

Approximation of functions possessing derivatives of positive orders

by R. TABERSKI (Poznań)

Abstract. In this note we deduce some estimates for the best trigonometric approximation in the mean of 2π -periodic functions f of one variable. Moreover, two theorems concerning the order of approximation of $f^{(r)}$ by suitable trigonometric polynomials are given.

1. Introduction. Let L^p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) be the class of all 2π -periodic real-valued functions Lebesgue-integrable with p -th power [essentially bounded if $p = \infty$] over the interval $\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$. Write L instead of L^1 . Denote by $\omega_k(\delta, f)_{L^p}$ and $E_n(f)_{L^p}$, respectively, the k -th modulus of smoothness and the best trigonometric approximation of $f \in L^p$, in the L^p -norm:

$$\|f(\cdot)\|_{L^p} = \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq \infty,$$

$$\|f(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle} |f(x)|.$$

(see [6], p. 115, 41).

Suppose that

$$S[f] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ikx}$$

is the Fourier series of $f \in L$ for which the integral over $\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$ is zero, so that $c_0 = 0$. Given any $\alpha > 0$, we define the α -th integral of f by the identity

$$I_\alpha(x, f) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k (ik)^{-\alpha} e^{ikx},$$

where

$$(ik)^{-\alpha} = |k|^{-\alpha} \exp(-\tfrac{1}{2}\pi i \alpha \operatorname{sign} k);$$

the dash ' indicates that the term $k = 0$ is omitted in summation. As is well known ([7], p. 134), $f_\alpha(x) = I_\alpha(x, f)$ exists possibly for almost every x , is Lebesgue-integrable and $S[f_\alpha] = f_\alpha(x)$ a.e.

If $0 < a < 1$, the derivative $f^{(a)}(x)$ of f is defined by the formula

$$f^{(a)}(x) = \frac{d}{dx} I_{1-a}(x, f),$$

provided the right side exists. We set

$$f^{(a+r)}(x) = (f^{(a)}(x))^{(r)} = \frac{d^{r+1}}{dx^{r+1}} I_{1-a}(x, f)$$

for positive integers r .

Using the above notions, we shall present the L^p -analogues of two theorems given in [6], p. 316, 555.

The suitable positive constants, depending on the parameters γ, η, \dots only, will be signified by $C_j(\gamma, \eta, \dots)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$).

2. Auxiliary results. Considering 2π -periodic functions $f \in L$ such that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) dx = 0,$$

we shall prove the following

LEMMA. *Suppose that, for a certain positive $a < 1$, the function*

$$g(x) = I_{1-a}(x, f)$$

is of bounded variation over the interval $\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$. Then

$$\omega_1(\delta, f)_L \leq C_1(a) M \delta^a \quad \text{if } 0 < \delta \leq \pi,$$

where

$$M = \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{var}_{-\pi \leq x \leq \pi} g(x).$$

Proof. As is well known ([3], p. 38),

$$\omega_1(\delta, g)_L \leq M \delta \quad \text{when } 0 < \delta \leq \pi.$$

Clearly,

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k (ik)^{a-1} e^{ikx} \quad \text{for every } x$$

and

$$I_a(x, g) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k (ik)^{a-1} (ik)^{-a} e^{ikx} = f_1(x)$$

uniformly in $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Hence

$$I_a(x, g) = f_1(x) \quad \text{for every } x.$$

Putting

$$F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt,$$

we have

$$F(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k (ik)^{-1} (e^{ikx} - 1) = f_1(x) - f_1(0)$$

uniformly in $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Consequently,

$$F(x) = I_a(x, g) - I_a(0, g) \quad \text{for all } x.$$

In view of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} I_a(x, g) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) e^{-ikt} dt \cdot (ik)^{-a} e^{ikx} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (ik)^{-a} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) e^{ik(x-t)} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) \Psi_a(x-t) dt \quad \text{for every } x, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi_a(u) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (ik)^{-a} e^{iku} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos\left(ku - \frac{a\pi}{2}\right)}{k^a}.$$

