

*NOT EVERY EQUATIONAL CLASS OF INFINITARY ALGEBRAS
CONTAINS A SIMPLE ALGEBRA*

BY

EVELYN NELSON (HAMILTON, ONTARIO)

An algebra is *simple* if it has exactly two congruence relations.

Magari [2] proves that, for every non-trivial algebra A (with only finitary operations), either A has a simple quotient or the subalgebra of A^A generated by the constant functions and the identity function has a simple quotient; in the latter case, the simple quotient which Magari constructs actually has a trivial (i.e. one-element) subalgebra. It follows from this that every non-trivial equational class of finitary algebras contains a simple algebra, and, in an equational class of finitary algebras in which no non-trivial algebra contains a trivial subalgebra, every non-trivial algebra has a simple quotient.

These results are no longer true if one permits infinitary operations. This note * consists of two examples. In the first we describe an equational class of infinitary algebras which contains no simple algebras, and in the second — an equational class of infinitary algebras which contains a simple algebra and in which no non-trivial algebra has a trivial subalgebra, but not every non-trivial algebra has a simple quotient.

1. Let \mathcal{A} be the class of algebras $(L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1, (c_i)_{i \in N}, g)$, where $(L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ is a bounded distributive lattice with smallest element 0 and largest element 1, c_i is a nullary operation on L for each $i \in N$, g is an \aleph_0 -ary operation on L , and

- (1) $c_0 = 0$;
- (2) if $i < j$, then $c_i \wedge c_j = c_i$;
- (3) $g((c_i)_{i \in N}) = 0$;
- (4) for all countable sequences $(b_i)_{i \in N}$ of elements of L and for all $j \in N$, $g((b_i \vee c_j)_{i \in N}) = g((b_i)_{i \in N}) \vee c_j$;
- (5) if $\{b_i \mid i \in N\}$ is finite, then $g((b_i)_{i \in N}) = 1$.

* Research supported by the National Research Council of Canada.

Note that an algebra satisfies (5) iff it satisfies all identities of the form $g((x_i)_{i \in N}) = 1$, where the sequences $(x_i)_{i \in N}$ run through all countable sequences from a given infinite set, each with only finitely many different entries, and thus \mathcal{A} is an equational class.

Note that if $(L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1, (c_i)_{i \in N}, g) \in \mathcal{A}$, then either L has only one element or $0 \neq 1$ and thus, by (3) and (5), $\{c_i \mid i \in N\}$ is an infinite subset of L . The following proposition shows that \mathcal{A} is non-trivial:

PROPOSITION 1. *If $(L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ is a bounded distributive lattice which contains a countably infinite ascending chain $c_0 = 0 < c_1 < c_2 < c_3 < \dots < c_n < \dots$, then there exists a mapping $g: L^N \rightarrow L$ such that $\mathbf{L} = (L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1, (c_i)_{i \in N}, g) \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. Define $g: L^N \rightarrow L$ as follows: For $(b_i)_{i \in N} \in L^N$, if there exists a $j \in N$ such that

$$(b_i \vee c_j)_{i \in N} = \underbrace{(c_j, c_j, \dots, c_j, c_{j+1}, c_{j+2}, \dots)}_{j+1 \text{ terms}},$$

then $g((b_i)_{i \in N}) = c_k$, where k is the smallest such j ; otherwise, $g((b_i)_{i \in N}) = 1$.

Then $\mathbf{L} = (L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1, (c_i)_{i \in N}, g)$ obviously satisfies (1) and (2).

For notational convenience, let

$$\bar{c}_j = \underbrace{(c_j, c_j, \dots, c_j, c_{j+1}, c_{j+2}, \dots)}_{j+1 \text{ terms}}.$$

Since $(c_i \vee c_0)_{i \in N} = (c_i \vee 0)_{i \in N} = (c_i)_{i \in N} = \bar{c}_0$, it follows that \mathbf{L} satisfies (3). Similarly, for each $j \in N$, since $i < j$ implies $c_i < c_j$, $g(\bar{c}_j) = c_j$.

