

## Structure of the sets of weak solutions of an ordinary differential equation in a Banach space

by IRENEUSZ KUBIACZYK (Poznań)

**Abstract.** Using the measure of weak non-compactness introduced by de Blasi [5], we prove that if  $f: I \times B \rightarrow E$  (where  $E$  is a Banach space,  $I = [0, a]$ ,  $B = \{x \in E: \|x - x_0\| \leq b\}$ ) is bounded by some constant  $M$  and weakly-weakly continuous, and if  $\beta(f(J \times V)) \leq k\beta(V)$  for every subset  $V$  of  $B$ , then the set of all weak solutions of the Cauchy problem  $x' = f(t, x)$ ,  $x(0) = x_0$ , defined on  $[0, h]$  ( $h = \min(a, b/M)$ ) is non-empty compact and connected in  $C_w(J, E)$ , the space of weakly continuous functions  $u: J \rightarrow E$  endowed with the topology of weak uniform convergence. This generalizes former results of Szep [9], Szufia [12] and Cramer, Lakshmikantham and Mitchell [3].

Many theorems on the existence of weak solutions of ordinary differential equations in reflexive Banach spaces [9], as well as in non-reflexive ones [3], are known. If the function on the right-hand side of the equation is merely continuous or even uniformly continuous on bounded sets, the equations need not possess any solution [7].

Throughout this paper  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  will denote a real Banach space,  $E^*$  its dual, and  $E_w$  the space  $E$  endowed with the weak topology.

Assume that  $I = [0, a]$ ,  $B = \{x \in E: \|x - x_0\| \leq b\}$ , and that  $f: I \times B \rightarrow E$  is a weakly-weakly continuous function such that  $\|f(t, x)\| \leq M$  on  $I \times B$ ; moreover, we assume that  $E_w$  is sequentially weakly complete (for the definitions see [3]). Let  $J = [0, h]$ , where  $h = \min(a, b/M)$ .

We shall denote by  $\beta$  the measure of weak non-compactness of sets in  $E$ ; the properties of  $\beta$  are analogous to those of the measure of non-compactness  $\alpha$ ; for the properties of both see [1], [3]–[5], [8], [10].

We shall deal with the Cauchy problem

$$(1) \quad x' = f(t, x), \quad x(0) = x_0,$$

where  $x'$  denotes the weak derivative. It is known [9] that (1) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(s, x(s)) ds \quad \text{for } t \in I,$$

the integral being taken in Pettis sense.

If  $f: J \times B \rightarrow E$  is weakly-weakly continuous and

$$(2) \quad \beta(f(J \times V)) \leq k\beta(V)$$

for every subset  $V$  of  $B$ , and  $0 \leq hk < 1$ , then (as in [11]) we introduce the set

$$H = x_0 + \overline{\bigcup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq h} \lambda \operatorname{conv} f(J \times H)}.$$

By (2) and by the properties of  $\beta$ ,  $\beta(H) = 0$ . Since the set  $H$  is closed and convex, by the theorem of Mazur it is weakly closed and consequently weakly compact.

Given any  $\eta > 0$ , let us denote by  $S_\eta$  the set of all functions  $u: J \rightarrow E$  such that

$$(a) \quad u(0) = x_0, \quad \|u(t) - u(s)\| \leq M|t - s| \quad \text{for } t, s \in J;$$

$$(b) \quad \sup_{t \in J} \left\| u(t) - x_0 - \int_0^t f(s, u(s)) ds \right\| < \eta;$$

$$(c) \quad u(t) \in x_0 + \bigcup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq t} \lambda \operatorname{conv} f(I \times H).$$

**THEOREM.** *Let  $f: J \times B \rightarrow E$  be weakly-weakly continuous, and let*

$$\beta(f(J \times V)) \leq k\beta(V)$$

*for every subset  $V$  of  $B$ ; suppose that  $0 \leq hk < 1$ . Then the set of all weak solutions of the Cauchy problem (1) defined on  $J$  is non-empty, compact and connected in  $C_w(J, E)$ .*

**Proof.** For  $0 < \varepsilon \leq h$  let  $x_\varepsilon$  denote the Euler polygonal line, i.e., the mapping defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} x_\varepsilon(t) &= x_0 && \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon, \\ x_\varepsilon(t) &= x_\varepsilon(t_i) + (t - t_i)f(t_i, x_\varepsilon(t_i)) && \text{for } t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}], \end{aligned}$$

where  $t_i = i\varepsilon$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $n_\varepsilon = [h/\varepsilon]$ ,  $t_{n_\varepsilon+1} = h$ . Write

$$V = \{x_\varepsilon(\cdot) : 0 < \varepsilon \leq h\}.$$

By definition,  $x_\varepsilon(t) \in B$  for every  $t \in J$  and

$$\|x_\varepsilon(t) - x_\varepsilon(s)\| \leq M|t - s|.$$

First we prove that the set  $S_\eta$  is non-empty; namely, for every  $\eta > 0$  there exists an  $\varepsilon(\eta) > 0$  such that  $x_\varepsilon \in S_\eta$  for any  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon(\eta)$ .

