An additional note on entire functions represented by Dirichlet series (II)

by A. R. REDDY (Madras, India)

1. Introduction. This note makes out a significant point supplementary and relevent to the earlier note with the same title [3]. As in the earlier note, the entire Dirichlet series

$$f(s) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_n e^{s\lambda_n}, \quad s = \sigma + it, \quad 0 < \lambda_n < \lambda_{n+1} \quad (n \geqslant 1), \quad \lim \lambda_n = \infty,$$

is defined to be absolutely convergent for all finite s; and we also define for it, as in the another note [2], the following concepts plainly related to one another:

$$\mu(\sigma) = \max_{n \geq 1} |a_n e^{(\sigma + il)\lambda_n}| \equiv |a_r| e^{\sigma \lambda_r},$$

where ν and hence λ_{ν} depend on σ , so that

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{r}} = \lambda_{\mathbf{r}(\sigma)} \equiv \Lambda(\sigma)$$

is a monotonic increasing function of σ ,

$$\limsup_{\sigma\to\infty}\frac{\log\log\mu(\sigma)}{\sigma}=\varrho_*\,,$$

$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \inf \frac{\log \log \mu(\sigma)}{\sigma} = \lambda_*.$$

The point made out in this note has a two-fold significance.

(a) There is in general a set of results for $\mu(\sigma)$ involving the associated function $\Lambda(\sigma)$ and the associated (Sugimura) orders ϱ_{\bullet} and λ_{\bullet} exactly parallel to any known set of results for

$$M(\sigma) = \lim_{\substack{-\infty < t < \infty}} |f(\sigma + it)|,$$

involving the associated function $w(\sigma)$ and the associated (Ritt) orders ϱ and λ . In illustration of this, the present note gives a set of results for $\mu(\sigma)$ parallel to the set for $M(\sigma)$ in the earlier note [3].

- (b) While each set of results, by itself, does not require any additional condition on $\{\lambda_n\}$, such an additional condition may be needed when it is sought to connect two results, one in each set (e.g. [2], Theorem 1). The superfluity of the extra condition on $\{\lambda_n\}$, in the circumstances stated, is obscured, in the whole literature as, for instance, in two theorems given by Kamthan in a recent paper ([1], Theorems B and E). Kamthan's theorems are only Lemma 2 and Theorem II of this note supplemented by the result $\varrho_* = \varrho$ and $\lambda_* = \lambda$ which is known to hold ([2], Theorem 1) under an extra condition on $\{\lambda_n\}$, much less restrictive than that assumed by Kamthan.
- **2. Lemmas.** In the following lemmas $\mu^{j}(\sigma)$, $\Lambda^{j}(\sigma)$, ϱ_{*}^{j} and λ_{*}^{j} (j=1,2,...) are defined for $f^{j}(s)$, the entire Dirichlet series obtained by j termwise differentiations of f(s), exactly as $\mu(\sigma)$, $\Lambda(\sigma)$, ϱ_{*} and λ_{*} are defined for f(s). Moreover, the lemmas and the theorems after them bear each the number as its analogue (exact or rough) in [3].

LEMMA 1 (cf. Lemmas 1 and 1' of [3]). For any $\delta > 0$,

(1)
$$\mu(\sigma) < \operatorname{const} \cdot \mu^{j}(\sigma) ,$$

(2)
$$\mu^{j}(\sigma) \leqslant \frac{j!}{\delta^{j}} \mu(\sigma + \delta) .$$

Proof. We have (as in [2], proof of Theorem 2)

(3)
$$\Lambda(\sigma) \leqslant \frac{\mu'(\sigma)}{\mu(\sigma)} \leqslant \Lambda'(\sigma) \leqslant \frac{\mu^2(\sigma)}{\mu^1(\sigma)} \leqslant \dots$$

Hence $\Lambda(\sigma)$, $\Lambda'(\sigma)$, ... being all monotonic increasing functions of σ , we get

$$\mu(\sigma) < \operatorname{const} \cdot \mu'(\sigma), \, \dots, \, \mu^{j-1}(\sigma) < \operatorname{const} \cdot \mu^{j}(\sigma)$$

and (1) follows when we multiply together the above inequalities.

To prove (2), we have only to note that, by definition

$$\mu^{j}(\sigma) = \lambda_r^{j} |a_r| e^{\sigma \lambda_r} ,$$

where of course ν depends on j as well as σ . Since $\lambda_{\nu}^{j} \delta^{j} / j! < e^{\delta \nu_{\nu}}$ for any $\delta > 0$, we have at once

$$\mu^{j}(\sigma) \leq \frac{j!}{\delta^{j}} |a_{r}| e^{(\sigma+\delta)\lambda_{r}} \leq \frac{j!}{\delta^{j}} \mu(\sigma+\delta).$$

LEMMA 2 (cf. Lemmas 2 and 2' of [3]). We have

$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \sup \frac{\log \Lambda(\sigma)}{\sigma} = \varrho_* \;,$$

$$\liminf_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{\log \Lambda(\sigma)}{\sigma} = \lambda_{\bullet} ,$$

where $\Lambda(\sigma)$ alone may be replaced by $\Lambda^{j}(\sigma)$ by Theorem 1 of this note.