Therefore, for all real x ,

$$(1) \quad F(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) \Psi_a(x-t) dt - f_1(0), \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Psi_a(x-t) dt = 0.$$

Given positive numbers h, λ ($2\lambda < h \leq \pi$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda &\equiv \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{F(x+h+\lambda) - F(x+h-\lambda)}{2\lambda} - \frac{F(x+\lambda) - F(x-\lambda)}{2\lambda} \right| dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \{g(x+h-u) - g(x-u)\} \frac{\Psi_a(u+\lambda) - \Psi_a(u-\lambda)}{2\lambda} du \right| dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g(x+h-u) - g(x+h) - g(x-u) + g(x)| dx \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left| \frac{\Psi_a(u+\lambda) - \Psi_a(u-\lambda)}{2\lambda} \right| \right\} du. \end{aligned}$$

By the mean-value theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\lambda &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} M \left\{ \int_{|u| \leq 2\lambda} |u| \frac{|\Psi_\alpha(u+\lambda)| + |\Psi_\alpha(u-\lambda)|}{2\lambda} du + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{2\lambda \leq |u| \leq h} |u| |\Psi_\alpha^{(1)}(u-\lambda+2\vartheta\lambda)| du + \int_{h \leq |u| \leq \pi} h |\Psi_\alpha^{(1)}(u-\lambda+2\vartheta\lambda)| du \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi} M \{P+Q+R\}, \quad \text{with some } \vartheta = \vartheta(u, \lambda) \quad (0 < \vartheta < 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is known ([7], p. 136) that

$$|\Psi_\alpha(t)| \leq C_2(\alpha) |t|^{\alpha-1}, \quad |\Psi_\alpha^{(1)}(t)| \leq C_3(\alpha) |t|^{\alpha-2} \quad \text{if } 0 < |t| \leq 3\pi/2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 P &\leq C_2(\alpha) \int_{|u| \leq 2\lambda} \{|u+\lambda|^{\alpha-1} + |u-\lambda|^{\alpha-1}\} du \\
 &= 2C_2(\alpha) \left\{ \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{(3\lambda)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right\} = 2C_2(\alpha) \frac{1+3^\alpha}{\alpha} \lambda^\alpha, \\
 Q &\leq C_3(\alpha) \int_{2\lambda \leq |u| \leq h} |u| |u-\lambda+2\vartheta\lambda|^{\alpha-2} du \\
 &\leq C_3(\alpha) \left\{ \int_{2\lambda}^h \frac{u du}{(u-\lambda)^{2-\alpha}} - \int_{-h}^{-2\lambda} \frac{u du}{(-u-\lambda)^{2-\alpha}} \right\} \\
 &\leq C_3(\alpha) \left\{ \int_{\lambda}^{h-\lambda} \frac{v+\lambda}{v^{2-\alpha}} dv + \int_{\lambda}^{h-\lambda} \frac{w+\lambda}{w^{2-\alpha}} dw \right\} \\
 &= C_3(\alpha) \left\{ 2 \frac{(h-\lambda)^\alpha - \lambda^\alpha}{\alpha} + 2\lambda \frac{\lambda^{\alpha-1} - (h-\lambda)^{\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha} \right\} \\
 &= 2C_3(\alpha) \left\{ \frac{(h-\lambda)^\alpha - \lambda^\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right\} \leq 2C_3(\alpha) \left\{ \frac{(h-\lambda)^\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right\}, \\
 R &\leq C_3(\alpha) \int_{h \leq |u| \leq \pi} h |u-\lambda+2\vartheta\lambda|^{\alpha-2} du = C_3(\alpha) \left\{ \int_h^\pi \frac{h}{|u-\lambda+2\vartheta\lambda|^{2-\alpha}} du + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{-\pi}^{-h} \frac{h}{|u-\lambda+2\vartheta\lambda|^{2-\alpha}} du \right\} \leq C_3(\alpha) \left\{ \int_h^\pi \frac{h}{(u-\lambda)^{2-\alpha}} du + \int_{-\pi}^{-h} \frac{h}{(-u-\lambda)^{2-\alpha}} du \right\} \\
 &= 2C_3(\alpha) h \frac{(h-\lambda)^{\alpha-1} - (\pi-\lambda)^{\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha} < 2C_3(\alpha) h \frac{(h-\lambda)^{\alpha-1}}{1-\alpha}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$J_\lambda \leq \frac{2}{\pi} M \left\{ C_2(a) \frac{1+3^a}{a} \lambda^a + C_3(a) \left(\frac{(h-\lambda)^a}{a} + \frac{\lambda^a}{1-a} \right) + C_3(a) \frac{h(h-\lambda)^{a-1}}{1-a} \right\}.$$

