

Symmetric derivative of nowhere monotone functions, I

by N. K. KUNDU (Arambagh) and S. N. MUKHOPADHYAY (Burdwan)

Let f be a real function defined on the real line R . For $\xi \in R$, let

$$\Phi(\xi, h) = \frac{f(\xi+h) - f(\xi-h)}{2h}, \quad h \in R, h \neq 0.$$

Then $\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \Phi(\xi, h)$ and $\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \Phi(\xi, h)$ are called the *upper* and the *lower symmetric derivative* of f at ξ and are denoted by $\overline{f'}(\xi)$ and $\underline{f'}(\xi)$, respectively [3]. If $\overline{f'}(\xi)$ and $\underline{f'}(\xi)$ are equal and finite, then the function f is said to be *symmetrically differentiable* at ξ and the common value is called the *symmetric derivative* [2] or the *Schwarz derivative* [4] (p. 36) of f at ξ and is denoted by $f'(\xi)$. It is clear that if the ordinary derivative $f'(\xi)$ at ξ exists, then $f'(\xi)$ also exists and they are equal; but the converse is not true.

We introduce the following definitions:

A function f is *symmetrically increasing (decreasing)* at a point ξ iff there exists a real number $h_\xi > 0$ such that

$$f(\xi+t) > f(\xi-t) \quad [f(\xi+t) < f(\xi-t)] \quad \text{for all } t, 0 < t < h_\xi.$$

The function f is *symmetrically non-decreasing (non-increasing)* at ξ iff there exists $h_\xi > 0$ such that

$$f(\xi+t) \geq f(\xi-t) \quad [f(\xi+t) \leq f(\xi-t)] \quad \text{for all } t, 0 < t < h_\xi.$$

A function f is said to be *symmetrically increasing (resp. non-decreasing, decreasing, non-increasing)* on an interval I iff f is symmetrically increasing (resp. non-decreasing, decreasing, non-increasing) at each point of I .

A function f is said to be *nowhere symmetrically monotone* in an interval I iff there is no subinterval of I in which f is symmetrically monotone.

A function f is *symmetrically oscillating* at a point ξ iff f is neither symmetrically non-increasing nor symmetrically non-decreasing at ξ . That is, a point ξ is a point of symmetric oscillation for the function f iff given any $h > 0$, there are t_1, t_2 satisfying $0 < t_1 < h, 0 < t_2 < h$, such that

$$f(\xi+t_1) > f(\xi-t_1) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\xi+t_2) < f(\xi-t_2).$$

Remarks. 1. The following definitions are known [1].

A function f is said to be *increasing* (*decreasing*) at a point ξ on the right iff there exists a real number $h_\xi > 0$ such that

$$f(x) > f(\xi) \quad [f(x) < f(\xi)] \quad \text{for } \xi < x < \xi + h_\xi.$$

The function f is said to be *non-decreasing* (*non-increasing*) at ξ on the right iff there exists $h_\xi > 0$ such that

$$f(x) \geq f(\xi) \quad [f(x) \leq f(\xi)] \quad \text{for } \xi < x < \xi + h_\xi.$$

If the point ξ is such that in every right neighbourhood of ξ there are points x and y , where $f(x) < f(\xi)$ and $f(y) > f(\xi)$, then f is said to be *oscillating* on the right of ξ .

The above concepts on the left of ξ are similarly defined. Clearly, if a function f is symmetrically increasing at a point ξ , then it need not be increasing on the right at ξ or on the left at ξ . The function

$$f(x) = x, \quad x \neq 0; \quad f(0) = 1$$

is such that f is symmetrically increasing at the point $x = 0$ but f is not increasing on the right at 0. But if a function f is increasing at a point both on the right and on the left, then f is symmetrically increasing at ξ . Thus if f is an increasing function on an interval I , then f is also symmetrically increasing on I ; but the converse is not true.

2. The two ideas viz. "a function f is symmetrically oscillating at a point ξ " and "a function f is oscillating symmetrically on both sides of a point ξ " should be carefully distinguished. The function

$$f(x) = x \sin \frac{1}{x}, \quad x \neq 0; \quad f(0) = 0$$

is such that it is oscillating on both sides of the point $x = 0$ satisfying $f(x) = f(-x)$ for all x . So, although f is oscillating symmetrically on both sides of 0, yet f is not symmetrically oscillating at 0 according to our definition.

