

## A REPRESENTATION THEOREM FOR POST-LIKE ALGEBRAS

BY

B. WĘGLORZ (WROCLAW)

The algebraic system associated with  $n$ -valued propositional calculus is a *Post algebra* of the type  $n$  (so for  $n = 2$  we have the ordinary two-valued calculus and a Boolean algebra). Using e.g. the Epstein's representation theorem [3] for Post algebras of the type  $n$ , we see that Post algebras of that type are precisely those algebras which belong to the smallest equational class containing the algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_n = \langle P_n, f_0, f_1, \dots \rangle$ , where  $P_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $f_0, f_1, \dots$  are all finitary operations on  $P_n$  (cf. [4]). We generalize the notion of Post algebras in this direction.

**Definition.** Let  $m$  be a cardinal number (finite or not). By  $\mathbf{P}(m)$  we denote the class of all Post-like algebras of type  $m$  which we define as the smallest equational class containing the algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_m = \langle P_m, f_0, f_1, \dots, f_\alpha, \dots \rangle_{\alpha < \gamma}$ , where  $P_m$  has the cardinality  $m$  and  $f_0, \dots, f_\alpha, \dots$ ,  $\alpha < \gamma$ , are all finitary operations on  $P_m$ .

The aim of this paper is to give a uniform proof for representation theorems for all such classes. For cases  $m \geq \aleph_0$  this theorem seems to be new although the idea of the proof is contained in [5], [7] and [8].

For some other generalizations of the notion of Post algebras see [1], [2], [4], and [6].

To prove our representation theorem we use the Birkhoff's characterization of equational classes; so

$$\mathbf{P}(m) = H(S(P(\mathfrak{P}_m))),$$

where  $P$  denotes the operation of taking direct products,  $S$  — of subalgebras and  $H$  — of homomorphic images.

**1. Homomorphisms.** Let  $\mathfrak{M} = \langle A, 0, 1, \cap, \cup, n, r \rangle$  be an algebra such that:

(1.1)  $\langle A, 0, 1, \cap, \cup \rangle$  is a lattice with the greatest element 1 and the smallest element 0 with  $0 \neq 1$ ;

(1.2)  $n(0) = 1$ ,  $n(1) = 0$  and  $n(x) \neq 0, 1$  for  $x \neq 0, 1$ ;

(1.3)  $r(x, x) = 1$  and  $r(x, y) = 0$  for  $x \neq y$ .

LEMMA 1.1. *Let  $\mathfrak{N} \in H(S(P(\mathfrak{M})))$ . Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathfrak{N}$  is a one-element algebra;
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{N} \models 0 = 1$ ;
- (iii) there is an element  $b \in \mathfrak{N}$  such that  $r(b, b) = 0$ .

The proof is obvious.

Now let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an algebra with the carrier  $A$  which has the algebra  $\mathfrak{M}$  as a reduct. Let  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}^I$ . If  $D$  is a filter over  $I$ , then  $D$  determines a homomorphism  $\mathfrak{A}^I \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_D^I$  <sup>(1)</sup>. The image of  $\mathfrak{B}$  under this homomorphism will be called a *reduced subalgebra* of  $\mathfrak{A}^I$  and denoted by  $\mathfrak{B}/D$ . The aim of this section is to show that each element of  $H(S(P(\mathfrak{A})))$  is a reduced subalgebra of a direct power of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . More precisely,

THEOREM A. *Let  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}^I$ . If there is a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{B}$  onto  $\mathfrak{C}$ , then there exists a filter  $D$  over  $I$  such that  $\mathfrak{B}/D$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{C}$ .*

Proof. Let  $h$  be a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}^I$  onto  $\mathfrak{C}$ . If  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a one-element algebra, then we can take  $D$  to be the improper filter over  $I$  and all requirements of Theorem A are satisfied. So we may assume that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is not one-element.

For every term  $f$  of the type of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , with  $n$  variables and with  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in B$  we put

$$(1) \quad Z(f; a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) = \{i \in I : a_0(i) = f(a_1(i), \dots, a_n(i))\}.$$

Let  $E$  be the family of all sets of the form (1) such that  $Z(f; a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \in E$  iff  $\mathfrak{C} \models h(a_0) = f(h(a_1), \dots, h(a_n))$ , where  $f$  is any term and  $a_0, \dots, a_n \in B$  are arbitrary. Let  $D$  be the filter generated by  $E$  over  $I$ . We proceed to prove that

(2) the filter  $D$  is proper.

