

Solutions of the Schröder equation

by W. SMAJDOR (Katowice)

1. Let F be a locally convex space over the field of complex numbers C . We consider the Schröder equation

$$(1) \quad \varphi[f(z)] = s\varphi(z),$$

where φ of the type $\varphi: C^n \rightarrow F$ is the unknown function; the function f of the type $f: C^n \rightarrow C^n$ and the complex constant $s \neq 0$ are given. We shall investigate local analytic solutions of (1).

We assume that:

(a₁) $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a neighbourhood of $z = 0$ and $f(0) = 0$.

(a₂) $\lambda_0 \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |\lambda_i| < 1$, where λ_i denote the characteristic roots of the matrix $f'(0)$.

It follows by (a₂) that there exists a positive number p such that

$$(2) \quad \frac{\lambda_0^p}{|s|} < 1.$$

We suppose that φ is an analytic solution of (1) in a neighbourhood of $z = 0$. We put

$$(3) \quad \eta_{i_1 \dots i_q} = \left. \frac{\partial^q \varphi(z)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_q}} \right|_{z=0}, \quad i_1, \dots, i_q = 1, \dots, n; \quad q = 1, \dots, p,$$

and

$$\eta^{(q)} = \{\eta_{i_1 \dots i_q}\}_{i_1, \dots, i_q = 1, \dots, n}.$$

Differentiating equality (1) q times and then setting $z = 0$, we obtain

$$(4) \quad \eta^{(q)} = \left\{ \frac{1}{s} \sum_{k=1}^q \sum_{j_1 \dots j_k=1}^n b_{i_1 \dots i_q}^{j_1 \dots j_k} \eta_{j_1 \dots j_k} \right\}_{i_1, \dots, i_q = 1, \dots, n},$$

where $b_{i_1 \dots i_q}^{j_1 \dots j_k}$ are expressed by means of sums and products of derivatives

of f at $z = 0$. Since the result of the differentiation does not depend on the order of taking the derivatives, we have

$$(5) \quad \eta_{i_{p_1} \dots i_{p_q}} = \eta_{i_1 \dots i_q}, \quad i_1, \dots, i_q = 1, \dots, n; \quad q = 1, \dots, p,$$

for every permutation i_{p_1}, \dots, i_{p_q} of the sequence i_1, \dots, i_q .

We say that the system $\eta^{(1)}, \dots, \eta^{(p)}$ is allowable if it fulfils system (4) for $q = 1, \dots, p$, and conditions (5).

We shall prove the following

THEOREM 1. *If hypotheses (a₁) and (a₂) are fulfilled, then for every allowable system $\eta^{(1)}, \dots, \eta^{(p)}$ for equation (1) there exists exactly one solution φ of (1) defined and analytic in a neighbourhood of $z = 0$ and such that*

$$(6) \quad \varphi(0) = 0, \quad \varphi^{(q)}(0) = \eta^{(q)}, \quad q = 1, \dots, p.$$

Proof. Let $\eta^{(1)}, \dots, \eta^{(p)}$ be an arbitrary non-trivial allowable system and let

$$(7) \quad \omega(z) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \sum_{q=1}^p \frac{1}{q!} \sum_{i_1 \dots i_q=1}^n \eta_{i_1 \dots i_q} z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_q}.$$

We take into consideration only those elements of the set

$$(8) \quad \eta_{i_1 \dots i_q}, \quad i_1, \dots, i_q = 1, \dots, n; \quad q = 1, \dots, p$$

for which $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_q$. We set those elements into a sequence η^1, \dots, η^N , $N = \binom{n+p}{n} - 1$. Similarly, we take only those equations from system (4) in which on the left-hand side $\eta_{i_1 \dots i_q}$ has indices in a non-decreasing order. Thus the $\eta_{i_1 \dots i_q}$, $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_q$, of the allowable system considered fulfil the linear homogeneous system of N equations (arising from (4))

$$(9) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{b}_j^i \eta^j = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

We can write (7) in the form

$$(10) \quad \omega(z) = \sum_{j=1}^N w_j(z) \eta^j,$$

where $w_j(z)$ are homogeneous polynomials of the type $w_j: C^n \rightarrow C$. Since $\eta^{(1)}, \dots, \eta^{(p)}$ is a non-trivial allowable system, the rank of the matrix $B = [\hat{b}_j^i]$ equals $N - l$, where $l > 0$. Thus $\eta^{l+1}, \dots, \eta^N$ are linearly dependent on η^1, \dots, η^l (possibly after a change of the numbering of variables). Thus (10) may be written in the form

$$\omega(z) = \sum_{j=1}^l W_j(z) \eta^j,$$

where $W_j(z)$ are polynomials of the type $W_j: C^n \rightarrow C$.

