

Absolute Nörlund summability and orthogonal series

by J. MEDER (Szczecin)

1. Let $\sum a_n$ be a given series with the sequence of partial sums $\{s_n\}$. Let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence of real constants, and let us write

$$P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n.$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$(1) \quad t_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k} s_k \quad (P_n \neq 0)$$

defines the sequence $\{t_n\}$ of Nörlund means of the sequence $\{s_n\}$ generated by the sequence $\{p_n\}$. The transforms are called the *Nörlund means* of the sequence $\{s_n\}$ or of the series $\sum a_n$.

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be (N, p_n) -summable to the sum s if $\lim t_n$ exists and equals s . Moreover, it is said to be *absolutely* (N, p_n) -summable, or shortly $|N, p_n|$ -summable, if the sequence $\{t_n\}$ is of bounded variation, i.e. if the series $\sum |t_n - t_{n-1}|$ is convergent.

Obviously, $|N, p_n|$ -summability implies (N, p_n) -summability. However, not conversely. There exist certain series (N, p_n) -summable but not $|N, p_n|$ -summable ⁽¹⁾.

If $\{p_n\}$ is non-negative, then

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n} = 0$$

is a necessary and sufficient condition for the regularity of the method of summation (N, p_n) .

⁽¹⁾ This proves the following example by L. Mc. Fadden (*Absolute Nörlund summability*, Duke Math. Journ. 9(1942), pp. 168-207). Let $p_n = 1$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, and let $a_n = (-1)^n$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Then

$$t_k = \begin{cases} 1/(k+1) & \text{for } k \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{for } k \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly t_k converges to zero, but

$$|t_k - t_{k-1}| = \begin{cases} 1/(k+1) & \text{for } k \text{ even,} \\ 1/k & \text{for } k \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |t_k - t_{k-1}|$ diverges.

The object of this note is to examine the $|N, p_n|$ -summability of orthogonal series of the form

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \varphi_n(x),$$

where $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$ denotes an arbitrary orthonormal system defined in the interval $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$, and $\{a_n\} \in l^2$, i.e.

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 < +\infty.$$

In the sequel we shall restrict ourselves mainly to the special classes \bar{M}^a of Nörlund means:

A sequence $\{p_n\}$ will be said to belong to the class \bar{M}^a , with $-1 < a < 0$, if

$$(i) \quad p_0 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_n < 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(ii) \quad p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_n < p_{n+1} < \dots,$$

$$(iii) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(p_n - p_{n-1})}{p_n} = a - 1.$$

A sequence $\{p_n\}$ will be said to belong to the class \bar{M}^a , with $a > 0$, if

$$(j) \quad 0 < p_{n+1} < p_n \quad \text{or} \quad 0 < p_n < p_{n+1} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$(jj) \quad p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n = P_n \nearrow +\infty,$$

$$(jjj) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(p_n - p_{n-1})}{p_n} = a - 1.$$

In particular, if instead of condition (jjj) we shall assume the condition $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} np_n/P_n = a$, retaining conditions (j) and (jj), then $\{p_n\}$ will be said to belong to the class M^a .

The theorems presented below generalize the corresponding results due to K. Tandori [7] and L. Leindler (see [2], Satz I, p. 244 and Satz II, p. 253) concerning the $|C, a|$ -summability (with $a > \frac{1}{2}$ and $-1 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $a \neq 0$) of orthogonal series. They establish at the same time an analogue to a result of F. Móricz [5] concerning the absolute Riesz-summability of orthogonal series. The idea and the proofs themselves of the theorems presented here are similar to F. Móricz's results.

LEMMA 1. *Let $\{r_n(x)\}$ be the Rademacher-system. Then for every sequence $\{a_n\}$ of real coefficients we have the inequality*

$$C(E) \int_E \left| \sum_{k=N}^n a_k r_k(x) \right| dx \geq \left\{ \sum_{k=N}^n a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \quad (n = N, N+1, \dots),$$

where $E (C \langle 0, 1 \rangle)$ denotes an arbitrary set of positive measure and N is a positive integer dependent only on E .

This lemma is known (see [6], Hilfsatz IV, pp. 31-32).

LEMMA 2. Let $\{p_n\} \in \bar{M}^a$, with $a > -1$ and $a \neq 0$. Then $p_n/P_n \nearrow$ as $-1 < a < 0$, and $p_n/P_n \searrow$ as $a > 0$, for sufficiently large n .