Suppose that for some  $Z_1, \dots, Z_s \in E$  we have  $\bigcap_{p=1}^s Z_p = 0$ . Then for each  $i_0 \in I$  there is a  $p$  such that  $i_0 \notin Z_p$ . Let

$$Z_p = Z(f_p; a_0^{(p)}, a_1^{(p)}, \dots, a_n^{(p)}).$$

If  $i_0 \in Z_p$ , then  $a_0^{(p)}(i_0) = f_p(a_1^{(p)}(i_0), \dots, a_n^{(p)}(i_0))$ . Thus using the operation  $r$  we have

$$r(a_0^{(p)}(i), f_p(a_1^{(p)}(i_0), \dots, a_n^{(p)}(i_0))) = 0 \quad \text{for } i_0 \notin Z_p.$$

Let us consider the term

$$t(x_0^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(s)}) = r(x_0^{(1)}, f_1(x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_n)) \cap \dots \cap r(x_0^{(s)}, f_s(x_1^{(s)}, \dots, x_n^{(s)})).$$

(1) Let us recall that by  $\mathfrak{A}_D^I$  we denote the reduced power of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , i.e. the quotient of  $\mathfrak{A}^I$  by the equivalence relation  $a \sim_D b$  iff  $\{i \in I : a(i) = b(i)\} \in D$ .

Since, for each  $i \in I$ , we have  $\mathbf{t}(a_0^{(1)}(i), \dots, a_n^{(s)}(i)) = 0$ , so  $\mathbf{t}(a_0^{(1)}, \dots, a_n^{(s)}) = 0$  and because  $h$  is a homomorphism, also  $\mathbf{t}(h(a_0^{(1)}), \dots, h(a_n^{(s)})) = 0$ .

On the other hand, since each  $Z_p \in E$ , for each  $p$  we have

$$h(a_0^{(p)}) = f_p(h(a_1^{(p)}), \dots, h(a_n^{(p)})),$$

which means that  $\mathbf{t}(h(a_0^{(1)}), \dots, h(a_n^{(s)})) = 1$ . Thus we have  $\mathfrak{C} \models 0 = 1$ , whence we infer, by Lemma 1.1 ((i)  $\leftrightarrow$  (iii)), that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a one-element algebra, contrary to our assumption. Thus (2) is proved.

In order to prove our theorem it suffices, in view of the construction of the filter  $D$ , to show that

- (3) for each term  $f$  with  $n$  variables, if  $a_0, \dots, a_n \in B$  and  $Z(f; a_0, \dots, a_n) \in D$ , then  $h(a_0) = f(h(a_1), \dots, h(a_n))$ .

In fact we will prove a stronger proposition that under assumption of (3) there is

(4)  $Z(f; a_0, \dots, a_n) \in E$ .

Indeed, if  $Z(f; a_0, \dots, a_n) \in D$ , then there exist  $Z_1, \dots, Z_s \in E$  such that

$$\bigcap_{p=1}^s Z_p \subseteq Z(f; a_0, \dots, a_n) = Z.$$

Let  $Z_p = Z(f_p; a_0^{(p)}, a_1^{(p)}, \dots, a_m^{(p)})$  and consider the following term:  
 $\mathbf{t}(x_0, \dots, x_m^{(s)}) = \mathbf{r}(x_0, \mathbf{f}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \cup \mathbf{nr}(x_0^{(1)}, \mathbf{f}_1(x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_m^{(1)})) \cup \dots$   
 $\dots \cup \mathbf{nr}(x_0^{(s)}, \mathbf{f}_s(x_1^{(s)}, \dots, x_m^{(s)})).$

Since  $I = Z \cup \bigcup_{p=1}^s (I - Z_p)$ , we have  $\mathbf{t}(a_0(i), \dots, a_m^{(s)}(i)) = 1$  for each  $i \in I$ , thus  $\mathbf{t}(a_0, \dots, a_m^{(s)}) = 1$  and, consequently, since  $h$  is a homomorphism

(5)  $\mathbf{t}(h(a_0), \dots, h(a_m^{(s)})) = 1$ .

Since each  $Z_p \in E$ , we have  $h(a_0^{(p)}) = f_p(h(a_1^{(p)}), \dots, h(a_m^{(p)}))$  for each  $p$ . Thus  $\mathbf{r}(h(a_0^{(p)}), \mathbf{f}_p(h(a_1^{(p)}), \dots, h(a_m^{(p)}))) = 1$  and, consequently,  $\mathbf{nr}(h(a_0^{(p)}), \mathbf{f}_p(h(a_1^{(p)}), \dots, h(a_m^{(p)}))) = 0$ . But then

$$\mathbf{t}(h(a_0), \dots, h(a_m^{(s)})) = \mathbf{r}(h(a_0), \mathbf{f}(h(a_1), \dots, h(a_n))),$$

whence, by (5),  $\mathbf{r}(h(a_0), \mathbf{f}(h(a_1), \dots, h(a_n))) = 1$ .

Now let us consider the following term:

$$\mathbf{u}(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \mathbf{r}(x_0, \mathbf{f}(x_1, \dots, x_n)).$$

By the definition of  $E$  we have  $Z(\mathbf{u}; 1, a_0, \dots, a_n) \in E$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\mathbf{u}; 1, a_0, \dots, a_n) &= \{i \in I: 1 = \mathbf{u}(a_0(i), \dots, a_n(i))\} \\ &= \{i \in I: a_0(i) = \mathbf{f}(a_1(i), \dots, a_n(i))\} \\ &= Z(f; a_0, \dots, a_n). \end{aligned}$$

This shows (4) and thus the proof of Theorem A is completed.