Each  $x_\varepsilon(\cdot)$  obviously satisfies (a) and for  $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$  and  $x^* \in E^*$ ,  $\|x^*\| \leq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| x^* \left[ x_\varepsilon(t) - x_0 - \int_0^t f(s, x_\varepsilon(s)) ds \right] \right| \\ &= \left| x^* \left[ x_0 + (t_2 - t_1)f(t_1, x_\varepsilon(t_1)) + \dots + \right. \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + (t - t_i) f(t_i, x_\varepsilon(t_i)) - x_0 - \int_0^t f(s, x_\varepsilon(s)) ds \Big\} \\
 \leq & \int_0^{t_1} \|f(s, x_0)\| ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |x^* [f(t_1, x_\varepsilon(t_1)) - f(s, x_\varepsilon(s))]| ds + \\
 & + \dots + \int_{t_i}^t |x^* [f(t_i, x_\varepsilon(t_i)) - f(s, x_\varepsilon(s))]| ds \\
 \leq & M\varepsilon + \delta_1 h.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|x_\varepsilon(s) - x_\varepsilon(t_k)\| \leq M|s - t_k|$  and since  $f$  is uniformly weakly-weakly continuous (since  $H$  is weakly compact), we infer that for any  $\delta_1 > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|t - s| < \delta$ ,  $\|x_\varepsilon(t) - x_\varepsilon(s)\| < \delta$  implies

$$|x^*(f(t, x_\varepsilon(t)) - f(s, x_\varepsilon(s)))| < \delta_1 \quad \text{for all } x^*, \|x^*\| = 1.$$

Therefore for any  $\eta > 0$  we can choose  $\delta_1 > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that  $M\varepsilon + \delta_1 h < \eta$ . From the above it follows that

$$\|x_\varepsilon(t) - x_0 - \int_0^t f(s, x_\varepsilon(s)) ds\| \leq M\varepsilon + \delta_1 h < \eta.$$

Obviously

$$x_\varepsilon(t) \in x_0 + \bigcup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq t} \lambda \operatorname{conv} f(J \times H) \subset H.$$

Now we prove that, for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \min(h, \varepsilon(\eta))]$ ,  $x_\delta(t) \rightarrow x_\varepsilon(t)$  as  $\delta \rightarrow \varepsilon$ , weakly uniformly on  $J$ . Let  $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ , where  $t_i = i\varepsilon$ . Since  $\delta \rightarrow \varepsilon$  implies  $i\delta \rightarrow t_i$  and  $x_\delta(i\delta) \rightarrow x_\varepsilon(t_i)$  weakly, by the weak uniform continuity of  $f$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |x^*(x_\delta(t) - x_\varepsilon(t))| & \leq |x^*(x_\delta(i\delta) - x_\varepsilon(t_i))| + \\
 & + |x^*[(t - i\delta)f(i\delta, x_\delta(i\delta)) - (t - t_i)f(t_i, x_\varepsilon(t_i))]| \\
 & \leq |x^*(x_\delta(i\delta) - x_\varepsilon(t_i))| + |x^*[(t - i\delta)f(i\delta, x_\delta(i\delta)) - \\
 & - (t - t_i)f(i\delta, x_\delta(i\delta)) + (t - t_i)f(i\delta, x_\delta(i\delta)) - \\
 & - (t - t_i)f(t_i, x_\varepsilon(t_i))]| \\
 & \leq |x^*(x_\delta(i\delta) - x_\varepsilon(t_i))| + M|i\delta - t_i| + \\
 & + |t - t_i| + |x^*(f(i\delta, x_\delta(i\delta)) - f(t_i, x_\varepsilon(t_i)))| \rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

as  $\delta \rightarrow \varepsilon$  for each  $t \in J$  and  $x^* \in E^*$ ,  $\|x^*\| = 1$ .

Consequently  $\varepsilon \mapsto x_\varepsilon(\cdot)$  is continuous from  $(0, \beta)$  to  $C_w(J, E)$ , whence the set  $V = \{x_\varepsilon(\cdot) : 0 < \varepsilon < \beta\}$  is connected in  $C_w(J, E)$ .

Next we prove that the set  $S_\eta$  is connected in  $C_w(J, E)$ .

