

*SOME REMARKS ON MICHAEL'S QUESTION  
CONCERNING CARTESIAN PRODUCTS OF LINDELÖF SPACES*

BY

K. ALSTER (WARSAWA)

E. Michael asked whether the class  $\mathcal{L}$  of all spaces, whose Cartesian product with every hereditarily Lindelöf space is Lindelöf, is closed with respect to countable Cartesian products. In [1] it is proved that there is a large class  $\mathcal{C}$  containing scattered Lindelöf spaces such that if  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $X^{\aleph_0} \in \mathcal{L}$ .

A particular case of Michael's hypothesis is the following very natural question: Is it true that if  $M$  is a separable metric space and  $X$  is a Lindelöf  $P$ -space, i.e. every  $G_\delta$ -set is open in  $X$ , then the product  $M \times X^{\aleph_0}$  is Lindelöf? I conjecture that the answer to this question is negative but I can prove the following positive result:

**THEOREM.** *If  $M$  is a separable metric space such that there are a complete, in the sense of Čech, separable space  $M'$  and an embedding  $e: M \rightarrow M'$  such that  $M' \setminus e(M)$  does not contain uncountable compact subsets and  $X_n$  is a Lindelöf  $P$ -space for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , then the Cartesian product  $M \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  is Lindelöf.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_s: s \in S\}$  is a family of closed subsets of  $M \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  which has the countable intersection property, i.e. for every countable subset  $S_0$  of  $S$  the intersection  $\bigcap \{F_s: s \in S_0\}$  is not empty. We shall show that the set  $\bigcap \{F_s: s \in S\}$  is not empty. Without loss of generality we can assume that  $M$  is a subset of  $M'$ . We shall consider two cases:

(a) There is  $m \in M$  such that the family

$$\{F_s: s \in S\} \cup \{ \{m\} \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n \}$$

has the countable intersection property.

(b) Case (a) does not hold.

Proof of (a). This case is Noble's result (see [3], Corollary 4.2). We shall give its proof for the sake of completeness. Our proof is a little simpler than the Noble's one.

Let us assume that for  $n \leq i$  we have defined  $x_n \in X_n$  in a way such that

( $*_n$ ) the family

$$\{F_s: s \in S\} \cup \{m\} \times \prod_{n' \leq n} B_{n'} \times \prod_{n' = n+1}^{\infty} X_{n'}: B_{n'} \in \mathcal{B}_{n'}, \\ \mathcal{B}_{n'} \text{ is a base at } x_{n'} \text{ for } n' \leq n\}$$

has the countable intersection property.

Then there is  $x_{i+1} \in X_{i+1}$  such that  $x_1, \dots, x_{i+1}$  satisfy ( $*_{i+1}$ ). Suppose to the contrary that such a point does not exist. Then for every  $z$  in  $X_{i+1}$  we shall find a neighbourhood  $U_z$ , a countable subset  $S_z$  in  $S$ , and  $B_{nz} \in \mathcal{B}_n$  for  $n \leq i$  such that

$$\bigcap \{F_s: s \in S_z\} \cap \{m\} \times \prod_{n=1}^i B_{nz} \times U_z \times \prod_{n=i+2}^{\infty} X_n = \emptyset.$$

The space  $X_{i+1}$  is Lindelöf, so we can find a countable subcover  $\{U_{z_j}: j = 1, 2, \dots\}$  of  $\{U_z: z \in X_{i+1}\}$ . Notice that the set

$$B_n = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{nz_j}$$

is a neighbourhood of  $x_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, i$  because  $X_n$  is a  $P$ -space. Hence the equality

$$\bigcap \{F_s: s \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} S_{z_j}\} \cap (\{m\} \times \prod_{n=1}^i B_n \times \prod_{n=i+1}^{\infty} X_n) \\ = \bigcap \{F_s: s \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} S_{z_j}\} \cap (\{m\} \times \prod_{n=1}^i B_n \times (\bigcup \{U_{z_j}: j = 1, 2, \dots\}) \times \prod_{n=i+2}^{\infty} X_n) \\ = \emptyset$$

contradicts ( $*_i$ ).

