

Classical boundary value problems for integrable temperatures in a C^1 domain

by ANNA GRIMALDI PIRO and FRANCESCO RAGNEDDA (Cagliari)

Abstract. We study a Neumann problem for the heat equation in a cylindrical domain with C^1 -base and data in h_c^1 , a subspace of L^1 . We derive our results, considering the action of an adjoint operator on $B_T\text{MOC}$, a predual of h_c^1 , and using known properties of this last space.

Introduction. The classical Neumann and Dirichlet problems for the heat equation in the cylindrical domain $D \times (0, T)$ have been studied by E. Fabes and N. Rivière ([5]) using the method of layer potentials. They considered bounded domains of class C^1 and L^p data on the boundary $\partial D \times (0, T)$ for $1 < p < \infty$. For $p = 1$ the problems are still open. As for the Laplacian we must introduce a suitable subspace of L^1 on which the corresponding results can be proved.

In [3] E. Fabes and C. Kenig studied the Neumann problem for the Laplacian with data in h^1 , a subspace of L^1 whose dual is BMO. They use the fact that the solvability of the Neumann problem with boundary data in h^1 is closely related to solvability of the Dirichlet problem with boundary data in BMO.

In order to study the Neumann problem for the heat operator with suitable h^1 data, we shall consider the action of an adjoint operator on a subspace $B_T\text{MOC}$ of caloric-BMO. The definition of $B_T\text{MOC}$ is analogous to that of $B_0\text{MOC}$ ([7]).

As usual we must construct h_c^1 (caloric- h^1), a predual of $B_T\text{MOC}$, making use of an atomic representation.

Applying the results obtained on $B_0\text{MOC}$ ([7], [8]) we solve the Neumann problem with data in h_c^1 .

We would like to thank Professors E. Fabes and U. Neri for many helpful conversations.

§ 1. Definitions and preliminaries. A bounded domain $D \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is called a C^1 domain if for each point $Q \in \partial D$ there exists a ball $B = B(r, Q)$ with center Q and

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 35K05.

Both authors supported in part by C.N.R. and University of Maryland grants.

radius r and a coordinate system of \mathbf{R}^n with Q as the origin such that with respect to these new coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} B \cap D &= B \cap \{(x', x_n): x' \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}, x_n > \Phi(x')\}, \\ B \cap \partial D &= B \cap \{(x', \Phi(x')): x' \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi \in C_0^1(\mathbf{R}^{n-1})$, $\Phi(0) = 0 = (\partial\Phi/\partial x_i)(0)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

We will assume the radius of the ball B can be chosen independently of $Q \in \partial D$, and we call it r_0 ; it is clearly a geometric constant depending only on the structure of D .

If D is a bounded C^1 domain we will let N_Q denote the unit inner normal to ∂D at Q . We write

$$\begin{aligned} D_+ &= D \times \mathbf{R}^+, & D_T &= D \times (0, T), \\ \partial D_+ &= \partial D \times \mathbf{R}^+, & \partial D_T &= \partial D \times (0, T), \quad \text{for } 0 < T < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

X, Y, \dots are points in D (or \mathbf{R}^n), while P, Q, \dots are points of ∂D . The letters t and s are used for the time variable in \mathbf{R}^+ . We set

$$\Gamma(X, t) = (\pi t)^{-n/2} \exp(-|X|^2/4t),$$

the fundamental solution of the heat equation, and

$$K(X, t) = \langle \nabla_X \Gamma(X, t), N_Q \rangle = c_n \frac{\langle X - Q, N_Q \rangle}{t^{n/2+1}} \exp\{-|X - Q|^2/4t\},$$

the kernel of the double layer potential.

We recall the definition of the space $\text{BMOC}(\partial D_T)$ ([7]): $f \in \text{BMOC}(\partial D_T)$ if

$$(1.1) \quad \|f\|_* = \sup_{\Delta} \left\{ |\Delta|^{-1} \int_{\Delta} |f - f_{\Delta}| dQ ds \right\} < \infty$$

where $\Delta = \Delta_r(P, t) = \{(Q, s) \in \partial D_T: |P - Q| < r, |s - t| < r^2\}$, $f_{\Delta} = |\Delta|^{-1} \int_{\Delta} f$. With the identification $f_1 \sim f_2$ if $f_1 - f_2 = \text{constant}$, BMOC is a complete normed space with norm (1.1).

We say that $f \in \text{B}_0\text{MOC}$ ([7]) if (1.1) is valid and

$$(1.2) \quad B_0(f) = \sup_{\Delta^0} \left| |\Delta^0|^{-1} \int_{\Delta^0} f \right| < \infty$$

where $\Delta^0 = \Delta^0(P_0) = \{(P, t) \in \partial D_T: |P - P_0| < r, 0 < t < r^2\}$. B_0MOC is a complete normed space with norm

$$(1.3) \quad \|f\|_{0,*} = B_0(f) + \|f\|_*.$$

For our purpose we introduce the space B_TMOC , which is analogous to B_0MOC , except that the condition (1.2) at $t = 0$ is replaced by a condition at $t = T$: $f \in \text{B}_T\text{MOC}$ if (1.1) is valid and

$$(1.4) \quad B_T(f) = \sup_{\Delta^T} \left| |\Delta^T|^{-1} \int_{\Delta^T} f \right| < \infty$$

where $\Delta^T = \Delta_r^T(P_0) = \{(P, t) \in \partial D_T: |P - P_0| < r, T - r^2 < t < T\}$. B_T MOC is a complete normed space with norm

$$(1.5) \quad \|f\|_{T,*} = \|f\|_* + B_T(f).$$

As done for B_0 MOC ([7]), it is possible to show that (1.5) is equivalent to the norm

$$(1.6) \quad \|f\|_{p,T,*} = C_{p,T}(f) + \|f\|_{*,p}$$

where

$$C_{p,T}(f) = \sup_{\Delta^T} \{|\Delta^T|^{-1} \int_{\Delta^T} |f|^p\}^{1/p}, \quad \|f\|_{*,p} = \sup_{\Delta} \{|\Delta|^{-1} \int_{\Delta} |f - f_{\Delta}|^p\}^{1/p}.$$

We now consider the so-called adjoint of the heat operator, $L^* = \Delta_X + D_t$. Choosing a Banach space $E = E(\partial D_T)$ of functions defined on ∂D_T , we can associate to this operator the Dirichlet problem

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} L^*u = 0 & \text{in } D_T, \\ u(X, T) = 0, \\ u(X, t)|_{\partial D_T} = f, \end{cases}$$

where f is in E .

