

ON PROJECTIONS OF $L^\infty(G)$
ONTO TRANSLATION-INVARIANT SUBSPACES

BY

W. CHOJNACKI (WARSZAWA)

1. Introduction. Let G be a locally compact Abelian group with the Haar measure μ . Let Φ be a translation-invariant $*$ -weakly closed subspace of $L^\infty(G)$. Regard $L^\infty(G)$ and Φ as Banach spaces with the norm topology of $L^\infty(G)$. The present note is a contribution to solution of the following problem (**P 1042**): when is Φ complemented in $L^\infty(G)$? Let \hat{G} be the dual group of G . Denote by $\sigma(\Phi)$ the spectrum of Φ , i.e., the set $\{\chi \in \hat{G}: \chi \in \Phi\}$. If $\Phi \neq \{0\}$, then $\sigma(\Phi) \neq \emptyset$. The main result of this note is Theorem 2 which gives a sufficient condition for Φ to be uncomplemented in $L^\infty(G)$ expressed in terms of $\sigma(\Phi)$.

2. Some examples. The first example of a complemented subspace is the most general. Let Φ have a finite spectrum. Then Φ is the $*$ -weak closure of the linear space spanned by $\sigma(\Phi)$. Hence Φ is finite-dimensional and complemented in $L^\infty(G)$.

The second example involves a group G of a special type. Let $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$, where G_1 and G_2 are locally compact Abelian groups. Let μ_1 be the Haar measure on G_1 . Let

$$I = \left\{ f \in L^1(G) : \int_{G_1} f(s, t) \mu_1(ds) = 0 \text{ for almost all } t \in G_2 \right\}.$$

I is a *closed ideal* in the group algebra $L^1(G)$, i.e., a translation-invariant closed subspace of $L^1(G)$. Let $g \in L^1(G_1)$ and

$$\int_{G_1} g d\mu_1 = 1.$$

Write

$$Pf(s, t) = f(s, t) - g(s) \int_{G_1} f(u, t) \mu_1(du), \quad s \in G_1, t \in G_2.$$

P is a continuous projection of $L^1(G)$ onto I . Denote by $\text{An}I$ the *annihilator* of I , i.e., the set

$$\{\varphi \in L^\infty(G) : \langle f, \varphi \rangle = 0 \text{ for every } f \in I\},$$

where

$$\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \int_G f \varphi d\mu, \quad f \in L^1(G), \varphi \in L^\infty(G).$$

An I is a translation-invariant *-weakly closed subspace of $L^\infty(G)$, and $Q = E - P'$ is a continuous projection of $L^\infty(G)$ onto $\text{An } I$, where E denotes the identity operator on $L^\infty(G)$. The spectrum of $\text{An } I$ may be identified with \hat{G}_2 . In particular, if \hat{G}_2 is infinite, then $\text{An } I$ provides an example of a translation-invariant *-weakly closed subspace of $L^\infty(G)$ which is complemented in $L^\infty(G)$ and has an infinite spectrum.

3. Main results. We introduce the following notation:

$$C_c(G) = \{\text{all continuous functions on } G \text{ with compact support}\},$$

$$C_0(G) = \{\text{all continuous functions on } G \text{ vanishing at infinity}\},$$

$$C_u(G) = \{\text{all bounded uniformly continuous functions on } G\},$$

$$B(G) = \{\text{all bounded complex functions on } G\},$$

$$M(G) = \{\text{all bounded regular Borel measures on the field of Borel subsets of } G\}.$$

THEOREM 1. *Let Φ be a translation-invariant *-weakly closed subspace of $L^\infty(G)$ which is complemented in $L^\infty(G)$. Let G be connected. Then $\Phi = L^\infty(G)$ or $\Phi \cap C_0(G) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. We use argumentation based on ideas which go back to K. De Leeuw and are contained in [1], Theorem 4.1.

Suppose that Q is a continuous projection of $L^\infty(G)$ onto Φ . At the beginning we prove that there exists a continuous projection R of $L^\infty(G)$ onto Φ such that

$$(1) \quad T_s R = R T_s$$

for every $s \in G$, where $T_s h(x) = h(x+s)$, $x \in G$, h — any function on G .