Proof. Let $\{p_n\} \in \bar{M}^a$, with $-1 < a < 0$. First we shall give some properties of the sequence $\{p_n\}$ belonging to this class.

(a) If $P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n$, then $\{P_n\}$ is a positive, decreasing and null-convergent sequence.

In fact, since $p_n < 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$P_{n-1} > P_{n-1} + p_n = P_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Considering that $P_n \searrow$ and applying the Stolz lemma, we find that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{np_n}{P_n} = a < 0.$$

Hence $P_n > 0$ for $n > N$, where N denotes a positive integer sufficiently large. Suppose then that $P_n \leq 0$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$. Then we should have $P_n > P_N > P_{N+1} > 0$, which would imply $P_n > 0$, contrary to hypothesis. Arguing as in the proof of a lemma (see [4], Lemma 3, p. 249), we easily state that $\{P_n\}$ is a null-convergent sequence.

(b) $p_n/P_n \nearrow$ for sufficiently large n .

This is evident because

$$\frac{p_n}{P_n} - \frac{p_{n-1}}{P_{n-1}} = \frac{p_n}{nP_{n-1}} \left[\frac{n(p_n - p_{n-1})}{p_n} - \frac{np_n}{P_n} \right].$$

(c) Let $W_n = p_{n-1}P_n - p_nP_{n-1}$ and $p_{n+1}/p_n \nearrow$. Then $W_n/p_n \searrow$.

In fact,

$$\frac{W_{n+1}}{p_{n+1}} = \frac{p_n}{p_{n+1}} P_n - P_{n-1} < \frac{p_{n-1}}{p_n} P_n - P_{n-1} = \frac{W_n}{p_n}.$$

(d) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_n}{p_n^2} = \frac{1}{a}$ because

$$\frac{W_n}{p_n^2} = 1 - \frac{P_n}{np_n} \cdot \frac{n(p_n - p_{n-1})}{p_n}.$$

Remark. Property (d) is also valid if $\{p_n\} \in \bar{M}^a$, with $a > 0$. Now let $\{p_n\} \in \bar{M}^a$, with $a > 0$. If $0 < p_n \searrow$, then

$$p_{n-1}/P_{n-1} - p_n/P_n = \frac{1}{P_n P_{n-1}} (p_{n-1}P_n - p_nP_{n-1}) > \frac{1}{P_n^2} (p_nP_n - p_nP_n) = 0$$

for every n . If $0 < p_n \nearrow$, then our statement is evident because

$$p_{n-1}/P_{n-1} - p_n/P_n = \frac{P_n}{np_{n-1}} \left[\frac{np_n}{P_n} - \frac{n(p_n - p_{n-1})}{p_n} \right],$$

and because the expression in the square brackets tending to $1/a$ is positive for sufficiently large n .

Remark. If we assume only that $0 < p_n \nearrow$ and $p_{n+1}/p_n \searrow$ or that $\{p_n\}$ is concave, then $p_n/P_n \searrow$ for every n . In fact, considering the expression W_n , which is positive for $n = 1$, let us suppose that $W_n > 0$ for a given $n \neq 1$. If $\{p_n\}$ is concave, then

$$\begin{aligned} W_{n+1} &= p_n P_{n+1} - p_{n+1} P_n = p_n p_{n+1} - P_n (p_{n+1} - p_n) \\ &> p_n^2 - (p_n - p_{n-1}) P_n = p_{n-1} P_n - p_n P_{n-1} = W_n > 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $p_{n+1}/p_n \searrow$, then

$$\begin{aligned} W_{n+1} &= p_n P_{n+1} - p_{n+1} P_n = p_n \left(P_n - \frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n} P_{n-1} \right) \\ &> p_n \left(P_n - \frac{p_n}{p_{n-1}} P_{n-1} \right) = \frac{p_n}{p_{n-1}} W_n > \frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n} W_n > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying complete induction, we infer the validity of our statement in both cases of monotony of the sequence $\{p_n\}$. At the same time we have proved that $\{W_n\}$ and $\{W_n/p_n\}$, respectively, are increasing sequences in the case under examination.

LEMMA 3. If $\{p_n\} \in M^a$, $a > 1$, then

$$\frac{P_{n-[n/4a]}}{P_n} > \frac{1}{4}$$

for sufficiently large n .