If we look for a solution of (1.7) in the form of a double layer potential, we will write it

$$u(X, s) = \int_s^T \int_{\partial D} \frac{\langle X - Q, N_Q \rangle}{(t-s)^{n/2+1}} \exp\{-|X - Q|^2/4(t-s)\} g(Q, t) dQ dt$$

where g is an unknown function in E .

The trace on ∂D_T of $u(X, s)$ gives rise to the operator

$$(1.8) \quad \Phi(g)(P, s) = \int_s^T \int_{\partial D} \frac{\langle P - Q, N_Q \rangle}{(t-s)^{n/2+1}} \exp\{-|P - Q|^2/4(t-s)\} g(Q, t) dQ dt.$$

When $E = L^p(\partial D_T)$, Φ is a singular integral operator. Using, with the obvious modifications, the techniques and results of [5] for the operator

$$(1.9) \quad J(g)(P, t) = \int_0^t \int_{\partial D} \frac{\langle P - Q, N_Q \rangle}{(t-s)^{n/2+1}} \exp\{-|P - Q|^2/4(t-s)\} g(Q, s) dQ ds$$

one can show that Φ is bounded linear operator on $L^p(\partial D_T)$, $1 < p < \infty$. Moreover, if $(a, b) \subset (0, T)$ then

$$(1.10) \quad \|\Phi(\chi_{(a,b)} f)\|_{L^p(\partial D \times (a,b))} \leq \omega(b-a) \|f\|_{L^p(\partial D \times (a,b))}$$

where $\omega(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$

The solvability of (1.7), with the method of the double layer potential, is related, as for the analogous problem for the heat operator, to the invertibility of the operator $c_n I + \Phi$ where $c_n > 0$ and I is the identity operator.

This can be obtained directly as in [5] using property (1.10), or simply observing that Φ is the adjoint of J' , where

$$(1.11) \quad J'(g)(P, t) = - \int_0^t \int_{\partial D} \frac{\langle P-Q, N_P \rangle}{(t-s)^{n/2+1}} \exp\{-|P-Q|^2/4(t-s)\} g(Q, s) dQ ds,$$

and using the results of [5] for this operator.

So the problem (1.7), for $E = L^p(\partial D_T)$, $1 < p < \infty$, has a unique solution if the convergence of $u(X, s)$ to f is understood in the non-tangential sense. When $E = B_T \text{MOC}$ the continuity of Φ in E and the invertibility of $c_n I + \Phi$ in E can be obtained, with only slight modifications, in the same manner as for the operator J in $B_0 \text{MOC}$ (see [7], [8]).

§ 2. The space $h_c^{1,q}(\partial D_T)$. We begin by giving the definition of a "caloric" atom. We say that a function $a = a(P, t)$ is a $(1, q)$ c -atom, $1 < q < \infty$, if

- (i) the support of a is contained in $\Delta \subset \partial D_T$, with $\Delta = \Delta_r(P_0, t_0)$,
- (ii) $(\int_{\Delta} |a(P, t)|^q)^{1/q} \leq |\Delta|^{-1+1/q}$,
- (iii) $|\int_{\Delta} a(P, t)| \leq r/(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2}$

When $q = \infty$, (ii) becomes (ii') $|a(P, t)| \leq |\Delta|^{-1}$

The definition of c -atom is a generalization of the classical definition of atom, see ([1]); in fact the condition $\int_{\partial D_T} a = 0$ is replaced by the more general condition (iii).

We define $h_c^{1,q}$, $1 < q < \infty$, to be the space of functions admitting an atomic decomposition

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j$$

where the a_j are $(1, q)$ c -atoms, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| < \infty$ and the convergence is in the sense of $L^1(\partial D_T)$. The infimum of the numbers $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|$ taken over all such representations will be denoted by $\|f\|_{1,q}$; it turns out to be a norm on $h_c^{1,q}(\partial D_T)$.

THEOREM 2.1. $B_T \text{MOC}_{q'}(\partial D_T)$ is the dual space of $h_c^{1,q}$, with $1/q + 1/q' = 1$, i.e. for each $l \in B_T \text{MOC}$ and for all $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j \in h_c^{1,q}$, the mapping $f \rightarrow \langle l, f \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \int_{\partial D_T} l a_j$ is a well defined linear functional on $h_c^{1,q}$. Moreover, each continuous functional has this form.

Proof. Fix $l \in B_T \text{MOC}$ and let a be a $(1, q)$ c -atom. Then $|\langle l, a \rangle| = |\int_{\Delta} l a| \leq |\int_{\Delta} (l - l_{\Delta}) a| + |l_{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} a| = I' + I''$. By Hölder's inequality we have

$$I' \leq (\int_{\Delta} |a|^q)^{1/q} (\int_{\Delta} |l - l_{\Delta}|^{q'})^{1/q'} \leq (|\Delta|^{-1} \int_{\Delta} |l - l_{\Delta}|^{q'})^{1/q'} \leq \|l\|_{*,q'}.$$

In the same manner

$$I'' \leq \frac{r}{(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2}} |\Delta|^{-1} \int_{\Delta} |l| \leq \frac{r}{(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2}} |\Delta|^{n/(n+1)} \{|\Delta|^{-1} (\int_{\Delta} |l|^{n+1})^{1/(n+1)}\} \\ \leq c(|\Delta_{\bar{r}}^T|^{-1} \int_{\Delta_{\bar{r}}^T} |l|^{n+1})^{1/(n+1)} \leq c \|l\|_{q', T, *}$$

where $\bar{r} = (T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2}$, and c depends only on the dimension of the space. More generally, for any $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j \in h_c^{1,q}$ we have $\langle l, f \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \int_{\Delta_j} l a_j$. By the previous argument we have $|\langle l, a_j \rangle| \leq c \|l\|_{q', T, *}$ for all j . Therefore

$$|\langle l, f \rangle| \leq c \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j| \right\} \|l\|_{q', T, *} \leq c \|f\|_{1, q'} \|l\|_{q', T, *}$$

and so $B_T \text{MOC}_{q'} \subset h_c^{1,q}$.

