

On full derivatives

by KRYSZYNA SKÓRNIK (Katowice)

In papers [2]–[4] J. Mikusiński introduced the notion of a full derivative and listed certain properties, theorems and comments concerning full derivatives. Functions considered in those papers are defined on subsets of the q -dimensional Euclidean space R^q ; their values are real numbers or elements of a Banach space. In [2] and [4] J. Mikusiński stated the following

THEOREM. *If the full derivatives $f_i = f^{(e_i)}$ and $f_j = f^{(e_j)}$ exist in a neighbourhood of a point x_0 and if, moreover, the full derivatives $f_i^{(e_j)}(x_0)$ and $f_j^{(e_i)}(x_0)$ exist at x_0 , then they are equal.*

This theorem is proved by applying the mean value theorem in a real domain. But this method fails, if the values of functions are in an arbitrary Banach space. We therefore must look for another proof. It can be based on a certain theorem about mappings in Banach spaces.

Let f be a function defined in the q -dimensional Euclidean space and taking values in a fixed Banach space. Let e_i denote the point whose i -th coordinate is 1 and the remaining ones are 0. If a point x has coordinates ξ_1, \dots, ξ_q , then the point $x + e_i \lambda$ differs from x only by the i -th coordinate which is equal to $\xi_i + \lambda$.

We say (see [2]) that u is the i -th full derivative of f at x_0 , if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a neighbourhood V of x_0 such that

$$\frac{\|f(x) - f(y) - u(x_0) e_i(x - y)\|}{|x - y|} < \varepsilon$$

for any points $x, y \in V$ differing from each other by their i -th coordinates, and only by those coordinates. (The inner product $e_i(x - y)$ is equal to the difference of the i -th coordinate of x and y .) In other words, the points x and y belong to the intersection of V with the straight line (not necessarily passing through x_0) parallel to the i -th coordinate axis.

In the proof of the Theorem we shall use the following

LEMMA ([1], Theorem 8.6.2). *Let E and F be two Banach spaces, let*

$a, b \in E$ and let f be a differentiable mapping of an open neighbourhood A of the segment S joining a and b into F . Then, for each $x_0 \in A$, we have

$$(1) \quad \|f(b) - f(a) - f'(x_0)(b-a)\| \leq \|b-a\| \sup_{x \in S} \|f'(x) - f'(x_0)\|.$$

Proof of Theorem. Since f_i exists in a neighbourhood of x_0 , there exists, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, a ball K_r with centre at x_0 and radius $r > 0$, such that

$$\|f(x) - f(y) - f_i(x_0)e_i(x-y)\| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon|x-y|$$

holds for any two points $x, y \in K_r$ which differ from each other by their i -th coordinates only. Consider the function

$$g(\xi) = f(x_0 + (\xi e_i + e_j)\lambda) - f(x_0 + \xi e_i \lambda),$$

where $\xi \in [0, 1]$ and $|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{2}r$, $\lambda \neq 0$. We have

$$(2) \quad g'(\xi) = [f_i(x_0 + (\xi e_i + e_j)\lambda) - f_i(x_0 + \xi e_i \lambda)] \lambda.$$

Since $f_i^{(e_j)}$ exists in a neighbourhood of x_0 , there exists, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, a radius $r' \leq r$ such that for $|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{2}r'$ the following inequality holds:

$$\|f_i(x_0 + (\xi e_i + e_j)\lambda) - f_i(x_0 + \xi e_i \lambda) - f_i^{(e_j)}(x_0)\lambda\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon|\lambda|,$$

because the points $x_0 + (\xi e_i + e_j)\lambda$, $x_0 + \xi e_i \lambda$ differ only by their j -th coordinates and belong to the ball $K_{r'}$ with centre at x_0 and radius r' . Hence by (2) we obtain

$$(3) \quad \|g'(\xi) - f_i^{(e_j)}(x_0)\lambda^2\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon|\lambda|^2 \quad \text{for } \xi \in [0, 1], |\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{2}r'.$$

Applying the triangle inequality for norms, Lemma and inequality (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(1) - g(0) - f_i^{(e_j)}(x_0)\lambda^2\| &\leq \|g(1) - g(0) - g'(\xi_0)\lambda\| + \|g'(\xi_0) - \lambda^2 f_i^{(e_j)}(x_0)\| \\ &\leq \sup_{\xi \in [0, 1]} \|g'(\xi) - g'(\xi_0)\| + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\lambda^2 \leq \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon\lambda^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the difference

$$g(1) - g(0) = f(x_0 + (e_i + e_j)\lambda) - f(x_0 + e_i \lambda) - f(x_0 + e_j \lambda) + f(x_0)$$

is symmetric with respect to e_i and e_j , we have

$$\|g(1) - g(0) - f_j^{(e_i)}(x_0)\lambda^2\| \leq \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon\lambda^2.$$

The last two inequalities and the triangle inequality imply

$$\|f_i^{(e_j)}(x_0)\lambda^2 - f_j^{(e_i)}(x_0)\lambda^2\| \leq 3\lambda^2\varepsilon \quad \text{for } |\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{2}r'.$$

Hence

$$\|f_i^{(e_j)}(x_0) - f_j^{(e_i)}(x_0)\| \leq 3\varepsilon.$$

Since ε can be taken arbitrarily small, it follows that

$$f_i^{(e_j)}(x_0) = f_j^{(e_i)}(x_0).$$

References

- [1] J. Dieudonné, *Foundations of modern analysis*, Academic Press, New York and London 1969.
- [2] J. Mikusiński, *O pochodnych cząstkowych i pełnych (On partial and full derivatives, in Polish)*, *Wiadom. Mat.* 16 (1973), p. 23–35.
- [3] —, *On partial derivatives*, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. sci. math., astr., phys.* 20 (1972), p. 941–944.
- [4] —, *The Bochner integral*, Birkhäuser Verlag Basel und Stuttgart 1978.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 30.08.1980

