

Note on a general dilation theorem

by F. H. SZAFRANIEC (Kraków)

Abstract. The paper deal with the extension of a positive definite function on an involution semigroup S to such a function on the unitization of S . A related dilation problem is discussed.

Suppose A is a star algebra without unit and f is a positive linear functional on A , that is, $f(a^*a) \geq 0$, $a \in A$. Then it is well known [4], p. 223, that f extends to a positive linear functional f_1 on A_1 , the unitization of A , if and only if $f(a^*) = \overline{f(a)}$, $a \in A$, and there is a constant $c > 0$ such that $|f(a)|^2 \leq cf(a^*a)$, $a \in A$. R. J. Lindahl and P. H. Maserick have shown [2] that a bounded, positive definite complex-valued function φ on a $*$ -semigroup without unit is extendable to a positive definite one on S_1 , the unitization of S , if and only if $\varphi(s^*) = \overline{\varphi(s)}$, $s \in S$, and there is a $c > 0$ such that

$$\left| \sum_k c_k \varphi(s_k) \right|^2 \leq c \sum_{i,k} \bar{c}_i c_k \varphi(s_i^* s_k)$$

for all $s_1, \dots, s_n \in S$ and any complex numbers c_1, \dots, c_n .

They have employed the fact mentioned at the outset and have established an isomorphism between such functions defined on a $*$ -semigroup S and extendable linear functionals on the $*$ -semigroup algebra $l_1(S)$.

In the present note we work out similar conditions for (not necessarily bounded) positive definite operator-valued functions on $*$ -semigroups. Then we place these conditions into a general dilation theorem with emphasis on the boundedness condition involved in there.

1. Let S be a semigroup with an involution $s \rightarrow s^*$, $s \in S$ (called a $*$ -semigroup) and let H be a complex Hilbert space. Denote by $F(S, H)$ the set of all maps from S to H with finite supports. A function $\varphi: A \rightarrow L(H)$ ($L(H)$ stands for the algebra of all bounded linear operators in H) is said

to be *positive definite* [5] if

$$\sum_{s,t} (\varphi(s^*t)f(t), f(s)) \geq 0, \quad f \in F(S, H).$$

Let f and g belong to $F(S, H)$ and let λ, μ be complex numbers. The positive definiteness of φ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s,t} (\varphi(s^*t)(\lambda f(t) + \mu g(t)), \lambda f(s) + \mu g(s)) \\ &= \sum_{s,t} (\varphi(s^*t)f(t), f(s))\lambda\bar{\lambda} + \sum_{s,t} (\varphi(s^*t)f(t), g(s))\lambda\bar{\mu} + \\ & \quad + \sum_{s,t} (\varphi(s^*t)g(t), f(s))\bar{\lambda}\mu + \sum_{s,t} (\varphi(s^*t)g(t), g(s))\mu\bar{\mu} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We get a positive definite quadratic form in two complex variables λ and μ . It is a rudimentary matter to check that

$$(1) \quad \varphi(s^*t) = \varphi(t^*s)^*, \quad t, s \in S,$$

$$(2) \quad \left| \sum_{s,t} (\varphi(s^*t)f(t), g(s)) \right|^2 \leq \left(\sum_{s,t} (\varphi(s^*t)f(t), f(s)) \right) \left(\sum_{s,t} (\varphi(s^*t)g(t), g(s)) \right), \quad f, g \in F(S, H).$$

Inequality (2) is just the *Schwarz inequality* for positive definite operator functions on $*$ -semigroups.

2. If S has no unit, we adjoin 1 , define $1^* = 1$ and denote the resulting $*$ -semigroup by S_1 . If S already has a unit, we set $S_1 = S$.

Suppose for the moment $S_1 = S$, choose $f \in F(S, H)$ and set $g(s) = 0$ if $s \neq 1$ and $g(1) = \sum \varphi(s)f(s)$. Then the Schwarz inequality (2) yields

$$\left\| \sum \varphi(s)f(s) \right\|^4 \leq \left(\sum_{s,t} (\varphi(s^*t)f(t), f(s)) \right) \leq \left(\varphi(1) \sum_s \varphi(s)f(s), \sum_s \varphi(s)f(s) \right).$$

This implies

$$(3) \quad \left\| \sum_s \varphi(s)f(s) \right\|^2 \leq c \sum_{s,t} (\varphi(s^*t)f(t), f(s)), \quad f \in F(S, H).$$

Here $c = \|\varphi(1)\|$.