To prove the reverse inclusion we first observe that any function $f \in \mathcal{L}^q(\Delta)$ such that $\|f\|_{L^{q'}} = 1$ and $\text{supp}(f) \subset \Delta$ can be normalized to be a $(1, q')$ c -atom. In fact, letting

$$(2.1) \quad g_1 = (|\Delta|^{1/q'} / |\Delta_{\bar{r}}^T|) f$$

we have $\text{supp}(g_1) \subset \Delta$ and

$$\left(\int_{\Delta} |g_1|^{q'} \right)^{1/q'} \leq |\Delta|^{1/q'} / |\Delta_{\bar{r}}^T| \leq |\Delta|^{-1+1/q'}$$

In addition

$$\left| \int_{\Delta} g_1 \right| \leq \frac{|\Delta|^{1/q'}}{|\Delta_{\bar{r}}^T|} \int_{\Delta} |f| \leq \frac{|\Delta|^{1/q'}}{|\Delta_{\bar{r}}^T|} |\Delta|^{1/q} \leq c \frac{r}{(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2}},$$

so (iii) holds.

Furthermore, besides the caloric g_1 we can associate to f another caloric atom

$$(2.2) \quad g_2 = (f - f_{\Delta}) / (2|\Delta|^{1/q})$$

having integral zero on ∂D_T .

Suppose now L is a linear functional on $h_c^{1,q'}(\partial D_T)$. Given any Δ in ∂D_T and any $f \in \mathcal{L}^q(\Delta)$, where $\mathcal{L}^q(\Delta)$ is the class of all $f \in \mathcal{L}^q(\Delta)$ such that $\text{supp}(f) \subset \Delta$, f belongs to $h_c^{1,q'}(\Delta)$. Assuming, as we may, that $\|f\|_{L^{q'}(\Delta)} = 1$ and writing $f = \theta_1 |\Delta_{\bar{r}}^T| |\Delta|^{-1/q'}$, since θ_1 is a $(1, q')$ c -atom, it follows that

$$\|f\|_{h_c^{1,q'}} \leq |\Delta_{\bar{r}}^T| / |\Delta|^{1/q'}$$

Therefore, for any $f \in \mathcal{L}^q(\Delta)$

$$|L(f)| \leq \|L\| \frac{|\Delta_{\bar{r}}^T|}{|\Delta|} \|f\|_{L^{q'}(\Delta)},$$

i.e., L is a bounded linear functional on $L^q_0(\Delta)$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem L extends continuously to all $f \in \mathcal{L}^q(\Delta)$. Thus, by the Riesz representation theorem, there exists $l \in \mathcal{L}^q(\Delta)$ such that $L(f) = \int_{\Delta} f l$ for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^q(\Delta)$. In particular, for $\Delta = \partial D_T$ it follows that $l \in \mathcal{L}^q(\partial D_T)$.

Recall that, given $f \in \mathcal{L}^q(\Delta_r^T)$ with $\text{supp}(f) \subset \Delta_r^T$ and norm 1, then g_1 , defined by (2.1), is a $(1, q')$ c -atom. Hence

$$(2.3) \quad |L(g_1)| = \left| |\Delta_r^T|^{-1/q} \int_{\Delta_r^T} f l \right| = |L(|\Delta_r^T|^{-1/q} f)| \leq \|L\|.$$

Moreover, because g_2 is defined by (2.2), for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^q(\Delta)$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Delta} f(l - l_{\Delta}) \right| &= \left| \int_{\Delta} f l - \int_{\Delta} f l_{\Delta} \right| = \left| \int_{\Delta} f l - \int_{\Delta} f (|\Delta|^{-1} \int_{\Delta} l) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Delta} f l - \int_{\Delta} l f_{\Delta} \right| = \left| \int_{\Delta} l(f - f_{\Delta}) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|\Delta|^{-1/q} \int_{\Delta} l |f - f_{\Delta}| = 2 \int_{\Delta} l |f - f_{\Delta}| 2^{-1} |\Delta|^{-1/q} = 2 |L(g_2)| \leq 2 \|L\|.$$

Consequently

$$(2.4) \quad (|\Delta|^{-1} \int_{\Delta} |l - l_{\Delta}|^q)^{1/q} \leq 2 \|L\|.$$

Combining (2.3) and (2.4) it follows that $l \in B_T \text{MOC}$.

The space $h_c^{1,q}(\partial D_T)$ is easily shown to be complete, and if $1 < q_1 < q_2 < \infty$ then

$$h_c^{1,\infty} \subset h_c^{1,q_2} \subset h_c^{1,q_1}.$$

The spaces $h_c^{1,q}$ defined above are not a particular case of the spaces $H^{1,q}$ associated to a space of homogeneous type, introduced by R. Coifman and G. Weiss in [1].

In fact, in our space ∂D_T , there is no distance for which the anisotropic surface disc Δ is a sphere. In [9] we studied the geometric structure of ∂D_T in relation to the surface disc Δ , and we established some different results. In particular, we have the following

THEOREM 2.2. *For any $1 < q < \infty$, $h^{1,q} = h^{1,\alpha}$ and the two norms are equivalent.*

This theorem enables us to define the space h_c^1 as one of the spaces $h_c^{1,q}$, $1 < q < \infty$, and to use the most convenient one in the proofs of the theorems. In the following we will use the space $h_c^{1,2}$ and clearly the same results are valid for any $h_c^{1,q}$.

