

Characteristic function of a meromorphic function and its derivative

by S. K. SINGH (Kansas City, Mo.) and V. N. KULKARNI (Dharwar, India)

Abstract. In this paper relations between $T(r, f)$ and $T(r, f')$ have been obtained, where $T(r, f)$ and $T(r, f')$ are the Nevanlinna characteristic functions of the meromorphic functions $f(z)$ and $f'(z)$ respectively. Also results pertaining to Nevanlinna exceptional values have been established, and bounds for $k(f')$ in terms of Nevanlinna defects have been given, where

$$k(f') = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f') + N(r, 1/f')}{T(r, f)}.$$

For instance it has been shown that if $\sum_i \sigma(ai) = \alpha$ ($ai \neq \infty$ and $\sigma(\infty) = 2 - \alpha$), then

$$\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} < k(f') < \frac{2(\alpha - 1)}{\alpha}.$$

1. Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho < \infty$). For a number a ($0 \leq |a| \leq \infty$) let

$$\sigma(a, f) = \sigma(a) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, a)}{T(r, f)} = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a)}{T(r, f)},$$

$$\theta(a, f) = \theta(a) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}(r, a)}{T(r, f)},$$

$$\Delta(a, f) = \Delta(a) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, a)}{T(r, f)} = 1 - \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a)}{T(r, f)},$$

where $n(r, a)$, $N(r, a)$, $m(r, a)$, $\bar{n}(r, a)$, $\bar{N}(r, a)$ and $T(r, f)$ have the usual meaning, as in Nevanlinna Theory. See [9] and [10].

It is known that $0 \leq \sum_a \sigma(a) \leq 2$. If $\sum_a \sigma(a) = 2$, the function f is said to have the maximum defect. We also say that a is an e.v. N or e.v. V , according as $\sigma(a) > 0$ or $\Delta(a) > 0$. If $\sigma(a) = 1$, we say that a is an e.v. N with maximum defect. Similarly a is called an e.v. V with maximum defect if $\Delta(a) = 1$.

Let E denote a set of positive non-decreasing functions $\varphi(x)$ such that $\int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x\varphi(x)} < \infty$. If for some $\varphi \in E$, $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{n(r, a)\varphi(r)} > 0$, a is called an e.v. E for $f(z)$. See [11].

For meromorphic functions $f(z)$ of finite order we have

$$(1) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{T(r, f')} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

(1) follows from the fact that (i) $N(r, f') \leq 2N(r, f)$ since a pole of $f(z)$ of order m is a pole of $f'(z)$ of order $m+1 \leq 2m$ and (ii) $m(r, f') = m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) \leq m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) + m(r, f)$ and $m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) = O(1)$ if $f(z)$ is a rational function and $m(r, f'/f) = O(\log r)$ if $f(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic function (of finite order).

2. We prove:

THEOREM 1. *Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of order ρ ($0 < \rho < \infty$). Then*

$$(2) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{T(r, f')} < \infty,$$

$$(3) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(kr, f)}{T(r, f)} < \infty$$

for all positive constants k .

THEOREM 2. *Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of finite order having $\{a_i\}$ as e.v. N such that*

$$\sum_i \sigma(a_i) = a \quad (a_i \neq \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_i \sigma(a_i) = 2,$$

where a_i 's are distinct ($0 \leq |a_i| \leq \infty$). Then

$$T(r, f') \sim aT(r, f).$$

COROLLARY 1. *If $\sum_i \sigma(a_i) = 1$, $a_i \neq \infty$ and $\sigma(\infty) = 1$, then*

$$T(r, f') \sim T(r, f).$$

COROLLARY 2. *If $\sum_i \sigma(a_i) = 2$, $a_i \neq \infty$, then*

$$T(r, f') \sim 2T(r, f).$$

See [11] and [14].

THEOREM 3. Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of finite order and let $T(r, f') \sim aT(r, f)$, where $a \geq 1$. Then

$$\theta(\infty, f) \leq 2 - a \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_i \sigma(a_i) \leq a, \quad a_i \neq \infty.$$

COROLLARY 3. If $T(r, f') \sim 2T(r, f)$, then ∞ is neither e.v. N nor e.v. V for $f(z)$.

