

An implicit function theorem in Banach spaces

by JÜRGEN LEITERER (Berlin)

Franciszek Leja in memoriam

Abstract. Let E, F, G be real Banach spaces, let $U \subseteq E$ and $V \subseteq F$ be open neighbourhoods of 0, and let $T: U \rightarrow V, S: V \rightarrow G$ be continuously differentiable maps such that $T(0) = 0, S(0) = 0, T(U) \subseteq S^{-1}(0), \text{image}(T'_0) = \text{kernel}(S'_0)$ and $\text{image}(S'_0)$ is topologically closed in G . Then, for all sufficiently small $x \in S^{-1}(0)$, the equation $Ty = x$ has a solution.

If T is a differentiable map between open sets in Banach spaces, then we denote by T'_x the derivative at x . In this paper we prove

1. **THEOREM.** *Let E, F, G be real Banach spaces, let $U \subseteq E, V \subseteq F$ be open neighbourhoods of 0, and let $T: U \rightarrow V, S: V \rightarrow G$ be continuously differentiable maps such that:*

- (i) $T(0) = 0, S(0) = 0, T(U) \subseteq S^{-1}(0)$;
- (ii) $\text{image}(T'_0) = \text{kernel}(S'_0)$;
- (iii) $\text{image}(S'_0)$ is topologically closed in G .

Then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a continuous map

$$R: \{y \in S^{-1}(0): \|y\| < \varepsilon\} \rightarrow U$$

such that $TRy = y$ for all $y \in S^{-1}(0)$ with $\|y\| < \varepsilon$.

In the case that $S = 0$ and $\text{kernel}(T'_0)$ is complemented in E , this theorem is well-known. For the case that $S = 0$ but $\text{kernel}(T'_0)$ is non-complemented, see, for instance, [1]. Theorem 1 is not valid without condition (iii). However, I. Reaburn [3] proved the following result: Let E, F, G be complex Banach spaces, let $U \subseteq E, V \subseteq F$ be open neighbourhoods of 0, and let $T: U \rightarrow V, S: V \rightarrow G$ be holomorphic maps such that conditions (i) and (ii) are fulfilled (whereas (iii) can be violated). Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for each open set $D \subseteq C^n$ and each holomorphic map $h: D \rightarrow S^{-1}(0)$ with $\|h(x)\| < \varepsilon, x \in D$, there is a holomorphic map $H: D \rightarrow U$ with $h(x) = T(H(x))$ for all $x \in D$.

Observe that Theorem 1 can be applied in the theory of holomorphic

vector bundles (see [2], Section 2). This is the motivation for the present paper.

In the proof of Theorem 1 we need some lemmas. First we introduce some notations.

Let E, F be real Banach spaces. Denote by $L(E, F)$ the Banach space of bounded linear operators from E to F . Let $A \in L(E, F)$. We use the abbreviations $\text{Ker } A = \text{kernel}(A)$, $\text{Im } A = \text{image}(A)$, and we set

$$k(A) = \sup_{x \in E \setminus \text{Ker } A} \frac{\text{dist}(x, \text{Ker } A)}{\|Ax\|},$$

where $\text{dist}(x, \text{Ker } A) := \inf \{\|x - y\| : y \in \text{Ker } A\}$. Observe that $k(A) = \|\hat{A}^{-1}\|$, where $\hat{A}: E/\text{Ker } A \rightarrow \text{Im } A$ is the operator induced by A . By Banach's open mapping theorem, $k(A) < \infty$ precisely when $\text{Im } A$ is topologically closed in F .

2. LEMMA. *Let E, F be real Banach spaces, and let $A, B \in L(E, F)$. Then:*

- (i) $\text{dist}(x, \text{Ker } B) \leq k(B)\|A - B\|\|x\|$ for all $x \in \text{Ker } A$;
- (ii) $\text{dist}(x, \text{Im } B) \leq k(A)\|A - B\|\|x\|$ for all $x \in \text{Im } A$.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in \text{Ker } A$. Then $\|Bx\| \leq \|A - B\|\|x\|$ and thus $\text{dist}(x, \text{Ker } B) \leq k(B)\|A - B\|\|x\|$.

(ii) Let $x \in \text{Im } A$. Choose a sequence $y_n \in E$ such that $Ay_n = x$ and $\|y_n\| \leq (k(A) + 1/n)\|x\|$. Then $\text{dist}(x, \text{Im } B) \leq \|x - By_n\| \leq \|A - B\|(k(A) + 1/n)\|x\|$.