Let  $0 \leq p \leq h$ ,  $z \in S_\eta$ ,  $\varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon(\eta)$ . We define a set  $T_z$  by the formula:

$$T_z = \{z_p(\cdot) : p \in J\},$$

where

$$z_p(t) = \begin{cases} z(t) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq p, \\ z(p) & \text{for } p \leq t \leq ([p/\varepsilon_0] + 1) = t_1, \\ z_p(t_i) + (t - t_i)f(t_i, z_p(t_i)) & \text{for } t_i \leq t \leq t_{i+1}, \end{cases}$$

where  $i = [p/\varepsilon_0] + 1, \dots, [h/\varepsilon_0] = n(\varepsilon_0)$ ,  $t_i = i\varepsilon_0$ ,  $t_{n(\varepsilon_0)+1} = h$ .

Observe that  $z_p(\cdot) \in S\eta$ . Obviously,  $z_p$  satisfies (a). To prove (b) let us choose  $\delta_2 > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(4) \quad \sup_{t \in J} \left\| z(t) - x_0 - \int_0^t f(s, x(s)) ds \right\| + M\varepsilon + h\delta_2 < \eta.$$

The function  $z_p$  satisfies condition (b) for  $t \leq p$ , since in this case  $z_p = z$  and  $z \in S\eta$ . For  $t > p$  let  $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ,  $x^* \in E^*$ ,  $\|x^*\| = 1$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| x^* \left( z_p(t) - x_0 - \int_0^t f(s, z_p(s)) ds \right) \right| \\ & \leq \left| x^* \left( z(p) - x_0 - \int_0^p f(s, z(s)) ds \right) \right| + \left| x^* \int_p^{t_i} f(s, z(p)) ds \right| + \\ & \quad + \left| x^* \left( \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} [f(t_i, z_p(t_i)) - f(s, z_p(s))] ds \right) \right| + \dots + \\ & \quad + \left| x^* \left( \int_{t_i}^t [f(t_i, z_p(t_i)) - f(s, z_p(s))] ds \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|z_p(s) - z_p(t)\| \leq M|t - s|$  and  $f$  is weakly-weakly uniformly continuous, we see that for  $\delta_2$  satisfying (4) we can find a  $\delta' > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon_0 < \delta'$ ,  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  implies

$$(5) \quad \left| x^* \left( f(t_i, z_p(t_i)) - f(s, z_p(s)) \right) \right| < \delta_2$$

for every  $i, s \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ , and  $x^* \in E^*$ ,  $\|x^*\| = 1$ .

From (4), (5) it follows that

$$\left| x^* \left( z_p(t) - x_0 - \int_0^t f(s, z_p(s)) ds \right) \right| \leq \sup_{t \in J} \left\| z(t) - x_0 - \int_0^t f(s, x(s)) ds \right\| + \varepsilon M + h\delta_2 < \eta.$$

We now prove that  $z_p$  satisfies condition (c). Since  $z \in S\eta$ , we have

$$z_p(t) \in x_0 + \bigcup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq t} \lambda \operatorname{conv} f(J \times H) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq t_1.$$

If  $t_i \leq t \leq t_{i+1}$  ( $i = l, l+1, \dots, n(\varepsilon)$ ), then

$$\begin{aligned} z_p(t) &= z(p) + (t_{i+1} - t)f(t_i, z_p(t_i)) + \dots + (t - t_i)f(t_i, z_p(t_i)) \\ &\in x_0 + \bigcup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq p} \lambda \operatorname{conv} f(J \times H) + (t_{i+1} - t)f(t_i, z_p(t_i)) + \dots + \\ & \quad + (t - t_i)f(t_i, z_p(t_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} z_p(t) &= x_0 + \lambda [a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_n x_n] + (t_{l+1} - t_l) f(t_l, z_p(t_l)) + \\ &\quad + \dots + (t - t_l) f(t_l, z_p(t_l)) \\ &= x_0 + a \left[ \left( \frac{\lambda a_1}{a} x_1 + \dots + \frac{\lambda a_n}{a} x_n \right) \right] + \\ &\quad + \frac{t_{l+1} - t_l}{a} f(t_l, z_p(t_l)) + \dots + \frac{t - t_l}{a} f(t_l, z_p(t_l)) \\ &\in x_0 + (\lambda + t - t_l) \text{conv} f(J \times H) = x_0 + \lambda_1 \text{conv} f(J \times H), \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_1 + \dots + a_n = 1$ ,  $a = \lambda + (t_{l+1} - t_l) + \dots + (t - t_l)$  and  $\lambda_1 \leq t$ . So  $z_p \in S\eta$ .

We now prove that  $z_q(t) \rightarrow z_p(t)$  as  $q \rightarrow p$  weakly uniformly on  $J$ .