COROLLARY 4. If $\sum_i \{\sigma(a_i) + \mu(a_i)\} = a$ and $\sigma(\infty) + \mu(\infty) = 2 - a$ ($1 \leq a \leq 2$), then

$$T(r, f') \sim aT(r, f),$$

where as usual $\mu(a)$ is defined by

$$\mu(a) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a) - \bar{N}(r, a)}{T(r, f)}.$$

THEOREM 4. If $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function of finite order with $\{a_i\}$ as e.v. N 's ($a_i \neq \infty$) such that $\sum_i \sigma(a_i) = a$, and $\mu(\infty) = 2 - a$, then ∞ is not an e.v. N for $f'(z)$.

COROLLARY 5. If $f(z)$ has two finite e.v. E , then ∞ is not an e.v. E for $f'(z)$. (See [14], Theorem 5.)

THEOREM 5. If $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function of finite order with $\{a_i\}$ as e.v. N , such that $\sum_i \sigma(a_i) = a$, $a_i \neq \infty$ and $\sigma(\infty) = 2 - a$, then

$$\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \leq k(f') \leq \frac{2(\alpha - 1)}{\alpha},$$

where

$$k(f') = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f') + N(r, 1/f')}{T(r, f')}.$$

See [2], [3] and [9], p. 51.

COROLLARY 6. If $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function of finite order having 0 and ∞ as e.v. E , then $f'(z)$ has 0 and ∞ as e.v. N with maximum defect.

See [14], Theorem 4.

Since $\sigma(0, f) = \sigma(\infty, f) = 1$ if 0 and ∞ are e.v. E so $\alpha = 1$ and hence, by Theorem 5, $k(f') = 0$, which gives $\sigma(0, f') = \sigma(\infty, f') = 1$.

COROLLARY 7. If $\alpha = 1$, then ρ must be a positive integer.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. We know that

$$(A) \quad T(r, f) < 40 \frac{k}{k-1} \log \frac{ek}{k-1} T(kr, f') + \log^+(kr) + 5 + \log^+ |f(0)|$$

($k > 1, r > 0$).

See [1], p. 171.

Combining (A) with (1) we immediately deduce, that the order of $f'(z)$ is equal to ρ , the order of $f(z)$. Thus

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f')}{\log r} = 0.$$

Since $0 < \rho < \infty$, it follows that there exists a proximate order $\rho(r)$ relative to $T(r, f')$ such that $T(r, f') \leq r^{\rho(r)}$ for $r \geq r_0$ and $T(r, f') = r^{\rho(r)}$ for a sequence $r = r_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, by (A), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &< OT(kr, f') \quad (O \text{ constant, } k > 1) \\ &\leq O(kr)^{\rho(kr)} \quad \text{for } r \geq r_0 \\ &\sim Ok^{\rho} r^{\rho(r)} \\ &= Ok^{\rho} T(r, f') \quad \text{for a sequence } r = r_n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{T(r, f')} < \infty.$$

This proves (2). The proof of (3) is similar to that of (2). We consider a proximate order $\rho(r)$ relative to $T(r, f)$ and use the fact that $T(kr, f) \leq (kr)^{\rho(kr)}$ for $r \geq r_0$.

Remark 1. (1) is not true if $f(z)$ is of infinite order. Consider

$$f(z) = \sum_1^{\infty} \left(\frac{z}{3^n} \right)^{\lambda_n},$$

where λ_n is a rapidly increasing sequence of positive integers. If we define

$$r_N = \{3^{N\lambda_N} 2(N-1)\lambda_{N-1}\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda_N - \lambda_{N-1}}},$$

then $r_N \sim 3^N$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$(4) \quad T(r_N, f) = \{N \log 3 + O(1)\} \lambda_{N-1} + \log(2N\lambda_{N-1}) + O(1),$$

$$(5) \quad T(r_N, f') > T(r_N, f) + \log \lambda_N + O(1) - \log r_N.$$

Hence, by (4), (5) and the choice of λ_N , it follows that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r_N, f)}{T(r_N, f')} = 0.$$

For details see [6], p. 17.

Proof of Theorem 2. In what follows we take $r \geq r_0$. From the inequality

$$pT(r, f) < T(r, f') + \sum_1^p N(r, a_i) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + O(\log r)$$

of Milloux [8], p. 298, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p &< \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)} + \sum_1^p \frac{N(r, a_i)}{T(r, f)} - \frac{N(r, 1/f')}{T(r, f)} + O(1) \\ &= \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)} \left\{ \frac{T(r, f') - N(r, 1/f')}{T(r, f')} \right\} + \sum_1^p \frac{N(r, a_i)}{T(r, f)} + O(1) \\ &= \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)} \left\{ \frac{m(r, 1/f') + O(1)}{T(r, f')} \right\} + \sum_1^p \frac{N(r, a_i)}{T(r, f)} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(6) \quad p \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{m(r, 1/f')}{T(r, f')} + O(1) \right\} \left\{ \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)} \right\} + \sum_1^p \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a_i)}{T(r, f)}.$$