§3. The Neumann problem with data in $h_c^1(\partial D_T)$. Given $g \in h_c^1(\partial D_T)$, we consider the Neumann problem for the heat equation

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} Lu(X, t) = \Delta_X u - D_t u = 0 & \text{for all } (X, t) \in \partial D_T, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} u(X, t) = 0 & \text{uniformly on compact subsets of } D, \\ \partial_{N_P} u(X, t) \rightarrow g(P, z). \end{cases}$$

The last statement is that $\partial_{N_P} u(X, t)$ approaches $g(P, z)$ in non-tangential sense, i.e.

$$\lim_{\substack{(X,t) \rightarrow (P,z) \\ (X,t) \notin \Gamma(P,z)}} \langle \nabla_X u(X, t), N_P \rangle = g(P, z) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

where

$$\Gamma(P, z) = \{(X, t): |X - P| + |t - z|^{1/2} < (1 + \beta) \text{dist}(X, \partial D)\} \cap D_T,$$

β a constant giving the opening of the cone Γ .

We look for a solution of (3.1) in the form of a single layer potential

$$u(X, t) = -2 \int_0^t \int_{\partial D} \frac{\exp\{-|X - Q|^2/4(t-s)\}}{(t-s)^{n/2}} f(Q, s) dQ ds$$

where f is an unknown function in $h_c^1(\partial D_T)$.

The first step is to study the behavior on the boundary of

$$\langle \nabla_X u(X, t), N_P \rangle = \int_0^t \int_{\partial D} \frac{\langle X - Q, N_P \rangle}{(t-s)^{n/2+1}} \exp\{-|X - Q|^2/4(t-s)\} f(Q, s) dQ ds$$

whose trace on ∂D_T is the singular integral operator

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} J'(f) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} \int_{\partial D} \frac{\langle P - Q, N_P \rangle}{(t-s)^{n/2+1}} \exp\{-|P - Q|^2/4(t-s)\} f(Q, s) dQ ds \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} \int_{\partial D} K'(P - Q, t-s) f(Q, s) dQ ds. \end{aligned}$$

As already remarked, J' is the adjoint of $-\Phi$ and is continuous from $L^p(\partial D_T)$ to $L^p(\partial D_T)$, $1 < p < \infty$.

Now we will show that J' is a continuous operator from $h_c^1(\partial D_T)$ to $h_c^1(\partial D_T)$. To do this, we need some lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. *If $a = a(P, t)$ is a (1, 2) c -atom with support in $\Delta = \Delta_r(P_0, t_0)$, then*

$$(3.3) \quad \left| \int_{\partial D_T} J'(a) \right| \leq c \frac{r}{(T - t_0 + r^2)^{1/2}}, \quad c \text{ independent of } a.$$

Proof. We consider first the case $r^2 < T - t_0$. Recalling that $\sup_\varepsilon |J'_\varepsilon(a)| \in L^2$ (see [5]), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial D_T} J'(a) &= \int_{\partial D_T} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} J'_\varepsilon(a) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\partial D_T} J'_\varepsilon(a) \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^T \int_{\partial D} \left\{ \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} \int_{\partial D} K'(P-Q, t-s) a(Q, s) dQ ds \right\} dP dt \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Now performing the change of variable $z = |P-Q|^2/(t-s)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\varepsilon &= \int_A a(Q, s) \left\{ \int_{\partial D} \frac{\langle P-Q, N_P \rangle}{|P-Q|^n} \left(\int_{|P-Q|^2/(T-s)}^{|P-Q|^2/\varepsilon} z^{n/2-1} e^{-4z} dz \right) dP \right\} dQ ds \\
&= \int_A a(Q, s) \left\{ \int_{\partial D} \dots \left(\int_0^{|P-Q|^2/\varepsilon} \dots dz \right) dP \right\} dQ ds \\
&\quad - \int_A a(Q, s) \left\{ \int_{\partial D} \dots \left(\int_0^{|P-Q|^2/(T-s)} \dots dz \right) dP \right\} dQ ds = I_\varepsilon - I''
\end{aligned}$$

Using the results in [7], we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} |I'_\varepsilon| = (\omega_n/2) \Gamma(n/2) \int_A |a| \leq c \frac{r}{(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2}}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
I'' &= \int_A a(Q, s) \left\{ \int_{\partial D} \dots \left(\int_0^{|P-Q|^2/(T-s)} \dots dz - \int_0^{|P-Q|^2/(T-t_0)} \dots dz \right) dP \right\} dQ ds \\
&\quad + \int_A a(Q, s) \left\{ \int_{\partial D} \dots \left(\int_0^{|P-Q|^2/(T-t_0)} \dots dz \right) dP \right\} dQ ds = I''_1 + I''_2.
\end{aligned}$$

If $s < t_0$, observing that $(T-s)(T-t_0)^{-1} < 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I''_1| &\leq \int_A |a(Q, s)| \left\{ \int_{\partial D} \frac{|P-Q|}{T-s} \frac{1}{(T-t_0)^{n/2-1}} \frac{r^2}{T-t_0} e^{-4(|P-Q|^2/(T-s))} dP \right\} dQ ds \\
&\leq c \frac{r^2}{T-t_0} \int_A |a(Q, s)| \left\{ \frac{1}{(T-s)^{n/2-1}} \int_{\partial D} \frac{|P-Q|}{(T-s)^{1/2}} e^{-4(|P-Q|^2/(T-s))} dP \right\} dQ ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\dim(\partial D) = n-1$, the term $\left\{ \int_{\partial D} \dots \right\}$ is uniformly bounded in $T=s$ and P , so that

$$|I''_1| \leq c \frac{r^2}{T-t_0} \leq c \frac{r}{(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2}}.$$

Reasoning in the same manner, for $s > t_0$ we obtain $|I''_1| \leq cr/(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2}$.