For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  it follows from ( $*_n$ ) that the point  $(m, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots)$  belongs to  $\bigcap \{F_s: s \in S\}$ .

Proof of (b). Let  $d$  be a complete metric on  $M'$ . From the Lindelöf property of  $M$  and the countable intersection property of  $\mathcal{F}$  it follows that there is  $m_1 \in M$  such that the family  $\mathcal{F} \cup \{B(m_1, 1) \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n\}$  has the countable intersection property. Here the symbol  $B(m_1, j)$  stands for

$$B(m_1, j) = \{m \in M': d(m_1, m) \leq j\}.$$

Put  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{B(m_1, 1)\}$  and let us assume that  $\mathcal{F}_j$  is defined for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, i$  in a way such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) if  $j > 0$ , then

$$\mathcal{F}_j = \{(B(m_t, 1/2^{n(t)}), (x_{t1}, \dots, x_{tj}))\}$$

$$t \in T_j, T_j \text{ is a finite set, } m_t \in M, (x_{t1}, \dots, x_{tj}) \in \prod_{n=1}^j X_n, n(t) \geq j\};$$

(2) if  $j \leq i-1$  and  $F = (B(m_t, 1/2^{n(t)}), (x_{t1}, \dots, x_{tj})) \in \mathcal{F}_j$ , then we can attach to  $F$  two elements  $F(0)$  and  $F(1)$  of  $\mathcal{F}_{j+1}$  in a way such that

$$F(k) = (B(m_{t(k)}, 1/2^{n(t(k))}), (x_{t1}, \dots, x_{tj}, y(t(k)))) \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1,$$

$$\bigcup \{B(m_{t(k)}, 1/2^{n(t(k))}) : k = 0, 1\} \subset B(m_t, 1/2^{n(t)}),$$

$$\bigcap_{k=0}^1 B(m_{t(k)}, 1/2^{n(t(k))}) = \emptyset, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{j+1} = \{F(k) : F \in \mathcal{F}_j, k = 0, 1\};$$

(3) if  $F = (B(m_t, 1/2^{n(t)}), (x_{t1}, \dots, x_{tj})) \in \mathcal{F}_j$ , then the family

$$\mathcal{F} \cup \{B(m_t, 1/2^{n(t)}) \times \prod_{n=1}^j B_n \times \prod_{n=j+2}^{\infty} X_n :$$

$B_n$  is an element of the base  $\mathcal{B}(x_{tn})$  at the point  $x_{tn}\}$

has the countable intersection property.

Let  $F = (B(m_t, 1/2^{n(t)}), (x_{t1}, \dots, x_{tj}))$  be an element of  $\mathcal{F}_i$ . We claim that

(4) there are two different elements  $m_{t(0)}, m_{t(1)}$  of  $B(m_t, 1/2^{n(t)}) \cap M$  and  $y(t(0)), y(t(1)) \in X_{i+1}$  such that if  $U_j$  is an arbitrary neighbourhood of  $m_{t(j)}$ , then the family

$$\mathcal{F} \cup \{U_j \times \prod_{n=1}^{i+1} B_n \times \prod_{n=i+2}^{\infty} X_n : B_n \in \mathcal{B}(x_{tn}) \text{ for } n \leq i \text{ and}$$

$B_{i+1}$  belongs to the base  $\mathcal{B}(y(t(j)))$  at the point  $y(t(j))\}$

has the countable intersection property for  $j = 0, 1$ .

Notice that we do not require  $y(t(0)) \neq y(t(1))$ .