We shall prove the convergence of the sequence $\frac{\omega[f^m(z)]}{s^m}$ to an analytic function of the type $\varphi: C^n \rightarrow F$ in a neighbourhood of $z = 0$ if we show the convergence of the sequences $W_j[f^m(z)]/s^m$, $j = 1, \dots, l$, to analytic functions $\psi_j(z)$ in this neighbourhood.

We get all the allowable systems $c^{(1)}, \dots, c^{(p)}$ for the equation

$$(11) \quad \psi[f(z)] = s\psi(z), \quad \psi: C^n \rightarrow C,$$

solving the system of equations

$$(12) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{b}_j^i c^j = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where $c^j = c_{i_1 \dots i_p}$ if $\eta^j = \eta_{i_1 \dots i_q}$ ($i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_q$). We can take c^1, \dots, c^l arbitrarily and then calculate c^{l+1}, \dots, c^N from system (12). Then function

$$\pi(z) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \sum_{q=1}^p \frac{1}{q!} \sum_{i_1 \dots i_q=1}^n c_{i_1 \dots i_q} z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_q} = \sum_{j=1}^l W_j(z) c^j$$

fulfils the following conditions:

$$\pi(0) = 0, \quad \pi^{(q)}(0) = c^{(q)}, \quad q = 1, \dots, p.$$

The equalities

$$(13) \quad c^i = 1, \quad c^j = 0, \quad j \neq i, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, l$$

define exactly one allowable system $c^{(1)}, \dots, c^{(p)}$, and for this system we have $\pi(z) = W_i(z)$. It is known (see [3]) that the functional sequence $\frac{\pi[f^m(z)]}{s^m} = \frac{W_i[f^m(z)]}{s^m}$ converges to an analytic function $\psi_i(z)$ in a neighbourhood of $z = 0$. This function fulfils equation (11) and the conditions

$$(14) \quad \left. \frac{\partial^q \psi_i(z)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_q}} \right|_{z=0} = c^j \quad (c^j = c_{i_1 \dots i_q}), \quad i = 1, \dots, l,$$

where c^j for $1 \leq j \leq l$ fulfil (13). The function

$$(15) \quad \varphi(z) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^l \psi_i(z) \eta^i$$

is an analytic solution of (1) in a neighbourhood of $z = 0$.

We shall prove that φ fulfils conditions (6).

We differentiate both sides of the equality $\varphi[f(z)] = s\varphi(z)$, q times, $q = 1, \dots, p$, with respect to the variables z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_q} , $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_q$.

Let $\delta^j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\partial^q \varphi(z)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_q}} \Big|_{z=0}$ if $\eta^j = \eta_{i_1 \dots i_q}$. Then

$$(16) \quad \sum_{j=1}^H \hat{b}_j^i \delta^j = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

It follows by (15), (14) and (13) that

$$\frac{\partial^q \varphi(z)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{j_q}} \Big|_{z=0} = \delta^j = \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{\partial^q \psi_i(z)}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_q}} \Big|_{z=0} \eta^i = \eta^j, \quad j = 1, \dots, l.$$

Moreover, we have by (9) and (16)

$$\delta^j = \eta^j \quad \text{for } j = l+1, \dots, N.$$

Thus φ fulfils (6).

Now, we prove that there exists at most one solution of (1) fulfilling (6).

