

A CLASSIFICATION OF H -CLOSED EXTENSIONS

BY

A. BŁASZCZYK AND U. LOREK (KATOWICE)

Porter and Votaw [6] and Fedorčuk [5] have recently presented some results concerning a classification of H -closed extensions of Hausdorff spaces. In particular, Fedorčuk has described the set of all semiregular H -closed extensions in terms of some special families of θ -proximities. The aim of this paper is to give a simple description of the set of all semiregular H -closed extensions in terms of the pseudo-quotient operation of Alexandroff [1], considered recently by Rudolf [7]. Namely, we show that each semiregular H -closed extension is a pseudo-quotient image of the Banaschewski H -closed extension induced by a decomposition into closed disjoint subsets. We give also another description in terms of families of filters.

Semiregular H -closed extensions are said to be also H -minimal, for Hausdorff minimal spaces coincide with H -closed semiregular ones. Banaschewski's H -minimal extension bX of a semiregular space X is the semiregularization of the corresponding Katětov extension τX (see [2]). A decomposition of a space means here a family of non-empty mutually disjoint subsets covering it. A decomposition Q of a space X is said to be *irreducible* if for each open non-empty set U , $U \subset X$, there exists a set A from Q such that $A \subset U$. If Q is a decomposition of a space X , then the pseudo-quotient topology in the quotient set X/Q is generated by the sets

$$q[U] = \{x \in X/Q : q^{-1}(x) \subset U\},$$

where U runs over the family of all regularly open subsets of X . The map $q: X \rightarrow X/Q$ is θ -continuous and the basic sets in X/Q , i.e., the sets $q[U]$, are regularly open. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called θ -continuous if for each $x, x \in X$, and each open neighbourhood V of $f(x)$ there exists an open neighbourhood U of x such that $f(\text{cl } U) \subset \text{cl } V$.

We shall use the following result due to Rudolf [7]: if Q is an irreducible decomposition of a semiregular H -closed space, then the pseudo-

quotient space X/Q is semiregular H -closed, and the pseudo-quotient map $q: X \rightarrow X/Q$ is θ -continuous.

LEMMA 1. *If Q is an irreducible decomposition of a space X consisting of compact sets and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a θ -continuous map such that $f(x) = f(y)$ whenever x and y belong to the same member of Q , then there exists a θ -continuous map $\varphi: X/Q \rightarrow Y$ such that $\varphi \circ q = f$, where $q: X \rightarrow X/Q$ is the pseudo-quotient map induced by Q .*

Proof. The map $\varphi: X/Q \rightarrow Y$ given by $\varphi(q(x)) = f(x)$ for $x \in X$ is the desired one. To prove that φ is θ -continuous let U be an open neighbourhood of $\varphi(q(x))$. Since f is θ -continuous, for each $z, z \in q^{-1}(q(x))$, there exists an open set V such that $z \in V$ and $f(\text{cl } V) \subset \text{cl } U$. Since $q^{-1}(q(x))$ is compact, there exist open sets V_1, \dots, V_n such that

$$f(\text{cl } V_i) \subset \text{cl } U \quad \text{and} \quad q^{-1}(q(x)) \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then

$$f(\text{cl } W) \subset \text{cl } U \quad \text{for } W = \text{Int cl}(V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n) \quad \text{and} \quad q^{-1}(q(x)) \subset W.$$

Clearly, $q(x) \in q[W]$. In [7] it was proved that $\text{cl } q[W] \subset q(\text{cl } W)$ for each W being regularly open in X . Thus we get

$$\varphi(\text{cl } q[W]) \subset \varphi(q(\text{cl } W)) = f(\text{cl } W) \subset \text{cl } U,$$

which completes the proof.

LEMMA 2. *For each H -closed extension rX of a semiregular space X there exists a θ -continuous map*

$$f: bX \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} rX$$

such that $f|_X = \text{id}_X$, and $Q = \{f^{-1}(x): x \in rX \setminus X\}$ is a decomposition of $bX \setminus X$ consisting of closed subsets of bX .