3. LEMMA. *Let E, F be real Banach spaces, and let $A_n, A \in L(E, F)$ such that $k(A) < \infty$ and $\lim \|A_n - A\| = 0$. Then $\underline{\lim} k(A_n) \geq k(A)$.*

Proof. Choose $x_n \in E$ such that $\|x_n\| \leq 2$, $\text{dist}(x_n, \text{Ker } A) = 1$ and $k(A) \leq 1/\|Ax_n\| + 1/n$. Then it follows from Lemma 2 (i) that $\underline{\lim} \text{dist}(x_n, \text{Ker } A_n) \geq 1$. Hence $\underline{\lim} k(A_n) \geq \underline{\lim} 1/\|Ax_n\| \geq k(A)$.

4. LEMMA. *Let E, F be real Banach spaces, and let $A \in L(E, F)$. Suppose there are a map $\alpha: F \rightarrow E$ and numbers $0 < q < 1$, $C < \infty$ such that $\|\alpha x\| \leq C\|x\|$ and $\|x - A\alpha x\| \leq q\|x\|$ for all $x \in F$. Then $\text{Im } A = F$ and $k(A) = C/(1 - q)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in F$. Set $y_0 = 0$ and $y_{k+1} = y_k + \alpha(x - Ay_k)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\|y_{k+1} - y_k\| \leq q^k C\|x\|$ and $\|x - Ay_k\| \leq q^k\|x\|$. Therefore $y := \lim y_k$ exists and $Ay = x$, $\|y\| \leq \sum \|y_{k+1} - y_k\| \leq C\|x\|/(1 - q)$.

5. LEMMA. *Let E, F, G be real Banach spaces, and let $A \in L(E, F)$, $B \in L(F, G)$ such that*

$$(1) \quad \text{Im } A = \text{Ker } B$$

and

$$(2) \quad k(B) < \infty.$$

If $A_n \in L(E, F)$ and $B_n \in L(F, G)$ are sequences with

$$(3) \quad \lim \|A_n - A\| = \lim \|B_n - B\| = 0$$

and

$$(4) \quad \text{Im } A_n \subseteq \text{Ker } B_n \quad \text{for all } n,$$

then

$$(5) \quad \lim k(A_n) = k(A),$$

$$(6) \quad \lim k(B_n) = k(B),$$

and, for sufficiently large n ,

$$(7) \quad \text{Im } A_n = \text{Ker } B_n.$$

Proof. By (1) and (2), $k(A) < \infty$ and $k(B) < \infty$. By Lemma 2 (i), we can find maps $\gamma_n: \text{Ker } B_n \rightarrow \text{Ker } B$ such that $\|\gamma_n x - x\| \leq 2k(B)\|B - B_n\|\|x\|$ for all $x \in \text{Ker } B_n$. In view of (1) there are maps $\alpha_n: \text{Ker } B \rightarrow E$ such that $A\alpha_n x = x$ and $\|\alpha_n x\| \leq (1 + 1/n)k(A)\|x\|$ for all $x \in \text{Ker } B$. Set $\vartheta_n = \alpha_n \circ \gamma_n$. Then for all $x \in \text{Ker } B_n$

$$\|\vartheta_n x\| \leq \frac{n+1}{n} k(A)(1 + 2k(B)\|B - B_n\|)\|x\|$$

and

$$\|x - A_n \vartheta_n x\| \leq 2k(B)\|B - B_n\|\|x\|.$$

By Lemmas 3 and 4 this implies (5) and (7). It remains to prove (6). To do this we choose $x_n \in F$ with

$$(8) \quad \text{dist}(x_n, \text{Ker } B_n) = 1, \quad \|x_n\| \leq 2,$$

and

$$(9) \quad k(B_n) \leq \frac{1}{\|B_n x_n\|} + \frac{1}{n}.$$

In view of (1) and (7) it follows from Lemma 2 (ii) that $\text{dist}(x, \text{Ker } B_n) \leq k(A)\|A_n - A\|\|x\|$ for all $x \in \text{Ker } B$. By (8) this implies that

$$\underline{\lim} \text{dist}(x_n, \text{Ker } B) \geq 1.$$

Taking into account (9) and (8), therefore it follows

$$\overline{\lim} k(B_n) = \overline{\lim} \frac{\text{dist}(x_n, \text{Ker } B_n)}{\|B_n x_n\| - 2\|B - B_n\|} \leq k(B).$$

Together with Lemma 3 this gives (6).