Thus

$$(7) \quad \sum_1^p \sigma(a_i, f) \leq \Delta(0, f') \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)}.$$

Now, given an $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p ($p \geq 3$) so that

$$\sum_{p+1}^{\infty} \sigma(a_i) < \varepsilon \quad (a_i \neq \infty).$$

Hence

$$\sum_1^p \sigma(a_i) > \alpha - \varepsilon \quad (a_i \neq \infty).$$

Since $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ and $\sigma(\infty) = 2 - \alpha$, it follows that $\sum_1^p \sigma(a_i) > \alpha - \varepsilon > 0$, so $\Delta(0, f') > 0$. Hence, by (7), we get

$$(8) \quad \frac{\sum_1^p \sigma(a_i)}{\Delta(0, f')} \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)}.$$

Further we know ([9], p. 104) that

$$(9) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)} \leq 2 - \sigma(\infty) - \mu(\infty) \leq 2 - \sigma(\infty) = 2 - (2 - \alpha) = \alpha.$$

Hence, by (8) and (9),

$$\frac{\alpha - \varepsilon}{\Delta(0, f')} \leq \frac{\sum_1^p \sigma(a_i)}{\Delta(0, f')} \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)} \leq \alpha.$$

Thus $T(r, f') \sim \alpha T(r, f)$ and $\Delta(0, f') = 1$, since ε is arbitrary.

Remark 2. The above theorem is not true for functions of infinite order. For instance, it is known that if $\psi(x)$ is any arbitrary positive increasing function of x for $x \geq 0$, then there exists an entire function $F(z)$ such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, F')}{\psi(T(r, F))} = \infty.$$

See [5], Theorem 11.

Using the above theorem we can easily construct an entire function of infinite order for which Theorem 2 does not hold.

Remark 3. For any integer q ($1 \leq q \leq \infty$) there exists a meromorphic function $f(z)$ for which $T(r, f') \sim (2 - 1/q)T(r, f)$, where for $q = \infty$ we interpret $2 - 1/q$ as 2.

If $q = 1$, take $f(z) = e^z$.

If $q = \infty$, take $f(z) = e^z/(1 + e^z)$ or $f(z) = \tan z$.

Then

$$T(r, f') \sim 2T(r, f).$$

So assume that $2 \leq q < \infty$.

$$\text{Let } \varphi(z) = \int_0^z e^{-t^q} dt,$$

$$a_k = \frac{2\pi i k}{e^q} \int_0^\infty e^{-t^q} dt, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, q.$$

Let $f(z) = \frac{1}{\varphi(z) - a_1}$. Then

$$m(r, \varphi) \sim \frac{r^q}{\pi}, \quad m\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi - a_k}\right) \sim \frac{r^q}{q\pi}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, q,$$

$$N(r, f) = N(r, \infty, f) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi - a_1}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)T(r, \varphi) \sim \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)T(r, f).$$

Hence

$$\sigma(\infty, f) = \frac{1}{q}.$$

Also, for $k \neq 1$,

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f - \frac{1}{a_k - a_1}}\right) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi - a_k}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)(T(r, f) + O(1)).$$

Hence

$$\sigma\left(\frac{1}{a_k - a_1}, f\right) = \frac{1}{q} \quad \text{for } k = 2, 3, \dots, q, \quad \sigma(0, f) = 1.$$

Now if we put $\alpha = (2 - 1/q)$, then the total defect is attained and so

$$T(r, f') \sim \left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right)T(r, f).$$

Remark 4. In the above example the defect at infinity is positive and less than one and the total defect is attained and the function is of finite order. Let us note that there do exist meromorphic functions of infinite order for which the defect at infinity is positive and less than one and the total defect 2 is attained. For instance, consider the entire function $f(z)$ given in [4], Theorem 4.1. For that function $f(z)$, we have $\sigma(\infty) = 1$, $\sigma(a_\nu, f) = \sigma_\nu$ ($\nu = 1$ to ∞), where the a_ν 's form an arbitrary sequence of distinct (finite) complex numbers and the σ_ν 's form an arbitrary sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum \sigma_\nu = 1$.