Proof. Let r be a positive integer such that $2^{r-1} < a \leq 2^r$. Since $a > 1$, then $0 < p_n \nearrow$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_{n-[n/4a]}}{P_n} &> \frac{P_{n-[n/2^{r+1}]}}{P_n} = 1 - \frac{1}{P_n} (p_{n-[n/2^{r+1}]+1} + \dots + p_n) \\ &> 1 - \left[\frac{n}{2^{r+1}} \right] \frac{p_n}{P_n} > 1 - \frac{1}{2a} \cdot \frac{np_n}{P_n}, \end{aligned}$$

whence Lemma 3 follows because the last expression is greater than $1/4$ for sufficiently large n .

LEMMA 4. If $\{p_n\} \in \bar{M}^a$, with $a > -1$ and $a \neq 0$, then

$$(5) \quad C_1(a) k p_n p_{n-k} < |p_{n-k} P_n - p_n P_{n-k}| < C_2(a) k p_n p_{n-k} \quad (2) \\ (n = N, N+1, \dots; k = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

where $C_1(a)$ and $C_2(a)$ denote positive constants dependent, in general, on a , and N denotes a natural number, which will be defined in the proof.

(2) The sign of the absolute value can be omitted as $a > 0$.

Proof. First we shall prove the second inequality of estimation (5). On writing

$$W_{n,k} = p_{n-k}P_n - p_nP_{n-k} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots; k = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{n,k} &= p_n p_{n-k} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} - \frac{P_{n-k}}{p_{n-k}} \right) = p_n p_{n-k} \left[\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} - \frac{P_{n-1}}{p_{n-1}} \right) + \dots + \left(\frac{P_{n-k+1}}{p_{n-k+1}} - \frac{P_{n-k}}{p_{n-k}} \right) \right] \\ &= p_n p_{n-k} \left[\frac{W_n}{p_n p_{n-1}} + \dots + \frac{W_{n-k+1}}{p_{n-k+1} p_{n-k}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In virtue of property (d), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|W_n|}{p_n^2} = \frac{1}{|\alpha|}.$$

Hence it follows that

$$|W_{n,k}| < C_2(\alpha) k p_n p_{n-k} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n; n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Passing to the proof of the first inequality of (5), we shall first examine the case $-1 < \alpha < 0$.

Let $W_n < 0$ and $|W_n|/p_n^2 > 1/2|\alpha|$ for $n > N_1$, where N_1 denotes a natural number sufficiently large. If $n - k + 1 > N_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |W_{n,k}| &= p_n p_{n-k} \left(\frac{|W_n|}{p_n p_{n-1}} + \dots + \frac{|W_{n-k+1}|}{p_{n-k+1} p_{n-k}} \right) \\ &> p_n p_{n-k} \left(\frac{|W_n|}{p_{n-1}^2} + \dots + \frac{|W_{n-k+1}|}{p_{n-k}^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|W_{n,k}| > \frac{1}{2|\alpha|} k p_n p_{n-k} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n; n = N_1, N_1 + 1, \dots).$$

If $n - k + 1 \leq N_1$, then $|p_{n-k}| > |p_{N_1}|$. Hence

$$|W_{n,k}| > p_n p_{n-k} \left(\frac{P_n}{|p_n|} - \frac{P_{n-k}}{|p_{n-k}|} \right) > k p_n p_{n-k} \left(\frac{P_n}{n|p_n|} - \frac{p_0}{n|p_{N_1}|} \right).$$

Considering that the expression in the last brackets tending to $1/|\alpha|$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is greater than $1/2|\alpha|$ for $n > N_2$, we find that

$$|W_{n,k}| > \frac{1}{2|\alpha|} k p_n p_{n-k} \quad \text{for } n > N = \max(N_1, N_2),$$

which ends the proof in the case examined.