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $STx = 0$ for $x \in U$, it follows that $\text{Im } T'_x \subseteq \text{Ker } S'_{Tx}$ for all $x \in U$. Hence, by Lemma 5, after shrinking U we can assume that

$$(10) \quad \text{Im } T'_x = \text{Ker } S'_{Tx} \quad \text{for all } x \in U,$$

and, for some constant $1 < C < \infty$,

$$(11) \quad k(T'_x), k(S'_{Tx}) \leq \frac{1}{32}C \quad \text{for all } x \in U.$$

By Taylor's formula, after shrinking U and V , we can further assume that

$$(12) \quad \|Ty - Tx - T'_x(y-x)\| \leq \frac{1}{C}\|y-x\| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U,$$

and

$$(13) \quad \|Sy - Sx - S'_x(y-x)\| \leq \frac{1}{C}\|y-x\| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in V.$$

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that all $y \in E$ with $\|y\| \leq \varepsilon C$ belong to U . Set

$$M = \{x \in S^{-1}(0) : \|x\| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Claim. Let $\delta \leq \varepsilon$, and let $f: M \rightarrow E$ be a continuous map such that

$$(14) \quad \|f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon C \quad \text{for } x \in M,$$

and

$$(15) \quad \|Tf(x) - x\| \leq \delta \quad \text{for } x \in M.$$

Then there exists a continuous map $\tilde{f}: M \rightarrow E$ with

$$(16) \quad \|\tilde{f}(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\delta C \quad \text{for } x \in M,$$

and

$$(17) \quad \|T\tilde{f}(x) - x\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta \quad \text{for } x \in M.$$

Proof of the claim. Since $STf = 0$, it follows from (13) and (15) that

$$\|S'_{Tf(x)}(Tf(x) - x)\| \leq \delta/C \quad \text{for } x \in M.$$

By (11) and a partition of unity argument, we can therefore find a continuous map $w: M \rightarrow E$ such that

$$(18) \quad \|w(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{16}\delta \quad \text{for } x \in M,$$

and

$$\|S'_{Tf(x)}(Tf(x) - x - w(x))\| \leq \delta/C \quad \text{for } x \in M.$$

Hence by (11)

$$\text{dist}(Tf(x) - x - w(x), \text{Ker } S'_{Tf(x)}) \leq \frac{1}{32}\delta \quad \text{for } x \in M.$$

By (10), (11) and a partition of unity argument, we thus obtain a continuous map $v: M \rightarrow E$ such that

$$(19) \quad \|v(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{16}C(\|Tf(x) - x - w(x)\| + \frac{1}{16}\delta) \quad \text{for } x \in M,$$

and

$$(20) \quad \|T'_{f(x)}v(x) - (Tf(x) - x - w(x))\| \leq \frac{1}{16}\delta \quad \text{for } x \in M.$$

(19), (18) and (15) imply

$$(21) \quad \|v(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\delta C \quad \text{for } x \in M.$$

Set $\tilde{f} = f - v$. Then (16) is (21), and (17) follows from (12), (20), (18) and (21):

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\tilde{f}(x) - x\| &\leq \|T\tilde{f}(x) - Tf(x) + T'_{f(x)}v(x)\| + \|Tf(x) - T'_{f(x)}v(x) - x\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{C}\|v(x)\| + \|w(x)\| + \frac{1}{16}\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta \quad \text{for } x \in M. \end{aligned}$$

The claim is proved.

Set $f_0(x) = 0$ for $x \in M$. Then by the claim we can find a sequence of continuous maps $f_k: M \rightarrow E$ such that

$$\|f_k(x) - f_{k-1}(x)\| \leq 2^{-k-1}\varepsilon C \quad \text{for } x \in M,$$

and

$$\|Tf_k(x) - x\| \leq 2^{-k}\varepsilon \quad \text{for } x \in M.$$

Then $R := \lim f_k$ exists uniformly on M and $TRx = x$ for $x \in M$.

References

- [1] R. C. Gunning, *Lectures on vector bundles over Riemann surfaces*, Princeton 1967.
- [2] J. Leiterer, *Holomorphic vector bundles and the Oka-Grauert principle*, in: *Sovremennyye problemy matematiki. Fundamentalnye napravleniya*, VINITI, Moscow, and Springer-Verlag, to appear.
- [3] I. Raeburn, *An implicit function theorem in Banach spaces*, *Pacific J. Math.* 81, 2 (1979), 525-535.

I MATH. DER ADW DER DDR
BERLIN

Reçu par la Rédaction le 23.02.1984