Suppose to the contrary that this is not the case. Then there is  $m \in B(m_t, 1/2^{n(t)}) \cap M$  such that if  $m' \in B(m_t, 1/2^{n(t)}) \cap M$  and  $m' \neq m$ , then for every  $x \in X_{i+1}$  there exist neighbourhoods  $V_{(x,m')}$  and  $U_{(x,m')}$  of the points  $m'$  and  $x$ , respectively, a countable subset  $S(x, m')$ , and  $B_{(x,m')n} \in \mathcal{B}(x_{tn})$  such that

$$\bigcap \{F_s : s \in S(x, m')\} \cap V_{(x,m')} \times \prod_{n=1}^i B_{(x,m')n} \times U_{(x,m')} \times \prod_{n=i+2}^{\infty} X_n = \emptyset.$$

Since the space  $B(m_i, 1/2^{n(i)}) \setminus \{m\}$  is Lindelöf and  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are  $P$ -spaces, we can assume that for every  $m' \in B(m_i, 1/2^{n(i)}) \setminus \{m\}$  we have  $S(x, m') = S_x$ ,  $U_{(x, m')} = U_x$ , and  $B_{(m', x)n} = B_{x_n}$  for  $x \in X_{i+1}$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots, i$ . Let  $\{U_{z_j}: j = 1, 2, \dots\}$  be a countable subcover of  $\{U_x: x \in X_{i+1}\}$ . If we put

$$B_n = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{z_j n} \quad \text{and} \quad S_0 = \bigcup \{S_{z_j}: j = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, i$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigcap \{F_s: s \in S_0\} \cap (B(m_i, 1/2^{n(i)}) \times \prod_{n=1}^i B_n \times \prod_{n=i+1}^{\infty} X_n) \\ &= \bigcap \{F_s: s \in S_0\} \cap ((\{m\} \times \prod_{n=1}^i B_n \times \prod_{n=i+1}^{\infty} X_n) \cup (B(m_i, 1/2^{n(i)}) \setminus \{m\}) \times \\ & \quad \times \prod_{n=1}^i B_n \times (\bigcup \{U_{z_j}: j = 1, 2, \dots\}) \times \prod_{n=i+2}^{\infty} X_n) \\ &= \bigcap \{F_s: s \in S_0\} \cap (\{m\} \times \prod_{n=1}^i B_n \times \prod_{n=i+1}^{\infty} X_n). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality and (3) contradict the assumption of case (b). Hence we have proved that there exist  $m_{i(0)}, m_{i(1)}, y(t(0)), y(t(1))$  satisfying (4).

In order to complete the definition of  $F(j)$  it is enough to put  $(n(t))(j)$  for  $j = 0, 1$  such that

$$\bigcap \{B(m_{i(j)}, 1/2^{(n(t))(j)}): j = 0, 1\} = \emptyset$$

and

$$\bigcup \{B(m_{i(j)}, 1/2^{(n(t))(j)}): j = 0, 1\} \subset B(m_i, 1/2^{n(i)}).$$

The family  $\mathcal{F}_{i+1}$  is defined according to (2).

If we put

$$Z = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \bigcup B(m_t, 1/2^{n(t)}): t \in T_j \right\},$$

then from (1)-(3) we infer that  $Z$  is an uncountable compact subset in  $M'$ , and so there is  $z \in Z \cap M$ . From (1)-(3) it follows that

(5) if we have  $i > j \geq 1$ ,  $F_1 = (B(m_{i_1}, 1/2^{n(i_1)}), (x_{i_1 1}, \dots, x_{i_1 j})) \in \mathcal{F}_j$ ,  $F_2 = (B(m_{i_2}, 1/2^{n(i_2)}), (x_{i_2 1}, \dots, x_{i_2 i})) \in \mathcal{F}_i$ , and  $z \in F_1 \cap F_2$ , then

$$x_{i_1 1} = x_{i_2 1}, \dots, x_{i_1 j} = x_{i_2 j}.$$

Using (5) we can find a sequence

$$F_j = (B(m_j, 1/2^{n(j)}), (x_1, \dots, x_j)) \in \mathcal{F}_j$$

such that

$$z \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B(m_j, 1/2^{n(j)}).$$

We claim that  $(z, (x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots)) \in \bigcap \{F_s : s \in S\}$ . Indeed, if the set

$$U = V \times \prod_{n=1}^i B_n \times \prod_{n=i+1}^{\infty} X_n$$

is a neighbourhood of  $(z, (x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots))$ , then there is  $j > i$  such that  $B(m_j, 1/2^{n(j)}) \subset V$ . Hence it follows from (3) that  $U \cap F \neq \emptyset$  for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Remark 1.** One can show, modifying the proof of the Theorem, that if  $M_i$  is a separable metric space satisfying the assumption of the Theorem and  $X_i$  a Lindelöf  $P$ -space for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (M_i \times X_i)$  is Lindelöf.