Applying the Fatou lemma, we obtain

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F^{(1)}(x+h) - F^{(1)}(x)| dx \leq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} I_\lambda \leq \frac{2}{\pi} M C_3(a) \left(\frac{h^a}{a} + \frac{h^a}{1-a} \right),$$

i.e.,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x)| dx \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{1-a} \right) C_3(a) M h^a \quad (0 < h \leq \pi)$$

and the desired assertion follows.

Analogously we can show that if the measurable function

$$g(x) = I_{1-a}(x, f) \quad \text{for some positive } a < 1$$

is of bounded second variation in the sense of Kharšiladze [1] over $\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$, then

$$\omega_2(\delta, f)_L = O(\delta^a) \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0+.$$

Two corresponding results for the modulus $\omega_k(\delta, f)_{L^p}$ ($1 < p < \infty$, $k = 1, 2$) also hold whenever g is the integral of a function φ , Lebesgue-integrable over $\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$ and such that

$$(i) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi(x)|^p dx < \infty, \quad (ii) \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\langle 0, \pi \rangle} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi(x+u) - \varphi(x-u)|^p dx < \infty,$$

respectively.

3. Approximation of differentiable functions. Let us start with the following

THEOREM 1. Suppose that the 2π -periodic function f possesses a derivative $f^{(r-1)}$ of non-negative integer order $r-1$, absolutely continuous in $\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$ and such that $f^{(r)} \in L^p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$). Then,

$$E_n(f)_{L^p} \leq \frac{C_4(r)}{(n+1)^r} E_n(f^{(r)})_{L^p} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. Consider the case $r = 1$. Write

$$S[f] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ikx}, \quad g(x) = I_1(x, f^{(1)}).$$



Clearly,

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ikx} = f(x) \quad \text{uniformly in } x,$$

$$S[f^{(1)}] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty'} c_k i k e^{ikx}, \quad g(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty'} c_k e^{ikx} = f(x) - c_0$$

or every x . Hence

$$E_n(f)_{L^p} = E_n(g)_{L^p} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$f^{(1)}(x) = g^{(1)}(x) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

The partial integration gives

$$2\pi c_k = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) e^{-ikt} dt = \frac{1}{ik} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g^{(1)}(t) e^{-ikt} dt.$$

Consequently,

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty'} c_k e^{ikx} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty'} \frac{1}{ik} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g^{(1)}(t) e^{ik(x-t)} dt$$

and by the Lebesgue theorem,

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g^{(1)}(t) \Psi_1(x-t) dt,$$

where

$$\Psi_1(u) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty'} (ik)^{-1} e^{iku} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin ku}{k}.$$

