with respect to σ_n . That is assured by Lemma 6.

Let v be the Poisson integral of $f(\varphi(\cdot, e))$, i.e.

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{\partial B_n} f(\varphi(y, e)) P_n(x, y) \sigma_n(dy) & \text{if } x \in B_n, \\ f(\varphi(x, e)) & \text{if } x \in \partial B_n. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that v is a nonnegative function, continuous in \bar{B}_n and harmonic in B_n . We will verify that v satisfies (a') and (b').

Check of (a'). Let us assume that $|x| \leq 1 - \varepsilon$. Then, by (i) and (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} |v(x) - P_n(x, e)| &= |v(x) - (M - \eta) P_n(x, e) - \eta P_n(x, e)| \\ &\leq \int_{\varphi(y, e) \leq \delta} f(\varphi(y, e)) |P_n(x, y) - P_n(x, e)| \sigma_n(dy) + \eta P_n(x, e) \\ &\leq (M - \eta) \frac{\varepsilon}{2M} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Check of (b'). First we will show that for each $x \in B_n$ such that $|x| = \varrho_M(\varphi(x, e))$ we have $v(x) - M P_n(x, e) \leq k(0)$. Let $|x| = \varrho_M(\varphi(x, e))$, $x \neq e$, and let $j_0 = \min \{j \mid \varphi_j \leq \varphi(x, e)\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) - M \cdot P_n(x, e) &= \int_{\varphi(y, e) \geq \varphi(x, e)/2} f(\varphi(y, e)) P_n(x, y) \sigma_n(dy) - (\eta/2) P_n(x, e) \\ &\quad + \int_{\varphi_{j_0+1} \leq \varphi(y, e) \leq \varphi(x, e)/2} f(\varphi(y, e)) P_n(x, y) \sigma_n(dy) - (\eta/2) P_n(x, e) \\ &\quad + \int_{0 \leq \varphi(y, e) \leq \varphi_{j_0+1}} f(\varphi(y, e)) P_n(x, y) \sigma_n(dy) - (M - \eta) P_n(x, e) \\ &= A_1 + A_2 + A_3. \end{aligned}$$

But by (iv), (*), and Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &\leq \sup \{f(\varphi(y, e)) \mid \varphi(y, e) \geq \varphi(x, e)/2\} - (\eta/2) P_n(x, e) \\ &\leq \frac{\eta}{2^{2n+1} M} k \left(\varrho_M \left(\frac{\varphi(x, e)}{2} \right) \right) - (\eta/2) P_n(x, e) \\ &\leq \frac{\eta}{2^{n+1}} \frac{1 - \varrho_M(\varphi(x, e))}{[\varphi(x, e)]^n} - (\eta/2) P_n(x, e) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also, by (iii),

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &\leq \int_{\varphi_{j_0+1} \leq \varphi(y, e) \leq \varphi(x, e)/2} f(\varphi(y, e)) \sigma_n(dy) \\ &\quad \times \max \{P_n(x, y) \mid y \in \partial B_n, \varphi(y, e) \leq \varphi(x, e)/2\} - (\eta/2) P_n(x, e) \\ &\leq \int_{\varphi_{j_0+1} \leq \varphi(y, 2) \leq \varphi_{j_0-1}} f(\varphi(y, e)) \sigma_n(dy) \cdot 2^n P_n(x, e) - (\eta/2) P_n(x, e) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
A_3 &\leq \int_{0 \leq \varphi(y, e) \leq \varphi_{j_0+1}} f(\varphi(y, e)) [P_n(x, y) - P_n(x, e)] \sigma_n(dy) \\
&\quad - \int_{\varphi(y, e) \geq \varphi_{j_0+1}} f(\varphi(y, e)) \sigma_n(dy) \cdot P_n(x, e) \\
&\leq \sup \{ |P_n(w, y) - P_n(w, e)| \mid y \in \partial B_n, \varphi(y, e) \leq \varphi_{j_0+1}, w \in B_n, \varphi(w, e) \geq \varphi_{j_0} \} \\
&\quad \times \int_{\varphi(y, e) \leq \varphi_{j_0+1}} f(\varphi(y, e)) \sigma_n(dy) \\
&\leq \frac{k(0)}{M} (M - \eta) < k(0).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence for each $x \neq e$ such that $|x| = \varrho_M(\varphi(x, e))$ we have

$$v(x) - MP_n(x, e) \leq k(0).$$

Since $v(x) - MP_n(x, e)$ is a bounded from above continuous function of x in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| \leq \varrho_M(\varphi(x, e))\} \setminus \{e\}$, this and the maximum principle give:

$$v(x) - MP_n(x, e) \leq k(0) \leq k(|x|) \leq (2^{2n+1} + 1)k(|x|),$$

whenever $|x| \leq \varrho_M(\varphi(x, e))$, $x \neq e$.