Let \mathcal{M} denote a *Banach mean*, i.e., a linear functional on $B(G)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $|\mathcal{M} \psi| \leq \|\psi\|$, $\|\psi\| = \sup_{s \in G} |\psi(s)|$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{M} T_s \psi = \mathcal{M} \psi$ for every $s \in G$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{M} c = c$ for any function c constant on G .

The proof of the existence of a Banach mean may be found in [2], Theorem 1.2.1, p. 5.

Consider the function

$$\psi(f, \varphi)(s) = \langle f, T_{-s} Q T_s \varphi \rangle, \quad f \in L^1(G), \varphi \in L^\infty(G), s \in G.$$

For an arbitrarily fixed $s \in G$ we have

$$|\psi(f, \varphi)(s)| \leq \|f\|_1 \|T_{-s}QT_s\varphi\|_\infty \leq \|Q\| \|f\|_1 \|\varphi\|_\infty.$$

Thus $\psi(f, \varphi) \in B(G)$ and by (i) we have

$$(2) \quad |\mathcal{M}\psi(f, \varphi)| \leq \|Q\| \|f\|_1 \|\varphi\|_\infty,$$

whence the mapping $f \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\psi(f, \varphi)$ with fixed φ is a linear continuous functional on $L^1(G)$. It is represented in the form

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{M}\psi(f, \varphi) = \langle f, R\varphi \rangle$$

for some $R\varphi \in L^\infty(G)$. From (3) and (2) it follows that R is a linear continuous operator and $\|R\| \leq \|Q\|$. Let $\text{An}\Phi$ denote the *annihilator* of Φ , i.e., the set

$$\{f \in L^1(G) : \langle f, \varphi \rangle = 0 \text{ for every } \varphi \in \Phi\}.$$

If $\varphi \in L^\infty(G)$ and $f \in \text{An}\Phi$, then $\psi(f, \varphi) = 0$ and, by (3), $R\varphi \in \text{An}\text{An}\Phi = \Phi$. If $\varphi \in \Phi$, then $T_{-s}QT_s\varphi = \varphi$, and by (iii) and (3) we obtain $R\varphi = \varphi$. Actually, we have proved that R is a continuous projection of $L^\infty(G)$ onto Φ . In order to prove (1) notice that for every $t \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(f, T_s\varphi)(t) &= \langle f, T_{-t}QT_tT_s\varphi \rangle = \langle f, T_sT_{-(t+s)}QT_{t+s}\varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle T_{-s}f, T_{-(t+s)}QT_{t+s}\varphi \rangle = \psi(T_{-s}f, \varphi)(t+s) \\ &= T_s\psi(T_{-s}f, \varphi)(t). \end{aligned}$$

and (1) now follows from (ii), namely

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, RT_s\varphi \rangle &= \mathcal{M}\psi(f, T_s\varphi) = \mathcal{M}T_s\psi(T_{-s}f, \varphi) = \mathcal{M}\psi(T_{-s}f, \varphi) \\ &= \langle T_{-s}f, R\varphi \rangle = \langle f, T_sR\varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now we show that $C_u(G)$ is an invariant subspace of R . For $\varphi \in C_u(G)$ and an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a symmetric neighbourhood of zero V_ε such that

$$\|T_s\varphi - \varphi\|_\infty \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\|R\|}, \quad s \in V_\varepsilon.$$

Hence by (1) we obtain

$$\|T_sR\varphi - R\varphi\|_\infty = \|R(T_s\varphi - \varphi)\|_\infty \leq \|R\| \|T_s\varphi - \varphi\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon,$$

whence for a non-negative continuous function η_ε on G such that

$$\text{supp}\eta_\varepsilon \subset V_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \int_G \eta_\varepsilon d\mu = 1$$

we have

$$\|R\varphi * \eta_\varepsilon - R\varphi\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon.$$

Evidently, $R\varphi * \eta_s \in C_u(G)$, whence there exists a function $\xi \in C_u(G)$ such that $\xi(x) = R\varphi(x)$ for almost every x . After modification (if necessary) on a set of the Haar measure zero we may assume that $R\varphi \in C_u(G)$.