Now let $\{p_n\} \in \overline{M}^a$, with $a > 0$. If $0 < p_n \searrow$, then

$$\begin{aligned} W_{n,k} &\geq p_{n-k}P_n - p_{n-k}P_{n-k} = p_{n-k}(P_n - P_{n-k}) \\ &= p_{n-k}(p_{n-k+1} + p_{n-k+2} + \dots + p_n) > k p_n p_{n-k}, \end{aligned}$$

whence it follows that the first inequality of (5) holds for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

If $0 < p_n \nearrow$, then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} W_{n,k} &> p_n p_{n-k} \left(\frac{W_n}{p_n^2} + \dots + \frac{W_{n-k+1}}{p_{n-k+1}^2} \right) \\ &> \frac{1}{2a} k p_n p_{n-k} \quad \text{for } n-k+1 > N_1, \end{aligned}$$

where N_1 denotes a natural number sufficiently large and $k = 1, 2, \dots, (n-N_1)$. If $n-k+1 \leq N_1$, then denoting by N_2 a natural number such that

$$\frac{P_n}{n p_n} - \frac{N_1}{n} > \frac{1}{2a} \quad \text{for } n > N_2,$$

we can write

$$W_{n,k} > p_n p_{n-k} \left[\frac{P_n}{p_n} - (n-k+1) \right] \geq k p_n p_{n-k} \left(\frac{P_n}{n p_n} - \frac{N_1}{n} \right) > \frac{1}{2a} k p_n p_{n-k}$$

for $n > N_2$ and $k = n-N_1+1, n-N_1+2, \dots, n$.

Taking $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$, we find that

$$W_{n,k} > C_1(a) k p_n p_{n-k} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n; n = N, N+1, \dots),$$

with $C_1(a) = 1/2a$.

Thus we have proved the first inequality of (5) in both cases of the sequence $\{p_n\}$, which, together with the first part of the proof, completes the proof of Lemma 4.

Remark. If $0 < p_n \nearrow$ and if $\{p_n\}$ is concave or if $p_{n+1}/p_n \searrow$, then the first inequality of (5) holds also for every natural number n . In fact, we can write

$$W_{n,k} = p_n p_{n-k} \left[\left(\frac{W_n}{p_n p_{n-1}} + \dots + \frac{W_{i+1}}{p_{i+1} p_i} \right) + \left(\frac{W_i}{p_i p_{i-1}} + \dots + \frac{W_{n-k+1}}{p_{n-k+1} p_{n-k}} \right) \right],$$

where $(i+1)$ denotes the least natural number greater than N_1 defined above. According to the remark put at the end of Lemma 2, the sequences $\{W_n\}$ and $\{W_n/p_n\}$ are increasing if $\{p_n\}$ is concave or if $p_{n+1}/p_n \searrow$, respectively. Therefore the second expression appearing in the last square brackets is greater than

$$W_1 \left(\frac{1}{p_i p_{i-1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_{n-k+1} p_{n-k}} \right)$$

or than

$$\frac{W_1}{p_1} \left(\frac{1}{p_{i-1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_{n-k}} \right),$$

respectively. Hence we get

$$W_{n,k} > p_n p_{n-k} \left[\frac{n-i}{2\alpha} + \frac{p_0^2}{p_{N_1}^2} (i-n+k) \right]$$

and ultimately

$$W_{n,k} > C_1(\alpha) k p_n p_{n-k} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots; k = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

where

$$C_1(\alpha) = \min \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha}, \frac{p_0^2}{p_{N_1}^2} \right).$$

Now, putting

$$A_m = \left\{ \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

we can formulate the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let $\{p_n\} \in \bar{M}^\alpha$, with $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$. In order that series (3) be $|N, p_n|$ -summable in the interval $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ almost everywhere for every orthonormal system $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$, the condition

$$(6) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m < +\infty$$

is necessary and sufficient.

Proof. Sufficiency. Let $\{p_n\} \in \bar{M}^\alpha$, with $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$. We can write (omitting the argument x for the sake of brevity)

$$t_n - t_{n-1} = \frac{1}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^n (p_{n-k} P_n - P_{n-k} p_n) a_k \varphi_k.$$

Applying the Schwarz inequality, we obtain with the aid of Lemma 4 the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_0^1 |t_n - t_{n-1}| dx &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} \int_0^1 |t_n - t_{n-1}| dx \\ &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ 2^m \sum_{n=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} \int_0^1 (t_n - t_{n-1})^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= O(\alpha) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ 2^m \sum_{n=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} \frac{1}{n^2 P_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 p_{n-k}^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\{p_n\} \in M^\alpha$, $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, then according to a lemma of the author (see [3], Lemma 3, pp. 232-233) the sequence $\{P_n^2/n\}$ is increasing for sufficiently

large n and tends to infinity. Applying the Stolz lemma, we get the relation

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{P_n^2} \sum_{k=0}^n p_k^2 = \frac{a^2}{2a-1}.$$

