

## On meromorphic solutions of a certain class of functional-differential equations

by FRED GROSS\* and CHUNG-CHUN YANG\*\* (Washington)

**1. Introduction.** It has been shown [6] that a differential equation of the form

$$(1) \quad p(z, y(z), y'(z), \dots, y^{(k)}(z)) = g(y(z)),$$

where  $p$  is a polynomial in variables  $z, y, y', \dots, y^{(k)}$  and  $g(z)$  is a given transcendental entire function, cannot have non-constant entire solutions. In this paper, we investigate the rate of growth of functions meromorphic in the plane ( $|z| < \infty$ ) which are solutions of differential equations of the form

$$(2) \quad p(z, y(z), y'(z), \dots, y^{(k)}(z)) = y(g(z)).$$

For a meromorphic function the growth is measured by the Nevanlinna characteristic  $T(r, f)$  (see Section 2).

The following is our main result.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $g$  be a given non-constant entire function and  $p(z, y(z), y'(z), \dots, y^{(k)}(z))$  be a given polynomial in variables  $z, y(z), \dots, y^{(k)}(z)$ . If  $f(z)$  is a transcendental meromorphic solution of equation (2), then  $g(z)$  must be a polynomial. Furthermore, if  $g(z)$  is not linear, then the order of  $f$  is zero and  $T(r, f(z)) = O(\log r)^\beta$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  for some constant  $\beta > 1$ .*

The proof is based on Nevanlinna's theory of meromorphic functions and some comparison results of Clunie's on the composition of entire and meromorphic functions.

**2. Preliminaries.** In this section, for the reader's convenience we first review some of the usual notation used in Nevanlinna's theory of meromorphic functions. For a good account of the theory we refer the reader to Hayman [2].

---

\* Dr. F. Gross is affiliated with the Mathematics Research Center, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D. C. 20375 and the University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, Maryland.

\*\* Dr. C. C. Yang is affiliated with the Mathematics Research Center, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D. C. 20375.

If  $f(z)$  is a meromorphic function on the plane, the Nevanlinna characteristic  $T(r, f)$  is defined as follows:

$$T(r, f) = m(r, f) + N(r, f),$$

where

$$m(r, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta; \quad \log^+ x = \max(0, \log x)$$

and

$$N(r, f) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t, f) - n(0, f)}{t} dt + n(0, f) \log r;$$

$n(t, f)$  denotes the number of poles of  $f$  (counting multiplicity) in  $|z| < \infty$ . The order  $\rho$  of  $f$  is defined as

$$\rho = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

**Remark 1.** We note that a meromorphic function  $f$  is a rational function if and only if  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{\log r} < +\infty$ . We shall use  $S(r, f)$  to denote any quantity satisfying  $S(r, f) = o\{T(r, f)\}$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , possibly outside a set of  $r$  values of finite measure.

Now we quote some basic properties and fundamental results of the Nevanlinna theory which will be needed later.

**LEMMA 1** ([2], p. 5). *Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p$  be meromorphic functions. Then*

$$(a) \quad T\left\{r, \sum_{v=1}^p f_v(z)\right\} \leq \sum_{v=1}^p T\{r, f_v(z)\} + \log p,$$

$$(b) \quad T\left\{r, \prod_{v=1}^p f_v(z)\right\} \leq \sum_{v=1}^p T\{r, f_v(z)\}.$$

**LEMMA 2** (Nevanlinna's first fundamental theorem; see e.g. [2], p. 5). *Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function. Then for every  $a \neq \infty$ ,*

$$(3) \quad T(r, f) = T\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) + O(1).$$

*It is frequently convenient to write  $m(r, a, f), N(r, a, f), n(r, a, f), T(r, a, f)$  instead of  $m\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right), N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right), n\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right), T\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ .*

**LEMMA 3** (Nevanlinna [3]). *Let  $f$  be meromorphic with  $f(0) \neq 0$ .*

Then for  $R > r$  we have

$$(4) \quad m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) < 4\log^+ T(R, f) + 3\log^+ \frac{1}{|f(0)|} + 4\log^+ R + \\ + 3\log^+ \frac{1}{R-r} + 2\log^+ \frac{1}{r} + 24.$$

LEMMA 4 (Clunie [1], p. 78). *Let  $f(z)$  be meromorphic and  $g$  be entire. Suppose that  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are transcendental and at least one of them is of finite order. Then*

$$(5) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f(g))}{T(r, f)} = \infty.$$

LEMMA 5 (Clunie [1], p. 78). *Let  $f(z)$  be meromorphic and  $g$  be entire and suppose that  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are transcendental. Then*

$$(6) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f(g))}{T(r, f)} = \infty.$$

Remark 2. If one examines Clunie's proof carefully one can conclude that under the same hypotheses of Lemma 4 the following is true-

$$(7) \quad \limsup_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ r \in G}} \frac{T(r, f(g))}{T(r, f)} = \infty,$$

where  $G$  is any given set of  $r$  values of finite measure.