If $(b_i)_{i \in N}$ is a countable sequence of elements of L such that $\{b_i \mid i \in N\}$ is finite, then, for each $j \in N$, $\{b_i \vee c_j \mid i \in N\}$ is also finite, and, consequently, $(b_i \vee c_j)_{i \in N} \neq \bar{c}_j$; thus $g((b_i)_{i \in N}) = 1$. It follows that \mathbf{L} satisfies (5).

To prove that $\mathbf{L} \in \mathcal{A}$ it remains only to show that, for each sequence $(b_i)_{i \in N}$ of elements of L and for each $j \in N$,

$$g((b_i \vee c_j)_{i \in N}) = g((b_i)_{i \in N}) \vee c_j.$$

We consider two cases.

Case 1. There exists a k which is the smallest natural number with the property that $(b_i \vee c_k)_{i \in N} = \bar{c}_k$ (and then $g((b_i)_{i \in N}) = c_k$). Then, if $j \geq k$,

$$(b_i \vee c_j)_{i \in N} = (b_i \vee c_k \vee c_j)_{i \in N} = \bar{c}_j;$$

thus

$$g((b_i \vee c_j)_{i \in N}) = c_j = c_k \vee c_j = g((b_i)_{i \in N}) \vee c_j.$$

If $j < k$, then

$$(b_i \vee c_j \vee c_k)_{i \in N} = (b_i \vee c_k)_{i \in N} = \bar{c}_k,$$

and k is the smallest natural number with this property; thus

$$g((b_i \vee c_j)_{i \in N}) = c_k = c_k \vee c_j = g((b_i)_{i \in N}) \vee c_j.$$

Case 2. There is no $k \in N$ such that $(b_i \vee c_k)_{i \in N} = \bar{c}_k$. Then $g((b_i)_{i \in N}) = 1$. If there exists a $k \in N$ with $(b_i \vee c_j \vee c_k)_{i \in N} = \bar{c}_k$, then $b_0 \vee c_j \vee c_k = c_k$, thus $c_j \leq c_k$; consequently,

$$(b_i \vee c_k)_{i \in N} = (b_i \vee c_j \vee c_k)_{i \in N} = \bar{c}_k,$$

and this is a contradiction. Thus

$$g((b_i \vee c_j)_{i \in N}) = 1 = 1 \vee c_j = g((b_i)_{i \in N}) \vee c_j.$$

This completes the proof.

COROLLARY. \mathcal{A} is non-trivial.

Now, for each algebra $\mathbf{L} = (L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1, (c_i)_{i \in N}, g) \in \mathcal{A}$, and for each $j \in N$, $L_j = [c_j, 1] = \{a \in L \mid c_j \leq a\}$ is a sublattice of L , and, moreover, (4) implies that L_j is closed under the operation g .

PROPOSITION 2. For each algebra $\mathbf{L} = (L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1, (c_i)_{i \in N}, g) \in \mathcal{A}$ and for each $j \in N$,

$$\mathbf{L}_j = (L_j, \wedge|_{L_j}, \vee|_{L_j}, c_j, 1, (c_i \vee c_i)_{i \in N}, g|_{L_j}) \in \mathcal{A},$$

and the mapping $\varphi_j: L \rightarrow L_j$ defined by $\varphi(a) = a \vee c_j$ is an \mathcal{A} -algebra homomorphism.

Proof. To see that $\mathbf{L}_j \in \mathcal{A}$ it is only necessary to show that it satisfies (1)-(5). Conditions (1), (2), (4) and (5) follow immediately from the corresponding properties of \mathbf{L} , since all the operations in \mathbf{L}_j except the constants are just the restrictions of the corresponding operations on L . We have

$$g((c_i \vee c_j)_{i \in N}) = g((c_i)_{i \in N}) \vee c_j = 0 \vee c_j = c_j$$

since \mathbf{L} satisfies (3) and (4), and thus \mathbf{L}_j satisfies (3).