In view of this relation and by condition (5) the proof proceeds after the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} \int_0^1 |t_n - t_{n-1}| dx \\ &= O(a) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^m P_{2^m}^2} \sum_{n=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} \sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{k=2^{l+1}}^{\min(2^{l+1}, n)} k^2 p_{n-k}^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= O(a) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^m P_{2^m}^2} \sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{k=2^{l+1}}^{2^{l+1}} k^2 a_k^2 \sum_{n=\max(2^{m+1}, k)}^{2^{m+1}} p_{n-k}^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= O(a) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \cdot \frac{2^m}{P_{2^m}^2} \sum_{k=1}^{2^m} p_k^2 \sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{k=2^{l+1}}^{2^{l+1}} k^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= O(a) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \sum_{l=0}^m 2^{2l} \sum_{k=2^{l+1}}^{2^{l+1}} a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= O(a) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{l=0}^m 2^l A_l = O(a) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^l A_l \sum_{m=l}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^m} = O(a) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_l < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Necessity. It suffices to prove the following statement:

If the Rademacher series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r_n(x)$$

is $|N, p_n|$ -summable, with $\{p_n\} \in \bar{M}^a$, $a > \frac{1}{2}$, on a set of positive measure, then condition (6) holds.

In fact, in virtue of the Egoroff theorem there exist a measurable set E , with $|E| > 0$, and a positive constant M such that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |t_n(x) - t_{n-1}(x)| < M$$

for every $x \in E$ and that

$$(8) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_E |t_n(x) - t_{n-1}(x)| dx \leq M |E|.$$

We can write (omitting the argument x)

$$\sum_{k=N}^n \frac{W_{n,k}}{P_n P_{n-1}} a_k r_k = (t_n - t_{n-1}) - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{W_{n,k}}{P_n P_{n-1}} a_k r_k,$$

where N denotes a positive integer suitably chosen in view of Lemmas 1-4. Hence

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=N}^n \frac{W_{n,k}}{P_n P_{n-1}} a_k r_k \right| \geq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |t_n - t_{n-1}| - \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{W_{n,k}}{P_n P_{n-1}} a_k r_k \right|.$$

The last series is of course convergent. Therefore, without loss of generality, we may suppose that $a_k = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$. Now, applying Lemmas 1 and 4, we obtain after (8) and (9) the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} M|E|C(E) &> \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} C(E) \int_E \left| \sum_{k=N}^n \frac{W_{n,k}}{P_n P_{n-1}} a_k r_k(x) \right| dx \\ &> \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=N}^n \frac{W_{n,k}^2}{P_n^2 P_{n-1}^2} a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} > C_2^2(\alpha) \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=N}^n k^2 p_{n-k}^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the last expression we shall distinguish two cases: (1) $0 < p_n \searrow$ and (2) $0 < p_n \nearrow$.

Let $0 < p_n \searrow$. Denoting by m_0 the least positive integer x satisfying the inequality $2^x + 1 \geq N$, and considering that $p_{n-k} \geq p_n$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=N}^n k^2 p_{n-k}^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} &> \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{p_n^2}{P_n^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=N}^n k^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} > C_3 \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=N}^n k^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &> C_3 \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} \frac{1}{n^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=N}^n k^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &> C_3 \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} k^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \sum_{n=2^{m+1}+1}^{2^{m+2}} \frac{1}{n^2} \\ &> C_3 \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{m+3}} \left\{ \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} k^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} > \frac{1}{8} C_3 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m, \end{aligned}$$

where C_3 is a suitably chosen constant. This and the last but one estimate imply condition (6).

Now let $0 < p_n \nearrow$, and let r be a positive integer such that $2^{r-1} < \alpha \leq 2^r$. Further, let m_0 denote the least positive integer x fulfilling the inequality $2^{x-r-2} \geq N$.