**Remark 2.** Notice that  $M$  need not have to be a complete space. Indeed, if  $E$  is an uncountable complete, metric space and  $F$  an uncountable subspace of  $E$  which does not contain uncountable compact subspaces (see [2], Theorem 1, p. 514), then  $M = E \setminus F$  satisfies the assumption of the Theorem and is not complete.

**Remark 3.** One can notice that if  $M$  satisfies the assumption of the Theorem and  $H$  is a closed or open subset of  $M$ , then  $H$  has the Baire category property, i.e.  $H$  does not admit a partition  $H = \bigcup \{H_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ , where  $H_i$  is a nowhere dense subset of  $H$ . The opposite implication does not hold. One can ask whether the Cartesian product  $M \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  is Lindelöf provided that  $M$  is a separable metric space such that every closed or open subset of  $M$  has the Baire category property and  $X_n$  is a Lindelöf  $P$ -space for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Assuming the continuum hypothesis one can show that if  $A$  is an uncountable subset of  $M \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  and the set  $p(A)$ , where  $p$  is the projection onto  $M$ , has the Baire category property and is dense in itself, then there is  $x \in M \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  such that for every neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  the set  $A \cap U$  is uncountable.

In [1], as we said before, it was proved that if  $M$  is a separable metric space and  $X_n$  is a Lindelöf scattered space for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , i.e.  $X_n$  does not contain a subset dense in itself, then the Cartesian product  $M \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  is Lindelöf. Hence it is natural to ask whether every Lindelöf  $P$ -space  $X$  admits a partition  $X = \bigcup \{X_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ , where  $X_n$  is

Lindelöf and scattered for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . The same question was asked by R. Telgarsky in relation with the game theory.

*Example. There is a Lindelöf P-space  $X$  which does not admit a partition*

$$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n,$$

where  $X_n$  is Lindelöf scattered for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

The space  $X$  appeared in [4], where R. Pol proved that it has the Lindelöf property. The fact that  $X$  does not admit a suitable partition was observed independently by R. Pol and myself.

*Proof.* Put  $Y = D^{\omega_1}$ , where  $D = \{0, 1\}$  and  $\omega_1$  is the first uncountable ordinal number. The topology on  $Y$  is induced by  $G_\delta$ -subsets of  $D^{\omega_1}$  considered in the Tychonoff topology. The space  $X$  will be a subspace of  $Y$ . Let us attach to every limit ordinal number  $\alpha < \omega_1$  a sequence  $(\alpha(n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converging to  $\alpha$  in the order topology. Then

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \{x \in D^{\omega_1} : x^{-1}(1) \text{ is finite}\} \cup \\ &\quad \cup \{x_\alpha \in D^{\omega_1} : \alpha \text{ is a limit ordinal number and } x_\alpha^{-1}(1)\} \\ &= \{\alpha(n) : n = 1, 2, \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $x \in X$  is isolated if and only if the set  $x^{-1}(1)$  is infinite.

For the sake of completeness we shall give the sketch of the proof that  $X$  is Lindelöf. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $X$  and  $x_0$  an arbitrary point of  $X$ . Then there exist  $\alpha_0 < \omega_1$  and a countable subfamily  $\mathcal{U}_0$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that

$$\{x \in X : x(\beta) = x_0(\beta) \text{ for } \beta \leq \alpha_0\} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_0.$$

Let us assume that  $\alpha_n < \omega_1$  and a countable family  $\mathcal{U}_n \subset \mathcal{U}$  are defined. Then the set  $A_n = \{x \in X : x^{-1}(1) \subset \alpha_n + 1\}$  is countable, and so there are  $\alpha_n \leq \alpha_{n+1} < \omega_1$  and a countable family  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{U}$  such that

$$\bigcup_{x \in A_n} \{x \in X : x(\beta) = y(\beta) \text{ for } \beta \leq \alpha_{n+1}\} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_{n+1}.$$

Now it suffices to notice that the family  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_n$  covers  $X \setminus \{x_\alpha\}$  if  $\alpha = \sup \{\alpha_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a limit ordinal number or  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup \mathcal{U}_n = X$ .

