

A characterization of a minimal submanifold in R^{n+p}

by THEMIS KOUFOGIORGOS (Ioannina)

Abstract. Let M be an n -dimensional submanifold of an $(n+p)$ -dimensional Euclidean space R^{n+p} with $n \geq 2$, $p \geq 1$. By a Euclidean vector field X on M we mean a differentiable mapping which assigns to each point of M a tangent vector to R^{n+p} . We formulate the definition of divergence of such vector field with respect to a moving frame on M and we obtain some conditions, stated in terms of divergence of such fields, for M to be a minimal submanifold. These generalize some results of [1], [5].

We choose a local field of orthonormal frames e_1, \dots, e_{n+p} in R^{n+p} such that, restricted to M , the vectors e_1, \dots, e_n are tangent to M (and consequently, the remaining vectors e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{n+p} are normal to M). With respect to this frame field, let W_1, \dots, W_{n+p} be the field of dual frames. If W_{AB} ($A, B = 1, \dots, n+p$) are the connection forms, then

$$(1) \quad de_A = \sum_{B=1}^{n+p} W_{AB} e_B,$$

where de_A is the covariant differential of e_A and the multiplication in the product $W_{AB} e_B$ is in the sense of tensor product. If we restrict the forms to M , then

$$W_a = 0, \quad a = n+1, \dots, n+p.$$

By Cartan's lemma we may write

$$(2) \quad W_{ai} = \sum_{j=1}^n h_{ij}^a W_j \quad (i = 1, \dots, n, a = n+1, \dots, n+p).$$

M is called a *minimal submanifold* if the mean curvature vector

$$(3) \quad H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,a} h_{ii}^a e_a$$

vanishes identically, i.e. if $\sum_i h_{ii}^a = b$ for all a .

DEFINITION 1. The divergence of a Euclidean vector field X on M is defined by

$$(4) \quad \operatorname{div} X = \langle dX, \theta \rangle (e_1, \dots, e_n),$$

where

$$(5) \quad \Theta = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} (W_1 \wedge \dots \wedge W_{i-1} \wedge W_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge W_n) e_i$$

and the multiplication of the 1-form coefficients in the inner product $\langle dX, \Theta \rangle$ is in the sense of exterior multiplication.

Remark. The above definition is just the well-known definition of divergence for a vector field on M [3].

We will prove

THEOREM 1. *Let M be an n -dimensional submanifold of an $(n+p)$ -dimensional Euclidean space R^{n+p} with $n \geq 2$, $p \geq 1$. Let $X = \sum_{A=1}^{n+p} X^A e_A$ be a Euclidean vector fields on M . If $\bar{X} = \sum_{i=1}^n X^i e_i$, then*

$$\operatorname{div} X = \operatorname{div} \bar{X} + n \sum_{a=n+1}^{n+p} X^a H^a,$$

where

$$(6) \quad H^a = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii}^a, \quad a = n+1, \dots, n+p.$$

THEOREM 2. *Let M be an n -dimensional manifold immersed in an $(n+p)$ -dimensional Euclidean space R^{n+p} . If X_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are n linearly independent vector fields on M , then*

$$(\operatorname{div} L) e_{n+k} = n H^{n+k} L,$$

where

$$L = X_1 \times \dots \times X_n \times e_{n+1} \times \dots \times e_{n+k-1} \times e_{n+k+1} \times \dots \times e_{n+p}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, p$.

We denote by $U_1 \times \dots \times U_k$ the vector product of k vectors U_1, \dots, U_k of R^{k+1} , [4].

To prove Theorems 1, 2 we will need the following three lemmas.

The first lemma follows immediately from the linearity of d and Definition 1.

LEMMA 1. *If X, Y are two Euclidean vector fields on M , then*

$$\operatorname{div} (X + Y) = \operatorname{div} X + \operatorname{div} Y.$$

The following lemma generalizes relation (b) of Theorem 2.1 of [1].

LEMMA 2. *Let X be a Euclidean vector field on M . If f is a differ-*

entiable function on M , then

$$\operatorname{div}(fX) = f \operatorname{div} X + \langle \operatorname{grad} f, X \rangle,$$

where $\operatorname{grad} f$ is the gradient of f .

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(fX) &= \langle d(fX), \Theta \rangle(e_1, \dots, e_n) \\ &= df \langle X, \Theta \rangle(e_1, \dots, e_n) + f \langle dX, \Theta \rangle(e_1, \dots, e_n) \\ &= df \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n X^i e_i, \Theta \right\rangle(e_1, \dots, e_n) + df \left\langle \sum_{a=n+1}^{n+p} X^a e_a, \Theta \right\rangle(e_1, \dots, e_n) + f \operatorname{div} X \\ &= df \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n X^i e_i, \Theta \right\rangle(e_1, \dots, e_n) + f \operatorname{div} X \\ &= df \sum_{i=1}^n (X^i (-1)^{i-1} W_1 \wedge \dots \wedge W_{i-1} \wedge W_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge W_n) \times \\ &\quad \times (e_i, \dots, e_n) + f \operatorname{div} X \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (X^i W_1 \wedge \dots \wedge W_{i-1} \wedge df \wedge W_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge W_n) (e_1, \dots, e_n) + f \operatorname{div} X \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n X^i df(e_i) + f \operatorname{div} X = df \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X^i e_i \right) + f \operatorname{div} X \\ &= df(\bar{X}) + f \operatorname{div} X = \langle \operatorname{grad} f, \bar{X} \rangle + f \operatorname{div} X = \langle \operatorname{grad} f, X \rangle + f \operatorname{div} X. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3. $\sum_{i=1}^n W_1 \wedge \dots \wedge W_{i-1} \wedge W_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge W_n = nH^a W_1 \wedge \dots \wedge W_n$.