With the aid of Lemmas 2 and 3 we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=N}^n k^2 p_{n-k}^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} &> \sum_{n=2^{m_0+1}}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=N}^{[n/2^{r+1}]} \frac{k^2 p_{n-k}^2}{P_{n-k}^2} P_{n-k}^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\
&> \sum_{n=2^{m_0+1}}^{\infty} \frac{p_n^2}{P_n^2} \cdot \frac{P_{n-[n/2^{r+1}]}}{P_n} \left\{ \sum_{k=N}^{[n/2^{r+1}]} k^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\
&> \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=2^{m_0+1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=N}^{[n/2^{r+1}]} k^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\
&> \frac{1}{4} \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=2^{m-r-2}}^{2^{m-r-1}} k^2 a_k^2 \right\} \sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} \frac{1}{n^2} \\
&> \frac{1}{32\alpha} \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} A_{m-r-2} = \frac{1}{32\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m.
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the above results, we infer the necessity of condition (6). This ends the proof of Theorem 1.

2. In this section we shall occupy ourselves with the case of $\{p_n\} \in \bar{M}^a$, $-1 < a < \frac{1}{2}$, $a \neq 0$, giving certain conditions for absolute (N, p_n) -summability. The case $a = \frac{1}{2}$ proves more difficult and requires additional assumptions about the sequence $\{p_n\}$.

First we remark that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left(\frac{p_n^2}{p_{n+1}^2} - 1 \right) = 2(1-a) > 1 \quad \text{as} \quad -1 < a < \frac{1}{2}, \quad a \neq 0,$$

whence we infer by the Raabe criterion the convergence of the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n^2$. Since $0 < p_n^2 \searrow$, we have by a well-known theorem $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n p_n^2 = 0$.

Therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n/P_n^2 = \infty$, whence we get the relation

$$(10) \quad \frac{n}{P_n^2} \sum_{k=0}^n p_k^2 \sim \frac{n}{P_n^2} \quad (-1 < a < \frac{1}{2}, \quad a \neq 0).$$

At once we state that in this case the sequence $\{n/P_n^2\}$ is increasing for n sufficiently large and tends to infinity.

THEOREM 2. Let $\{p_n\} \in \bar{M}^a$, $-1 < a < \frac{1}{2}$, $a \neq 0$. In order that series (3) be $|N, p_n|$ -summable in the interval $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ almost everywhere for every orthonormal system $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$, the condition

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{m/2}}{P_{2^m}} A_m < \infty \quad (A_m = \left\{ \sum_{n=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2})$$

is sufficient; simultaneously, it is necessary if $\{a_n\}$ is a monotone sequence (*) of coefficients and if the summability is required for all orthonormal systems $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$.

Proof. Sufficiency. Let $-1 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. In view of the estimate deduced in the proof of Theorem 1, and according to relation (10), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_0^1 |t_n - t_{n-1}| dx &= O(1) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^m P_{2^m}^2} \sum_{n=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} \sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{k=2^{l+1}}^{\min(2^{l+1}, n)} p_{n-k}^2 k^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= O(1) \left(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^m P_{2^m}^2} \sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{k=2^{l+1}}^{2^{l+1}} k^2 a_k^2 \sum_{n=\max(2^{m+1}, k)}^{2^{m+1}} p_{n-k}^2 \right\}^{1/2} \right) \\ &= O(1) \left(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^m P_{2^m}^2} \left[2^{2m} A_m^2 + 2^{2(m-1)} A_{m-1}^2 + \sum_{l=2}^{m-2} 2^{2l} A_l^2 \sum_{n=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} p_{n-2^{m-1}}^2 \right] \right\}^{1/2} \right) \\ &= O(1) \left(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^{m/2}}{P_{2^m}} A_m + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} 2^l A_l \sum_{m=l}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^m} \right) = O(1) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^{m/2}}{P_{2^m}} A_m < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Necessity. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2 relation (10) holds and the sequence $\{n/P_n^2\}$ is increasing for n sufficiently large. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} M|E|C(E) &> C_1(\alpha) \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{|p_n|}{P_n^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=N}^n k^2 p_{n-k}^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &> C_1(\alpha) \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} \frac{|p_n|}{P_n^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=N}^n k^2 p_{n-k}^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &> C_2(\alpha) \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} k^2 p_{2^{m+1}-k}^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \sum_{n=2^{m+1}+1}^{2^{m+2}} \frac{1}{nP_n} \\ &> C_3(\alpha) \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \frac{2^m a_{2^{m+1}} 2^{m+1}}{2^{m+2} P_{2^{m+2}}} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{2^m} p_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &> C_4(\alpha) \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{(m+1)/2}}{P_{2^{m+1}}} \left\{ \sum_{k=2^{m+1}+1}^{2^{m+2}} a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= C_4(\alpha) \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{m/2}}{P_{2^m}} A_m, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1(\alpha)$ - $C_4(\alpha)$ are suitably chosen constants.