Next we show that $C_0(G)$ is an invariant subspace of R . The mapping $\varphi \rightarrow R\varphi(0)$ is a linear continuous functional on $C_0(G)$. By the Riesz theorem, it is represented in the form

$$R\varphi(0) = \int_G \varphi d\nu$$

for some $\nu \in M(G)$. Hence by (1) we obtain

$$R\varphi(s) = (T_s R\varphi)(0) = (RT_s \varphi)(0) = \int_G \varphi(s+x) \nu(dx).$$

Consequently, if $\varphi \in C_c(G)$ and K is compact with

$$|\nu|(G \setminus K) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\|\varphi\|},$$

then for $s \notin \text{supp } \varphi - K$ we have $|R\varphi(s)| \leq \varepsilon$. Hence $R\varphi \in C_0(G)$. For $\varphi \in C_0(G)$ choose a sequence $\varphi_n \in C_c(G)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi - \varphi_n\| = 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R\varphi - R\varphi_n\| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad R\varphi \in C_0(G),$$

so $C_0(G)$ is an invariant subspace of R . Now for $\varphi \in C_0(G)$ we can write

$$\int_G \varphi(s) \nu(ds) = R\varphi(0) = R^2\varphi(0) = \int_G R\varphi(s) \nu(ds) = \int_{G \times G} \varphi(s+t) \nu(dt) \nu(ds),$$

whence $\nu * \nu = \nu$. Thus $\hat{\nu}(\chi) = 1$ or 0 for every $\chi \in \hat{G}$, where $\hat{\nu}$ denotes the Fourier transform of ν . Since the mapping $\chi \rightarrow \hat{\nu}(\chi)$ is continuous and \hat{G} is connected, we have $\hat{\nu} \equiv 1$ or $\hat{\nu} \equiv 0$ and $R = E$ or $R = 0$, respectively, on $C_0(G)$. In the case $R = E$ on $C_0(G)$ we have $C_0(G) \subset \Phi$, whence $\Phi = L^\infty(G)$. In the case $R = 0$ on $C_0(G)$ we have $\Phi \cap C_0(G) = \{0\}$. Thus the proof is completed.

Let $M_0(G)$ denote the class of all closed subsets of G which contain a support of a non-zero measure belonging to $M(G)$ with the Fourier transform vanishing at infinity. Every closed subset F of G such that $\mu(F) > 0$ belongs to $M_0(G)$. In a non-discrete G there may exist $F \in M_0(G)$ such that $\mu(F) = 0$ (cf. [3]).

THEOREM 2. *Let Φ be a translation-invariant *-weakly closed proper subspace of $L^\infty(G)$ and let \hat{G} be connected. If $\sigma(\Phi) \in M_0(\hat{G})$, then Φ is uncomplemented in $L^\infty(G)$.*

Proof. Suppose that Φ is complemented in $L^\infty(G)$. By Theorem 1, $\Phi \cap C_0(G) = \{0\}$. Let ν be a non-zero measure supported by $\sigma(\Phi)$ such that $\hat{\nu} \in C_0(G)$. For every $f \in \text{An}\Phi$ we have $\langle f, \hat{\nu} \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \nu \rangle = 0$, whence $\hat{\nu} \in \text{AnAn}\Phi = \Phi$. Thus $\hat{\nu}$ is a non-zero element of $\Phi \cap C_0(G)$. This contradiction proves our theorem.

THEOREM 3. *Let I be a non-trivial ideal in $L^1(G)$ and let \hat{G} be connected. If $\sigma(\text{An}I) \in M_0(\hat{G})$, then I is uncomplemented in $L^1(G)$.*

Proof. If P were a continuous projection of $L^1(G)$ onto I , then $Q = E - P'$ would be a continuous projection of $L^\infty(G)$ onto $\text{An}I$, contrary to Theorem 2.

The author should like to express his sincere thanks to Professor J. Kiszyński for suggesting a problem considered in this note and the idea of using a Banach mean. The author is also grateful to Professor S. Hartman and Professor C. Ryll-Nardzewski for their kind and valuable remarks.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Glicksberg, *Some uncomplemented function algebras*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 111 (1964), p. 121-137.
- [2] F. P. Greenleaf, *Invariant means on topological groups and their applications*, New York - Toronto - London - Melbourne 1969.
- [3] R. Kaufman, *Measures in independent sets*, Studia Mathematica 30 (1968), p. 17-20.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 20. 2. 1976