As is well known ([6], p. 316), for each trigonometric polynomials $U_n(x)$, $V_n(x)$ of the order n at most, there is a trigonometric polynomial $T_n(x)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) - T_n(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \{g^{(1)}(t) - U_n(t)\} \{\Psi_1(x-t) - V_n(x-t)\} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \{g^{(1)}(x-s) - U_n(x-s)\} \{\Psi_1(s) - V_n(s)\} ds. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Minkowski's generalized inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g(x) - T_n(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\Psi_1(s) - V_n(s)| \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g^{(1)}(x-s) - U_n(x-s)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} ds \\ \leq \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g^{(1)}(t) - U_n(t)|^p dt \right\}^{1/p} \cdot \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\Psi_1(s) - V_n(s)| ds; \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$E_n(g)_{L^p} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} E_n(g^{(1)})_{L^p} \cdot E_n(\Psi_1)_L.$$

By a suitable theorem of Jackson's type ([2], p. 73-78),

$$E_n(\Psi_1)_L \leq 6\omega_1\left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \Psi_1\right)_L \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Since

$$\Psi_1(u) = \begin{cases} \pi - u & \text{if } 0 < u < 2\pi, \\ 0 & \text{if } u = 0, u = 2\pi, \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$\text{var}_{-\pi \leq u \leq \pi} \Psi_1(u) = \text{var}_{0 \leq u \leq 2\pi} \Psi_1(u) = 4\pi,$$

we have

$$E_n(\Psi_1)_L \leq 6 \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot 4\pi \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} = \frac{48}{n+1} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus,

$$E_n(g)_{L^p} \leq \frac{48}{2\pi(n+1)} E_n(g^{(1)})_{L^p}$$

and, for $r = 1$, the proof is completed.

If $r = 2, 3, \dots$, we apply the induction argument.

Evidently, the theorem of Jackson's type

$$E_n(f^{(r)})_{L^p} \leq C_5(k) \omega_k\left(\frac{1}{n+1}, f^{(r)}\right)_{L^p}$$

([6], p. 274-275) and estimates for the modulus $\omega_k(\delta, f^{(r)})_{L^p}$ lead, by Theorem 1, to some special inequalities concerning $E_n(f)_{L^p}$.

THEOREM 2. *Given any p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), let us consider the trigonometric polynomials $T_n(x) = T_n(x, f)$ of order n at most, such that*

$$(2) \quad \|f(\cdot) - T_n(\cdot, f)\|_{L^p} \leq C_6(p) E_n(f)_{L^p} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

for all functions f satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1, with some positive integer r . Then, for these f 's,

$$(3) \quad \|f^{(r)}(\cdot) - T_n^{(r)}(\cdot, f)\|_{L^p} \leq C_7(p, r) E_n(f^{(r)})_{L^p} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Proof. Let $S_\nu(x, f)$ denotes the ν -th partial sum of the Fourier series of f , and let $W_n(x, f)$ or $W_n(f)$ be the de la Vallée-Poussin means of this series, defined by the formula

$$W_n(x, f) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=n}^{2n} S_\nu(x, f) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

As is well known, for any $f \in L$,

$$W_n(x, f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+t) \Phi_n(t) dt,$$

where

$$\Phi_n(t) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=n}^{2n} \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t} = \frac{\sin(n + \frac{1}{2})t \cdot \sin(\frac{3}{2}n + \frac{1}{2})t}{2(n+1)(\sin \frac{1}{2}t)^2},$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi_n(t) dt = 1, \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\Phi_n(t)| dt \leq 2 \frac{4n+1}{2n+2} < 4.$$

Moreover,

$$W_n(x, f^{(r)}) = W_n^{(r)}(x, f) \quad \text{whenever } f, f^{(r)} \in L.$$

In view of Minkowski's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f^{(r)}(\cdot) - T_n^{(r)}(\cdot, f)\|_{L^p} &\leq \|f^{(r)}(\cdot) - W_n(\cdot, f^{(r)})\|_{L^p} + \\ &+ \|T_n^{(r)}(\cdot, W_n(f)) - T_n^{(r)}(\cdot, f)\|_{L^p} + \|W_n^{(r)}(\cdot, f) - T_n^{(r)}(\cdot, W_n(f))\|_{L^p} \end{aligned}$$

for every $f \in L$ such that $f^{(r)} \in L^p$.

