

## A NOTE ON LOCAL NOETHER LATTICES

BY

JOHNNY A. JOHNSON (HOUSTON, TEXAS)

**1. Introduction.** In a recent paper [5] Satyanarayana introduced a class of rings which he called generalized primary rings. A *generalized primary ring* is a commutative ring with an identity in which all ideals are primary. Among other things he showed that a generalized primary ring has a unique maximal ideal, and in Theorem 4.12 he proved the following result for Noetherian generalized primary rings (see Section 3 for the definition of a discrete valuation ring used below):

(1.1) *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian generalized primary ring with the unique maximal ideal  $M$ . Then  $R$  is a discrete valuation ring if and only if  $M$  is a principal ideal.*

In this paper we show that this result holds for a much larger class of Noetherian rings and are able to obtain his result as a corollary (Corollary 3.2).

**2. The lattice case.** In order to establish the result of this section we will require the following definitions. By a *multiplicative lattice* we mean a complete lattice provided with a commutative, associative, join-distributive multiplication for which the unit element is a multiplicative identity. We shall use juxtaposition to denote multiplication,  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  to denote the lattice operations, and  $\leq$  to denote the partial order of the lattice. If  $A$  and  $B$  are elements of the multiplicative lattice  $L$ , then  $A:B$  is defined to be the join of all elements  $C$  in  $L$  such that  $CB \leq A$ . An element  $E$  of  $L$  is said to be *meet principal* in case

$$(2.1) \quad (A \wedge (B:E))E = AE \wedge B \quad \text{for all } A, B \text{ in } L.$$

$E$  is said to be *join principal* in case

$$(2.2) \quad (A \vee BE):E = (A:E) \vee B \quad \text{for all } A, B \text{ in } L.$$

If  $E$  is both meet principal and join principal,  $E$  is said to be *principal*. A multiplicative lattice  $L$  is said to be a *Noether lattice* if  $L$  satisfies the ascending chain condition, is modular, and every element of  $L$  is a join

of principal elements. A Noether lattice is said to be *local* if it has precisely one maximal element. For further properties and definitions concerning Noether lattices the reader is referred to [1]-[4].

We can now establish the following result:

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $L$  be a local Noether lattice with the unique maximal element  $M$ . Then the following three statements are equivalent:*

- (2.3) *Every element of  $L$  is principal.*
- (2.4)  *$M$  is meet principal.*
- (2.5) *For every element  $A \neq 0$  of  $L$ , there exists a non-negative integer  $n$  such that  $A = M^n$ .*

**Proof.** Clearly, (2.3) implies (2.4). To show that (2.4) implies (2.5), assume that  $M$  is meet principal and let  $A \neq 0$  be an element of  $L$ . Since  $A \neq 0$ , there exists, by the Intersection Theorem for Noether lattices [1], a positive integer  $n$  such that  $A \not\leq M^n$ . Let  $k$  be the least such a positive integer. Thus  $A \not\leq M^k$  and  $A \leq M^{k-1}$ . Since  $M$  is meet principal, it follows that  $M^{k-1}$  is meet principal. Thus, we have

$$A = A \wedge M^{k-1} = (A : M^{k-1})M^{k-1}.$$

If  $A : M^{k-1} \neq I$ , then  $A : M^{k-1} \leq M$ , so that we would have

$$A = A \wedge M^{k-1} = (A : M^{k-1})M^{k-1} \leq M M^{k-1} = M^k,$$

which contradicts the choice of  $k$ . Therefore,  $A : M^{k-1} = I$  and, consequently,  $A = M^{k-1}$ . Thus (2.4) implies (2.5).

Assume now that for every element  $A \neq 0$  of  $L$  there exists a non-negative integer  $n$  such that  $A = M^n$ . Let  $B$  be an element of  $L$  and suppose  $B = B_1 \vee \dots \vee B_k$  is a minimal representation of  $B$  as the join of principal elements of  $L$ . Suppose  $k > 1$ . Let  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  be such that  $B_1 = M^{n_1}$  and  $B_2 = M^{n_2}$ . We can assume without loss of generality that  $n_2 \leq n_1$ . Thus  $B_1 = M^{n_1} \leq M^{n_2} = B_2$ , and so  $B = B_2 \vee \dots \vee B_k$  which contradicts the fact that  $B = B_1 \vee \dots \vee B_k$  was a minimal representation. It follows that (2.5) implies (2.3).

**3. The ring case.** In this section we shall apply Theorem 2.1 of the previous section to the case of the lattice of ideals of a Noetherian ring to obtain our final result. As in [5], we define a *discrete valuation ring* (not necessarily an integral domain) to be a commutative ring with an identity in which every ideal is principal and all ideals form a chain under set inclusion.

We now prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a local Noetherian ring with the unique maximal ideal  $M$ . Then  $R$  is a discrete valuation ring if and only if  $M$  is a principal ideal.*

**Proof.** It is sufficient to show that  $R$  is a discrete valuation ring if  $M$  is a principal ideal. Thus, assume that  $M$  is a principal ideal.

Let  $L(R)$  denote the lattice of ideals of  $R$  with the usual ideal operations. It is well known that  $L(R)$  is a Noether lattice. Furthermore, in this case  $L(R)$  is a local Noether lattice. For local Noether lattices, it is known that the principal elements of  $L(R)$  are precisely the principal ideals of  $R$  (see [2], Corollary 1.6). Thus, since  $M$  is a principal ideal, it follows that  $M$  is a principal element of the Noether lattice  $L(R)$ , and is, therefore, meet principal. Consequently, by (2.4) of Theorem 2.1, every element of  $L(R)$  is principal, and hence every ideal of  $R$  is principal. In addition, it follows from (2.5) of Theorem 2.1 that all of the ideals of  $R$  form a chain under set inclusion. Hence  $R$  is a discrete valuation ring.

As a corollary to the above-mentioned result we obtain Theorem 4.12 of [5]:

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian generalized primary ring with the unique maximal ideal  $M$ . Then  $R$  is a discrete valuation ring if and only if  $M$  is a principal ideal.*

**Proof.** Since Noetherian generalized primary rings are local Noetherian rings (see [5], Corollary 2.3), the result follows immediately.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Dilworth, *Abstract commutative ideal theory*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 12 (1962), p. 481-498.
- [2] E. W. Johnson, *A-transforms and Hilbert functions in local lattices*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 137 (1969), p. 125-139.
- [3] — and J. A. Johnson, *M-primary elements of a local Noether lattice*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics 22 (1970), p. 327-331.
- [4] J. A. Johnson, *a-adic completions of Noetherian lattice modules*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 66 (1970), p. 347-373.
- [5] M. Satyanarayana, *Generalized primary rings*, Mathematische Annalen 179 (1969), p. 109-114.

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
JET PROPULSION LABORATORY  
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA  
UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON  
HOUSTON, TEXAS

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 11. 3. 1971*