Now we split I''_2 as follows:

$$I_2'' = \int_{\Delta} a(Q, s) \left\{ \int_{|P-Q| \leq 5r} \dots \left(\int_0^{|P-Q|^2/(T-t_0)} \dots dz \right) dP \right\} dQ ds \\ + \int_{\Delta} a(Q, s) \left\{ \int_{|P-Q| > 5r} \dots \left(\int_0^{|P-Q|^2/(T-t_0)} \dots dz \right) dP \right\} dQ ds = A + B.$$

We have

$$A \leq c \int_{\Delta} a(Q, s) \left\{ \int_{|P-Q| \leq 5r} \frac{|P-Q|}{(T-t_0)^{n/2}} dP \right\} dQ ds \leq c \frac{r^2}{(T-t_0)^{n/2}} \int_{\Delta} a \\ \leq cr/(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2}.$$

For estimating B , we split it in two parts:

$$B = \int_{\Delta} a(Q, s) \left\{ \int_{|P-Q| > 5r} \dots \left(\int_0^{|P-Q|^2/(T-t_0)} \dots dz - \int_0^{|P-P_0|^2/(T-t_0)} \dots dz \right) dP \right\} dQ ds \\ + \int_{\Delta} a(Q, s) \left\{ \int_{|P-Q| > 5r} \dots \left(\int_0^{|P-P_0|^2/(T-t_0)} \dots dz \right) dP \right\} dQ ds = B_1 + B_2.$$

We have

$$|B_1| \leq c \int_{\Delta} |a(Q, s)| \left\{ \int_{|P-Q| > 5r} |P-Q|^{1-n} \frac{|P-P_0||Q-P_0|}{T-t_0} \left(\frac{|P-Q|^2}{T-t_0} \right)^{(n-2)/2} \right. \\ \left. \times \exp \left\{ -|P-Q|^2/(T-t_0) \right\} dP \right\} dQ ds \leq cr/(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2},$$

$$B_2 = \int_{\Delta} a(Q, s) \left\{ \int_{|P-Q| > 5r} \left(\frac{\langle P-Q, N_P \rangle}{|P-Q|^n} - \frac{\langle P-P_0, N_P \rangle}{|P-P_0|^n} \right) \left(\int_0^{|P-P_0|^2/(T-t_0)} \dots dz \right) dP \right\} \\ \times dQ ds + \int_{\Delta} a(Q, s) \left\{ \int_{|P-Q| > 5r} \frac{\langle P-P_0, N_P \rangle}{|P-P_0|^n} \left(\int_0^{|P-P_0|^2/(T-t_0)} \dots dz \right) dP \right\} dQ ds \\ = B_2' + B_2''.$$

We can estimate B_2' and B_2'' reasoning as before and we find that both are $\leq cr/(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2}$.

If $r^2 \geq T-t_0$, then $2^{-1/2} \leq r/(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2}$, so it is enough to show that $|\int_{\partial D_r} J'(a)| < c$, where c is a constant independent of a . This can be obtained by introducing a dyadic decomposition of ∂D_T as in the following Lemma 3.2, using the L^2 -continuity of J' and estimates of $K'(P-Q, t-s)$ (see [7]), and reasoning as in the last part of case (a) of Lemma 3.3.

LEMMA 3.2. *If $a = a(P, t)$ is a $(1, 2)$ c -atom such that $\int_{\partial D_T} a = 0$, then $J'(a) \in h_c^1(\partial D_T)$ and its norm depends only on the dimension of the space.*

Proof. We introduce some notations: if Δ is a surface disc of center (P_0, t_0) and radius r containing the support of a , we denote by Δ_i the surface disc concentric with Δ of radius $2^i r$, and we set

$$\chi_1 = \chi_{\Delta_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_i = \chi_{\Delta_i - \Delta_{i-1}} \quad \text{for } i \geq 2.$$

We distinguish two cases: (a) $T - t_0 > r^2$, (b) $T - t_0 \leq r^2$.

For case (a), let p denote the integer such that

$$(3.4) \quad 4^{-p-1}(T-t_0) \leq r^2 < 4^{-p}(T-t_0).$$

We split $J'(a)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J'(a) &= \left(\chi_1 J'(a) + \frac{\chi_1}{|\Delta_1|} \int_{\partial D_{T-\Delta_1}} J'(a) \right) + \sum_{i=2}^p \left(\chi_i J'(a) + \frac{\chi_i}{|\Delta_i - \Delta_{i-1}|} \int_{\partial D_{T-(\Delta_i - \Delta_{i-1})}} J'(a) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i>p} \chi_i J'(a) - \frac{\chi_1}{|\Delta_1|} \int_{\partial D_{T-\Delta_1}} J'(a) - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{\chi_i}{|\Delta_i - \Delta_{i-1}|} \int_{\partial D_{T-(\Delta_i - \Delta_{i-1})}} J'(a) \\ &= M_1 + \sum_{i=2}^p M_i + \sum_{i>p} M_i - R_1 - \sum_{i=2}^p R_i. \end{aligned}$$

We will show that, up to a multiplicative constant, $2^i M_i$ are (1, 2) c -atoms. We start with M_1 : by continuity of $J'(a)$ in $L^2(\partial D_T)$ we have

$$\|M_1\|_2 \leq \|J'(a)\|_2 + |\Delta_1|^{-1/2} \left| \int_{\partial D_{T-\Delta_1}} J'(a) \right| \leq c|\Delta|^{-1/2}.$$

Moreover, using Lemma 3.1,

$$\left| \int_{\partial D_T} M_1 \right| = \left| \int_{\partial D_T} J'(a) \right| \leq cr/(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2}.$$

So M_1 , up to a multiplicative constant, is a (1, 2) c -atom.