Since (L, \wedge, \vee) is a distributive lattice, it follows that φ_j is a lattice homomorphism. Moreover, since \mathbf{L} satisfies (4), it follows that, for all countable sequences $(b_i)_{i \in N}$ of elements of L , $g(\varphi_j((b_i)_{i \in N})) = \varphi_j(g((b_i)_{i \in N}))$. The homomorphism φ_j obviously maps the nullary operations of \mathbf{L} to the corresponding nullary operations of \mathbf{L}_j , and thus φ_j is an \mathcal{A} -algebra homomorphism.

COROLLARY. \mathcal{A} contains no simple algebras.

Proof. For any $\mathbf{L} = (L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1, (c_i)_{i \in N}, g) \in \mathcal{A}$ with $0 \neq 1$, conditions (3) and (5) imply that there exists a j with $c_j \neq 0$ and $c_j \neq 1$. But then, if φ_j is the homomorphism described in Proposition 2, the kernel of φ_j is a proper non-trivial congruence on \mathbf{L} , and hence \mathbf{L} is not simple.

It should be pointed out that one could obtain an example of an equational class with only finitely many operations which does not contain a simple algebra by discarding from \mathcal{A} the constants c_i , adding a new

\aleph_0 -ary operation, say h , and a condition on h analogous to (4), and then “re-introducing” the constants c_i as derived operations, by defining, for instance, c_i to be the image under h of the sequence with 1 in the i -th place and zeroes elsewhere.

2. Let \mathcal{B} be the equational class of all \aleph_0 -complete Boolean algebras, that is, algebras $(B, \wedge, \vee, ', 0, 1, \bigwedge, \bigvee)$, where $(B, \wedge, \vee, ', 0, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra, and \bigwedge, \bigvee are \aleph_0 -ary operations satisfying the obvious identities. The two-element Boolean algebra $\mathbf{2}$ is a simple \aleph_0 -complete Boolean algebra. It was proved in Banaschewski and Nelson [1] that $\mathbf{2}$ is the only subdirectly irreducible \aleph_0 -complete Boolean algebra, and hence $\mathbf{2}$ is the only simple algebra in \mathcal{B} .

Clearly, no non-trivial algebra in \mathcal{B} has a trivial subalgebra, since the class of Boolean algebras already has this property.

It is well known that the lattice \mathbf{B} of all regular-open subsets of the real line is an \aleph_0 -complete Boolean algebra [3]; however, if $\varphi: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{2}$ were an \aleph_0 -complete homomorphism, then $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\})$ would be an \aleph_0 -complete proper prime (and hence maximal) ideal in \mathbf{B} . Since every regular-open subset of the real line is the join (in \mathbf{B}) of all the open intervals with rational end points contained in it, it follows that every \aleph_0 -complete ideal in \mathbf{B} is complete, and hence principal. But there are no proper principal ideals in \mathbf{B} which are maximal, and hence there is no \aleph_0 -complete homomorphism from \mathbf{B} to $\mathbf{2}$.

Thus \mathcal{B} provides the desired example of an equational class which contains a simple algebra, and in which no non-trivial algebra contains a trivial subalgebra, but not every non-trivial algebra has a simple quotient.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Banaschewski and E. Nelson, *Equational compactness in infinitary algebras*, Colloquium Mathematicum 27 (1973), p. 197-205.
- [2] R. Magari, *Una dimostrazione del fatto che ogni varietà ammette algebre semplici*, Annali dell'Università di Ferrara (N.S.), Sezione VII, Scienze Matematiche, 14 (1969), p. 1-4.
- [3] R. Sikorski, *Boolean algebras*, Berlin 1964.

MCMMASTER UNIVERSITY
HAMILTON, ONTARIO

Reçu par la Rédaction le 20. 10. 1972