Moreover, (1) with $t = 1$ takes the form

$$(4) \quad \varphi(s^*) = \varphi(s)^*, \quad s \in S.$$

Conditions (3) and (4) bear a resemblance to those which guarantee the extendability of positive definite scalar functions. We will show that they suffice to extend the positive definiteness of φ from S to S_1 .

Suppose that φ satisfies (3) (with c independent of $f \in F(S, H)$) and (4). Let A be a positive operator in $L(H)$ such that $A \geq cI$, where I denotes

the identity operator. Define $\varphi_1: S_1 \rightarrow L(H)$ as follows:

$$(5) \quad \varphi_1(s) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } s = 1, \\ \varphi(s) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, by (3) and (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s,t} (\varphi_1(s^*t)f(t), f(s)) &= \sum_{s,t \neq 1} (\varphi(s^*t)f(t), f(s)) + \\ &+ \sum_{s \neq 1} (\varphi(s^*)f(1), f(s)) + \sum_{t \neq 1} (\varphi(t)f(t), f(1)) + (Af(1), f(1)) \\ &\geq c^{-1} \left(\left\| \sum_{s \neq 1} \varphi(s)f(s) \right\|^2 + \sum_{s \neq 1} (cf(1), \varphi(s)f(s)) + \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{t \neq 1} (\varphi(t)f(t), cf(1)) + (cf(1), cf(1)) \right) = c^{-1} \left\| \sum_{s \neq 1} \varphi(s)f(s) + cf(1) \right\|^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\varphi_1: S_1 \rightarrow L(H)$, defined above by (5), is a positive definite function. Notice also that, by (3), φ is positive definite itself.

We summarize all what we have proved in the following

PROPOSITION 1. *An operator function $\varphi: S \rightarrow L(H)$ extends to a positive definite operator function on the $*$ -semigroup S_1 if and only if φ satisfies (3) and (4).*

Choosing $A = cI$ we may require in addition that:

If $T \in L(H)$ commutes with φ , then so does it with φ_1 .

3. Now we deal with dilation problems. A semigroup homomorphism $\Phi: S \rightarrow L(K)$ (K is a complex Hilbert space) is called a *representation* of a $*$ -semigroup S on K (or a *$*$ -representation* of S on K) if it preserves involution, that is, if $\Phi(s^*) = \Phi(s)^*$, $s \in S$. Given $\varphi: S \rightarrow L(H)$, a $*$ -representation Φ of S on K is called a *dilation* of φ if there exists a bounded linear operator $V: H \rightarrow K$ such that

$$\varphi(s) = V^* \Phi(s) V, \quad s \in S.$$

We call φ *dilatable* if it has a dilation.

We will say that $\varphi: S \rightarrow L(H)$ satisfies the *boundedness condition* [5] if

$$(6) \quad \sum_{s,t} (\varphi(s^*u^*ut)f(t), f(s)) \leq c(u) \sum_{s,t} (\varphi(s^*t)f(t), f(s)),$$

where $c(u) < +\infty$ does not depend on $f \in F(S, H)$.

The general dilation theorem⁽¹⁾ in the case where S has a unit says that:

(D) *An operator function $\varphi: S \rightarrow L(H)$ is dilatable if and only if it is positive definite and satisfies the boundedness condition (6).*

⁽¹⁾ This theorem has been proved by B. Sz.-Nagy [5] provided $\varphi(1) = I$. In the general case the proof is much the same as that in [5].

On the other hand, it is evident that if Φ is a $*$ -representation of S and S has no unit, then Φ_1 defined as $\Phi(s)$ for $s \in S$ and I if $s = 1$, is a $*$ -representation of S_1 . This implies that an operator function $\varphi: S \rightarrow L(H)$ is dilatable if and only if it extends to a dilatable operator function $\varphi_1: S_1 \rightarrow L(H)$. Combining this with (D), we see that $\varphi: S \rightarrow L(H)$ is dilatable if and only if it has an extension $\varphi_1: S_1 \rightarrow L(H)$ which is positive definite and satisfies the boundedness condition (6). We would like to prove that (3) and (4) together guarantee that there is an extension φ_1 of φ which is positive definite and satisfies the boundedness condition. Proposition 1 gives a partial success in this direction. Unfortunately, we are not able to show that φ_1 as defined in the proof of Proposition 1 satisfies the boundedness condition (6).