For $2 \leq i \leq p$ we have

$$\|M_i\|^2 \leq c \left\{ \int_{\Delta_i - \Delta_{i-1}} J'(a)^2 + |\Delta_i|^{-1} \left(\int_{\partial D_{T-(\Delta_i - \Delta_{i-1})}} J'(a) \right)^2 \right\} = c \{I_1 + I_2\}.$$

Recalling that $\int_{\Delta} a = 0$, if we use the mean value theorem and the estimates on K' we obtain, following the techniques in ([7], p. 303),

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{\Delta_i - \Delta_{i-1}} \left(\int_{\Delta} (K'(P-Q, t-s) - K'(P-P_0, t-t_0)) a(Q, s) dQ ds \right)^2 dP dt \\ &\leq c 2^{-2i} |\Delta_i|^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

while, from Lemma 3.1 and Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq c \left\{ |\Delta_i|^{-1} \left(\int_{\partial D_T} J'(a) \right)^2 + |\Delta_i|^{-1} \left(\int_{\Delta_i - \Delta_{i-1}} J'(a) \right)^2 \right\} \\ &\leq c |\Delta_i|^{-1} \frac{r^2}{(T-t_0+r^2)} + c \int_{\Delta_i} J'(a)^2 \leq c |\Delta_i|^{-1} \left(\frac{r^2}{(T-t_0+r^2)} + 2^{-2i} \right) \\ &\leq c 2^{-2i} |\Delta_i|^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial D_T} M_i \right| &= \left| \int_{\partial D_T} J'(a) \right| \leq c \frac{r}{(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2}} \leq c 2^{-i} \frac{2^i r}{(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2}} \\ &\leq c 2^{-i} \frac{2^i r}{(T-t_0+(2^i r)^2)^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

So $2^i M_i$, up to a multiplicative constant, is a $(1, 2)$ c -atom.

For $i > p$, we proceed in the same manner in order to show that $2^i M_i$ satisfies property (ii). For (iii) we recall that

$$2^i r / (T-t_0+(2^i r)^2)^{1/2} > 2^{-1/2}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial D_T} M_i \right| &= \left| \int_{\Delta_i - \Delta_{i-1}} J'(a) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\Delta_i - \Delta_{i-1}} \left(\int_{\Delta} (K'(P-Q, t-s) - K'(P-P_0, t-t_0)) a(Q, s) dQ ds \right) dP dt \right| \\ &\leq c 2^{-i}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we can write

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 + \sum_{i=2}^p R_i &= \left(\frac{\chi_1}{|\Delta_1|} \int_{\partial D_T - \Delta_1} J'(a) + \frac{\chi_2}{|\Delta_2 - \Delta_1|} \int_{\Delta_1} J'(a) \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{\chi_2}{|\Delta_2 - \Delta_1|} \int_{\partial D_T - \Delta_2} J'(a) + \frac{\chi_3}{|\Delta_3 - \Delta_2|} \int_{\Delta_2} J'(a) \right) + \dots + \frac{\chi_p}{|\Delta_p - \Delta_{p-1}|} \int_{\partial D_T - \Delta_p} J'(a) \\ &= T_1 + \dots + T_p. \end{aligned}$$

We show that $2^i T_i$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, up to a multiplicative constant, is a $(1, 2)$ c -atom, with support in Δ_{i+1} , except for T_p whose support is in Δ_p . Clearly, $2^i T_i$ satisfies property (iii). For (ii) we write for $1 \leq i \leq p$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_i &= \frac{\chi_i}{|\Delta_i - \Delta_{i-1}|} \int_{\partial D_T - \Delta_i} J'(a) + \frac{\chi_{i+1}}{|\Delta_{i+1} - \Delta_i|} \int_{\Delta_i} J'(a) \\ &\quad - \frac{\chi_{i+1}}{|\Delta_{i+1} - \Delta_i|} \int_{\partial D_T - \Delta_i} J'(a) = I_1 + I_2 - I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.1 and (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta_{i+1}} I_2^2 &\leq c |\Delta_{i+1}|^{-1} \left(\int_{\partial D_T} J'(a) \right)^2 \leq c 2^{-2(i+1)} |\Delta_{i+1}|^{-1}, \\ \int_{\Delta_{i+1}} I_3^2 &\leq c |\Delta_{i+1}|^{-1} \sum_{q>i+1} \left(\int_{\Delta_q - \Delta_{q-1}} J'(a) \right)^2 \leq c 2^{-2i} |\Delta_{i+1}|^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The same estimate is valid for I_1 .

For case (b): $T-t_0 \leq r^2$, since $r/(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2} > 2^{-1/2}$ we write

$$J'(a) = \chi_1 J'(a) + \sum_{i \geq 2} \chi_i J'(a) = \sum_{i \geq 1} M_i$$

and reasoning as before it is not hard to show that $2^i M_i$ is, up to a multiplicative constant, a (1, 2) c -atom.

LEMMA 3.3. *If $a = a(P, t)$ is a (1, 2) c -atom with support in $\Delta = \Delta_r(P, t_0)$, then $J'(a) \in h_c^1(\partial D_T)$ and its norm depends only on n .*

Proof. Write

$$a = \left(a - \frac{\chi_\Delta}{|\Delta|} \int_\Delta a \right) + \frac{\chi_\Delta}{|\Delta|} \int_\Delta a.$$

Since the function in brackets is an atom with mean value zero, by the previous lemma, we are reduced to the case in which the atom has the form

$$a = \frac{\chi_\Delta}{|\Delta|} \frac{1}{(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2}}.$$

As usual, we distinguish two cases: (a) $T-t_0 > r^2$, (b) $T-t_0 \leq r^2$.