It remains to show that $v(x) - MP_n(x, e) \leq (2^{2n+1} + 1)k(|x|)$, whenever $1 > |x| \geq \varrho_M(\varphi(x, e))$. Let then x be such that $1 > |x| \geq \varrho_M(\varphi(x, e))$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
v(x) - MP_n(x, e) &\leq v(x) \\
&= \left(\int_{\varphi(y, e) \geq \varphi(x, e)/2} + \int_{\varphi(y, e) \leq \varphi(x, e)/2} \right) f(\varphi(y, e)) P_n(x, y) \sigma_n(dy) \\
&\leq \max \{ |f(\varphi(y, e))| \mid \varphi(y, e) \geq \varphi(x, e)/2 \} \\
&\quad + (M - \eta) \max \{ |P_n(x, y)| \mid \varphi(y, e) \leq \varphi(x, e)/2 \} \\
&\leq \frac{\eta}{2^{2n+1} M} k(\varrho_M(\varphi(x, e)/2)) + (M - \eta) \cdot 2^n P_n(x, e) \\
&\leq \frac{\eta}{2^{2n+1} M} 2^n k(\varrho_M(\varphi(x, e))) + 2^n \frac{M - \eta}{M} \cdot 2^{n+1} k(\varrho_M(\varphi(x, e))) \\
&\leq (2^{2n+1} + 1) k(\varrho_M(\varphi(x, e))) \leq (2^{2n+1} + 1) k(|x|).
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 8. Let $J_n(k) < \infty$, $M \geq \pi^n k(0)$, $e \in \partial B_n$. Let v be a subharmonic function in B_n such that $v(x) \leq Ck(|x|)$ for some constant $C > 0$ and each $x \in B_n$, and $v(x) \leq 0$ whenever $|x| = \varrho_M(\varphi(x, e))$, $x \neq e$. Then $v(0) \leq 0$.

Proof. Let the assumption of the lemma be satisfied. Let $r \in (\varrho_M(\pi/2), 1)$ be arbitrary. Let w_r denote the harmonic function in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| < r\}$ whose boundary values are equal to 0 in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| = r, \varphi(x, e) > \varphi_M(r)\}$ and to

$Ck(r)$ in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| = r, \varphi(x, e) < \varphi_M(r)\}$, where $\varphi_M(r)$ is such a number that $\varrho_M(\varphi_M(r)) = r$. Then from the maximum principle it follows that $v(0) \leq w_r(0)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} v(0) &\leq w_r(0) = Ck(r) \sigma_n(\{y \in \partial B_n \mid \varphi(y, e) \leq \varphi_M(r)\}) \\ &\leq C \cdot \int_{\varphi(y, e) \leq \varphi_M(r)} k(\varrho_M(\varphi(y, e))) \sigma_n(dy). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6, the last term tends to 0, as $r \rightarrow 1^-$, since $\varphi_M(r) \rightarrow 0^+$, as $r \rightarrow 1^-$. Hence $v(0) \leq 0$.

Theorem 2 and Theorem 2' are an immediate consequence of the following lemma.

LEMMA 9. If $J_n(k) < \infty$, then for each $M, M \geq (2\pi)^n \sup_r [k(r)(1-r)^{1-n}]$, each $e \in \partial B_n$ and each function v subharmonic in B_n such that $v(x) \leq Ck(|x|)$ and $v(x) - MP_n(x, e) \leq k(|x|)$ for some $C > 0$ and all $x \in B_n$, we have the inequality

$$v(0) \leq \frac{2b_n(2^{n+1} + 1)}{c_n} J_n(k) M^{(n-1)/n},$$

where b_n and c_n are constants from Lemmas 2 and 3.

Notice that, by Lemma 7, $J_n(k) < \infty$ implies $\sup_r [k(r)(1-r)^{1-n}] < \infty$.

Proof. Let k, M, e, v be such as in the formulation of the lemma. Note that the inequality $M \geq (2\pi)^n \sup_r [k(r)(1-r)^{1-n}]$ implies $1 - \varrho_M(\varphi) \leq \varphi/2\pi$ for each $\varphi \in [0, \pi]$. Since $v(x) - MP_n(x, e) \leq k(|x|)$ for each $x \neq e$ such that $|x| = \varrho_M(\varphi(x, e))$ we have

$$v(x) \leq k(|x|) + 2^{n+1} M(1 - |x|) [\varphi(x, e)]^{-n} = (2^{n+1} + 1)k(|x|).$$

Let for each $x \in B_n$

$$w(x) = \frac{2^{n+1} + 1}{c_n} \int_{\partial B_n} k(\varrho_M(\varphi(y, e))) \cdot P_n(x, y) \sigma_n(dy).$$