We suppose that φ_1 and φ_2 are analytic functions in a neighbourhood U of $z = 0$ fulfilling equation (1) and conditions (6). Let $u \in F'$, where F' denotes the vector space of all continuous linear forms $u: F \rightarrow C$. The functions $u \circ \varphi_1$ and $u \circ \varphi_2$ are analytic functions in U (cf. [1], Theorem 3.2) such that

$$\begin{aligned} u \circ \varphi_k[f(z)] &= s u \circ \varphi_k(z), \\ u \circ \varphi_k(0) &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial^q}{\partial z_{i_1} \dots \partial z_{i_q}} u \circ \varphi_k(z) \Big|_{z=0} = u(\eta_{i_1 \dots i_q}), \quad k = 1, 2; \\ i_1, \dots, i_q &= 1, \dots, n; \quad q = 1, \dots, p. \end{aligned}$$

It follows by the form of system (4) and conditions (5) that the system $c^{(1)}, \dots, c^{(p)}, c^{(a)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u(\eta_{i_1 \dots i_q})\}_{i_1, \dots, i_q=1, \dots, n, q=1, \dots, p}$, is an allowable system for (11). In virtue of [3] Theorem 1 we have $u \circ \varphi_1(z) = u \circ \varphi_2(z)$ for $z \in U$. Since $u \in F'$ was arbitrary, we have $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$.

If $\eta^{(1)}, \dots, \eta^{(p)}$ is a trivial system, then $\varphi = 0$ is the unique solution of (1) fulfilling (6). Thus the proof is completed.

2. Let F be a locally convex space over the field of real numbers R . We consider the Schröder equation

$$(17) \quad \varphi[f(x)] = s\varphi(x),$$

where f and φ are of the types $f: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ and $\varphi: R^n \rightarrow F$, respectively, and the real constant s is different from zero.

We assume that

(b₁) $f(x)$ is defined and of class C^p ($p > 0$) in a neighbourhood of $x = 0$ and $f(0) = 0$.

(b₂) $\frac{\lambda_0^p}{|s|} < 1$ for a certain positive integer p , where $\lambda_0 = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |\lambda_i|$ and λ_i denote the characteristic roots of the matrix $f'(0)$.

We apply Theorem 6.2 from paper [2] and by an argument quite similar to that used in Theorem 1 we may prove the following

THEOREM 2. *If hypotheses (b₁) and (b₂) are fulfilled, then for every allowable system $\eta^{(1)}, \dots, \eta^{(p)}$ for equation (17) there exists exactly one solution $\varphi(x)$ of (17) defined and of weak class C^p ⁽¹⁾ in a neighbourhood of $x = 0$ and such that*

$$(18) \quad u \circ \varphi(0) = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial^q}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_q}} u \circ \varphi(x) \right|_{x=0} = u(\eta_{i_1 \dots i_q}), \quad q = 1, \dots, p,$$

for every $u \in F'$. This solution is of class C^p .

Let $f(x)$ be defined in a domain $G \subset R^n$ and let $\Gamma_r \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} G \cap \{x: |x| = r\}$, $R \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \{r > 0: \Gamma_r \neq \emptyset\}$, $h(r) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \frac{1}{r} \sup_{\Gamma_r} |f(x)|$ for $r \in R$ ⁽²⁾. We assume also that

(b₃) $h(r)$ is continuous in R and $0 < h(r) < 1$ for $r \in R$.

Theorem 2 of this paper and Theorem 6.3 of [2] imply the following theorem of a global character:

THEOREM 3. *Let $f(x)$ be a C^p -morphism of G into G and let hypotheses (b₂) and (b₃) be fulfilled. Then for every allowable system $\eta^{(1)}, \dots, \eta^{(p)}$ for equation (17) there exists exactly one solution $\varphi(x)$ of (17) defined and of weak class C^p in G fulfilling conditions (18). This solution is of class C^p .*

⁽¹⁾ The function $\varphi: R^n \rightarrow F$ is of weak class C^p in an open set U if for every linear and continuous form $u: F \rightarrow R$ the function $u \circ \varphi$ is of class C^p in U .

⁽²⁾ Here $|x| = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{1/2}$.

References

- [1] J. Bochnak and J. Siciak, *Analytic functions in topological vector spaces*, *Studia Math.* 39 (1971), p. 79 - 114.
- [2] M. Kuczma, *On the Schröder equation*, *Rozprawy Mat.* 34 (1963).
- [3] W. Smajdor, *Local analytic solutions of the functional equation $\varphi(z) = h(z, \varphi[f(z)])$ in multidimensional spaces*, *Aequationes Math.* 1 (1968), p. 20 - 36.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 9. 4. 1971