We shall use this remark later on.

LEMMA 6 (Nevanlinna [4]). *For any given  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , then we have for  $r > r_0$*

$$(8) \quad N(r, a, f) \geq T(r, f) - 2[T(r, f)]^{(1+\varepsilon)/2}$$

for all values  $a$  except those which belong to a set  $E(r_0, \varepsilon)$  of finite logarithmic capacity.

We note here that the Lebesgue measure of the set  $E(r_0, \varepsilon)$  is zero [5]. Roughly Lemma 5 says that  $N(r, a, f) \sim T(r, f)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $a \notin E(r_0, \varepsilon)$ .

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** First we show that  $g$  must be a polynomial. Suppose that  $g(z)$  is transcendental entire and  $f(z)$  is a transcendental meromorphic solution of the equation; i.e., we have the following identity:

$$(9) \quad p(z, f, f', \dots, f^{(k)}) = f(g).$$

We have by a result of Milloux (see e.g. [2], p. 55) that for  $l \geq 0$

$$(10) \quad T(r, f^{(l)}) \leq (l+1)T(r, f) + S(r, f) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows from this and Remark 1 that there exists a non-negative constant  $a$  such that

$$(11) \quad p(z, f, f', \dots, f^{(k)}) \leq aT(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Hence, outside a set  $G$  of  $r$  values of finite measure

$$(12) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, p(z, f, f', \dots, f^{(k)}))}{T(r, f)} \leq a.$$

On the other hand by Lemma 5 and Remark 2 we have

$$(13) \quad \limsup_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ r \notin G}} \frac{T(r, f(g))}{T(r, f)} = +\infty.$$

This and (12) show clearly that identity (9) cannot hold. Thus we conclude that  $g$  must be a polynomial.

Now suppose that  $g(z)$  is non-linear. Let

$$(14) \quad g(z) = a_0(z)z^m + a_1(z)z^{m-1} + \dots + a_m \quad (a_0 \neq 0, m > 1).$$

In this case we can choose  $r_0$  so large that for  $|\omega| \leq \frac{|a_0|}{2r} r^m$  all  $m$  roots of  $g(z) = \omega$  satisfy  $|z| < r$ , if  $r > r_0$ . It follows that if  $r > r_0$ , then to each  $c$ -point  $z_0$  of  $f$  satisfying  $|z_0| \leq \frac{a_0}{2} r^m$  there correspond  $m$   $c$ -points  $g^{-1}(z_0)$  of  $\log$  in  $|z| \leq r$ . Thus

$$(15) \quad n(r, c, f(g)) \geq m \cdot n\left(\frac{a_0}{2} r^m, c, f\right).$$

We may assume without loss of generality that  $f(g(0)) \neq c$ .

Hence

$$(16) \quad N(r, c, f(g)) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t, c, f(g))}{t} dt > \int_{r_0}^r \frac{n(t, c, f(g))}{t} dt \\ \geq \int_{r_0}^r \frac{m \cdot n\left(\frac{a_0}{2} r^m, c, f\right)}{t} dt.$$

Making the substitution of variable  $s = \frac{a_0}{2} t^m$  we obtain

$$(17) \quad N(r, c, f(g)) \geq \int_{\frac{a_0}{2} r_0^m}^{\frac{a_0}{2} r^m} \frac{n(s, c, f)}{s} ds = N\left(\frac{a_0}{2} r^m, c, f\right) + O(1).$$

Now according to Lemma 6 we can actually choose  $c$  such that

$$(18) \quad N(r, c, f) \sim T(r, f) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus from this and (17) we have

$$(19) \quad T(r, f(g)) \geq N(r, c, f(g)) \geq (1 - o(1))T\left(\frac{a_0}{2} r^m, c, f\right)$$

as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

On the other hand, we have by putting  $R = 2r$  into Lemma 3 and Lemma 2 that

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} T\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) &= m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) \\ &< 4\log^+ T(2r, f) + 4\log^+ 2r + O(1) + N\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) \\ &< 4\log^+ T(2r, f) + 4\log^+ 2r + 2T(r, f) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from this and Lemma 1 (b) that