THEOREM 1. *If f is continuous and nowhere monotone ⁽¹⁾ in an interval I , then the set of points in I , where f is symmetrically non-decreasing, is of the first category.*

⁽¹⁾ A function f is called *nowhere monotone* iff there is no interval in which f is monotone. Since a non-decreasing function is also symmetrically non-decreasing, every function which is nowhere symmetrically monotone is a nowhere monotone function. So we shall prove our results for the class of nowhere monotone functions which, however, will include the class of all nowhere symmetrically monotone functions.

Proof. Let f be a continuous nowhere monotone function in I and let E be the set of all points of I , where f is symmetrically non-decreasing. Then for each $x \in E$, there is $h_x > 0$ such that

$$f(x+t) \geq f(x-t) \quad \text{whenever } 0 < t < h_x.$$

For each positive integer n , let E_n denote the set of all points x of I such that

$$f(x+t) \geq f(x-t) \quad \text{whenever } 0 < t < 1/n.$$

Then

$$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n.$$

We shall show that E_n is nowhere dense in I for each n . Let n be fixed and let $I' = [a, b]$ be any subinterval of I . We may suppose that $b-a < 1/n$. Since f is nowhere monotone, there are two points $c, d \in I'$, $c < d$, such that $f(c) > f(d)$. Let $\inf_{x \in [c, d]} f(x) = m$. Since f is continuous, the set

$$S = \{x: f(x) = m; c \leq x \leq d\}$$

is a bounded non-void closed set. Let $k = \inf S$. Then $k \in S$. Also $f(c) > m$ and hence $c \notin S$. Therefore $c < k \leq d$. Clearly $\{x: c \leq x < k\} \cap S = \emptyset$. Since f is continuous,

$$(1) \quad f(x) > m \quad \text{for all } x, c \leq x < k.$$

Let $c' = \frac{c+k}{2}$. Then $c' \notin S$. Choose any real number c'' such that $c' < c'' < k$. Then we shall show that $[c', c''] \cap E_n = \emptyset$. Let $x \in [c', c'']$. Then

$$a \leq c < c' \leq x \leq c'' < k \leq d \leq b$$

and hence

$$(2) \quad 0 < k-x < b-a < 1/n.$$

Also

$$x - (k-x) = 2x - k \geq 2c' - k = c$$

and

$$x - (k-x) = 2x - k < 2k - k = k.$$

Thus

$$c \leq x - (k-x) < k.$$

Hence from (1)

$$f(x - (k-x)) > m = f(k) = f(x + (k-x)).$$

From (2) and from the construction of the set E_n , we conclude that $x \notin E_n$. Since x is any arbitrary point of $[c', c'']$, it follows that $[c', c''] \cap E_n = \emptyset$.

Thus E_n is nowhere dense in I and consequently the set E is of the first category.

The following theorem can be similarly proved:

THEOREM 2. *If f is continuous and nowhere monotone in an interval I , then the set of points in I , where f is symmetrically non-increasing, is of the first category.*

Combining Theorems 1 and 2 we get

THEOREM 3. *If f is continuous and nowhere monotone in I , then the set of points, where f is symmetrically oscillating in I , is a residual set.*

Let f be a continuous nowhere monotone function defined in an interval I and let G be the residual set in I , where f is symmetrically oscillating. Clearly,

$$\underline{f'(x)} \leq 0 \leq \overline{f'(x)} \quad \text{for each } x \in G.$$

Thus we get

THEOREM 4. *If f is continuous and nowhere monotone, then except a set of the first category, the following relation is true:*

$$\underline{f'(x)} \leq 0 \leq \overline{f'(x)}.$$

COROLLARY 1. *If f is continuous, nowhere monotone and everywhere symmetrically differentiable, then the symmetric derivative $f'(x)$ vanishes at a residual set of points.*

Note 1. If f is continuous and nowhere monotone, then the sets

$$\{x: \underline{f'(x)} < 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x: \overline{f'(x)} > 0\}$$

are everywhere dense. For if there is an interval I such that $I \cap \{x: \underline{f'(x)} < 0\} = \emptyset$, then $\underline{f'(x)} \geq 0$ for all $x \in I$ and hence from Theorem 3 of [3] f would be non-decreasing in I . If f is continuous, nowhere monotone and everywhere symmetrically differentiable, then in view of the above corollary the sets

$$\{x: f'(x) < 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x: f'(x) > 0\}$$

are everywhere dense and of the first category.