Proof. The desired map, $f: bX \rightarrow rX$, is a superposition of the canonical map from the Katětov extension τX onto rX and of a one-to-one θ -continuous map from bX onto τX . Since f is θ -continuous, the pre-images of points are closed.

LEMMA 3. *If A is a closed subset of bX and $A \subset bX \setminus X$, then A is compact.*

Proof. It is known [3] that each closed subset of the Fomin H -closed extension σX which is contained in the remainder is compact. Since there is a continuous contraction of σX onto bX , bX has the same property.

THEOREM 1. *An extension rX of a semiregular space X is H -minimal iff there exists a decomposition Q of bX into closed subsets such that each $A, A \in Q$, is a one-point set whenever $A \cap X \neq \emptyset$, and rX is homeomorphic to bX/Q .*

Proof. 1. Since, by Lemma 3, Q consists of compact sets, bX/Q is Hausdorff. Clearly, Q is an irreducible decomposition of bX . Hence, by a theorem of Rudolf [7], the pseudo-quotient map $q: bX \rightarrow bX/Q$ is θ -continuous and bX/Q is semiregular. Therefore, bX/Q is an H -minimal extension of X .

2. Let rX be an H -minimal extension of X . By Lemma 2, there exists a θ -continuous map: $f: bX \rightarrow rX$ such that $\{f^{-1}(x): x \in rX \setminus X\}$ is a decomposition of $bX \setminus X$ consisting of closed subsets of bX . Hence, by Lemma 3, $Q = \{f^{-1}(x): x \in rX\}$ is a decomposition of bX consisting of compact sets. To show that rX is homeomorphic to bX/Q let us note that, by Lemma 1, there exists a θ -continuous map $\varphi: bX/Q \rightarrow rX$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} bX & \xrightarrow{q} & bX/Q \\ \downarrow f & \swarrow \varphi & \\ rX & & \end{array}$$

commutes. Clearly, φ is one-to-one. Let us note that bX/Q is H -closed and semiregular. This shows that, for each regularly closed subset F of bX/Q , $\varphi(F)$ is closed as a θ -continuous image of an H -closed subspace. Since bX/Q has a base consisting of regularly open sets, $\varphi^{-1}: rX \rightarrow bX/Q$ is continuous. But rX is a minimal Hausdorff space, and thus φ is a homeomorphism.

Our description of H -minimal extensions can be translated into the language of filters of regularly open sets, and then into the language of covers.

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a semiregular space. There exists a one-to-one correspondence between the family of all H -minimal extensions of X and the family of all collections \mathcal{R} of filters of regularly open sets for which the following conditions hold:*

(1) if $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{R}$, then

$$\bigcap \{\text{cl}_X U: U \in \mathcal{F}\} = \emptyset;$$

(2) if \mathcal{G} is a filter of regularly open sets of X and

$$\bigcap \{\text{cl}_X U: U \in \mathcal{G}\} = \emptyset,$$

then there exists a filter \mathcal{F} , $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{R}$, such that $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for each U from \mathcal{F} and V from \mathcal{G} ;

(3) if $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{F}_1 \neq \mathcal{F}_2$, then there exist $U_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $U_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ such that $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$.

Proof. It is known that the Banaschewski extension is expressed by $bX = X \cup \{\xi: \xi \text{ is an open ultrafilter without adherence points in } X\}$

with the topology generated by the sets of the form

$$b(U) = U \cup \{\xi \in bX \setminus X : U \in \xi\},$$

where U runs over the family of all regularly open subsets of bX . It is easy to see that

$$\text{cl}_{bX} b(U) = \text{cl}_X U \cup b(U)$$

(for a similar calculation see [4]).

In virtue of Theorem 1 it suffices to show that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all decompositions of $bX \setminus X$ consisting of closed subsets of bX and the family of all collections of filters satisfying (1), (2), and (3). Let \mathcal{R} be a given collection for which conditions (1), (2), and (3) hold. For each filter \mathcal{F} , $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{R}$, write

$$(*) \quad A(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcap \{\text{cl}_{bX} b(U) : U \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Since $\text{cl}_{bX} b(U) = \text{cl}_X U \cup b(U)$, by (1) we get

$$(**) \quad A(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcap \{b(U) : U \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset bX \setminus X.$$

It is easy to see that, by (2) and (3), $\{A(\mathcal{F}) : \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{R}\}$ is a decomposition of $bX \setminus X$ consisting of sets which are closed in bX .