(*) positive and non-increasing.

3. We consider here the case of $\{p_n\} \in \bar{M}^a$, with $a = \frac{1}{2}$. Examining this case without any additional assumptions about the sequence $\{p_n\}$, we state the relation

$$(11) \quad \log \frac{1}{p_n} \sim \log n.$$

If $\{p_n\}$, in addition to satisfying the last assumption, is such that

$$(12) \quad \left[1 - \frac{2np_n}{P_n}\right] \log n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left[1 - \frac{2n(p_{n-1} - p_n)}{p_n}\right] \log n \rightarrow 0,$$

then

$$(13) \quad \frac{n}{P_n^2} \sum_{k=0}^n p_k^2 \sim \log n.$$

In fact, applying the Stolz lemma and the first relation of (12), we state that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log np_n^2}{\log \log n} = 0,$$

whence it follows that for sufficiently large n

$$\frac{\log np_n^2}{\log \log n} > -1$$

holds. The series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k^2$ is then divergent. Applying the Stolz lemma to the expression

$$\frac{P_n^2 \log n}{n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n p_k^2 \right|,$$

we state, in view of the first relation of (12), relation (13).

In virtue of relation (13), we get the following theorem:

THEOREM 3. *Let $\{p_n\} \in \bar{M}^a$, with $a = \frac{1}{2}$, and moreover let $\{p_n\}$ satisfy conditions (12). Then in order that series (3) be $|N, p_n|$ -summable in the interval $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ almost everywhere for every orthonormal system $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$, the condition*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{m} A_m < +\infty$$

is sufficient; simultaneously, it is necessary if $\{a_n\}$ is a monotone sequence⁽⁴⁾ of coefficients and if the summability is required for all systems $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$.

Proof. Sufficiency. Under the hypothesis of the theorem, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_0^1 |t_n - t_{n-1}| dx &= O(a) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{m}{2^{2m}} \sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{k=2^l+1}^{2^{l+1}} k^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= O(a) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{m}{2^m} \sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{k=2^l+1}^{2^{l+1}} a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

⁽⁴⁾ positive and non-increasing.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O(\alpha) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{m}}{2^m} \sum_{l=0}^m 2^l A_l = O(\alpha) \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^l A_l \sum_{m=l}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{m}}{2^m} \\
 &= O(\alpha) \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{l} A_l < \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence follows the sufficiency of Theorem 3.

Necessity. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 M|E|C(E) &> C_1(\alpha) \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=N}^n k^2 p_{n-k}^2 a_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\
 &> C_2(\alpha) \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} \frac{1}{nP_n} \left\{ \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^n p_{n-k}^2 \right\}^{1/2} a_{2^m} 2^m \\
 &> C_2(\alpha) \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \frac{2^m}{2^m P_{2^m}} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{2^m} p_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} 2^{m/2} 2^{m/2} a_{2^m}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In virtue of relation (13) the last expression is less than

$$C_3(\alpha) \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \sqrt{m} A_m = C_3(\alpha) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{m} A_m,$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 3.

References

- [1] P. Billard, *Sur la sommation des séries de fonctions orthogonales*, Bull. Sci. Math. 85 (1961), pp. 29-33.
- [2] L. Leindler, *Über die absolute Summierbarkeit der Orthogonalreihen*, Acta Sci. Math. 22 (1961), pp. 243-268.
- [3] J. Meder, *On the Nörlund summability of orthogonal series*, Ann. Polon. Math. 12 (1963), pp. 231-256.
- [4] — *Further results concerning the Nörlund summability of orthogonal series*, Ann. Polon. Math. 16 (1964), pp. 237-265.
- [5] F. Móricz, *Über die Rieszche Summation der Orthogonalreihen*, Acta Sci. Math. 23 (1962), pp. 92-95.
- [6] W. Orlicz, *Beiträge zur Theorie der Orthogonalentwicklungen*, Studia Math. 6 (1936), pp. 20-38.
- [7] K. Tandori, *Über die orthogonalen Funktionen IX (Absolute Summation)*, Acta Sci. Math. 21 (1960), pp. 292-299.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 23. 6. 1964