Case (a). Using the notation of Lemma 3.2, we write

$$J'(a) = \chi_1 a + \sum_{i \geq 2} \chi_i a = \sum_{i \geq 1} M_i.$$

If $i \leq p$, p the integer of Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta_{i+1}} M_i &= \frac{1}{|\Delta|} \frac{r}{(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2}} \int_{\Delta_{i+1}-\Delta_i} \left(\int_\Delta K'(P-Q, t-s) dQ ds \right) dP dt \\ &\leq c 2^{-i} \frac{2^i r}{(T-t_0+r^2)^{1/2}} \leq c 2^{-i} \frac{2^i r}{(T-t_0+(2^i r)^2)^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta_{i+1}} M_i^2 &= \frac{1}{|\Delta|^2} \frac{r^2}{T-t_0+r^2} \int_{\Delta_{i+1}-\Delta_i} \left(\int_\Delta K'(P-Q, t-s) dQ ds \right)^2 dP dt \\ &\leq c |\Delta_{i+1}|^{-1} \frac{r^2}{T-t_0+r^2} \leq c 2^{-2i} |\Delta_{i+1}|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $i > p$, since $2^{2i} r^2 + t_0 > T$ and $T > t > s$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta_{i+1}} M_i^2 &= \frac{1}{|\Delta|^2} \int_{\Delta_{i+1}-\Delta_i} \left(\int_\Delta K'(P-Q, t-s) dQ ds \right)^2 dP dt \leq \frac{r^{n-1} 2^{i(n-1)}}{2^{2i(n+1)} r^{2(n+1)}} |T-t_0| \\ &\leq \frac{c}{2^{i(n+1)} r^{n-1}} \frac{|T-t_0|}{2^{2i} r^2} \leq c \frac{2^{2p-2i}}{2^{i(n-1)} r^{n-1}} \frac{1}{2^{2i} r^2} \\ &\leq c \frac{2^{2p-2i}}{2^{i(n-1)} r^{n-1}} \frac{1}{|T-t_0|} \leq c 2^{2(p-i)} |\Delta_{i+1}|^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Case (b) is shown by the same reasoning as in the last part of the previous case.

COROLLARY 3.1. *The operator J' is continuous from $h_c^1(\partial D_T)$ to $h_c^1(\partial D_T)$.*

Moreover, since $h_c^1(\partial D_T)$ is the predual of B_T MOC, the invertibility of $c_n I + J'$ follows from the invertibility of $c_n I + \Phi$ on B_T MOC (see § 1).

§ 4. Boundary behavior of single layer potential. In the next theorem we study the behavior of the single layer potential near the boundary. Consider the parabolic cone

$$\Gamma(P, t) = \{(X, z): |X - P| + |z - t|^{1/2} < (1 + \beta)\text{dist}(X, \partial D)\} \cap D_T$$

where $\beta > 0$ gives the opening of Γ . For u caloric in D_T

$$N(u)(P, t) = \sup_{(X, s) \in \Gamma(P, t)} |u(X, s)|$$

is the tangential maximal function of u and for an atom $a(P, t)$, $u_a(X, t)$ is the single layer potential of a , i.e.

$$u_a(X, z) = -2 \int_0^t \int_{\partial D} \frac{\exp\{-|X - Q|^2/4(z - s)\}}{(z - s)^{n/2}} a(Q, s) dQ ds.$$

Since, given an atom $a = a(P, t)$ with support in $\Delta = \Delta_r(P_0, t_0)$, we can write

$$a = \left(a - \frac{\chi_\Delta}{|\Delta|} \int_\Delta a \right) + \frac{\chi_\Delta}{|\Delta|} \int_\Delta a,$$

the study of u_a near the boundary reduces to the study of two cases:

- (a) a is an atom with mean value zero,
- (b) a is an atom of the form $a = c(\chi_\Delta/|\Delta|)r/(T - t_0 + r^2)^{1/2}$

Then we have the two lemmas.

LEMMA 4.1. *If a is an atom of the form (b), then $N(\nabla_X u_a) \in L^1(\partial D_T)$ and $\|N(\nabla_X u_a)\|_{L^1} \leq c$, where $c = c_\beta$ is independent of a (β gives the opening of Γ).*

Proof. As shown in [5], $N(\nabla_X u_a)$ is in $L^2(\partial D_T)$ and

$$\|N(\nabla_X u_a)\|_{L^2(\partial D_T)} \leq c \|a\|_{L^2(\partial D_T)}.$$

If $\Delta_l = \Delta_l(P_0, t_0)$ has the same meaning as in Lemma 3.2 we write

$$\int_{\partial D_T} N(\nabla_X u_a) = \int_{\Delta_l} N(\dots) + \int_{\partial D_T - \Delta_l} N(\dots) = I_1 + I_2.$$

We have, from the previous observation,

$$|I_1| \leq c r^{(n+1)/2} \|a\|_{L^2} \leq c.$$

In order to estimate I_2 we distinguish two cases:

- (ω) $T - t_0 > r^2$, (ψ) $T - t_0 \leq r^2$

Case (ω). If p is the integer introduced in Lemma 3.2, we split I_2 as follows:

$$I_2 = \sum_{i>3}^p \int_{\Delta_i - \Delta_{i-1}} N(\dots) + \sum_{i>p} \int_{\Delta_i - \Delta_{i-1}} N(\dots) = \sum_{i>3}^p L_i + \sum_{i>p} L_i.$$

For $(P, t) \in \Delta_i - \Delta_{i-1}$, consider the cone $\Gamma(P, t)$. If $(X, z) \in \Gamma(P, t)$ and (X, z) is such that $|X - P| + |z - t|^{1/2} \leq 2^{i-2}r$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |P - Q| \geq 2^{i-1}r \quad \text{implies} \quad |X - Q| &= |X - P + P - Q| \\ &\geq ||P - Q| - |X - P|| \geq c2^{i-1}r, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |z - s| \geq 2^{2i-2}r^2 \quad \text{implies} \quad |z - s| &= |z - t + t - s| \\ &\geq ||t - s| - |z - t|| \geq c2^{2i-2}r^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this, it follows easily, using estimates in ([7], p. 303), that

$$|\nabla_X u_a| \leq c_\beta \frac{1}{2^{(i-1)(n+1)}} \frac{1}{r^{n+1}} \frac{r}{(T - t_0 + r^2)^{1/2}}.$$