Denoting by $T_n^*(x, f)$ the trigonometric polynomial of best approximation of f in the L^p -metric, of the order n at most, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f^{(r)}(\cdot) - W_n(\cdot, f^{(r)})\|_{L^p} &\leq \|f^{(r)}(\cdot) - T_n^*(\cdot, f^{(r)})\|_{L^p} + \|T_n^*(\cdot, f^{(r)}) - W_n(\cdot, f^{(r)})\|_{L^p} \\ &= E_n(f^{(r)})_{L^p} + \|W_n(\cdot, T_n^*(f^{(r)})) - f^{(r)}\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq 5 E_n(f^{(r)})_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

The Zygmund inequality ([7], p. 11) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n^{(r)}(\cdot, W_n(f)) - T_n^{(r)}(\cdot, f)\|_{L^p} &\leq n^r \|T_n(\cdot, W_n(f)) - T_n(\cdot, f)\|_{L^p}, \\ \|W_n^{(r)}(\cdot, f) - T_n^{(r)}(\cdot, W_n(f))\|_{L^p} &\leq (2n)^r \|W_n(\cdot, f) - T_n(\cdot, W_n(f))\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq C_6(p) \cdot (2n)^r E_n(W_n(f))_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_n(\cdot, W_n(f)) - T_n(\cdot, f)\|_{L^p} \\ & \leq \|T_n(\cdot, W_n(f)) - W_n(\cdot, f)\|_{L^p} + \|W_n(\cdot, f) - f(\cdot)\|_{L^p} + \|f(\cdot) - T_n(\cdot, f)\|_{L^p} \\ & \leq C_6(p) E_n(W_n(f))_{L^p} + 5E_n(f)_{L^p} + C_6(p) E_n(f)_{L^p} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$E_n(W_n(f))_{L^p} \leq 4E_n(f)_{L^p}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f^{(r)}(\cdot) - T_n^{(r)}(\cdot, f)\|_{L^p} & \leq 5E_n(f^{(r)})_{L^p} + 5n^r(C_6(p) + 1)E_n(f)_{L^p} + \\ & + 4C_6(p)(2n)^r E_n(f)_{L^p} \end{aligned}$$

and, by Theorem 1, the desired result follows (cf. [5], p. 233–234; [6], p. 350–351).

4. Case of derivatives of non-integer orders. Assuming that

$$f \in L \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt = 0,$$

an extension of Theorem 1 will now be given.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that the function

$$g(x) = I_{1-\alpha}(x, f),$$

with a positive $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, is absolutely continuous in $\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$, and that the derivative $g^{(1)}(x) = f^{(\alpha)}(x)$ is of class L^p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$). Then

$$(4) \quad E_n(f)_{L^p} \leq \frac{C_8(\alpha)}{(n+1)^\alpha} E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L^p} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

If, moreover, the derivatives $f^{(\alpha)}(x), f^{(\alpha+1)}(x), \dots, f^{(\alpha+\varrho-1)}(x)$, with a positive integer ϱ , are absolutely continuous in $\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle$ and if $f^{(\alpha+\varrho)} \in L^p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), then

$$(5) \quad E_n(f)_{L^p} \leq \frac{C_8(\alpha)C_4(\varrho)}{(n+1)^{\alpha+\varrho}} E_n(f^{(\alpha+\varrho)})_{L^p} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. We start with identities (1) and we observe that for each trigonometric polynomials $U_n(x), V_n(x)$ of the order n at most, there is a trigonometric polynomial $T_n(x)$ such that

$$F(x) - T_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \{g(x-s) - U_n(x-s)\} \{\Psi_\alpha(s) - V_n(s)\} ds - I_\alpha(0, g).$$