Put $\sigma_1 = 2 - \alpha$ (where $1 < \alpha < 2$), $F(z) = \frac{1}{f(z) - a_1}$. Then

$$\sigma(\infty, f) = \sigma(a_1, f) = 2 - \alpha$$

and

$$\sum_{a \neq \infty} \sigma(a, F) = \sum_{b \neq a_1} \sigma(b, f) = \sigma(\infty, f) + \sum_2^\infty \sigma_\nu = \alpha.$$

Hence the total sum 2 is attained and $0 < \sigma(\infty, F) < 1$.

Remark 5. Theorem 2 is true even if

$$\sum_{a_i \neq \infty} \sigma(a_i) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \sum \sigma(a_i) + \mu(\infty) = 2.$$

This is an easy consequence of (9).

Remark 6. We have shown in Theorem 2 that 0 is an e.v. V for $f'(z)$ with maximum defect. Under the same hypothesis we can prove that 0 is an e.v. N for $f'(z)$ with maximum defect, since we could have written in (6)

$$1 \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{m(r, 1/f')}{T(r, f')} + O(1) \right\} \left\{ \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)} \right\} + \sum_1^p \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a_i)}{T(r, f)},$$

which gives $\sigma(0, f') = 1$,

Proof of Theorem 3. Since $f(z)$ is of finite order,

$$m(r, f') = m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}f\right) \leq m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) + m(r, f) \leq m(r, f) + O(\log r).$$

Also

$$N(r, f') = N(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, f).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f') &= N(r, f') + m(r, f') \leq N(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, f) + m(r, f) + O(\log r) \\ &= T(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, f) + O(\log r). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by assumption, $T(r, f') \sim \alpha T(r, f)$. Hence

$$(\alpha - 1)T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + O(\log r).$$

Thus $\theta(\infty) \leq 2 - \alpha$.

Further we have from (7)

$$\sum_{a_i \neq \infty} \sigma(a_i) \leq \Delta(0, f') \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)}.$$

Hence, using the hypothesis again, we get

$$\sum_{a_i \neq \infty} \sigma(a_i) \leq \alpha.$$

Putting $\alpha = 2$ we get $\theta(\infty) = 0$. Hence $\sigma(\infty, f) = 0$. Thus ∞ is not an e.v. N for $f(z)$.

Also putting $\alpha = 2$ in $(\alpha - 1)T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + O(\log r)$ we get

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f)}{T(r, f)} \geq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}(r, f)}{T(r, f)} = 1.$$

Hence $\Delta(\infty, f) = 0$. Thus ∞ is not an e.v. V for $f(z)$. This proves Corollary 3.

Also

$$(10) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)} \leq 2 - \sigma(\infty) - \mu(\infty) = 2 - (2 - \alpha) = \alpha$$

and [15], p. 21,

$$(11) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)} \geq \sum_i \{\sigma(a_i) + \mu(a_i)\} = \alpha.$$

Combining (10) and (11) we get $T(r, f') \sim \alpha T(r, f)$. This proves Corollary 4.

Remark 7. From Corollary 4 we deduce that if $\sigma(\infty) = 1$ and $\sum_{a_i \neq \infty} \{\sigma(a_i) + \mu(a_i)\} = 1$, then $T(r, f') \sim T(r, f)$. Because then $\alpha = 1$, as $\sigma(\infty) + \mu(\infty)$ never exceeds 1.

This improves a result of S. M. Shah and S. K. Singh [14] which states that if 0 and ∞ are e.v. N with maximum defect, then $T(r, f') \sim T(r, f)$.

Proof of Theorem 4. From the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna [9] we have for $q \geq 3$ (q an integer),

$$(q-2) T(r, f) + 2N(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) < \sum_1^q N(r, a_i) + N(r, f') + O(\log r).$$

Hence

$$(12) \quad (q-2) + 2 \frac{N(r, f)}{T(r, f)} + \frac{N(r, 1/f')}{T(r, f')} \cdot \frac{N(r, f')}{T(r, f)} < \sum_1^q \frac{N(r, a_i)}{T(r, f)} + \frac{N(r, f')}{T(r, f')} \cdot \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)} + O(1).$$

By Remark 5 we have $T(r, f') \sim aT(r, f)$ and $\sigma(0, f') = 1$ if $\sum_{a_i \neq \infty} \sigma(a_i) = a$ and $\sum_i \sigma(a_i) + \mu(\infty) = 2$.