On the other hand, for $(X, z) \in \Gamma(P, t)$ with $|X - P| + |z - t|^{1/2} \geq 2^{i-2}r$ we have $|X - Q| \geq \text{dist}(X, \partial D) \geq c_\beta(|X - P| + |z - t|^{1/2}) \geq c_\beta 2^{i-2}r$ and then

$$|\nabla_X u_a| \leq c_\beta \frac{1}{2^{(i-1)(n+1)}} \frac{1}{r^{n+1}} \frac{r}{(T - t_0 + r^2)^{1/2}}.$$

So for $i \leq p$, recalling the observations of Lemma 3.2 on p ,

$$|L_i| \leq c_\beta \frac{|\Delta_i - \Delta_{i-1}|}{r^{n+1} 2^{(i-1)(n+1)}} \frac{r}{(T - t_0 + r^2)^{1/2}} \leq c_\beta 2^{-i}$$

and for $i > p$ (see once again Lemma 3.2)

$$|L_i| \leq c_\beta \frac{2^{(i-1)(n-1)} r^{n-1} (T - t_0)}{r^{n+1} 2^{(i-1)(n+1)}} \leq c_\beta 2^{2(i-p)}.$$

Collecting these results we have $I_2 \leq C_\beta$.

LEMMA 4.2. *If a is an atom of the form (ψ) then $N(\nabla_X u_a) \in L^1(\partial D_T)$ and $\|N(\dots)\|_{L^1} \leq c$ where $c = c_\beta$ is independent of a (β gives the opening of Γ).*

Proof. Splitting as in the previous lemma we have

$$\int_{\partial D_T} N(\nabla_X u_a) = \int_{\Delta_{3r}} N(\dots) + \int_{\partial D_T - \Delta_{3r}} N(\dots) = I_1 + I_2.$$

For the same reason as in Lemma 4.1, $|I_1| \leq c$, while to estimate I_2 , we use the fact that a has mean value zero and we write

$$\nabla_X u_a(X, z) = \int_0^1 \int_{\partial D} (\bar{K}(X - Q, z - s) - \bar{K}(X - P_0, z - t_0)) a(Q, s) dQ ds$$

where

$$\bar{K}(X-Q, z-s) = \frac{X-Q}{(z-s)^{(n+2)/2}} \exp(-|X-Q|^2/4(z-s)).$$

Recalling the estimates of \bar{K} (see [7]), introducing once again the decomposition of Lemma 3.2, and reasoning as in the previous lemma we have $|I_2| \leq c$.

THEOREM 4.1. *There exists a constant $c > 0$, independent of f , such that for any $f \in h_c^1(\partial D_T)$,*

$$\|N(\nabla_X u_f)\|_{L^1(\partial D_T)} \leq c \|f\|_{h_c^1(\partial D_T)}.$$

Moreover, writing $u = u_f$,

$$\langle \nabla_X u(X, t), N_P \rangle \rightarrow (c_n I + J') f(P, z)$$

pointwise for almost every $(P, z) \in \partial D_T$ as $(X, t) \rightarrow (P, z)$, $(X, t) \in \Gamma(P, z)$, where $c_n = \omega_n H(0)/2$ and J' is the operator defined by (1.11).

Proof. Since $c_n I + J'$ is invertible on $h_c^1(\partial D_T)$, we only have to show the non-tangential convergence of $\partial_{N_P} u_f$ to $(c_n I + J') f$, where $f \in h_c^1$.

We know ([5]) that this convergence holds when $f \in L^2(\partial D_T)$ and then also when f is an atom. Using very simple arguments (see [4], p. 7) one can easily show that this convergence holds for any $f \in h_c^1(\partial D_T)$.

In order to solve the Neumann problem (3.1) it remains to show the uniqueness of the solution. To this end, we show

LEMMA 4.3. *Suppose $Lu = 0$, $N(\nabla_X u) \in L^1(\partial D_T)$ and $u(X, t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ uniformly on compact subsets of D . If $\partial_{N_P} u = 0$ on ∂D_T , then $u = 0$ on D_T .*

Proof. Using techniques similar to those of Lemma 1.6 of [3], one can show that there exists an integer $q > 1$ such that $N(\nabla_X u) \in L^q$ and moreover $u = 0$ on ∂D_T . Then the fact that $u = 0$ in D_T is a consequence of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 of [5].

References

- [1] R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1977), 569–645.
- [2] E. Fabes, M. Jodeit and N. Rivière, *Potential techniques for boundary value problems on C^1 -domain*, Acta Math. 141 (1978), 165–186.
- [3] E. Fabes and C. Kenig, *On the space H^1 of a C^1 -domain*, Ark. Mat. 19 (1981), 1–22.
- [4] E. Fabes, C. Kenig and U. Neri, *Carleson measures, H^1 duality and weighted BMO in non-smooth domains*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 30 (1981), 574–581.
- [5] E. Fabes and N. Rivière, *Dirichlet and Neumann problems for the heat equation on C^1 -cylinder*, in: Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 35, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., 1979, 179–196.
- [6] C. Fefferman and E. Stein, *H^p spaces of several variables*, Acta Math. 129 (1972), 137–193.

- [7] A. Grimaldi, U. Neri and F. Ragnedda, *BMO continuity for some heat potentials*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 72 (1984), 289–305.
- [8] —, —, —, *Invertibility of some heat potentials in BMO-norms*, *ibid.* 75 (1986), 77–90.
- [9] A. Grimaldi and F. Ragnedda, *Properties and geometrical structure of the boundary of a C^1 -cylindrical domain*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Cagliari, to appear.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI CAGLIARI
Viale Merello 92, 09100 Cagliari, Italia

Reçu par la Rédaction le 02.12.1989