Now let Q be a decomposition of $bX \setminus X$ consisting of closed subsets of bX . We shall show that Q appoints a family \mathcal{R} of filters satisfying conditions (1), (2), and (3) and such that the decomposition of $bX \setminus X$ appointed by \mathcal{R} and defined above equals Q . For a given A , $A \in Q$, let

$$\mathcal{F}(A) = \{U : U \text{ is regularly open in } X \text{ and } A \subset b(U)\}.$$

We shall show that

$$(***) \quad \bigcap \{b(U) : U \in \mathcal{F}(A)\} = A.$$

To do this let us suppose that $y \notin A$. Since, by Lemma 3, A is compact, there exist regularly open sets U_1, \dots, U_n and V such that $y \in b(V)$ and

$$A \subset b(U_1) \cup \dots \cup b(U_n) \quad \text{and} \quad b(U_i) \cap b(V) = \emptyset \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

It is easy to check that

$$b(U_1) \cup \dots \cup b(U_n) \subset b(\text{Intcl}(U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n)) \subset bX \setminus b(V).$$

Hence

$$\text{Intcl}(U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n) \in \mathcal{F}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad y \notin b(\text{Intcl}(U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n)).$$

Thus

$$\bigcap \{b(U) : U \in \mathcal{F}(A)\} \subset A.$$

The converse is obvious.

The assertion above shows that the family $\mathcal{R} = \{\mathcal{F}(A) : A \in Q\}$ satisfies conditions (1) and (3). Since $\bigcup Q = bX \setminus X$, condition (2) also holds. Clearly, by (**) and (***), $A(\mathcal{F}(A)) = A$ for each $A, A \in Q$. It remains to show that if $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{R}$, then $\mathcal{F}(A(\mathcal{F})) = \mathcal{F}$. The inclusion $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}(A(\mathcal{F}))$, by (**), is obvious. To show the converse, let $W \in \mathcal{F}(A(\mathcal{F}))$. Since $A(\mathcal{F}) \subset b(W)$, there exists $U, U \in \mathcal{F}$, such that $b(U) \subset b(W)$. Indeed, in the opposite case,

$$b(U) \cap (bX \setminus b(W)) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for each } U, U \in \mathcal{F}.$$

But $bX \setminus b(W)$, being regularly closed, is H -closed. Hence

$$\bigcap \{\text{cl}_{bX} b(U) : U \in \mathcal{F}\} \cap (bX \setminus b(W)) \neq \emptyset$$

which, by (*), contradicts the inclusion $A(\mathcal{F}) \subset b(W)$. Hence there exists $U, U \in \mathcal{F}$, such that $U \subset W$. Thus $W \in \mathcal{F}$ which completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. S. Alexandroff, *Über stetige Abbildungen Kompakter Räume*, Mathematische Annalen 96 (1926), p. 555-571.
- [2] B. Banaschewski, *Über Hausdorffsch-minimale Erweiterungen von Räume*, Archiv der Mathematik 12 (1961), p. 355-365.
- [3] A. Błaszczyk, *Remarks on a generalization of completeness in the sense of Čech*, Colloquium Mathematicum 29 (1974), p. 219-222.
- [4] — *On locally H-closed spaces and the Fomin H-closed extension*, ibidem 25 (1972), p. 241-253.
- [5] В. В. Федорчук, *Задача А. Н. Тихонова о классификации H-замкнутых пространств*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 86 (1974), p. 69-90.
- [6] J. R. Porter and C. Votaw, *H-closed extensions I*, General Topology and its Applications 3 (1973), p. 211-224.
- [7] L. Rudolf, *Minimal Hausdorff spaces are pseudo-quotient images of compact Hausdorff spaces*, Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai 8, Topics in Topology, Keszthely 1972, p. 563-567.

SILESIA UNIVERSITY, KATOWICE

Reçu par la Rédaction le 17. 7. 1975