Let  $0 \leq p \leq q \leq h$ ; then for  $t \leq p$ ,  $\|z_q(t) - z_p(t)\| = 0$ . If  $t > p$ , we can choose  $q$  such that  $[p/\varepsilon] = [q/\varepsilon]$ . Let  $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned} |x^*(z_q(t) - z_p(t))| &= |x^*(z(q) + (t_{l+1} - t_l) f(t_l, z(q)) + \\ &\quad + \dots + (t - t_l) f(t_l, z_q(t_l)) - z(p) - (t_{l+1} - t_l) f(t_l, z(p)) - \\ &\quad - \dots - (t - t_l) f(t_l, z_p(t_l)))| \\ &\leq |x^*(z(q) - z(p)) + (t_{l+1} - t_l) |x^*(f(t_l, z(q)) - f(t_l, z(p)))| + \\ &\quad + \dots + (t - t_l) |x^*(f(t_l, z_q(t_l)) - f(t_l, z_p(t_l)))|. \end{aligned}$$

By induction and by the uniform weakly-weakly continuity of  $f$  we obtain

$$x^*(z_q(t) - z_p(t)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for every } t \in J \text{ if } q \rightarrow p.$$

This proves that the map  $p \mapsto z_p$  is continuous from  $[0, h]$  to  $C_w(J, E)$ ; consequently the set  $T_z$  is connected in  $C_w(J, E)$ . Since  $z_0 = x_z \in V \cap T_z$ , the set  $V \cap T_z$  is connected and therefore the set  $W = \bigcup_{z \in S\eta} T_z \cup V$  is connected in  $C_w(J, E)$ . Moreover,  $S\eta \subset W$ , since  $z = z_h \in T_z$  for each  $z \in S\eta$ . On the other hand,  $W \subset S\eta$  since  $T_z \subset S\eta$  and  $V \subset S\eta$ . Finally,  $S\eta = W$  is connected in  $C_w(J, E)$ .

The closure  $\bar{S}\eta$  of  $S\eta$  in  $C_w(J, E)$  is composed of all functions  $u: J \rightarrow E$  which satisfy:

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} u(0) &= x_0, \quad \|u(s) - u(t)\| \leq M |t - s|, \\ \|u(t) - x_0 - \int_0^t f(s, u(s)) ds\| &\leq \eta \quad \text{for } t, s \in J. \end{aligned}$$

We see that  $\bar{S}\eta$  is a closed equicontinuous subset of  $C_w(J, E)$  and  $u(t) \in H$  for each  $u \in \bar{S}\eta$  and  $t \in J$ . Since  $H$  is weakly compact, we infer by Ascoli's theorem that  $\bar{S}\eta$  is compact in  $C_w(J, E)$ .

Put  $V_n = \bar{S}_{1/n}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $V_n$  is a decreasing sequence of non-

empty compact connected subsets of  $C_w(J, E)$ ; therefore the intersection  $S = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$  is non-empty, compact and connected in  $C_w(J, E)$ . From (6) it follows that  $S$  is the set of all solutions of the Cauchy problem (1). This completes our proof.

**Remark.** If  $E$  is a reflexive Banach space, then  $B$  is weakly compact and we need not suppose (2). Thus our result is a generalization of the result of Szufła [12] and Szep [9].

#### References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, *Un theorem di esistenza per le equazioni differenziali negli spazi di Banach*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova 39 (1967), 346–360.
- [2] A. Cellina, *On the nonexistence of solutions of differential equations in nonreflexive spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1972), 1069–1072.
- [3] E. Cramer, V. Lakshmikantham and A. R. Mitchell, *On the existence of weak solutions of differential equations in nonreflexive Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis 2 (1978), 169–177.
- [4] G. Darbo, *Punti uniti in trasformazioni a condominio non compatto*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova, 24 (1955), 84–92.
- [5] F. S. de Blasi, *On a property of the unit sphere in a Banach space*, Bull. Math. de la Soc. Sci. Math. de la R. S. de Roumanie 21 (1977), 259–262.
- [6] J. Dieudonné, *Deux exemples singuliers d'équations différentielles*, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged 12 (1950), 38–40.
- [7] A. Godunov, *On Peano's theorem in Banach spaces* (in Russian) Funktsional Anal. i Priložen. 9 (1975), 59–60.
- [8] R. H. Martin, *Nonlinear Operators and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, Wiley and Sons, New York 1976.
- [9] A. Szep, *Existence theorem for weak solutions of ordinary differential equations in reflexive Banach spaces*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica 6 (1971), 197–203.
- [10] S. Szufła, *Some remarks on ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Math. Astronom. Phys. 16 (1968), 795–800.
- [11] —, *Measure of noncompactness and ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces*, ibidem 19 (1971), 831–835.
- [12] —, *Kneser's Theorem for weak solutions of ordinary differential equations in reflexive Banach spaces*, ibidem 26 (1978), 407–413.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 14.01.1980

---