**2. Subalgebras.** Let  $A$  be a set and  $I$  be a non-void set. For any filter  $F$  over  $I^2$  let us write (following Keisler [5])

$$A^I|F = \{f \in A^I : \{\langle i, j \rangle : f(i) = f(j)\} \in F\}.$$

Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an algebra with the carrier  $A$ , then by  $\mathfrak{A}^I|F$  we denote the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}^I$  with the carrier  $A^I|F$ .

The following theorem is due to Keisler [5]:

**THEOREM 2.1.** *A subset  $B \subseteq A^I$  is of the form  $B = A^I|F$  for a filter  $F$  over  $I^2$  iff for each  $f, g \in B$  and each  $h \in A^I$ , if  $eq(f) \cap eq(g) \subseteq eq(h)$ , then  $h \in B$ , where  $eq(f) = \{\langle i, j \rangle : f(i) = f(j)\} \subseteq I^2$ .*

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{P}_m^I$ . Then there is a filter  $F$  over  $I^2$  such that  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{P}_m^I|F$ .*

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.1 it suffices to show that for  $a, b \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $c \in \mathfrak{P}_m^I$ , if  $eq(a) \cap eq(b) \subseteq eq(c)$ , then  $c \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

And if  $eq(a) \cap eq(b) \subseteq eq(c)$ , define a function  $f: A^2 \rightarrow A$  by the formula

$$f(a(i), b(i)) = c(i) \quad \text{for each } i \in I.$$

(In other cases  $f$  is defined in an arbitrary way.)

Since  $eq(a) \cap eq(b) \subseteq eq(c)$ ,  $f$  is a well-defined binary operation on  $A$ . So there is an  $\alpha < \gamma$  such that  $f = f_\alpha$  and since  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a subalgebra, there is  $f_\alpha(a, b) = c \in \mathfrak{B}$ . This completes the proof.

### 3. Representation theorem.

**THEOREM C.**  *$\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{P}(m)$  iff there are a non-void set  $I$  and two filters  $F$  over  $I^2$  and  $D$  over  $I$  such that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an isomorph of  $(\mathfrak{P}_m^I|F)/D$ .*

**Proof.** Using Theorem B, we see that any algebra in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{P}_m))$  has a form  $(\mathfrak{P}_m^I|F)$  for some  $I \neq 0$  and some filter  $F$  over  $I^2$ . So, by Theorem A, we obtain  $\mathfrak{A}$  as an isomorph of a reduced subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{P}_m^I$ , whence  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an isomorph of  $(\mathfrak{P}_m^I|F)/D$ , q.e.d.

**Remarks.** (3.1) In the above theorem the reduction by a filter  $D$  cannot be removed, because for  $m \geq \aleph_0$  no proper elementary extension of  $\mathfrak{P}_m$  is of the form  $(\mathfrak{P}_m^I|F)$ .

(3.2) Let  $\mathbf{2}$  be the two-element Boolean algebra. If  $\mathfrak{A} \cong (\mathfrak{P}_m^I|F)/D$ , then  $(\mathbf{2}^I|F)/D$  is said to be a Boolean algebra associated with this representation of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Such an algebra does not determine  $F$ , because for  $G$  being a filter over  $I^2$  generated by finite decompositions of  $I$  we have  $(\mathbf{2}^I|F)/D = (\mathbf{2}^I|(F \cap G))/D$  and  $(\mathfrak{P}_m^I|F)/D \neq (\mathfrak{P}_m^I|(F \cap G))/D$  for  $m \geq \aleph_0$ . Evidently, for finite  $m$  we have  $(\mathfrak{P}_m^I|F)/D = (\mathfrak{P}_m^I|(F \cap G))/D$ .

(3.3) For finite  $m$  the reduction by a filter  $D$  can be removed in the way suggested in the proof of Theorem 4 in [7]. And since, for finite  $m$ , Post-like algebras are Post-algebras, our Theorem C yields in this case well-known cases of representation theorem.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. C. Chang and A. Horn, *Prime ideal characterization of generalized Post algebras*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Vol. II, Lattice theory, American Mathematical Society, Providence R. I. (1961), p. 43-48.
- [2] Ph. Dwinger, *Generalized Post algebras*, Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série des sciences mathématiques, astronomiques et physiques, 16 (1968), p. 559-565.
- [3] G. Epstein, *The lattice theory of Post algebras*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 95 (1960), p. 300-317.
- [4] A. L. Foster, *Generalized "Boolean" theory of universal algebras. Part I: Subdirect sums and normal representation theorem*, Mathematische Zeitschrift 58 (1953), p. 306-336.
- [5] H. J. Keisler, *Limit ultrapowers*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 107 (1963), p. 382-407.
- [6] T. Traczyk, *On Post algebras with uncountable chain of constants. Algebra of homomorphisms*, Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série des Sciences mathématiques, astronomiques et physiques, 15 (1967), p. 673-680.
- [7] J. Waszkiewicz and B. Węglorz, *Some models of theories of reduced powers*, ibidem 16 (1968), p. 683-685.
- [8] A. Wojciechowska, *Limit reduced powers*, Colloquium Mathematicum 20 (1969), p. 203-208.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 1. 2. 1969*

---