Assuming that $0 < \lambda \leq \pi/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{F(x+\lambda) - T_n(x+\lambda) - F(x-\lambda) + T_n(x-\lambda)}{2\lambda} \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{g(x+\lambda-s) - U_n(x+\lambda-s) - g(x-\lambda-s) + U_n(x-\lambda-s)}{2\lambda} \times \right. \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. \left. \{ \Psi_a(s) - V_n(s) \} ds \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\Psi_a(s) - V_n(s)| \times \\ & \times \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{g(x+\lambda-s) - g(x-\lambda-s)}{2\lambda} - \frac{U_n(x+\lambda-s) - U_n(x-\lambda-s)}{2\lambda} \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let $U_n(t)$, $V_n(s)$ be the trigonometric polynomials of best approximation of $g(t)$ and $\Psi_a(s)$ in L^p and L -metrics, respectively. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\pi \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{F(x+\lambda) - F(x-\lambda)}{2\lambda} - \frac{T_n(x+\lambda) - T_n(x-\lambda)}{2\lambda} \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ & \leq \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{g(t+\lambda) - g(t-\lambda)}{2\lambda} - \frac{U_n(t+\lambda) - U_n(t-\lambda)}{2\lambda} \right|^p dt \right\}^{1/p} \cdot E_n(\Psi_a)_L \end{aligned}$$

and, by Fatou's lemma (see also Theorem 2),

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F^{(1)}(x) - T_n^{(1)}(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} & \leq \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g^{(1)}(t) - U_n^{(1)}(t)|^p dt \right\}^{1/p} \cdot E_n(\Psi_a)_L \\ & \leq C_9 E_n(g^{(1)})_{L^p} \cdot E_n(\Psi_a)_L. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$2\pi \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x) - T_n^{(1)}(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq C_9 E_n(f^{(a)})_{L^p} \cdot E_n(\Psi_a)_L$$

and, consequently,

$$E_n(f)_{L^p} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} C_9 E_n(f^{(a)})_{L^p} E_n(\Psi_a)_L.$$

Applying a suitable theorem of Jackson's type ([2]) and the above lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(f)_{L^p} & \leq \frac{3}{\pi} C_9 E_n(f^{(a)})_{L^p} \omega_1 \left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \Psi_a \right)_L \\ & \leq \frac{3}{\pi} C_9 C_1(a) M \frac{1}{(n+1)^a} E_n(f^{(a)})_{L^p}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M = \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{var}_{-\pi \leq x \leq \pi} \Psi_1(x) = 8.$$

Thus, estimate (4) is established.

To obtain (5), we apply (4) and Theorem 1.

THEOREM 4. *Under the assumption of Theorem 3, inequality (2) implies (3) for a corresponding positive non-integer $r = a + \varrho$ ($0 < a < 1$, $\varrho = 0, 1, 2, \dots$).*

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2. In this case, the inequality of the Bernstein–Civin type ([6], p. 266, [4], p. 49) and the assertion of Theorem 3 are used.

We note that the above results can easily be extended to the Orlicz spaces L^φ .

References

- [1] Ф. И. Харшиладзе, *Функции с ограниченным вторым изменением*, Труды Тбилисского Математического Института 20 (1954), p. 145–156.
- [2] П. П. Коровкин, *Линейные операторы и теория приближения*, Москва 1959.
- [3] J. Marcinkiewicz, *Collected papers*, Warszawa 1964.
- [4] H. Musielak, *Inequalities for the norms of some functions defined by Stieltjes integrals*, Comm. Math. 16 (1972), p. 45–51.
- [5] G. Sunouchi, *Derivatives of a trigonometric polynomial of best approximation, Abstract spaces and approximation* (Proc. of the Conf. at Oberwolfach 1968, ed. by P. L. Butzer and B. Sz. Nagy), ISNM, vol. 10, Birkhäuser Verlag 1969, p. 233–241.
- [6] А. Ф. Тиман, *Теория приближения функций действительного переменного*, Москва 1960.
- [7] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, II, Cambridge 1959.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY, POZNAŃ

Reçu par la Rédaction le 20. 7. 1974