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} T(r, f') &= T\left(r, \frac{f'}{f} \cdot f\right) \leq T\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) + T(r, f) + \log 2 \\ &\leq 4\log^+ T(2r, f) + 4\log^+ 2r + 3T(r, f) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can obtain for  $l = 1, 2, \dots$

$$(22) \quad T(r, f^{(l)}) < k_1 \log^+ T(2^l r, f) + k_2 \log^+ 2^l r + k_3 T(r, f) + O(1),$$

where constants  $k_1, k_2$ , and  $k_3$  depend on  $l$ .

Since  $T(r, f)$  is an increasing function of  $r$ , Lemma 1 and inequality (22) imply that the following estimate holds

$$(23) \quad T(r, p(z, f, f', \dots, f^{(k)})) \leq k_4 \log^+ T(2^k r, f) + k_5 \log^+ r + k_6 T(r, f) + O(1),$$

where  $k_4, k_5, k_6$  are constants depending on  $k$ .

It follows from this, (19) and (9) that for large values of  $r$

$$(24) \quad k_6 T(r, f) + k_4 \log^+ T(2^k r, f) + k_5 \log^+ r \geq \frac{1}{2} T\left(\frac{a_0}{2} r^m, c, f\right).$$

Hence

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \log T(r, f) + \log k_6 + \log \log^+ T(2^k r, f) + \log k_4 + \log^+ \log^+ r + \log k_5 \\ \geq \log \frac{1}{2} + \log T\left(\frac{a_0}{2} r^m, c, f\right) \geq \log \frac{1}{2} + \log T\left(\frac{a_0}{2} r^m, f\right) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from Lemma 2.

Now suppose that

$$(26) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ T(r, f)}{\log \log r} = +\infty.$$

Again since  $T(r, f)$  is an increasing function of  $r$  it follows that for large values of  $r$

$$(27) \quad \frac{1}{2} \log^+ T\left(\frac{a_0}{2} r^m, f\right) \geq \log \log^+ T(2^k r, f).$$

From (26), (27), and (25) we have for a sequence of  $r_n$  values  $\{r_n\}$ ,  $r_n \uparrow \infty$ :

$$(28) \quad \frac{1}{2} \log T(r_n, f) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log^+ T\left(\frac{a_0}{2} r_n^m, f\right) + O(1).$$

This inequality cannot hold for large values  $r_n$ . Therefore we have to conclude that

$$(29) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ T(r, f)}{\log \log r} = \beta \quad (1 < \beta < +\infty).$$

$\beta$  must be greater than one due to the fact that for a transcendental meromorphic function  $f$ ,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} T(r, f)/\log r = \infty$ . Hence

$$(30) \quad \log^+ T(r, f) = O(1)(\log r)^\beta.$$

The theorem is thus proved completely.

In view of the above argument we can state the following more general result.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $R(z, y, y', \dots, y^{(k)})$  be a rational function in variables  $z, y, y', \dots, y^{(k)}$ , and  $g(z)$  be a given non-constant entire function. If  $f(z)$  is a transcendental meromorphic solution of the equation*

$$(31) \quad R(z, y, y', \dots, y^{(k)}) = y(g(z)),$$

*then  $g(z)$  must be a polynomial. Furthermore, if  $g(z)$  is not linear, then the order of  $f$  is zero and*

$$T(r, f(z)) = O(\log r)^\beta \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

*for some constant  $\beta > 1$ .*

**Final Remark.** The argument used in Theorem 1 also enables us to treat similar types of equations with arbitrary meromorphic functions as the coefficients in  $p(z, y, y', \dots, y^{(k)})$  provided we restrict ourselves to the discussion of the meromorphic solutions which grow much faster than all the coefficients of the equation.

**References**

- [1] J. Clunie, *The composition of entire and meromorphic functions*, Mathematical Essays Dedicated to A. J. MacIntyre, Ohio University Press, 1970.
- [2] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford 1964.
- [3] R. Nevanlinna, *Theoreme de Picard–Borel et la theorie des fonctions meromorphes*, p. 61.
- [4] – *Eindentliche Analytische Funktionen*, Springer, 1936, p. 263.
- [5] M. Tsuji, *Potential theory in modern function theory*, p. 58.
- [6] H. Wittich, *Neuere Untersuchungen über eidentige analytische funktionen*, Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1968, p. 64.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 13. 11. 1971*

---