If $|x| = \varrho_M(\varphi(x, e))$, $x \neq e$, then, by Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(x) &\geq \frac{2^{n+1} + 1}{c_n} \inf \{k(\varrho_M(\varphi(y, e))) \mid \varphi(y, e) \leq \varphi(x, e)\} \int_{\varphi(y, e) \leq \varphi(x, e)} P_n(x, y) \sigma_n(dy) \\ &\geq (2^{n+1} + 1)k(|x|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for x as above: $v(x) - w(x) \leq 0$. Since $v(x) - w(x) \leq v(x) \leq Ck(|x|)$ in B_n , Lemma 8 implies: $v(0) - w(0) \leq 0$. But, by Lemma 6,

$$w(0) = \frac{2^{n+1} + 1}{c_n} \int k(\varrho_M(\varphi(y, e))) \sigma_n(dy) = \frac{2^{n+1} + 1}{c_n} \cdot 2b_n M^{(n-1)/n} J_n(k).$$

4. Proof of Theorem 3. Before we start the proof we give two lemmas that we will need in the proof.

LEMMA 10. For each $x, y \in B_n$, $x \neq y$:

$$g_n(x, y) \leq 4m_n \frac{(1-|x|)(1-|y|)}{|x-y|^n},$$

where

$$m_n = \begin{cases} n-2 & \text{if } n \geq 3, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Trivial.

LEMMA 11. Let $e \in \partial B_n$ and $x \in B_n$. Then

$$\lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow e \\ y \in B_n}} (1-|y|^2)^{-1} g_n(x, y) = (m_n/2) P_n(x, e),$$

where m_n is the constant from Lemma 10. The convergence is uniform on sets of the form $B_n \setminus V$, for each neighborhood V of e .

Proof. We skip the proof, since it is easy and the lemma itself is well known.

Proof of part (a) of Theorem 3. Let $J_n(k) < \infty$ and let v be as in the formulation of the part (a) of Theorem 3.

Suppose that

$$\int_{G(e, \alpha)} (1-|y|) \mu(dy) = \infty \quad \text{for some } e \in \partial B_n \text{ and } \alpha > 0 \ (\mu = \Delta v).$$

Since $G(e, \alpha_1) \subset G(e, \alpha_2)$, if $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$, we may and do assume that $\alpha < 1/2\pi$. We assume also that $v(0) \neq -\infty$. For, if this is not the case we can replace v by v_1 ,

$$v_1(x) = v(x) + \frac{1}{m_n \gamma_n} \int_{|y| \leq 1/4} g_n(x, y) \mu(dy), \quad \text{where } \gamma_n = 2\pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(n/2).$$

v_1 is subharmonic in B_n , $\Delta v_1 = \Delta v$ outside $\{y \mid |y| \leq 1/4\}$, $v_1(0) \neq -\infty$ and $v_1(x) \leq C'k(|x|)$ for some constant C' and each $x \in B_n$.

By our supposition

$$M(r) = \int_{G(e, \alpha) \cap \{|y| \leq r\}} (1-|y|) \mu(dy)$$

tends to $+\infty$ as $r \rightarrow 1^-$. Hence $1 - \varrho_{M(r)}(\varphi) \leq (\alpha/2)\varphi$ for each $\varphi \in [0, \pi]$ whenever r is close enough to 1, say, greater than some $r_0 < 1$. In the remaining part of the proof we assume that $r > r_0$.

Let us define a function u_r by the following formula:

$$u_r(x) = \frac{1}{m_n \gamma_n} \int_{G(e, \alpha) \cap \{|y| \leq r\}} g_n(x, y) \mu(dy), \quad x \in B_n,$$

γ_n as above. Notice that $v + u_r$ is subharmonic in B_n and $v(x) + u_r(x) \leq Ck(|x|)$

for some constant C and all $x \in B_n$. Now, let us define a harmonic function w_r in B_n letting

$$w_r(x) = \left(1 + \frac{4}{\gamma_n d_\alpha^n}\right) \frac{1}{c_n} \int k(\varrho_{M(r)}(\varphi(y, e))) P_n(x, y) \sigma_n(dy), \quad x \in B_n.$$