The main result of Lemma 2 is the same as that of Lemma 3 in [2]. Lemma 3 (cf. Lemmas 3 and 3 of [3]). For j = 1, 2, ...

$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\sup\frac{\log\mu^j(\sigma)/\mu(\sigma)}{\sigma}\geqslant j\varrho_*\;,$$

$$\liminf_{\sigma\to\infty}\frac{\log\mu^j(\sigma)/\mu(\sigma)}{\sigma}\geqslant j\lambda_{\bullet}\;.$$

Proof. From (3) we obtain

$$\frac{\mu^{j}(\sigma)}{\mu(\sigma)} = \frac{\mu^{j}(\sigma)}{\mu^{j-1}(\sigma)} \cdot \frac{\mu^{j-1}(\sigma)}{\mu^{j-2}(\sigma)} \dots \frac{\mu'(\sigma)}{\mu(\sigma)} \geqslant \Lambda^{j-1}(\sigma) \cdot \Lambda^{j-2}(\sigma) \dots \Lambda(\sigma) \geqslant \{\Lambda(\sigma)\}^{j}.$$

Hence we get, taking logarithms, and using Lemma 2

$$\limsup_{\sigma o \infty} \frac{\log \left[\mu^{j}(\sigma) / \mu(\sigma) \right]}{\sigma} \geqslant j \lim_{\sigma o \infty} \sup \frac{\log \Lambda(\sigma)}{\sigma} = j \varrho_* ,$$

$$\lim_{\sigma o\infty}\infrac{\log[\mu^j(\sigma)/\mu(\sigma)]}{\sigma}\geqslant j\lim_{\sigma o\infty}\infrac{\log arLambda(\sigma)}{\sigma}=j\lambda_*\;.$$

3. Theorems. The proofs of all the results which follow, being exactly similar to the proofs of the analogous results of [3], are omitted.

Theorem I. $\varrho_* = \varrho_*', \lambda_* = \lambda_*'.$

THEOREM II. For j = 1, 2, ...,

$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\sup\frac{\log[\mu^j(\sigma)/\mu(\sigma)]^{1/j}}{\sigma}=\varrho_*\,,$$

$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\inf\frac{\log[\mu^j(\sigma)/\mu(\sigma)]^{1/j}}{\sigma}=\lambda_*.$$

COROLLARY II. We have

$$\log \mu'(\sigma) \approx \log \mu(\sigma) \quad (0 \leqslant \varrho_* < \infty) ,$$
 $\tau_* = \tau_*' , \quad \omega_* = \omega_*' \quad (0 < \varrho_* < \infty) ,$

where

$$au_* = \limsup_{\sigma o \infty} \frac{\log \mu(\sigma)}{e^{\sigma \varrho_*}} \,, \qquad \omega_* = \lim_{\sigma o \infty} \inf \frac{\log \mu(\sigma)}{e^{\sigma \varrho_*}} \,,$$

and τ'_* and ω'_* are defined similarly.

Remark. The proof indicated above for Theorem II can be more shortly presented by using the result of Lemma 3 in conjunction with the formulae

$$\limsup_{\sigma\to\infty}\frac{\log[\mu^j(\sigma)/\mu(\sigma)]}{\sigma}\leqslant j\varrho_*\;,\quad \ \, \liminf_{\sigma\to\infty}\frac{\log[\mu^j(\sigma)/\mu(\sigma)]}{\sigma}\leqslant j\lambda_*\;,$$

which may be readily obtained from (3) and an appeal to the part of Lemma 2 for $\Lambda^{j}(\sigma)$.

Added in proof.

THEOREM III. For j = 1, 2, ...,

$$\varrho_{*} = \limsup_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{\log \left[\mu^{j}(\sigma) + \ldots + \mu^{1}(\sigma) / \mu^{j-1}(\sigma) + \ldots + \mu(\sigma) \right]}{\sigma},$$

$$\lambda_* = \liminf_{\sigma o \infty} rac{\log \left[\mu^j(\sigma) + ... + \mu^1(\sigma) / \mu^{j-1}(\sigma) + ... + \mu(\sigma)
ight]}{\sigma} \,.$$

The proof of this follows easili from (3) and Theorem I, hence omitted.

References

- [1] P. K. Kamthan, On entire functions represented by Dirichlet series, Ann. Inst. Fourier 16 (1966), pp. 202-224.
- [2] C. T. Rajagopal and A. R. Reddy, A note on entire functions represented by Dirichlet series, Ann. Polon. Math. 17 (1965), pp. 199-208.
- [3] A. R. Reddy, An additional note on entire functions represented by Dirichlet series, ibidem 19 (1967), pp. 147-152.

THE RAMANUJAN INSTITUTE UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS Madras 5 (India)

Reçu par la Rédaction le 7. 10. 1967

•