Note 2. If in the above corollary we assume the existence of $f'(x)$ on a residual set only, then also the conclusion remains valid.

COROLLARY 2. *Let f be continuous and symmetrically differentiable. If the sets*

$$\{x: f'(x) > \lambda\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x: f'(x) < \lambda\}$$

are everywhere dense, then the set

$$\{x: f'(x) = \lambda\}$$

is a residual set, where λ is any real number.

Proof. Under the hypothesis, the function $f(x) - \lambda x$ is continuous nowhere monotone and everywhere symmetrically differentiable and the result follows from Corollary 1.

COROLLARY 3. *If a continuous symmetrically differentiable function f defined in an interval I is such that the set*

$$E = \{x: f^{(\prime)}(x) \neq 0, x \in I\}$$

is of the second category in I , then there exists a subinterval of I in which f is monotone.

Consequently, if the set E is of the second category in every subinterval of I , then there exists an everywhere dense set of intervals in I in each of which f is monotone.

COROLLARY 4. *If f is a continuous function such that there exist two real numbers r_1 and r_2 , $r_1 < r_2$, for which $f(x) - r_1 x$ and $f(x) - r_2 x$ are nowhere monotone, then the set of points x , where $f^{(\prime)}(x)$ exists, is of the first category.*

Proof. From the above theorem the sets

$$G_1 = \{x: \underline{f^{(\prime)}}(x) \leq r_1 \leq \overline{f^{(\prime)}}(x)\} \quad \text{and} \quad G_2 = \{x: \underline{f^{(\prime)}}(x) \leq r_2 \leq \overline{f^{(\prime)}}(x)\}$$

are residual. Hence $G_1 \cap G_2$ is also residual. Now for $x \in G_1 \cap G_2$

$$\underline{f^{(\prime)}}(x) \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq \overline{f^{(\prime)}}(x).$$

So, for $x \in G_1 \cap G_2$, $f^{(\prime)}(x)$ does not exist and this completes the proof.

From Theorem 4 it is clear that if f is continuous and if $f(x) - rx$ is nowhere monotone for some real number r , then the set

$$\{x: \underline{f^{(\prime)}}(x) \leq r \leq \overline{f^{(\prime)}}(x)\}$$

is a residual set. If, however, the behaviour of the function $f(x) - rx$ regarding its monotonicity is not known but there is a sequence of real numbers $\{r_n\}$ such that $r_n \rightarrow r$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $f(x) - r_n x$ is nowhere monotone for each n , then also the above result holds. In fact, we prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 5. *Let f be continuous and let $\{r_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $r_n \rightarrow r$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $f(x) - r_n x$ be nowhere monotone for each n . Then the set*

$$\{x: \underline{f^{(\prime)}}(x) \leq r \leq \overline{f^{(\prime)}}(x)\}$$

is a residual set.

Proof. Since $f(x) - r_n x$ is continuous and nowhere monotone for each n , it follows from Theorem 4 that the set

$$G_n = \{x: \underline{f^{(\prime)}}(x) \leq r_n \leq \overline{f^{(\prime)}}(x)\}$$

is a residual set. Hence the set $G = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ is also residual. But

$$G \subset \{x: \underline{f^{(n)}}(x) \leq r \leq \overline{f^{(n)}}(x)\}.$$

Since every subset of a set of the first category is again a set of the first category, the set

$$\{x: \underline{f^{(n)}}(x) \leq r \leq \overline{f^{(n)}}(x)\}.$$

is a residual set.