If $1 > |x| \geq \varrho_{M(r)}(\varphi(x, e))$, then using Lemmas 10 and 4,

$$\begin{aligned} u_r(x) &\leq \int_{G(e, \alpha) \cap \{|y| \leq r\}} \frac{4(1-|x|)(1-|y|)}{\gamma_n |x-y|^n} \mu(dy) \\ &\leq \frac{4M(r)(1-|x|)}{\gamma_n d_\alpha^n |x-e|^n} \leq \frac{4}{\gamma_n d_\alpha^n} k(|x|). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3, for the same x we have

$$w_r(x) \geq \left(1 + \frac{4}{\gamma_n d_\alpha^n}\right) k(|x|).$$

Hence for each such an x : $v(x) + u_r(x) - w_r(x) \leq 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 8 (since $v(x) + u_r(x) \leq Ck(|x|)$ and $w_r(x) \geq 0$ for each $x \in B_n$): $v(0) + u_r(0) - w_r(0) \leq 0$. But since $g_n(0, y) \geq m_n(1-|y|)$, we have $u_r(0) \geq M(r)/\gamma_n$ and, by Lemma 6

$$w_r(0) \leq \left(1 + \frac{4}{\gamma_n d_\alpha^n}\right) \cdot \frac{2b_n}{c_n} \cdot M(r)^{(n-1)/n} J_n(k).$$

Thus

$$v(0) + \frac{M(r)}{\gamma_n} - \frac{2b_n}{c_n} \left(1 + \frac{4}{\gamma_n d_\alpha^n}\right) J_n(k) M(r)^{(n-1)/n} \leq 0$$

for each r close enough to 1. This contradicts the fact that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} M(r) = +\infty$.

The contradiction completes the proof of part (a).

Proof of part (b) of Theorem 3. Assume $J_n(k) = +\infty$. Let $a > 0$, $e \in B_n$ be arbitrary. Let, for each integer $j \geq 1$, h_j be a function continuous in \bar{B}_n , harmonic in B_n and such that

$$|h_j(x) - P_n(x, e)| \leq k(0)/2^{j+1} \quad \text{whenever} \quad |x| \leq 1 - 1/j$$

and

$$h_j(x) - P_n(x, e) \leq k(|x|)/2^{j+1} \quad \text{for each } x \in B_n.$$

Existence of such an h_j follows from Theorem 1. Let $r_j, r_j < 1$, be so close to 1 that $h_j(x) \leq 2^{-j} k(r_j)$ for each $x \in B_n$. Existence of such an r_j follows from boundedness of h_j . Now, let $r'_j, r'_j < 1$, be a number that is so close to 1, that for each r such that $r'_j < r < 1$ we have

$$\left| \frac{2}{m_n(1-r^2)} g_n(x, re) - P_n(x, e) \right| \leq \frac{k(0)}{2^{j+1}}$$

whenever $|x| \leq \max\{r_j, 1 - 1/j\}$. Next, let us choose a number r'_j such that $r'_j < r_j < 1$ and $2/m_n(1 - r_j'^2)$ is an integer multiplicity of a , and define a function v_j , letting

$$v_j(x) = h_j(x) - \frac{2}{m_n(1 - r_j'^2)} g_n(x, r'_j e) \quad \text{for } x \in B_n.$$

Then, keeping in mind that

$$v_j(x) = [h_j(x) - P_n(x, e)] + \left[P_n(x, e) - \frac{2}{m_n(1 - r_j'^2)} g_n(x, r'_j e) \right],$$

we can see that

$$v_j(x) \leq 2^{-j-1} k(0) + 2^{-j-1} k(0) = 2^{-j} k(0) \quad \text{if } |x| \leq 1 - 1/j,$$

$$v_j(x) \leq 2^{-j-1} k(|x|) + 2^{-j-1} k(0) \leq 2^{-j} k(|x|) \quad \text{if } x < r_j,$$

and

$$v_j(x) \leq h_j(x) \leq 2^{-j} k(r_j) \leq 2^{-j} k(|x|) \quad \text{if } r_j \leq |x| < 1.$$

Therefore the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_j$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of B_n and, as is easy to check, its sum is the function needed to complete the proof of part (b) of Theorem 3.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. A. Apresjan, *Localization of ideals and asymptotic uniqueness theorems for functions of restricted growth* (Russian), *Matematičeskii Sbornik* 106 (148) no 1 (5) (1978), p. 3–34.
- [2] A. Beurling, *A critical topology in harmonic analysis on semigroups*, *Acta Mathematica* 112 (1964), p. 215–228.
- [3] W. Hayman and B. Korenblum, *A critical growth rate for functions regular in a disc*, *The Michigan Mathematical Journal* 27 (1980), p. 21–30.
- [4] L. L. Helms, *Introduction to potential theory*, New York 1969.
- [5] J. J. Kelleher and B. A. Taylor, *Closed ideals in locally convex algebras of analytic functions*, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* 255 (1972), p. 190–209.
- [6] B. Korenblum, *Analytic functions of unbounded characteristics and Beurling algebras*, *Proceedings of International Congress of Mathematicians, Helsinki 1978*, p. 653–658.
- [7] N. K. Nikol'skiĭ, *Selected problems on weighted approximation and spectral analysis* (Russian), *Trudy Matematičeskogo Instituta, Leningrad*, 120 (1974).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW
WROCLAW, POLAND

Reçu par la Rédaction le 15. 02. 1982