We shall show that  $X$  does not admit a partition  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ , where  $X_n$  is a Lindelöf scattered space. Let us assume to the contrary that  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ . There is  $n_0$  such that  $\{\alpha < \omega_1 : x_\alpha \in X_{n_0}\}$  is stationary (recall that  $S \subset \omega_1$  is *stationary* if for every uncountable and closed subset  $B$  of  $\omega_1$  the intersection  $B \cap S$  is not empty). Now, let  $X_n^{(\alpha)}$  be the set

$\bigcap \{X_n^{(\beta)} : \beta < \alpha\}$  if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal and let  $X_n^{(\alpha)}$  be the set of accumulation points of  $X_n^{(\beta)}$  if  $\alpha = \beta + 1$ . The space  $X_{n_0}$  is scattered and Lindelöf, so there are  $\beta_0$  and  $z_0$  such that  $z_0 \in X_{n_0}^{(\beta_0)} \setminus X_{n_0}^{(\beta_0+1)}$  and a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $z_0$  in  $X_{n_0}$  such that

(6) the set  $\{\alpha < \omega_1 : x_\alpha \in U\}$  is stationary and for every  $x \neq z_0$  and  $x \in U$  there is a neighbourhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  in  $U$  such that  $\{\alpha < \omega_1 : x_\alpha \in U_x\}$  is not stationary.

Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$U = \{x \in X_{n_0} : x(\alpha) = z_0(\alpha) \text{ for } \alpha \leq \beta\},$$

where  $\beta$  is such that  $z_0^{-1}(1) \subset \beta$ . Using the pressing-down lemma one can find  $\beta < \beta_1 < \omega_1$  such that  $\{\alpha < \omega_1 : x_\alpha \in U \text{ and } x_\alpha(\beta_1) = 1\}$  is stationary, which contradicts (6).

Remark 4. We have proved that every subspace of  $X$  of the form

$$X(B) = \{x \in X : x^{-1}(1) \text{ is finite}\} \cup \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in B\},$$

$B$  being a stationary subset of  $\omega_1$ , does not admit a partition  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ , where  $X_n$  is scattered and Lindelöf. It is easy to notice that the opposite implication holds; namely, if  $B$  is not stationary, then  $X(B)$  admits a suitable partition.

Remark 5. One can show that if there are a separable metric space  $M$  and a  $P$ -space  $X$  of weight less than or equal to  $\aleph_1$  such that the Cartesian product  $M \times X^{\aleph_0}$  is not Lindelöf, then there is an uncountable subset  $B$  of the Cantor set  $C$  without uncountable compact subsets and such that  $C \setminus B = \bigcup \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ , where  $A_\alpha$  is an analytic set. Let us notice that Solovay [5] proved that if a measurable number exists, then every complement of an analytic set is countable or contains an uncountable compact subset.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Alster, *A class of spaces whose Cartesian product with every hereditarily Lindelöf space is Lindelöf*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* (to appear).
- [2] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. I, Academic Press - PWN, 1966.
- [3] N. Noble, *Products with closed projection. II*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 160 (1971), p. 169-183.
- [4] R. Pol, *A function space  $C(X)$  which is weakly Lindelöf but not weakly compactly generated*, *Studia Mathematica* 64 (1979), p. 279-285.
- [5] R. M. Solovay, *Cardinality of  $\Sigma_3^1$  sets*, p. 58-73 in: *Foundation of mathematics*, Berlin 1969.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 20. 12. 1979