COROLLARY 1. *Let f be continuous and let $\{r_n\}$ and $\{s_n\}$ be two sequences of real numbers such that $r_n \rightarrow r$, $s_n \rightarrow s$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $r < s$. Let $f(x) - r_n x$ and $f(x) - s_n x$ be nowhere monotone for each n . Then the set*

$$\{x: \underline{f^{(n)}}(x) \leq r < s \leq \overline{f^{(n)}}(x)\}$$

is a residual set.

THEOREM 6. *Let f be continuous and let $\{r_n\}$ and $\{s_n\}$ be two sequences of real numbers such that $r_n \rightarrow -\infty$ and $s_n \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $f(x) - r_n x$ and $f(x) - s_n x$ are nowhere monotone for each n , then the set*

$$\{x: \underline{f^{(n)}}(x) = -\infty; \overline{f^{(n)}}(x) = +\infty\}$$

is a residual set.

Proof. From Theorem 4 we get that the sets

$$G_n = \{x: \underline{f^{(n)}}(x) \leq r_n \leq \overline{f^{(n)}}(x)\} \quad \text{and} \quad H_n = \{x: \underline{f^{(n)}}(x) \leq s_n \leq \overline{f^{(n)}}(x)\}$$

are both residual. Hence the set $F_n = G_n \cap H_n$ is also residual. Set $G = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$. Then G is a residual set. Also

$$G \subset \{x: \underline{f^{(n)}}(x) = -\infty; \overline{f^{(n)}}(x) = +\infty\}.$$

This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 1. *If f is continuous and if each of the sets*

$$\{x: \underline{f^{(n)}}(x) = -\infty\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x: \overline{f^{(n)}}(x) = +\infty\}$$

is everywhere dense in an interval I , then the set

$$\{x: \underline{f^{(n)}}(x) = -\infty; \overline{f^{(n)}}(x) = +\infty\}$$

is residual in I .

Proof. Since the sets $\{x: \underline{f^{(n)}}(x) = -\infty\}$ and $\{x: \overline{f^{(n)}}(x) = +\infty\}$ everywhere dense, the functions $f(x) - rx$ are nowhere monotone for all real number r . Hence by the above theorem the set

$$\{x: \underline{f^{(n)}}(x) = -\infty; \overline{f^{(n)}}(x) = +\infty\}$$

is residual in I .

COROLLARY 2. If f is continuous, then the set

$$\{x: \underline{f^{(1)}}(x) = -\infty; \overline{f^{(1)}}(x) = +\infty\}$$

is either nowhere dense or it is of the second category which is residual in every interval in which it is everywhere dense.

COROLLARY 3. If f is continuous function such that the set

$$\{x: -\infty < \underline{f^{(1)}}(x) \leq \overline{f^{(1)}}(x) < +\infty\}$$

is of the second category, then there is a positive real number N such that at least one of the following is true:

(i) for each member $f(x) - rx$ of the family $\{f(x) - rx: r \geq N\}$ there exists at least one subinterval in which $f(x) - rx$ is monotone;

(ii) for each member $f(x) - rx$ of the family $\{f(x) + rx: r \geq N\}$ there exists at least one subinterval in which $f(x) + rx$ is monotone.

COROLLARY 4. Let f be continuous and let $f^{(1)}(x)$ exists and be finite on a set which is of the second category. Then there exists a positive number N such that for each member $f(x) - rx$ of the family $\{f(x) - rx: |r| \geq N\}$ there exists a subinterval in which $f(x) - rx$ is monotone.

References

- [1] K. M. Garg, *On nowhere monotone functions I*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapestinensis de Rolando Eötvös Nominatae (Sec. Math.) 5 (1962), p. 173.
- [2] A. Khintchine, *Recherches sur la structure des fonctions mesurables*, Fund. Math. 9 (1927), p. 212.
- [3] S. N. Mukhopadhyay, *On Schwarz differentiability IV*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 17 (1966), p. 129.
- [4] I. P. Natanson, *Theory of functions of a real variable*, Vol. II, New York 1960.

NETAJI COLLEGE, Arambagh
THE UNIVERSITY OF BURDWAN
West Bengal, India

Reçu par la Rédaction le 23. 12. 1968