5. The obstructions with the boundedness condition disappear in the case of operator functions on Banach star algebras (we refer here to [1]). Let A be a Banach star algebra and let A_1 denote its *unitization*, that is, the Banach star algebra $A + C$. Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow L(H)$ be a linear map. Suppose φ has the extension property (3) and satisfies the symmetry relation (4). Define $\varphi_1: A_1 \rightarrow L(H)$ by $\varphi_1(a + \lambda) = \varphi(a) + \lambda A$ with $A \geq cI$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(7) \quad & \sum_{a,b,\lambda,\mu} (\varphi_1((a+\lambda)^*(b+\mu))f(b+\mu), f(a+\lambda)) \\
&= \sum_{a,b,\lambda,\mu} ((\varphi(a^*b) + \bar{\lambda}\varphi(b) + \mu\varphi(a^*) + \bar{\lambda}\mu A)f(b+\mu), f(a+\lambda)) \\
&= \sum_{a,b,\lambda,\mu} ((\varphi(a^*b)f(b+\mu), f(a+\lambda)) + (\varphi(b)f(b+\mu), \lambda f(a+\lambda)) + \\
&\quad + (\mu f(b+\mu), \varphi(a)f(a+\lambda)) + (A\mu f(b+\mu), \lambda f(a+\lambda))) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{c} \left(\left\| \sum_{a,\lambda} \varphi(a)f(a+\lambda) \right\|^2 + \left(\sum_{b,\mu} \varphi(b)f(b+\mu), \sum_{a,\lambda} c\lambda f(a+\lambda) \right) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\sum_{b,\mu} c\mu f(b+\mu), \sum_{a,\lambda} \varphi(a)f(a+\lambda) \right) + \left(\sum_{b,\mu} c\mu f(b+\mu), \sum_{a,\lambda} c\lambda f(a+\lambda) \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{c} \left\| \sum_{a,\lambda} (\varphi(a) + c\lambda I)f(a+\lambda) \right\|^2 \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that φ_1 is positive definite. Now fix $f \in F(A_1, H)$ and define $p_f: A_1 \rightarrow C$ by

$$(8) \quad p_f(c_1) = \sum_{a_1, b_1} (\varphi_1(a_1^* c_1 b_1) f(b_1), f(a_1)).$$

p_f is a positive linear functional on A_1 . It is known [1], p.198, Remarks, that then it must be

$$|p_f(c_1)| \leq p_f(1)(r(c_1^*c_1))^{1/2},$$

where r denotes the spectral radius of $c_1^*c_1$. With (7) in mind we verify that φ_1 satisfies the boundedness condition (6). The general dilation theorem (D) implies the following

THEOREM. *Let A be a Banach star algebra and let $\varphi: A \rightarrow L(H)$ be a linear map. Then φ is dilatable if and only if it has the extension property, i.e.,*

$$\left\| \sum_a \varphi(a)f(a) \right\|^2 \leq c \sum_{a,b} (\varphi(a^*b)f(b), f(a))$$

with c independent of $f \in F(A, H)$, and satisfies the symmetry relation (4).

The "only if" part of the above theorem is trivial. The dilation Φ of φ may be chosen to be a linear map (cf. [5]). Thus Φ is a $*$ -representation of A and, consequently [1], p. 196, Theorem 3, is continuous. We get therefore

COROLLARY. *The function φ in Theorem 2 must be necessarily a continuous linear map of A into $L(H)$.*

The corollary shows that our theorem contains Theorem 2 of [3].

Note added in proof. October 1978. In the meantime we have answered in the affirmative the question left open at the end of Section 3, see: Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. sci. math., astr., phys. 25 (1977), p. 263–267, and also Springer's Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 656, p. 245–249. Our related papers are: Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. math., astr., phys. 24 (1976), p. 877–881, and Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1977), p. 30–32.

References

- [1] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete normed algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York 1973.
- [2] R. J. Lindhal and P. H. Maserick, *Positive-definite functions on involution semigroup*, Duke Math. J. 38 (1971), p. 771–782.
- [3] W. Mlak and W. Szymański, *Dilations theorems for $*$ -semigroups without unit*, preliminary report, unpublished.
- [4] M. A. Naimark, *Normed rings* (in Russian), Izdat. "Nauka", Moscow 1968.
- [5] B. Sz.-Nagy, *Fortsetzung linear Transformationen des Hilbertschen Raumes mit Austritt aus dem Raum*, Appendix to F. Riesz and B. Sz.-Nagy, *Vorlesung über Funktionalanalysis*, Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1950.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, KRAKÓW

Reçu par la Rédaction le 4. 11. 1975