Proof. If T is the first member, then from (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \sum_{i=1}^n W_1 \wedge \dots \wedge W_{i-1} \wedge \left(\sum_{j=1}^n h_{ij}^a W_j \right) \wedge W_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge W_n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n W_1 \wedge \dots \wedge W_{i-1} \wedge h_{ii}^a W_i \wedge W_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge W_n \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii}^a \right) W_1 \wedge \dots \wedge W_i \wedge \dots \wedge W_n = nH^a W_1 \wedge \dots \wedge W_n. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Since

$$X = \bar{X} + \sum_{a=n+1}^{n+p} X^a e_a,$$

we have

$$dX = d\bar{X} + \sum_{a=n+1}^{n+p} (dX^a) e_a + \sum_{a=n+1}^{n+p} X^a de_a.$$

Moreover, from Lemmas 1–3 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{div} X &= \langle dX, \Theta \rangle(e_1, \dots, e_n) = \langle d\dot{X}, \Theta \rangle(e_1, \dots, e_n) + \sum_{a=n+1}^{n+p} X^a \langle de_a, \Theta \rangle(e_1, \dots, e_n) \\
 &= \operatorname{div} \dot{X} + \sum_{a=n+1}^{n+p} X^a \langle de_a, \Theta \rangle(e_1, \dots, e_n) \\
 &= \operatorname{div} \dot{X} + \sum_a X^a \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n W_{ai} e_i, \Theta \right\rangle(e_1, \dots, e_n) \\
 &= \operatorname{div} \dot{X} + \sum_a X^a \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} W_{ai} \wedge (W_1 \wedge \dots \wedge W_{i-1} \wedge W_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge W_n) \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot (e_1, \dots, e_n) \\
 &= \operatorname{div} \dot{X} + \sum_a X^a \sum_{i=1}^n (W_1 \wedge \dots \wedge W_{i-1} \wedge W_{ai} \wedge W_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge W_n) (e_1, \dots, e_n) \\
 &= \operatorname{div} \dot{X} + n \sum_a X^a H^a \quad (\text{from Lemma 3}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The next corollary follows immediately from Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 1. $\operatorname{div} e_a = nH^a$, $a = n+1, \dots, n+p$.

This generalizes relation (2.9) of [1].

Proof of Theorem 2. If $X_i = \sum_j X_i^j e_j$, then (see [4])

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_1 \times \dots \times X_n \times e_{n+1} \times \dots \times e_{n+k-1} \times e_{n+k+1} \times \dots \times e_{n+p} \\
 = (-1)^{n+p-1} (-1)^{n+k+1} (\det X) e_{n+k},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\det X = \det (X_i^j)$.

Moreover, from Lemma 2 and Corollary 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{div} (X_1 \times \dots \times X_n \times e_{n+1} \times \dots \times e_{n+k-1} \times e_{n+k+1} \times \dots \times e_{n+p}) e_{n+k} \\
 = (-1)^{n+p-1} (-1)^{n+k+1} \{(\det X) \operatorname{div} e_{n+k}\} e_{n+k} \\
 = nH^{n+k} (-1)^{n+k+1} (-1)^{-(n+k+1)} X_1 \times \dots \times X_n \times \\
 \quad \times e_{n+1} \times \dots \times e_{n+k-1} \times e_{n+k+1} \times \dots \times e_{n+p} \\
 = nH^{n+k} X_1 \times \dots \times X_n \times e_{n+1} \times \dots \times e_{n+k-1} \times e_{n+k+1} \times \dots \times e_{n+k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

An immediate result, which follows from Theorem 2 and which generalizes Corollary 3.1 in [1], is the following

COROLLARY 2. M is minimal if for any n linearly independent vector fields on M it holds

$$\operatorname{div} (X_1 \times \dots \times X_n \times e_{n+1} \times \dots \times \hat{e}_{n+k} \times \dots \times e_{n+p}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k,$$

where \hat{e}_{n+k} indicates that the vector e_{n+k} is omitted.

Let M be a compact hypersurface in R^{n+1} and r be the position vector of M . From Theorem 1, Green's theorem [2], p. 11, and the formulas of Minkowski-Hsiung [2], p. 196, we get the following

COROLLARY 3. $\int_V \operatorname{div} r dM = -n \operatorname{vol}(M)$, where dM is the volume element of M and $\operatorname{vol}(M)$ volume of M .

References

- [1] K. Amur, *A vector form for the curl of a vector field on a surface*, Tensor, N. S. 24 (1972), p. 49-52.
- [2] B. Y. Chen, *Geometry of submanifolds*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York 1973.
- [3] N. Hicks, *Notes on differential geometry*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York (1971).
- [4] C. C. Hsiung, *Some global theorems on hypersurface*. Canad. J. Math. 9 (1957), p. 5-14.
- [5] Th. Koufogiorgos and Th. Hasanis, *A characterization of a minimal hypersurface in R^{n+1}* (to appear).

Reçu par la Rédaction le 4. 7. 1977