Hence, by (12), we get

$$(q-2) + 2 \frac{N(r, f)}{T(r, f)} + a \frac{N(r, 1/f')}{T(r, f')} < \sum_1^q \frac{N(r, a_i)}{T(r, f)} + a \frac{N(r, f')}{T(r, f')} + O(1).$$

So

$$(13) \quad (q-2) + 2 \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f)}{T(r, f)} + a \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 1/f')}{T(r, f')} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_1^q \frac{N(r, a_i)}{T(r, f)} + a \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f')}{T(r, f')}.$$

By assumption ∞ is not an e.v. N ; hence

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f)}{T(r, f)} = 1.$$

Further

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 1/f')}{T(r, f')} = 0 \quad \text{since} \quad \sigma(0, f') = 1.$$

Hence, by (13) and the hypothesis $\sum_1^q \sigma(a_i) = a$, we deduce $\sigma(\infty, f') = 0$.

This proves the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 5. From $N(r, f') \leq 2N(r, f)$ we get

$$(14) \quad \frac{N(r, f')}{T(r, f')} \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)} \leq \frac{2N(r, f)}{T(r, f)}.$$

The equalities $\sum_{a_i \neq \infty} \sigma(a_i) = a$, $\sum \sigma(a_i) = 2$ imply that $T(r, f') \sim aT(r, f)$.

Hence, from (14), we have

$$(15) \quad a \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f')}{T(r, f')} \leq 2 \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f)}{T(r, f)} = 2\{1 - \sigma(\infty, f)\} = 2(a-1).$$

In Remark 6 we have shown that

$$(16) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 1/f')}{T(r, f')} = 0.$$

Hence

$$(17) \quad k(f') = \limsup \frac{N(r, f') + N(r, 1/f')}{T(r, f')} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f')}{T(r, f')} + \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 1/f')}{T(r, f')} \leq \frac{2(a-1)}{a}.$$

Further we have $N(r, f) \leq N(r, f')$ and

$$\frac{N(r, f)}{T(r, f)} \leq \frac{N(r, f')}{T(r, f')} \cdot \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)} \sim a \frac{N(r, f')}{T(r, f')}.$$

Hence

$$(18) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f')}{T(r, f')} \geq \frac{a-1}{a}.$$

(Since by hypothesis $\sigma(\infty) = 2 - a$.)

Finally we have

$$k(f') \geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f')}{T(r, f')} + \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 1/f')}{T(r, f')} \geq \frac{a-1}{a}$$

by (16) and (18).

Combining the above inequality with (17) we get the result. If $a = 1$, then $k(f') = 0$. Hence the order of $f'(z)$ must be an integer. See [9], p. 51, [4], p. 10, [12], [13]. This proves Corollary 7 since the order of $f(z)$ is the same as the order of $f'(z)$.

References

- [1] Chi Tai Chung, *Sur la comparaison de la Croissance d'une fonction meromorphe et de celle de la dérivée*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 2 (75) (1951), p. 171-190.
- [2] A. Erdős and W. H. J. Fuchs, *Deficient values and asymptotic values of meromorphic functions*, Comment. Math. Helv. 33 (1959), p. 258-295.
- [3] — — *The deficiencies of meromorphic functions of order less than one*, Duke Math. J. 27 (1960), p. 233-250.
- [4] W. H. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Oxford 1964.
- [5] — *On the characteristic of functions meromorphic in the plane and their integrals*, Proc. London Math. Soc. A 14 (1965), p. 93-128.
- [6] — *Die Nevanlinna Charakteristic von meromorphen Funktionen und ihren Integralen* (Festband zum 70. Geburtstag von Rolf Nevanlinna), Berlin 1966.
- [7] B. Ja. Levin, *Distribution of zeros of entire functions*, Amer. Math. Soc. 1964.
- [8] H. Milloux, *Les dérivées des fonctions meromorphes et la théorie des défauts*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 64 (1947), p. 289-316.
- [9] R. Nevanlinna, *Le théorème de Picard-Borel et la théorie des fonctions meromorphes*, Paris 1929.
- [10] — *Eindeutige analytische Funktionen*, Berlin 1936.
- [11] S. M. Shah, *Exceptional values of entire and meromorphic functions*, Duke Math. J. 19 (1952), p. 585-593.
- [12] — *Meromorphic functions of finite order*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1959), p. 810-821.
- [13] — *A note on meromorphic functions*, Math. Student 12 (1944), p. 67-70.
- [14] — and S. K. Singh, *Borel's theorem on a -points and exceptional values of entire and meromorphic functions*, Math. Z. 59 (1953), p. 88-93.
- [15] H. Wittich, *Neuere Untersuchungen über eindeutige analytische funktionen*, Berlin 1955.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI
Kansas City, Missouri
Department of Mathematics
Karnatak University
Dharwar, India

Reçu par la Rédaction le 13. 6. 1970
